Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research October 2013; 12 (5): 711-718 ISSN: 1596-5996 (print); 1596-9827 (electronic) © Pharmacotherapy Group, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Benin, Benin City, 30001 Nigeria. All rights reserved.

> Available online at http://www.tjpr.org http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/tjpr.v12i5.8

**Original Research Article** 

## Enhancing the Production of a Novel Exopolysaccharide by *Bacillus mucilaginosus* CGMCC5766 Using Statistical Experiment Design

## Hui Li<sup>1</sup>, Jie Li<sup>1</sup>, Wenfang Dou<sup>1</sup>, Jinsong Shi<sup>1\*</sup> and Zhenghong Xu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Engineering, School of Pharmaceutical Science, Jiangnan University, <sup>2</sup> The Key Laboratory of Industrial Biotechnology, Ministry of Education, Jiangnan University, Wuxi 214122, PR China

\*For correspondence: Email: shijs@163.com; Tel: +86-510-85328177; Fax: +86-510-85328177

Received: 18 October 2012

Revised accepted: 25 July 2013

### Abstract

**Purpose:** To improve the production of a novel exopolysaccharide (EPS) by Bacillus mucilaginosus CGMCC5766.

**Methods:** The culture medium for production of EPS was optimized using statistical experiment design. Sucrose,  $CaCO_3$  and  $K_2HPO_4$  were found to be the key factors based on the results obtained from Plackett–Burman experimental design. The optimal concentration range of the three factors was examined by the steepest ascent path, and then the optimum concentration was further investigated through response surface methodology. Validation experiments were also carried out to establish the adequacy and accuracy of the model obtained.

**Results:** Under optimal conditions (sucrose 61.31 g/l, CaCO<sub>3</sub> 5.06 g/l and K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> 0.17 g/l), EPS concentration achieved 22.04 g/l, which was about 1.6-fold increase compared with that using the original medium. EPS concentration in 5-I fermenter reached 23.46  $\pm$  0.76 g/l.

**Conclusion:** The optimization medium is considered fundamental and useful for the development of *B*. mucilaginosus CGMCC5766 cultivation process for efficient production of EPS on a large scale.

Keywords: Bacillus mucilaginosus CGMCC5766, Exopolysaccharide, Medium, Optimization, Statistical experiment design

Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research is indexed by Science Citation Index (SciSearch), Scopus, International Pharmaceutical Abstract, Chemical Abstracts, Embase, Index Copernicus, EBSCO, African Index Medicus, JournalSeek, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), African Journal Online, Bioline International, Open-J-Gate and Pharmacy Abstracts

## INTRODUCTION

Microorganism exopolysaccharides (EPSs) are biopolymers which either attach to the cell surface or are excreted in the extracellular medium in the form of amorphous slime [1]. Many microorganisms have been shown to produce EPS e.g., *Xanthomonas campestris* [2], *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [3], *Sphingomonas paucimobilis* [4], *Streptococcus zooepidemicus* [5], *Haloferax mediterranei* [6]. Due to their many interesting physical and chemical properties, microbial EPS are also widely used in several fields such as food, coating materials, medicine, concrete additives, enhanced oil recovery and wastewater treatment as a suspending, stabilizing, emulsifying, thickening agent and flocculants [1]. There has been growing interest in novel microbial polysaccharides with improved properties.

It has also been found that *Bacillus mucilaginosus* can secrete an EPS which may be used as a bioflocculant in wastewater treatment to remove various contaminants [7]. Although it has been several years since EPS from *B. mucilaginosus* was first discovered, the process for the production has not been thoroughly

studied. Many researchers have focused their efforts on the characteristics and the applications of EPS from *B. mucilaginosus* [8, 9, 10]. However, there are very few reports concerning culture medium and process parameters optimization. Moreover, the high cost and low yields of bioflocculant are the major factors limiting the development of bioflocculants for commercial use in wastewater treatment. Thus, it is also important to reduce the costs of the medium as this may affect the overall process economics.

