Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research June 2020; 19 (6): 1313-1320 ISSN: 1596-5996 (print); 1596-9827 (electronic) © Pharmacotherapy Group, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Benin, Benin City, 300001 Nigeria.

> Available online at http://www.tjpr.org http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/tjpr.v19i6.28

Review Article

Targeting of protein expression in renal disease using siRNA – A review

Manal Ali Buabeid¹, Nihal Abdalla Ibrahim¹, Zelal Jaber Kharaba^{2,3}, Muhammad Ihtisham Umar⁴, Ghulam Murtaza^{4*}

¹Department of Clinical Sciences, College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences, Ajman University, Ajman 346, ²Department of Clinical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, Al Ain University of Science and Technology, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, ³Honorary Associate Lecturer, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle Upon Tyne, United Kingdom, ⁴Department of Pharmacy, COMSATS University Islamabad, Lahore Campus, Pakistan

*For correspondence: Email: gmdogar356@gmail.com; Tel: +92-314-2082826; Fax: +92-992-383441

Sent for review: 16 October 2019

Revised accepted: 19 May 2020

Abstract

The kidneys have rarely been used as a target in the systemic delivery of siRNA when compared to other tissues or organs in the body. This review article deals with various modalities adopted to deliver siRNA to the renal system under different normal and pathophysiological states. In this article, the authors have reviewed extensive clinical data that describe the use of siRNA for the treatment of renal diseases. Conventional and 3D modeling utilizes the existing genome-based RNA libraries, which facilitated the identification of molecular pathways involved in renal diseases.

Keywords: siRNA, kidney disease, targeting proteins, signal pathways

This is an Open Access article that uses a fund-ing model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/read), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited.

Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research is indexed by Science Citation Index (SciSearch), Scopus, International Pharmaceutical Abstract, Chemical Abstracts, Embase, Index Copernicus, EBSCO, African Index Medicus, JournalSeek, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), African Journal Online, Bioline International, Open-J-Gate and Pharmacy Abstracts

INTRODUCTION

Since its exploration, RNA interference (RNAi) has been studied in biological and molecular researches more as an experimental tool in gene transfer [1]. This approach deals with the sequencing of mRNA by using double-stranded RNA that suppresses translation. It leads to reduced expression of target proteins. The libraries of short interfering RNA (siRNA) are the best source for disease identification and targeting [2]. The researchers use siRNA in the therapy of kidney transplants, various clinical diseases, including diabetic nephropathy and glomerular diseases [4]. The kidney is a good

candidate for renal disease treatment because of its uptake of siRNA is rapid, which results in reduced targeted protein expression [5].

siRNA-based research is advantageous in studying the pathological signaling pathways without influencing the interactive pathways. This area of research is particularly advantageous in healing a pathology and preventing new damage to the kidney.

In recent experiments carried out on animals, the focus has been on systemic delivery and the therapeutic potential of RNAi in the treatment of renal diseases and injuries.

© 2020 The authors. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

RNA interference (RNAi)

The mode of action of RNAi is pre-requisite for the understanding of its biomedical applications. As far as its mechanism is concerned, small fragments of double-stranded RNA are cleaved by ribonuclease and fixed with argonaute-2 for the unwinding of both strands of siRNA. Argonaute-2 is an RNA-provoked silencing complex (RPSC). Then, the RPSC degrades mRNA selectively [6]. After cleaving each mRNA, the RPSC begins a novel cascade that starts mRNA splintering that may last for several days or weeks. This approach is useful for the prevention of protein synthesis and any permanent effect [7].

In vivo study of siRNA, effects revealed that siRNA degradation exerts an inhibitory effect on protein expression [8]. The formation of short hairpin RNA begins with the separation of sense and antisense sequences via a non-coding loop under the effect of plasmid DNA, which contains 21 sense and antisense nucleotides. Self-binding of complementary nucleotide sequence and folding of RNA leads to the formation of regular short hairpin RNA (shRNA). At the same time, loop domain cleavage results in the formation of regular siRNA. The synthetic siRNA this formed is then directly loaded on to RPSC after injection into cells. The siRNA comprising 25-27 nucleotides, having been subjected to Dicer processing, exhibit an improved potency, and have a longer-lasting gene silencing effect than the 21-nucleotide siRNAs [9]. It results in the emergence of various off-target effects of siRNA that induces the inflammatory response, activates the angiogenic response, and regulates the non-targeted protein [10]. The immune system recognizes double-stranded DNA as an antigen and initiates an immunogenic response [11].

