Full Length Research Paper

Efficiency of resource-use and elasticity of production among catfish farmers in Kaduna, Nigeria

C. O. Emokaro* and P. A. Ekunwe

Department of Agriculture, Benson Idahosa University, Benin City, Nigeria.

This study employed the use of the Stochastic Frontier Production Function in the empirical analysis of efficiency of resource-use and elasticity of production among catfish farmers in Kaduna, Nigeria. The simple random sampling technique was employed in selecting 60 catfish farmers drawn from the sampling frame obtained from the list of list of Agricultural Development Programme (ADP) contact farmers in the four Local Government Areas (LGAs) of Chikun, Igabi, Kaduna and Kaduna North, which made up the study area. Empirical estimates from the analysis showed Marginal Physical Product (MPP) values ranged from -430.850 for catfish feed to 1.004 for labour. It was equally established in this study that catfish farmers in the study area were not efficient in their use of production inputs, based on VMPi/Pxi ratios that ranged from -426.71 for catfish feed to 3.46 for labour, with none approximating to unity (which would have indicated that the farmers were optimally efficient in their use of production inputs). Also, production elasticity estimates indicated that the farmers were in stage 2 of the production process, with a return to scale of 0.664. These estimates indicate the existence of intervention points for relevant stakeholders in the bourgeoning catfish business in Kaduna.

Key words: Efficiency of resource-use, food crisis, elasticity of production, maximum likelihood estimates, ordinary least squares, return to scale, stochastic frontier production function.

INTRODUCTION

The Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Water Resources (FMAWR, 2008) estimated that local fisheries supplies in Nigeria is inadequate and this is partly responsible for the current low daily animal protein intake per head per day of 10 g compared to FAO recommended 36 g. Nigeria is currently the largest fisheries producer in Africa, with an annual output of over 635,379 tonnes (FMAWR, 2008). However, about $400 million is still spent annually on imports of about 560,000 tonnes to augment shortfalls in domestic supplies (FAO, 2007). In the wake of a looming "global food crisis" that Nigeria is not isolated from, more emphasis is now being placed on increased domestic supplies. One sure way of doing this is by ensuring the efficiency of catfish farmers in their use of production inputs, catfish being the most commonly cultivated spe- cies of fish because of its superior market value (FAO,

1993). A more efficient use of production inputs would ultimately impart positively on productivity and by extension, farmers' profitability, *ceteris paribus*.

These resource-poor smallholder farmers (Emokaro and Erhabor, 2006a), who contribute more than 90% of agricultural output in Nigeria in particular (FMAWR, 2008) and Sub-Saharan Africa in general (Spencer, 2002), must be assisted to rise beyond the level of subsistence to higher levels of profitability through more efficient use of their production resources. Thus, the main objective of this study was to identify any gaps that may exist in the current level of technology employed by catfish farmers in Kaduna through the use of the Stochastic Frontier Production Function (SFPF) in the estimation of the efficiency of resource-use and production elasticities among catfish farmers in the study area. This would provide empirical evidence of gaps that may exist in the farmers' current level of technology. These gaps would serve as intervention points that would assist in enhancing the productivity and profitability of the farmers, as well as encouraging them to beef up their current level of output so as to bridge the current shortfalls in local supplies.

*Corresponding author. E-mail: emokaro@yahoo.com, peter_ekunwe@yahoo.com.

Researchers in the past have applied the use of the SFPF in estimating efficiency of resource-use for specific agricultural enterprises (Utomakili, 1992; Emokaro and Erhabor, 2006a; Ogundari et al., 2006). The uniqueness of this current effort is however in the fact that this is the first time the SFPF would be used in estimating the efficiency of resource-use and production elasticities among catfish farmers in Kaduna.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

This study was conducted in the metropolis of Kaduna, a City in North Western Nigeria, capital of Kaduna state. Kaduna State comprised 23 Local Government Areas (LGAs) with a land area of about 46,053 km^2 and an estimated population of 6,066,562 (Census, 2006). Kaduna State is bordered by Sokoto, Katsina, and Kano State to the North, Bauchi to the East, Plateau to the East and South, Niger to the West and Abuja to the South. Kaduna is made up of four LGAs namely: Chikun, Igabi, Kaduna North and Kaduna South. These LGAs will constitute the area of study for this research

Sampling procedure

The simple random sampling technique was used to select 60 respondents from the list of Kaduna State Agricultural Development Programme (ADP) contact farmers in the study area. Fifteen (15) catfish farmers were selected at random from Chikun LGA, twelve (12), twenty (20) and thirteen (13) from Igabi, Kaduna South and Kaduna North LGAs, respectively. The primary data used in this study were collected between December 2007 and March 2008, through personal interviews with respondents and the use of sets of well – structured questionnaire.

Analytical techniques

The SFPF was used to determine the production function in this study (CEPA, 2003).