Statistical experiment design and data analysis have been applied successfully for optimizing the medium constituents and other critical variables responsible for the production of EPS [11-13]. Response surface methodology (RSM) is a powerful statistical tool for testing multiple process variables. This methodology could overcome the limitations of single-parameter optimization, which is both time-consuming and cannot assess the complex interactions among the various physico-chemical parameters [14]. Prior to optimization using RSM, Plackett-Burman (P-B) design has been frequently used for screening the key factors in recent years [15, 16]. It is successfully used for optimization studies in several biotechnological and industrial processes [17, 18].

In this study, a novel exopolysaccharide bioflocculant was produced by *B. mucilaginosus* CGMCC5766 which was isolated from a mountainous district of Shandong in China. Its average molecular weight was about 2.299×10° Da. The chemical components and primary structure of the obtained EPS was studied by GC, FTIR, NMR methods during our previous study [19]. The results indicated that EPS was a hetero-polysaccharide constituted by mannose, glucose and galactose at a molar ratio of 2:1.6:1. Its backbone was composed of glucose through β-glycosidic linkage while the lateral branches were composed of mannose and galactose by αglycosidic linkage. Up to now, a related structure has not been reported. Promising results of preliminary research showed that EPS is efficient in flocculating inorganic solid suspensions, heavy metal ions, dyes solution and other synthetic suspensions in many types of wastewaters. To improve the production of EPS, the culture medium for fermentation of EPS from B. mucilaginosus CGMCC5766 was studied. The optimization of the medium was carried out by means of the P-B experimental design, the path of steepest ascent and response surface methodology.

## EXPERIMENTAL

#### Bacterium

*B. mucilaginosus* CGMCC5766 was isolated from a mountainous district in Shandong, China. A specimen was deposited in the China General Microbiological Culture Collection Center.

#### Medium and cultivation conditions

The medium for agar slant consisted of 10 g/l sucrose, 0.1 g/l (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 0.2 g/l K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.2 g/l NaCl, 0.2 g/l MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 2 g/l CaCO<sub>3</sub> and 20 g/l agar. The seed medium contained 10 g/l sucrose, 0.1 g/l urea, 0.2 g/l K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.2 g/l NaCl, 0.2 g/l MgSO<sub>4</sub> and 5 g/l CaCO<sub>3</sub>. The original fermentation medium included 30 g/l sucrose, 0.1 g/l urea, 0.2 g/l K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.2 g/l NaCl, 0.2 g/l MgSO<sub>4</sub> and 5 g/l CaCO<sub>3</sub>. The original fermentation medium included 30 g/l sucrose, 0.1 g/l urea, 0.2 g/l K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.2 g/l NaCl, 0.2 g/l MgSO<sub>4</sub> and 5 g/l CaCO<sub>3</sub>. The initial pH was adjusted to 7.0–7.2 by 2 mol/l HCl throughout the work.

For seed preparation, a loop of *B. mucilaginosus* CGMCC5766 cells was inoculated into 30 ml of sterile seed medium in 250-ml Erlenmeyer flasks and incubated for 24 h at 30 °C with shaking at 200 rpm. Inoculum (5%, v/v) was transferred into 500-ml Erlenmeyer flasks containing 50 ml of fermentation medium whose composition was varied based on the experimental designs and aerobically incubated for 66 h at 30 °C and 200 rpm in a rotatory shaker. The initial pH was adjusted to 7.0-7.2 by 2 mol/l HCl. All medium were autoclaved for 20 min at 121 °C. Each experiment was repeated thrice and the mean value was calculated.

#### Analytical methods

The optical density (OD) of the microbial cell was measured at 600 nm in a spectrophotometer (UV-1800, Mapada, China). The sucrose concentration was analyzed using HPLC methods (U5000, Dionex, USA) with Cosmosil packed column sugar-D (250 x 4.6 mm, Phenomenex, USA) using a refractive index detector. The mobile phase was acetonitrile and water in the ratio of 75:25 (%) at a flow rate of 1 ml/min at 30 °C. The pH level was measured using fermenter inductors. The EPS concentration was determined as follows: the viscous culture broth (50 ml) was diluted with four volumes of distilled water and centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 30 min at 4 °C to separate the cells from the polysaccharide. The supernatant was precipitated using 10 ml of isopropanol and the precipitate was dissolved in 0.8-0.9 % NaCl solution, reprecipitated in isopropanol and dried