How can naked siRNA be delivered to the kidney?

One of the major concerns of using siRNA techniques *in vivo* is an effective knockdown at the target site. Moreover, the anticipated outcomes could be affected by unexpected toxicities. Several studies have revealed the effectiveness of siRNA in local drug delivery tools [11]. However, the current difficulties in system delivery need more work for their resolution. For systemic administration, along with spleen and liver, the kidney is a preferred site. It is preferred because nephrons readily absorb siRNA, and the kidneys play an important role in excretion from the body (Table 1).

Administration through intravascular route

The intravenous route is useful for the administration of exogenous nucleotides. For instance, a study described the efficient delivery of plasmid DNA through a hydrodynamic injection into the tail vein [12].

Several studies have described the introduction of siRNA via the intravenous route. However, the values of renal targeting rate varied significantly among these studies, probably, due to the use of different transfection reagents. A similar study described the systematic treatment of proximal tubule for proximal multidrug resistance protein isoform 2 (Mrp2) by using 150 µl single dose of siRNA. As a result of this application, siRNA underwent rapid renal distribution and revealed a reduction in Mrp2 activities after four days. The kidney eliminated a radiolabel substance at a higher rate than the radiolabelled siRNA [5]. A study reported the treatment of ischemiareperfusion injury of mice by using Zag proteins. The siRNA as a dilute saline solution (200 µl) was injected daily for one week through the retroorbital sinus, and the seventh-day aroused with the knock-down of the renal proteins [13].

Additionally, the hydrodynamic delivery of siRNA necessitated the use of a large volume of intravenous solution. The volume used in hydrodynamic delivery was 10% of body weight. It was much higher than the recommended mean volume of intravenous solution in the standard protocol, i.e., 50-100 µl. The increase in volume caused a transient capillary dysfunction, which caused the exposure of parenchymal tissue cells to siRNA. Furthermore, hepatotoxicity was another drawback of hydrodynamic delivery of siRNA [14]. This modality has the excellent potential of delivering siRNA to the kidney through glomerular filtration.

In various models used in the studies with mice, the hydrodynamic approach resulted in fast uptake of siRNA from the tubular lumen. In hydrodynamic delivery, the first action resulted in flush, and its alternation with the mentioned solution at the stated intervals resulted in the knockdown [15]. The animal model studies have revealed the use of tissues containing siRNA in heart transplant [16].

A comparative study disclaimed the uptake of fluorescent-labeled siRNA when administered via rectal and intraperitoneal routes. However, when administered hydrodynamically, fluorescent detection was significantly improved [17]. Though these studies facilitate the evaluation of siRNA targeting and uptake by tissue, such studies are not useful for assessing target protein concentrations. It also showed that the high workload placed on the kidneys due to the overload of siRNA during these procedures might cause renal dysfunction [18].

Administration through routes other than intravenous

Several routes are beneficial for the intrarenal and localized delivery of siRNA. The renal artery and renal vein are advantageous for targeting glomeruli [19] and tubular interstitium [15], respectively. *In vivo*, electroporation is useful for enhancing siRNA delivery. According to a previous study, the use of electroporation to enhance the intra-arterial administration of a transgenic construct in rats led to siRNA expression in mesangial cells [21]. The siRNA administration through renal artery induced mild ischemia, which caused temporary occlusion of the renal artery. This outcome diminished vulnerability to neurological deficits due to reduced blood flow to the brain [22].

The intrapelvic injection in the renal medulla resulted in a transgenic expression that influenced the tubular epithelium. However, the inner region of the medulla did not exhibit such effects. An intraurethral injection of DNA enzyme resulted in the transgenic expression in the interstitial cells [23].

The subcapsular administration to kidney requires a surgical procedure, which adversely affects renal function. There are certain limitations to this technique. For example, there is leakage of a small fraction of the administered fluid via the puncture hole after needle retraction.

Cargo of siRNA

The injected naked siRNA deplete target proteins. An experimental study involving greenfluorescent protein (GFP)-transgenic mice revealed that the administered siRNA diminished the renal protein expression [24], likely due to nuclease-based degradation of siRNA [8]. It is feasible to deliver siRNA to cells without using additional carriers. However, the efficiency of siRNA delivery can be enhanced by encapsulating it or making its complex with transfection reagents [4].