Frontier model

$$Log\ Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 log\ X_1i + \beta_2 log\ X_2i + \beta_3 log\ X_3i + \beta_4 log\ X_4i + (v_i - \underline{u}_i)$$
(1)

The subscript i denotes the ith farmer; Where Log = natural logarithm, Y = total value of catfish output in kilogrammes, X_1 = number of fingerlings, X_2 = man-h of labour used in respect of catfish production, X_3 = feed consumed by catfish in kilogrammes, X_4 = pond size in meters square, β_1 to β_4 = regression coefficients, v_i = a random error term or "white noise", assumed to be independent of μ_i, identical and normally distributed with zero mean and constant variance N (0, δ^2_v), intended to capture events beyond the control of the farmers, like topography, weather, uncertainties etc. μ_i = disturbance terms, which are assumed to be independent of v_i. They are non-negative truncations at zero or half normal distribution with N (0, $\delta^2\mu$). i = 1, 2, 3, …N, β_i (where i = 1…4), δ^2_v, $\delta^2\mu$, δ^2 are unknown scalar parameters to be estimated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The estimated results of the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) and the Maximum Likelihood Estimate (MLE) for catfish farmers in Kaduna are presented in Table 1. The OLS model provides an "average" production function while the MLE model provides estimates of the SFPF.

The sigma squared (δ^2) shows a 'good fit' and the correctness of the specified distributional assumptions of the composite error term. The gamma estimate of γ = 0.856 indicates that 85.6% variation in output for catfish farmers in Kaduna, is due to the inefficiency factor (μ_I). The ratio of the likelihood function, which compares the joint effect of efficiency parameters on the output of farmers, was also estimated. The results of the diagnostic statistics (the computed chi-square was 25.04 and the critical value of the chi-square at 5% level and seven degree of freedom was 14.067) confirm the relevance of the SFPF using the Maximum Likelihood Estimator. The results of the estimated parameters show that feed consumed had a negative coefficient. This implies that feed contributed negatively to output of catfish, whereas the other variables (fingerlings, labour and pond size) contributed positively to catfish output. The analysis also showed that only labour was statistically significant at 5% level of significance.

This result agrees with the findings of Oladeebo and Ambe-Lamidi (2007), who applied the use of SFPF to measure input elasticities and economic efficiency in poultry production in Osun State, Nigeria in which it was shown that some of the coefficients of the estimated parameters i.e. family and hired labour including expenses on chemicals, had negative signs in the MLE functions. It however contrasts the findings of Ogundari et al. (2006) and Emokaro and Erhabor (2006a) where positive coefficients were recorded for all the estimated parameters.

The Marginal Physical Product (MPP) for each of the production inputs was estimated from the regression co-efficient of the SFPF. This was used in determining the Value of the Marginal Products (VMPs) at the geometric mean of inputs (Doll and Orazem, 1978; Henderson and Quandt, 1980; Sankhayan, 1988; Olayemi, 1998). From this, the efficiency of resource-use of the inputs was estimated as presented in Table 2.

Within the limits of statistical reliability, these values provide a measure of the efficiency of resource-use of the production inputs prevailing on the average, in catfish production in Kaduna. A production input is efficiently utilized if the ratio of the VMP/ input price equates to unity, a ratio less than unity indicates over-utilization of production inputs while a ratio greater than unity shows that resources are under-utilized (Utamakili, 1992, Olayemi, 1998; Emokaro and Erhabor, 2006a). Based on this theory, labour and fingerlings were shown to be under-utilized, with ratios of 3.46 and 2.51 respectively. Pond size was over-utilized with a ratio of 0.001 while catfish feed was grossly over-utilized, with a ratio of -426.71. These estimates indicate inefficiency in the use of production inputs by catfish farmers in the study area. These findings compare favourably with the findings of

Table 1. Estimates results of the SFPF for catfish farmers In Kaduna.

Variable	Parameter	Model 1 average OLS	Model 2 frontier mle
Constant Term	β_0	0.197 (0.059)	1.517 (0.527)
Fingerlings (X_1)	β_1	-0.010(-0.054)	0.032 (0.237)
Labour (X_2)	β_2	0.980 (4.689)*	0.30 (4.639)*
Feed Consumed (X_3)	β_3	-0.194(-1.808)	-0.141 (-1.729)
Pond Size (X_4)	β_4	0.032 (0.831)	0.008 (0.279)
Sigma Squared	δ^2	0.0477	0.092 (1.176)
Gamma	γ		0.856 (6.229)*
Log likelihood function		8.774	21.294
Log Ratio			25.040

Numbers in parentheses are t-ratios.
*Significant at 5% level.
Source: Computed from field survey Data, 2008.