#### Li et al

| Table 1: Factors and their levels for P-B e | perimental design and the statist | ical analysis of factors |
|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|

| Factor            | Symbol                          | Low value (g/l) | High value (g/l) | Coefficient | Standard<br>error | <i>P</i> -value     |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Sucrose           | X <sub>1</sub>                  | 30              | 60               | 2.03        | 0.41              | 0.0026 <sup>a</sup> |
| Urea              | X <sub>2</sub>                  | 0.1             | 0.5              | 0.42        | 0.41              | 0.2590              |
| CaCO₃             | X <sub>3</sub>                  | 1               | 5                | 2.68        | 0.41              | 0.0006 <sup>a</sup> |
| MgSO <sub>4</sub> | $X_4$                           | 0.2             | 0.6              | 0.46        | 0.41              | 0.2501              |
| NaCl              | X5                              | 0.2             | 0.6              | -0.97       | 0.41              | 0.0560              |
| $K_2HPO_4$        | $X_6$                           | 0.2             | 0.6              | -1.72       | 0.41              | 0.0058 <sup>a</sup> |
| Dummy1-5          | X <sub>7</sub> -X <sub>11</sub> | -               | -                | -           | -                 | -                   |

 $X_i$ 

 $R^2 = 0.9431$ ; <sup>a</sup> statistically significant at 95 % confidence level.

to a constant weight at 60 °C under partial vacuum.

#### P-B design

In order to determine which nutrients had a significant effect on EPS production, P–B design was used. Based on the P–B design, each factor was examined at two levels: -1 for a low level and +1 for a high level. Table 1 shows the factors under investigation as well as levels of each factor used in the experimental design. Five dummy factors (Dummy 1-5) were used to estimate the experimental error and check the adequacy of the first-order model. The mean of the EPS concentration obtained was taken as the response. Factors with p<0.05 were considered to influence EPS production significantly.

#### Path of steepest ascent method

The path of steepest ascent is a procedure for moving sequentially along the path of steepest ascent to the region of the optimum for the direction of the maximum increase in the response [20]. Based on the results of the P–B experimental design, the optimal level scope of each selected factor was examined by means of path of steepest ascent method. This point could be used as center point for optimization.

## Central composite design and response surface methodology

Central composite design and response surface methodology was employed to optimize the three most significant factors (sucrose, CaCO<sub>3</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>) for increasing EPS production, screened by P–B design. These three independent factors were studied at five different levels (-1.68, -1, 0, +1 and +1.68) selected on the basis of our preliminary experimental work that indicated an optimum could be reached within these ranges. The variables were coded according to the regression equation Eq 1.

$$=\frac{X_i - X_0}{\Delta X_i} \qquad i=1,2,\dots,k \qquad (1)$$

In Eq 1, xi is the coded independent factor, Xi is the real independent factor, X0 is the value of Xi at the center point and  $\Delta$ Xi is the step change value.

Sixteen experiments were carried out with each at five levels (Table 2) in flasks. Experimental data were fitted to a second-order polynomial model and regression coefficients were obtained. The model equation for the analysis is given by:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1,2,...,k} \beta_i x_i + \sum_{i=1,2,...,k} \beta_{ii} x_i^2 + \sum_{i=1,2,...,k} \beta_{ij} x_i x_j$$
(2)

where Y is the response variable,  $\beta_0$  is the constant,  $\beta_i$  is the coefficient for the linear effect,  $\beta_{ij}$  is the coefficient for the quadratic effect,  $\beta_{ij}$  is the coefficient for the interaction effect, and  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  are the coded independent factors. The quadratic equation (Eq 2) was used to plot surfaces for the variables.

#### Data analysis

Design Expert software package (version 8.05b) and Statistic (version 8.0, STATEASE Inc., used Minneapolis, USA) were for the experimental designs and regression analysis of the experimental data. The statistical analysis of the model was performed by analysis of variance (ANOVA). The significance of the regression coefficients and the associated probabilities P(t) were determined by Student's ttest; The variance explained by the model is given by the multiple determination coefficient,  $R^2$ .