Use of transfection reagents

Transfection agents having lipid nature and bearing positive charge have been used to enhance the siRNA uptake by tubular epithelium. Also, liposomes promisingly deliver the biochemical agent. DOTAP liposomal transfection reagent is a promising agent for renal delivery of siRNA [25].

Target	Concentration/Dose of siRNA	Volume of siRNA (μL)	Route of administration	Method of transfection	Reference
Glomerulus	50 µg	Not given	Renal artery	Electroporation, Phosphate buffered saline	19
Glomerulus	50 µg	500	Renal artery	Electroporation, Phosphate buffered saline	75
Tubules	50 µg	100	Renal vein	Phosphate buffered saline	15
Not specified	20 µg	200	Renal vein	DOTAP	17
Not specified	0.1 mg/kg	2500	Renal vein	Phosphate buffered saline	66
Tubules	0.33 mg/kg	300	Intravenous	Phosphate buffered saline	63
Not specified	20 µg	200	Intravenous	Phosphate buffered saline	18
Not specified	50 µg	200	Retro-orbital sinus	Phosphate buffered saline	13
Not specified	7 nmol	150	Intravenous	Phosphate buffered saline	5
Tubules	50 µg	1500	Intravenous	Phosphate buffered saline	24
Tubules	50 µg	50	Intraurethral vein	Phosphate buffered saline	20

Table 1: The literature on siRNA targeting

Besides, 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphatidylcholine (DOCP) is a natural liposome that promotes siRNA uptake by different organs, including liver, kidney, and lungs [26]. The researchers have developed a novel agent, named as lipofectamine, to improve siRNA efficacy.

An injection containing 300 µl of the siRNAlipofectamine complex was administered via a renal vein and observed the animal for 30 min to study the tubular uptake of siRNA [26]. Another study revealed that the complex of siRNA with polyethyleneimine (PEI) not only reduced siRNA degradation but also enhanced the siRNA uptake, especially into the cytoplasm [27]. Several studies have revealed the biodegradation of naked siRNA in the kidney. The degradation of the siRNA-PEI complex occurred in the renal tubules and allowed the release of siRNA [28]. A critical advantage of the PEI-siRNA complex is the endosomal disruption it causes, which facilitates the infusion of siRNA in the cytoplasm [29].

A copolymer of poly-L-Lysine and polyethylamine effectively delivered siRNA to a target site, resulting in an effective uptake of siRNA by renal cells [4]. Another strategy for the delivery of siRNA to specific cells is antibody-based targeting. For instance, complexes of IgG with different agents such as siRNA and neutravidin. Based on the findings acquired from this study, there was strong binding of the antibody with podocytes, but not with tubular cells that caused the glomerular-sclerotic injury.

Protein knockdown is an indicator of this specific targeting. Different tissues of the body, such as the liver, intestinal, muscles, and spleen, exhibited the presence of the antibodies that could be used in specific targeting [30]. Streptavidin-antibody conjugates undergo complex formation with siRNA. This complex showed better performance in vivo than other delivery systems, including PEGylation-based siRNA liposomes, antibody-siRNA-cationic lipid complex, and siRNA-protamine complex [31].

On the other hand, biodegradable hydrogels promisingly deliver siRNA [32] as well as can carry plasmid DNA and siRNA for their prolonged release [33]. For the transdermal/topical delivery of siRNA, electroporation-based approaches have also been adopted [34]. Electroporation is a good technique for the swift delivery of siRNA. It enhances siRNA uptake and knockdown of targeted proteins in glomeruli [3]. However, electroporation stimulates the stress signaling of cells [35]. Thus, an alternative is a sonoporation to enhance siRNA uptake [36]. Studies have described a successful delivery of siRNA across the skin by using other approaches such as ultrasound-enhanced nucleotides. Still, this approach was not effective for siRNA delivery to the kidney [37].

