## STRANGULATED APPENDIX IN A HERNIAL SAC

I. G. SCHRAIBMAN, formerly Registrar, Leicester General Hospital, Leicester, England

The appendix is notoriously variable in its position, and its presence in a hernial sac, while uncommon, is within the personal experience of most surgeons.

Garland,1 with transatlantic brevity, applied the term femoral appendicitis' to an inflamed appendix in a femoral ternia. This nomenclature could be extended as in inguinal ppendicitis (indirect and direct), obturator appendicitis, and crotal appendicitis, all of which have been described. The ondition has unaccountably no eponymous association.

Of all cases of appendicitis, 0.13% occur in hernial sacs;2 while the appendix is found in 0.77% of hernial sacs.3 Some ernial appendices are uncomplicated; the rest may present with two complications, viz. acute appendicitis or strangulaion of the appendix.

It is interesting to note that the first recorded appendicecomy was one in a hernial sac, performed by Claudius Amvand of St. George's Hospital, London, in 1735.4 Many of the early appendicectomies were only incidental in that, being superficially situated in a hernial sac, they presented with suppuration or obvious swelling, and the exact diagnosis was made only at operation.

The following case is presented because it combines the leatures of an ectopic appendix in an indirect inguinal ternial sac, complicated by strangulation, in a neonate.

## CASE REPORT

P.M.J., a 3-week-old male infant, was admitted to hospital on 10 May 1960 with a mass in the right groin for nine hours. The irst symptom noted by the mother was repeated vomiting the ight before admission. There was no constipation or passage f blood per anum.

The child was a full-term normal infant of 61 lb. birth weight. abour had lasted 62 hours and a pitocin drip was necessary. ince birth it had been breast fed.

On examination, the general condition was good; the abdomen as soft and not distended and the only positive finding was a inse mass in the position of a complete right indirect inguinal ernia.

Un er general anaesthesia ('fluothane', gas and oxygen via endotracheal tube-Dr. F. Sambrook) the hernia was aproached through an oblique inguinal incision. As soon as the tternal ring was opened, the contents of the hernia could be seen iding back into the abdomen, so that the external ring must ave been the constricting agent. The sac was opened forthwith nd a quantity of yellowish, turbid, but not offensive, fluid escaped. he only contents were an oedematous, congested, plum-coloured pendix and the apex of the caecum which had undergone similar langes (Fig. 1).

The appendix was removed, but the stump was ligated and not Verted, because of the oedema of the caecal wall. The hernial c was isolated and transfixed and the transversalis fascia was



Fig. I. Shows the darkened appendix and caecum presenting through the right inguinal incision.

plicated with two No. 60 thread sutures medial to the internal ring. Apart from a mild wound infection, recovery was uneventful.

## DISCUSSION

The diagnosis can be made pre-operatively. As Reif<sup>5</sup> pointed out, if the primary complication is acute inflammation, abdominal symptoms will precede those localized to the site of the hernia, but when the hernial strangulation is primary, the abdominal signs will succeed the local ones. In a fair number, the diagnosis will not be made, but fortunately this is not of significance, for direct surgical attack is indicated in any case.

Reference to the literature reveals only 2 cases occurring at an earlier age than the one reported here. Reif<sup>5</sup> reported a gangrenous appendix in a scrotal hernia in a 2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-week-old child, and Beattie<sup>6</sup> described a strangulated appendix in an inguinal hernia in a premature infant of 3 weeks of age, 5 weeks before it was due to be born!

I should like to thank Mr. G. C. Sawyer for allowing me to treat and report this case.

## REFERENCES

- Garland, E. A. (1955): J. Indiana Med. Assoc., 48, 1292. Ryan, W. J. (1937): Ann. Surg., 106, 135. Rohmer, E. J. (1945): Bull. N.Y. Med. Coll., 8, 32. Creese, P. G. (1953): Surg. Gynec. Obstet., 97, 643. Reif, H. A. (1950): J. Urol., 64, 783. Beattie, W.(1953): Brit. Med. J., 2, 1216.
- 2:
- 4.
- 6