#### **Batch fermentation in 5-I fermenter**

To confirm the above predictions, further experiments were performed comparing the optimized medium in this work and an original medium used for the production of EPS by *B. mucilaginosus* CGMCC5766. 5-I fermenter was

|     | Sucrose (g/l)         | CaCO₃ (g/l)    | K₂HPO₄ (g/l)   | EPS (g/l)  |           |
|-----|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|------------|-----------|
| Run | <b>X</b> <sub>1</sub> | X <sub>3</sub> | X <sub>6</sub> | Observed   | Predicted |
| 1   | 55.0                  | 4.50           | 0.10           | 18.34±0.45 | 18.32     |
| 2   | 55.0                  | 4.50           | 0.30           | 15.14±0.40 | 15.69     |
| 3   | 55.0                  | 5.50           | 0.10           | 19.26±0.50 | 19.58     |
| 4   | 55.0                  | 5.50           | 0.30           | 16.05±0.30 | 16.58     |
| 5   | 65.0                  | 4.50           | 0.10           | 19.10±0.40 | 19.34     |
| 6   | 65.0                  | 4.50           | 0.30           | 18.83±0.50 | 19.29     |
| 7   | 65.0                  | 5.50           | 0.10           | 19.33±0.54 | 19.56     |
| 8   | 65.0                  | 5.50           | 0.30           | 18.35±0.42 | 19.15     |
| 9   | 51.6                  | 5.00           | 0.20           | 17.04±0.45 | 16.59     |
| 10  | 68.4                  | 5.00           | 0.20           | 20.27±0.55 | 19.61     |
| 11  | 60.0                  | 4.16           | 0.20           | 19.00±0.65 | 18.64     |
| 12  | 60.0                  | 5.84           | 0.20           | 20.33±0.52 | 19.58     |
| 13  | 60.0                  | 5.00           | 0.03           | 20.05±0.48 | 19.96     |
| 14  | 60.0                  | 5.00           | 0.37           | 18.42±0.53 | 17.40     |
| 15  | 60.0                  | 5.00           | 0.20           | 21.56±0.45 | 21.81     |
| 16  | 60.0                  | 5.00           | 0.20           | 21.87±0.47 | 21.81     |

Table 2: Experimental design and results of the central composite design

Table 3: Experimental design and corresponding production response of EPS

| Dum | v          | v          | v  | v          | v          | v          | v          | v          | v          | v               | v           | EPS (g/l)  |           |
|-----|------------|------------|----|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| Run | <b>A</b> 1 | <b>A</b> 2 | Λ3 | <b>A</b> 4 | <b>A</b> 5 | <b>A</b> 6 | <b>A</b> 7 | <b>^</b> 8 | <b>A</b> 9 | A <sub>10</sub> | <b>A</b> 11 | Observed   | Predicted |
| 1   | 1          | 1          | -1 | 1          | 1          | 1          | -1         | -1         | -1         | 1               | -1          | 10.56±0.23 | 9.65      |
| 2   | -1         | 1          | 1  | -1         | 1          | 1          | 1          | -1         | -1         | -1              | 1           | 9.87±0.20  | 10.96     |
| 3   | 1          | -1         | 1  | 1          | -1         | 1          | 1          | 1          | -1         | -1              | -1          | 12.95±0.15 | 14.42     |
| 4   | -1         | 1          | -1 | 1          | 1          | -1         | 1          | 1          | 1          | -1              | -1          | 6.87±0.10  | 6.51      |
| 5   | -1         | -1         | 1  | -1         | 1          | 1          | -1         | 1          | 1          | 1               | -1          | 8.59±0.18  | 8.43      |
| 6   | -1         | -1         | -1 | 1          | -1         | 1          | 1          | -1         | 1          | 1               | 1           | 8.51±0.15  | 7.54      |
| 7   | 1          | -1         | -1 | -1         | 1          | -1         | 1          | 1          | -1         | 1               | 1           | 9.61±0.14  | 10.56     |
| 8   | 1          | 1          | -1 | -1         | -1         | 1          | -1         | 1          | 1          | -1              | 1           | 9.56±0.16  | 9.06      |
| 9   | 1          | 1          | 1  | -1         | -1         | -1         | 1          | -1         | 1          | 1               | -1          | 20.80±0.52 | 20.39     |
| 10  | -1         | 1          | 1  | 1          | -1         | -1         | -1         | 1          | -1         | 1               | 1           | 15.20±0.22 | 13.81     |
| 11  | 1          | -1         | 1  | 1          | 1          | -1         | -1         | -1         | 1          | -1              | 1           | 19.04±0.45 | 18.45     |
| 12  | -1         | -1         | -1 | -1         | -1         | -1         | -1         | -1         | -1         | -1              | -1          | 9.17±0.15  | 10.98     |