Use of siRNA derivatives

The phosphodiester bond of a naked siRNA is sensitive to nucleases in the blood cells or serum. Chemically-altered siRNA is more stable and brings about improvement in renal targeting [38]. Chemical modification of phosphodiesters gives protection against the serum nucleases and exonucleases [39]. This modification is because of the replacement of nonbridging oxygen and prolonged half-life [40]. The in vitro investigations have revealed that phosphorothioate has low toxicity but high distribution after systemic administration; however, it proved toxic in the kidney because of some characteristic proteins [41]. The linkage of phosphorothioate with siRNA is generally resistant to enzymatic breakdown that is responsible for its greater accumulation in various sites, including skin and kidney [38]. In an experiment with mice, complexing with lipids resulted in an increase in cellular uptake of siRNA, accumulation in certain organs, and prolongation of half-life [42].

Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are expressed in the tubular epithelium and undergo binding with RNA. In a viral infection, TLRs induce characteristic signals [42], which activate immune response and degradation by endonucleases [43]. As a result of the modification, the obtained siRNA exhibited higher resistance to nucleases as compared to the unmodified form. However, the modified and unmodified siRNA showed a comparable potential to activate immune response [44].

The complexes of siRNA with polyethylamine (PEG) not only suppressed the immunogenic response but also improved stability of siRNA against nucleases, likely due to its readily soluble nature [45]. It resulted in a prolonged half-life due to PEGylation, which increased water-insolubility of siRNA, reduced its immunogenicity, and also helped in the formation of micelles for targeted delivery of drugs to the receptors [6].

Locked nucleic acids (LNAs) constitute a new category of nucleic acids that comprise a backbone having sugar and phosphate. Their synthesis is quite easy. The important characteristics of LNAs are their water solubility, the capability to produce a duplex with specific

RNAs after combining with RNA and DNA, enhanced degradation by serum nucleases, and increased expression of their related target proteins [7].

Meanwhile. morpholino oligonucleotide or nonionic DNA analog neither was affected by serum nucleases nor acted as an RNase substrate. Also, these analogs obstructed the expression of the glomerular protein in zebrafish embryos [4] as well as clogged the growth of microRNA and its activities but at low concentrations remain active for longer periods as compared to unmodified siRNA. Further, the off-target effects of siRNA are insignificant in targeting the kidney due to its improved stability after modification of MO and LNA to siRNA. Additionally, chemically-modified siRNA is steadily cleaved by the exonucleases produced by Caenorhabditis elegans [39].

Use of viral vector delivery shRNA

Vectors having shRNA-encoded expression cassettes such as plasmid DNA (pDNA) have been found as an alternative to siRNA administration [19] and employed for delivering a load to the nucleus to join transcription. The synthesis of pDNA vectors requires more time in comparison with siRNA synthesis. On the other hand, viral vectors are more efficient, owing to their greater stability [5]. Medullary epithelium, glomeruli, and tubule exhibited transgenic expression after adenoviral delivery in rats. Besides, severe toxicity limited its further use [23].

Adeno-associated virus (AAV) exhibited different immunogenicity than the adenoviral vector [9]. Thus, their transgenic expression was also different from one another [6]. A similar study described the delivery of recombinant AAV to the intrapelvic area of the kidney. It resulted in the transgene expression in epithelium and the medullary area [25].

AAV-2 is useful for the delivery of shRNA, especially to target the mineralocorticoid receptor. This process induced significant downregulation of the receptor, up to three weeks after infection. It prevented the loss of renal function in a rat model for hypertension-induced kidney injury. Tropism features could be modified by retargeting AAV by pseudotyping. Kinoshita and Hynynen (2005) described the AAV-2 delivery of shRNA. Their findings showed that the mineralocorticoid receptors were significantly down-regulated up to three weeks after inducing infection [36]. Lentiviral vectors could transfect various dividing and non-dividing cells by genomic integration. The pseudotyped lentivirus successfully delivered a gene through the urethra in mice. The function of this gene was to increase GFP to tubular epithelial cells [15]. In the course of renal transplantation, an undesired vital gene region appeared as a result of lentivirus-induced gene slicing [18].

Renal disease therapy using siRNA

Treatment of acute renal injury

The reactive oxygen species or apoptosis cause renal injury, thus, stress mediators are targeted in siRNA delivery. One of the crucial proinflammatory agents is Nf-kB. It infiltrates renal immune cells and induces acute renal injury leading to the secretion of various proinflammatory cytokines [5]. In this context, the intravenously administered siRNA significantly ameliorates the nephrotoxicity [36]. Additionally, apoptosis could be induced by infiltrated cytotoxic T cells in the kidney donor during the post-transplantation phase. Another study has described similar findings as a result of siRNA injection into a local renal vein of rat's kidney, likely due to C3 inhibition by siRNA. It resulted in reduced renal reperfusion and ischemia [7].