Table 2. Ratio of VMPxᵢ to input prices at geometric mean of input

Variables	β_i	$MPPx_i$	VMPxi (₦)	Mean input price (Pxi)	VMPxi/Pxi	Interference
Fingerlings (X_1)	0.032	0.010	43	₦ 20.00	2.15	Under utilized
Labour (X_2)	0.765	1.004	432	₦ 125	3.46	Under utilized
Feed consumed (X_3)	-0.141	-430.85	-185,266	₦ 434.17	-426.71	Grossly over utilized
Pond size (X_4)	0.008	0.032	14	₦ 23,000.00	0.001	Over utilized

Source: Computed from field survey data, 2008.

Table 3. Elasticities of production and return to scale.

Variables	Elasticities
Fingerling	0.032
Labour	0.765
Feed Consumed	-0.141
Pond Size	0.008_
Return to Scale	0.664_

Source: Computed from field survey Data, 2008

Utamakili (1992), in an estimation of production function and marginal productivities in the fish farming industry, were it was shown that fish farmers were not efficient in their use of production inputs in Nigeria.

Estimates of the dependent variables of the general model presented in Table 3 show that fingerlings, labour and pond size were positive decreasing functions to the factors, indicating that the allocation and utilization of the variables was in the stage of economic relevance of the production function, i.e. stage 2. The elasticity of feed consumed was however a negative decreasing function to the factor, indicating gross over-utilization of the input which characterizes stage 3 of the production process.

The return to scale was 0.664, signifying a positive decreasing return to scale and that catfish production in the study area is still in stage 2 of production. The pro-ductivity of the factor can be improved by either reducing the amount spent on feed and pond size or by increasing the amount of man-hours of labour usage or fingerling stock at the existing level of feeding in order to move the variables (feed consumption and pond size) to stage 2 of the production process. Again, this result is in conso-nance with the findings of Ogundari et al. (2006), who reported a return to scale of 0.841 in a study of aquaculture in Oyo State, Nigeria.

Conclusion

It was shown in this study that catfish farmers in Kaduna were not efficient in their use of production resources. While labour and fingerlings were under-utilized, pond size was over-utilized and catfish feed grossly over-utilized. This result is further confirmed by the positive decreasing return to scale as evidenced by the return to scale estimate, indicating that catfish production in the study area is still in stage 2 of the production process. This suggests the existence of intervention points by relevant stakeholders in the current production techno-logy of catfish farmers in the study area. Such effort would aid in the transfer of more economically efficient production technologies that would enhance the current level of efficiency of catfish farmers in the study area. Such interventions include special funding targeted towards the development of cheaper alternative sources of production inputs like catfish feed and construction of

economic-size ponds.

REFERENCES

CEPA (2003). Short Course on Production Economics, Productivity and Efficiency Measurement. Center for Efficiency and Productivity Analysis (CEPA). New England. p. 634.

Doll JP, Orazem F (1978). Production Economics, Theory with Applications. Grid, Inc. Indiana-ola, Columbus, pp. 8-46.

Emokaro CO, Erhabor PO (2006a). Efficiency of Resource-use in Cassava Production in Edo State, Nigeria. J. Agric. For. Soc. Sci. 4(1): 22-29.

FAO (2003). Fishery Intensification in Small Water Bodies: A Review of North America. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper no 33, Rome Italy.

FAO (2007). State of World Fisheries & Aquaculture http://www.fao.org/fi/statist/fisoft/fishplu.asp.

FMAWR (2008). National Programme for Food Security. Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Water Resources (FMA&WR), Abuja, p. 107.

Henderson JM, Quandt RE (1980). Micro Economic Theory; a Mathematical Approach. Third ed. McGraw-Hill Book Co., pp. 65, 107, 120, 322.

Oladeebo JO, Ambe-Lamidi AI (2007). Profitability, Input Elasticities and Economic Efficiency of Poultry Production among Youth Farmers in Osun State, Nigeria. Int. J. Poult Sci. 6(12): 994-998.

Olayemi JK (1998). Elements of Applied Econometrics. Elshaddai Global Ventures Ltd, Ibadan, 5: 190-215.

Ogundari K, Ojo SO, Brummer B (2006). Productivity Potential and Technical Efficiency of Aquaculture Procuction in Alleviating Poverty: Empirical Evidence from Nigeria. J. Fish. Int. 1(1-2): 21-26.

Sankhayan P1 (1988). Introduction to the Economics of Agricultural Production. Prentice-Hall of India Private Ltd New Delhi, p. 87.

Spencer D (2002). The Future of Agriculture in Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia: Whither the Small Farm? Sustainable Food Security for all by 2020; Proceedings of an International Conference, September 4-6, 2001, Bonn Germany. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington D.C., USA, pp. 107-109.

Utomakili JB (1992). An Estimation of Production Function and Marginal Productivities of the Fish Farming Industry. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Prt. Ltd. J. Aqua. Trop. pp. 125-130.