filled with 3 l of production medium and sterilized at 121  $^{\circ}$ C for 15 min. It was inoculated with 5% (V/V) of 16-h-old culture. The fermenter was operated at 30  $^{\circ}$ C and agitation was shifted from 200 to 600 rpm for a constant aeration of 1.0 volume of air per volume of liquid per minute (vvm).

#### RESULTS

#### P-B experimental design

To optimize the culture medium in the fermentation of EPS by *B. mucilaginosus* CGMCC5766, the components of the medium were examined. Previous studies indicated that the factors such as Na<sup>+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup> and phosphate might have important effects on the production of EPS during the fermentation of *B. mucilaginosus* [9,14]. In addition to these factors, others including urea and Ca<sup>2+</sup> were also investigated in

our experiment. The most important nutrient factors were screened by applying the P–B design as described in Table 3. Each independent factor was tested at two levels, a high (+1) and a low (-1) level and twelve trials were carried out. Based on the analysis by design expert, a first-order model could be obtained from the regression results of P–B experiment:

The regression coefficients and determination coefficient ( $R^2$ ) for the linear regression model of EPS production are presented in Table 1. The  $R^2$ -value was 0.9431 which indicated that the model was reasonable for the P–B design. Statistical analysis of the data showed that only sucrose, CaCO<sub>3</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> had confidence levels above 95% (P < 0.05) and were considered to influence EPS production

*Trop J Pharm Res, October 2013;12 (5):* 714

significantly (Table 1). The other components in the media showed no significant influence EPS production for confidence levels below 95%. Therefore, the three nutrient components of sucrose, CaCO<sub>3</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> were selected for further optimization with RSM.

#### The path of steepest ascent

Based on the first-order model equation obtained and regression results, we know that sucrose  $(X_1)$ , CaCO<sub>3</sub>  $(X_3)$  and K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>  $(X_6)$  were significant factors. Furthermore, the equation (3) shows that the coefficients of  $X_1$  and  $X_3$  are positive (+2.03 and +2.68, respectively) while the coefficient of X<sub>6</sub> was negative (-1.72), which suggests that the increase in concentrations of sucrose and  $CaCO_3$  and the decrease in concentration of K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> could have positive effect on the production of EPS. To search the proper direction to alter the levels of these three factors with the other factors fixed at zero level, the path of the steepest ascent was employed (data not shown). The results indicated that the concentration of EPS was highest when the concentrations of sucrose, CaCO3 and K2HPO4 were selected at 60 g/l, 5.0 g/l and 0.2 g/l, respectively. This shows that this level for each of the three factors was near the region of maximum EPS production response.

#### **Optimization of EPS production with RSM**

Based on the results of P–B design, response surface methodology using central composite design (CCD) was employed to determine the optimal levels of the three selected factors (Sucrose, CaCO<sub>3</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>), and a 3-factor-5level CCD with sixteen experiments was carried out (Table 2). A second-order polynomial function was fitted to the experimental EPS yield, resulting in the following regression equation:

```
\begin{array}{l} Y=\!21.810\!+\!0.897X_1\!+\!0.279X_3\!\!-\!0.762X_6\!\!-\!1.311X_1^2\!\!-\\ 0.953X_3^2\!\!-\!1.105X_6^2\!\!-\!0.260X_1X_3\!\!+\!0.645X_1X_3\!\!-\!0.09X_3X_6 \\ \textbf{(4)} \end{array}
```

where Y is the predicted EPS production, and  $X_1$ ,  $X_3$  and  $X_6$  are coded values of sucrose, CaCO<sub>3</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> concentrations, respectively.