Treatment of chronic renal diseases

Chronic renal complications (CRCs) include allograft dysfunction, glomerulosclerosis, and diabetic nephropathy. One of the most prevalent CRCs is tubulointerstitial compartment fibrosis [2] that is caused by transforming growth factors [23]. According to previous studies, fibrosis [44] and glomerular matrix deposition [3] were significantly reduced by siRNA. The kidneys produce antibodies, which induce glomerulosclerosis in mice [5].

Assessment of siRNA libraries for *in vitro* identification of target

In genomic studies, high throughput screening procedures mainly depend on pathway-specific siRNA libraries. Where, *in vitro*, pre-screening is useful for establishing *in vitro* target leads, which could be useful for the prediction of signal pathway association with renal injury *in vivo*. The combined knowledge of cell image analysis with siRNA knockdown screens is valuable for the identification of the complex signal pathway association [19,35]. However, such types of studies require the optimized and programmed sample and data management.

Selection of model

The use of diseased animal models in the *in vitro* experiments has eased the procedures to attain the *in vivo*-related extrapolation. Previous studies have reported the screening of apoptosis-related proteins by assessing cell viability or cascade activity. Several studies in current times have reported the use of image screening modalities using live-cells [31,40].

Assessment of altered morphology

Monolayer cell cultures are largely useful in *vitro* cell studies. The native tissue stimulation is needed to enhance the interaction and differentiation of normal cells [29]. The *in vivo* modeling needs a 3D culture environment for the tubulogenesis or morphogenesis. The studies have described an improvement in RNAi screening by using such 3D cultures [1,29]. Furthermore, shRNA-based viral delivery is better than a naked siRNA-based knockdown, where a prolonged duration of culture is a pre-requisite for complex morphogenesis.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Renal targeting by siRNA is relatively easier than targeting other tissues, such as muscles, brain, or tumor cells. Though PEGylation seems to not be very effective for targeted delivery to the tubules, likely due to its low level of immunogenic potential and its barrier property to nucleasemediated degradation. Based on these features, siRNA could potentially be more valuable for managing kidney dysfunction. The siRNA, particularly its modified form, could be evidence used to target renal epithelium and glomerulus since the modification of siRNA improves its renal uptake. The siRNA is not only useful for targeting specific tissues but also obstructing various signaling routes. Furthermore, the study of siRNA and shRNA in the 3D culture environment is useful for the assessment of in vivo functions of new drug candidates.

DECLARATIONS

Conflict of interest

No conflict of interest is associated with this work.

Contribution of authors

We declare that this work was done by the authors named in this article and all liabilities pertaining to claims relating to the content of this article will be borne by the authors.

Open Access

This is an Open Access article that uses a funding model which does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0) and the Budapest Open Access Initiative (http://www.budapestopenaccessinitiative.org/rea d), which permit unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited.

REFERENCES

- Fire A, Xu S, Montgomery MK, Kostas SA, Driver SE, Mello CC. Potent and specific genetic interference by double-stranded RNA in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature 1998; 391: 806–811.
- Simpson KJ, Selfors LM, Bui J, Reynolds A, Leake D, Khvorova A, Brugge JS. Identification of genes that regulate epithelial cell migration using a siRNA screening approach. Nat Cell Biol 2008; 10: 1027–1038.
- Xie P, Sun L, Oates PJ, Srivastava SK, Kanwar Y.S. Pathobiology of renal specific oxidoreductase/Myoinositol oxygenase in diabetic nephropathy: its implications in tubulointerstitial fibrosis. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 2010; 298: F1393–F1404.
- 4. Akhtar S, Benter IF. Nonviral delivery of synthetic siRNAs in vivo. J Clin Invest 2007; 117: 3623–3632.
- van de Water FM, Boerman OC, Wouterse AC, Peters JG, Russel FG, Masereeuw R. Intravenously administered short interfering RNA accumulates in the kidney and selectively suppresses gene function in renal proximal tubules. Drug Metab Dispos 2006; 34: 1393– 1397.
- 6. Siomi H, Siomi MC. On the road to reading the RNAinterference code. Nature 2009; 457: 396–404.
- Racz Z, Hamar P. Can siRNA technology provide the tools for gene therapy of the future? Curr Med Chem 2006; 13: 2299–2307.
- Layzer JM, McCaffrey AP, Tanner AK, Huang Z, Kay MA, Sullenger BA. In vivo activity of nuclease-resistant siRNAs. RNA 2004; 10: 766–771.
- Kim DH, Behlke MA, Rose SD, Chang MS, Choi S, Rossi JJ. Synthetic dsRNA Dicer substrates enhance RNAi potency and efficacy. Nat Biotechnol 2005; 23: 222– 226.
- Sledz CA, Holko M, de Veer MJ, Silverman RH, Williams BR. Activation of the interferon system by shortinterfering RNAs. Nat Cell Biol 2003; 5: 834–839.
- Chen PY, Weinmann L, Gaidatzis D, Pei Y, Zavolan M, Tuschl T, Meister G. Strand-specific 5'-O-methylation of siRNA duplexes control guide strand selection and targeting specificity. RNA 2008; 14: 263–274.
- Kobayashi N, Kuramoto T, Yamaoka K, Hashida M, Takakura Y. Hepatic uptake and gene expression mechanisms following intravenous administration of

Trop J Pharm Res, June 2020; 19(6): 1318

plasmid DNA by conventional and hydrodynamicsbased procedures. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2001; 297: 853–860.

- Schmitt R, Marlier A, Cantley LG. Zag expression during aging suppresses proliferation after kidney injury, J Am Soc Nephrol 2008; 19: 2375–2383.
- Budker VG, Subbotin VM, Budker T, Sebestyen MG, Zhang G, Wolff JA. Mechanism of plasmid delivery by hydrodynamic tail vein injection. II. Morphological studies. J Gene Med 2006; 8: 874–888.
- Hamar P, Song E, Kokeny G, Chen A, Ouyang N, Lieberman J. Small interfering RNA targeting Fas protects mice against renal ischemia-reperfusion injury. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004; 101: 14883–14888.
- 16. Zheng X, Lian D, Wong A, Bygrave M, Ichim TE, Khoshniat M, Zhang X, Sun H, De Zordo T, Lacefield JC, Garcia B, Jevnikar AM, Min W.P. Novel small interfering RNA-containing solution protecting donor organs in heart transplantation. Circulation 2009; 120: 1099-1107.
- Larson SD, Jackson LN, Chen LA, Rychahou PG, Evers BM. Effectiveness of siRNA uptake in target tissues by various delivery methods, Surg 142; 2007: 262–269.
- Mukai H, Kawakami S, Hashida M. Renal press-mediated transfection method for plasmid DNA and siRNA to the kidney. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2008; 372: 383–387.
- Takabatake Y, Isaka Y, Mizui M, Kawachi H, Shimizu F, Ito T, Hori M, Imai E. Exploring RNA interference as a therapeutic strategy for renal disease. Gene Ther 2005; 12: 965–973.
- Xia Z, Abe K, Furusu A, Miyazaki M, Obata Y, Tabata Y, Koji T, Kohno S. Suppression of renal tubulointerstitial fibrosis by small interfering RNA targeting heat shock protein 47. Am J Nephrol 2008; 28: 34–46
- Tsuji M, Isaka Y, Nakamura H, Imai E, Hori M. Electroporation-mediated gene transfer that targets glomeruli. J Am Soc Nephrol 2001; 12: 949–954.
- Park KM, Chen A, Bonventre JV. Prevention of kidney ischemia/reperfusion-induced functional injury and JNK, p38, and MAPK kinase activation by remote ischemic pretreatment. J Biol Chem 2001; 276: 11870–11876.
- Nakamura H, Isaka Y, Tsuji M, Rupprecht HD, Akagi Y, Ueda N, Imai E, Hori M. Introduction of DNA enzyme for Egr-1 into tubulointerstitial fibroblasts by electroporation reduced interstitial alpha-smooth muscle actin expression and fibrosis in unilateral ureteral obstruction (UUO) rats. Gene Ther 2002; 9: 495–502.
- Wesche-Soldato DE, Chung CS, Lomas-Neira J, Doughty LA, Gregory SH, Ayala A. In vivo delivery of caspase-8 or Fas siRNA improves the survival of septic mice. Blood 2005; 106: 2295–2301.
- Hassan A, Tian Y, Zheng W, Ji H, Sandberg K, Verbalis J.G. Small interfering RNA-mediated functional silencing of vasopressin V2 receptors in the mouse kidney. Physiol Genomics 2005; 21: 382–388.