The statistical significance of equation (4) was checked by F-test and the ANOVA for the second-order polynomial is summarized in Table 4. If the F-test for the model is significant at p < p0.05, the model fits and can adequately account for the variation observed. The smaller p-value suggests that the corresponding variable was more significant. As shown in Table 5, the Pvalues for  $X_1$  and  $X_6$  were much less than 0.05, which indicated that sucrose and K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> are more significant for the EPS production. Moreover, the P-value of  $X_1X_6$  (0.0761) was near 0.05, which indicates that the interaction of sucrose and K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> is significant, namely, they are synergistic in influencing the EPS production. Furthermore, the goodness of the model could be checked by the determination coefficient  $R^2$ , which was calculated to be 0.9150, indicating that 91.50 of the variability in the response could be explained by the model [21]. The p-value for lack of fit (0.1831) implied the lack of fit was not significant relative to the pure error.

Figs 1a-c illustrate the 3D response surface curves of EPS production for each pair of parameters by keeping the third factor constant at its zero level. These 3D plots and their respective contour plots provide a visual interpretation of the interaction between two factors and facilitated the identification of the optimum experimental conditions [22]. Based on the response surface analysis, the predicted maximum concentration of EPS was 22.04 g/l at the optimal concentrations of sucrose, CaCO<sub>3</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> were 61.31 g/l, 5.06 g/l and 0.17 g/l,

| Source                        | SS     | DF | MS     | F      | Р      |
|-------------------------------|--------|----|--------|--------|--------|
| X <sub>1</sub>                | 10.992 | 1  | 10.99  | 228.76 | 0.0420 |
| X <sub>3</sub>                | 1.07   | 1  | 1.07   | 22.20  | 0.1331 |
| X <sub>6</sub>                | 7.92   | 1  | 7.92   | 164.87 | 0.0495 |
| $X_1^2$                       | 15.91  | 1  | 15.91  | 331.13 | 0.0350 |
| $X_3^2$                       | 8.42   | 1  | 8.42   | 175.26 | 0.0480 |
| $X_6^2$                       | 11.32  | 1  | 11.32  | 235.61 | 0.0414 |
| X <sub>1</sub> X <sub>3</sub> | 0.54   | 1  | 0.54   | 11.25  | 0.1844 |
| X <sub>1</sub> X <sub>6</sub> | 3.33   | 1  | 3.33   | 69.27  | 0.0761 |
| X <sub>3</sub> X <sub>6</sub> | 0.06   | 1  | 0.06   | 1.35   | 0.4526 |
| Residual error                | 4.08   | 6  | 0.68   |        |        |
| Lack of fit                   | 4.04   | 5  | 0.81   | 16.80  | 0.1831 |
| Pure error                    | 0.0481 | 1  | 0.0481 |        |        |
| Total SS                      | 48.06  | 15 |        |        |        |

Table 4: ANOVA for the second-order polynomial model

 $R^2 = 0.9150$ ; SS = sum of squares; DF = degrees of freedom' and MS = mean square.

Li et al



**Fig 1:** Response surface curve for EPS production by *B. mucilaginosus* CGMCC5766 showing the interaction between (a) Sucrose and CaCO<sub>3</sub>, (b) Sucrose and K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> and (c) CaCO<sub>3</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>.

respectively, which was about 1.6-fold increase compared with that using the original medium  $(14.05\pm0.25 \text{ g/l})$ . In order to verify the predicted results, an experiment was performed with the optimized medium in flasks. As a result,  $22.35\pm0.42 \text{ g/l}$  EPS was obtained which suggested that experimental values of EPS production were in close agreement with the model prediction.