- Sioud M, Sorensen DR. Cationic liposome-mediated delivery of siRNAs in adult mice. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2003; 312: 1220–1225.
- Jere D, Jiang HL, Arote R, Kim YK, Choi YJ, Cho MH, Akaike T, Cho C.S. Degradable polyethyleneimine as DNA and small interfering RNA carriers, Expert Opin Drug Deliv 2009; 6: 827–834.
- Malek A, Merkel O, Fink L, Czubayko F, Kissel T, Aigner A. In vivo pharmacokinetics, tissue distribution and underlying mechanisms of various PEI(-PEG)/siRNA complexes. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 2009; 236: 97–108.
- Akinc A, Thomas M, Klibanov AM, Langer R. Exploring polyethyleneimine- mediated DNA transfection and the proton sponge hypothesis. J Gene Med 2005; 7: 657– 663.
- Liu B. Exploring cell type-specific internalizing antibodies for targeted delivery of siRNA. Brief Funct Genomic Proteomic 2007; 6: 112–119.
- Xia CF, Boado RJ, Pardridge WM. Antibody-mediated targeting of siRNA via the human insulin receptor using avidin-biotin technology. Mol Pharm 2009; 6: 747–751.
- Krebs MD, Jeon O, Alsberg E. Localized and sustained delivery of silencing RNA from macroscopic biopolymer hydrogels. J Am Chem Soc 2009; 131: 9204–9206.
- Luten J, van Nostrum CF, De Smedt SC, Hennink W.E. Biodegradable polymers as non-viral carriers for plasmid DNA delivery. J Control Rel 2008; 126: 97–110.
- Nakai N, Kishida T, Shin-Ya M, Imanishi J, Ueda Y, Kishimoto S, Mazda O. Therapeutic RNA interference of malignant melanoma by electrotransfer of small interfering RNA targeting Mitf. Gene Ther 2007; 14: 357–365.
- 35. Chiarella P, Massi E, De Robertis M, Sibilio A, Parrella P, Fazio VM, Signori E. Electroporation of skeletal muscle induces danger signal release and antigen-presenting cell recruitment independently of DNA vaccine administration, Expert Opin Biol Ther 2008; 8: 1645– 1657.
- Kinoshita M, Hynynen K. A novel method for the intracellular delivery of siRNA using microbubbleenhanced focused ultrasound. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2005; 335: 393–399.
- Negishi Y, Endo Y, Fukuyama T, Suzuki R, Takizawa T, Omata D, Maruyama K, Aramaki Y. Delivery of siRNA into the cytoplasm by liposomal bubbles and ultrasound. J Control Release 2008; 132: 124–130.
- Shukla S, Sumaria CS, Pradeepkumar PI. Exploring chemical modifications for siRNA therapeutics: a structural and functional outlook. ChemMedChem 2009; 5: 328–349.
- Sands H, Gorey-Feret LJ, Ho SP, Bao Y, Cocuzza AJ, Chidester D, Hobbs F.W. Biodistribution and metabolism of internally 3H-labeled oligonucleotides. II. 3',5'-blocked oligonucleotides. Mol Pharmacol 1995; 47: 636–646.
- Geary RS. Antisense oligonucleotide pharmacokinetics and metabolism, Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 2009; 5: 381–391.

- Akhtar S, Hughes MD, Khan A, Bibby M, Hussain M, Nawaz Q, Double J, Sayyed P. The delivery of antisense therapeutics. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2000; 44: 3–21.
- Juliano RL, Alahari S, Yoo H, Kole R, Cho M. Antisense pharmacodynamics: critical issues in the transport and delivery of antisense oligonucleotides. Pharm Res 1999; 16: 494–502.
- Anders HJ, Banas B, Schlondorff D. Signaling danger: toll-like receptors and their potential roles in kidney disease. J Am Soc Nephrol 2004; 15: 854–867.
- 44. Robbins M, Judge A, MacLachlan I. siRNA and innate immunity. Oligonucleotid 2009; 19: 89–102.
- 45. Henry S, Stecker K, Brooks D, Monteith D, Conklin B, Bennett CF. Chemically modified oligonucleotides exhibit decreased immune stimulation in mice. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2000; 292: 468–479.