# Time course of EPS production in 5-I fermenter

Fermentation was scaled up from flasks to 5-I fermenter. Under the optimum conditions, the concentration of EPS was achieved  $23.46\pm0.76$  g/l, which was higher than the original medium (16.50  $\pm$  0.52 g/l) in 5-I fermenter. And an increase of approximately 42.2 % of EPS production was reached, which further proved the usefulness of the P–B design and RSM as optimization techniques to improve the production of EPS.

#### DISCUSSION

To optimize the culture medium in the fermentation of EPS by B. mucilaginosus CGMCC5766, the components of the medium were examined. P-B experimental design shows that sucrose, CaCO<sub>3</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> are the main influencing factors for EPS production. It should be mentioned that the concentration of CaCO<sub>3</sub> in the medium was higher than the nitrogen source. In our preliminary step, the biomass and EPS production of B. mucilaginosus CGMCC5766 were tested in the medium without CaCO<sub>3</sub>. It was found that without CaCO<sub>3</sub>, the biomass was very low and the secretion of EPS was limited, i.e., 0.46 g/l. However, when  $CaCO_3$  was added, the biomass and EPS production were notably increased. Similar results were found in xanthan fermentation [23]. It is noted that CaCO<sub>3</sub> might play different roles in cell growth and polysaccharide biosynthesis. Calcium is essential for many microbial species, for maintaining cell wall rigidity, stabilizing protein conformations and facilitating signal communication [24] as well as

*Trop J Pharm Res, October 2013;12 (5): 716* 

balancing the pH of the culture medium [25]. Thus, increasing  $CaCO_3$  concentration in a suitable range will be helpful for cell growth, resulting in increased biomass and polysaccharide production.

## CONCLUSION

RSM was successfully employed to optimize the medium components for EPS production from B. mucilaginosus CGMCC5766. The statistical methodology, combination of P-B design with CCD was a reliable tool to optimize EPS production. Compared with the original culture medium, EPS concentration was increased from 14.05±0.25 g/l to 22.35±0.42 g/l after optimizing medium components. The fermentation under optimized condition was also investigated in 5-I fermenter, resulting in a further enhancement of the EPS concentration to 23.46±0.76 g/l. The optimization medium is considered fundamental useful for the development of and В. mucilaginosus CGMCC5766 cultivation process for efficient production of EPS on a large scale.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was financially supported by the National High-Tech R&D Program (No. 2011AA02A211), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 31300026), the Nature Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (No. BK2012117) and "Twelfth Five-Year" National Science and Technology Support Program (No. 2012BAD33B06). Hui Li and Jie Li contributed equally to this work.

#### REFERENCES

- Kumar AS, Mody K, Jha B. Bacterial exopolysaccharides-a perception. J Basic Microbiol 2007; 47:103–117.
- Becker A, Katzen F, Puhler A, lelpi L. Xanthan gum biosynthesis and application: a biochemical/genetic perspective. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 1998; 50:145–152.
- Bayer AS, Eftekhar F, Tu J, Nast CC, Speert DP. Oxygen-dependent up-regulation of mucoid exopolysaccharide (alginate) production in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Infect Immun 1990; 58:1344–1349.
- Sa'-Correia I, Fialho AM, Videira P, Moreira LM, Marques AR, Albano H. Gellan gum biosynthesis in Sphingomonas paucimobilis ATCC 31461: genes, enzymes and exopolysaccharide production engineering. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 2002; 29: 170–176.
- Duan XJ, Li Y, Xu Z, Tan WS. Effect of oxygen and shear stress on molecular weight of hyaluronic acid produced by Streptococcus zooepidemicus. J Microbiol Biotechnol 2008; 18: 718–724.
- Parolis H, Parolis LA, Boán IF, Rodríguez-Valera F, Widmalm G, Manca MC, Jansson PE, Sutherland IW. The structure of the exopolysaccharide

produced by the halophilic archaeon Haloferax mediterranei strain R4 (ATCC 33500). Carbohydr Res 1996; 295: 147–156.

- Malinovskaya <sup>I</sup>M, Kosenko LV, Votselko SK, Podgorskii VS. Role of Bacillus mucilaginosus polysaccharide in degradation of silicate minerals. Microbiology 1990; 59: 49–55.
- Chen Y, Lian B. Study on the flocculability of chromium ion by Bacillus mucilaginosus GY03 strain. Pedosphere 2005; 15: 225–231.
- Deng SB, Bai RB, Hu XM, Luo Q. Characteristics of a bioflocculant produced by Bacillus mucilaginosus and its use in starch wastewater treatment. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2003; 60: 588–593.
- Lian B, Chen Y, Zhao J, Teng HH, Zhu LJ, Yuan S. Microbial flocculation by Bacillus mucilaginosus: applications and mechanism. Bioresour Technol 2008; 99: 4825–4831.
- Arockiasamy S, Banik RM. Optimization of gellan gum production by Sphingomonas Paucimobilis ATCC 31461 with nonionic surfactants using central composite design. J Biosci Bioeng 2008; 105: 204– 210.
- Malinowska E, Krzyczkowski W, Lapienis G, Herold F. Improved simultaneous production of mycelial biomass and polysaccharides by submerged culture of Hericium erinaceum: optimization using a central composite rotatable design (CCRD). J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 2009; 36: 1513–1527.
- Wang YX, Lu ZX. Statistical optimization of media for extracellular polysaccharide by Pholiota squarrosa (Pers. ex Fr.) Quel. AS 5.245 under submerged cultivation. Biochem Eng J 2004; 20: 39–47.
   He J, Zhen Q, Qiu N, Liu Z, Wang B, Shao Z, Yu Z.
- 14. He J, Zhen Q, Qiu N, Liu Z, Wang B, Shao Z, Yu Z. Medium optimization for the production of a novel bioflocculant from Halomonas sp. V3a' using response surface methodology. Bioresour Technol 2009; 100: 5922–5927.
- Plackett RL, Burman JP. The design of optimum multifactorial experiments. Biometrika 1946; 33: 305–325.
- Tanyildizi SM, Ozer D, Elibol M. Optimization of aamylase production by Bacillus sp. using response surface methodology. Process Biochem 2005; 40: 2291–2296.
- Gao J, Xu H, Li Q, Feng X, Li S. Optimization of medium for one-step fermentation of inulin extract from Jerusalem artichoke tubers using Paenibacillus polymyxa ZJ-9 to produce R,R-2,3-butanediol. Bioresour Technol 2010; 101: 7087–7093.
- Chen XC, Ba, JX, Cao JM, Li ZJ, Xiong J, Zhang L, Hong Y, Ying HJ. Medium optimization for the production of cyclic adenosine 3',5'monophosphate by Microbacterium sp. no. 205 using response surface methodology. Bioresour Technol 2009; 100: 919–924.
- Li J, Dou WF, Li Hui, Shi JS, Xu ZH, Li HZ. The preparation and rheological properties of the exopolysaccharides produced by Bacillus mucilaginous SM – 01. Food and Fermentation Industries 2013; 39: 1–5.
- 20. Box GEP, Hunter JS, Hunter WG. Statistics for experimenters: design, innovation, and discovery. New York: John Wiley and Sons; 1978.
- Li Y, Lu J, Gu G, Mao Z. Characterization of the enzymatic degradation of arabinoxylans in grist containing wheat malt using response surface methodology. J Am Soc Brew Chem 2005; 63: 171–176.
- Li H, Xu H, Li S, Feng XH, Ouyang PK. Optimization of exopolysaccharide welan gum production by Alcaligenes sp. CGMCC2428 with Tween-40 using response surface methodology. Carbohydr Polym 2012; 87: 1363–1368.
- 23. Umashankar H, Annadurai G, Chellapandian M,

*Trop J Pharm Res, October 2013;12 (5): 717* 

Krishnan MR. Influence of nutrients on cell growth and xanthan production by Xanthomonas campestris. Bioprocess Biosyst Eng 1996; 14: 307–309.

24. Maccio D, Fabra A, Castro S. Acidity and calcium interaction affect the growth of Bradyrhizobium sp.

and attachment to peanut roots. Soil Biol Biochem 2002; 34: 201–208.

25. Hongo M, Nomura Y, Iwahara M. Novel method of lactic acid production by electrodialysis fermentation. Appl Environ Microbiol 1986; 52: 314–319.