

EDITORIAL

EDITORIAL

SENTINEL MALARIA SURVEILLANCE — MORE THAN A RESEARCH TOOL

The monotonic increase in South African malaria cases, with an over 100-fold increase in notifications during the past three decades, from 364 notified cases in 1971 to 51 433 cases in 1999, has elicited consternation throughout the public health sector.1 Although this dramatic increase is clearly multifactorial, resulting from the effects of meteorological changes, human parasite-carrier migratory patterns, resistance of a mosquito vector (Anopheles arabiensis) to synthetic pyrethroid insecticides and an inconsistent notification system, the contribution of ineffective first-line malaria therapy should not be underestimated. The situation in KwaZulu-Natal (KZN) deserves particular mention, given this province's increasing proportional contribution to the national malaria burden. A recent dramatic increase in case numbers in KZN and anecdotal reports from clinic staff of patients returning with recurrence of symptoms within 2 weeks of treatment with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (SP) raised the alarm that the province might be in the grip of high-grade malaria parasite (Plasmodium falciparum) resistance to SP. Earlier results of a small 1996 hospital-based study found a 23.5% RI/RII/RIII parasitological failure rate on SP therapy (Medical Research Council — unpublished data). Although this finding was inconclusive because of the possibility of referral selection bias, it should have prompted an urgent, through evaluation of the effectiveness of SP therapy. The establishment of a sentinel surveillance site in KZN could have addressed this need.

Resistance of P. falciparum to antimalarial drugs is a serious impediment to controlling malaria.24 P. falciparum resistance to chloroquine was first reported in Africa in 1979, while clinical evidence of P. falciparum resistance to chloroquine emerged in South Africa during the mid-1980s.58 Despite resistance, chloroquine is often still used in areas of stable malaria because of the additive effect of host immune factors resulting in clinical but not parasitological cure. In South Africa, however, where the majority of the population resident in malaria areas are unlikely to enjoy any immunity, the risk of rapid progression to severe disease and even death necessitates effective first-line therapy that will rapidly eliminate P. falciparum and effect a parasitological cure. Thus the findings of in vitro tests demonstrating high levels of chloroquine resistance in KZN and Mpumalanga, and a striking increase in positive follow-up smears after chloroquine therapy in Mpumalanga, from 1.7% in 1990 to 16.7% in 1995, demanded confirmation by a carefully conducted in vivo evaluation.9-13

Following examples in other countries, Mpumalanga established sentinel site at Naas and Mangweni health centres in their most affected malaria districts for collecting in vivo resistance data. A standardised chloroquine in vivo study, based on the World Health Organisation (WHO) protocol, with 28day follow-up, was conducted at these health centres during 1997.14 Unacceptable levels of RII/RIII (moderate to high level) parasitological failure (17.9%) and clinical failure (24%) were documented in Mpumalanga, with the total RI/RII/RIII parasitological failure rate being 48.4% (Freese J A, Report to the Department of Health). Similar patterns were found in Northern Province (RI/RII/RIII of 40%) and KZN (RI/RII/RII of 62.5%) during surveys of smaller numbers of patients. This catalysed a national policy change from chloroquine to SP for first-line malaria treatment, although KZN had already made this change a decade earlier in 1988.

The same sentinel sites in Mpumalanga were used to conduct an informative baseline in vivo SP resistance survey introduction of the change in first-line treatment.15 This evaluation, with 42-day follow-up, confirmed the efficacy of ?? first-line therapy and demonstrated slower resolution of clinical symptoms than parasite clearance. In addition, it raise important questions about the adequacy of the recommended SP dosage for adults exceeding 60 kg, and the viability of gametocytes found to peak 7 - 14 days after therapy. The results of the most recent evaluation conducted 2 years after ?? introduction at the same site in Mpumalanga (in this issue of the journal) confirm the continued efficacy of SP.16 This finding raises the possibility that SP usefulness may be extended through combination with artesunate, thus sustaining affordable therapy in Mpumalanga.17 This is necessary, since when used as monotherapy, resistance to SP has been shown o emerge more rapidly than resistance to chloroquine. Once high levels of SP resistance exist, few affordable treatment options

The discovery of high-level failure of SP in the treatment of uncomplicated malaria in KZN, found in the standardised *in vivo* study conducted at clinic level in KZN in this issue of the journal), confirms concerns regarding the effectiveness of SP in this province. More alarmingly, this has precluded the use of the SP-artesunate combination in KZN. Although the true impact of persistent use of failed SP first-line therapy during the recent past in KZN on morbidity, mortality, economic losses, malaria transmission and resultant public health expenditure cannot be accurately determined, the resulting crisis could have been circumvented had regular clinic-level sentinel surveillance been conducted.

Public health surveillance has many uses, the most well known being detection of epidemics, evaluation of control and prevention activities, detection of changes in health practice, quantitative estimates of the magnitude of health problems, and monitoring of changes in infectious agents, particularly the

968

EDITORIAL



evolution of drug resistance.¹⁹ Although the term 'surveillance' was initially restricted to the collection, analysis and dissemination of data and did not encompass direct responsibility for responding to findings, more recently the quality of surveillance has been judged by its capacity to provide 'data for action'.²⁰⁻²²

Sentinel surveillance encompasses those activities focused a monitoring key health indicators in the general population subgroups. The term sentinel is applied to health events, icluding cholera, malaria or maternal deaths, which provide a arning signal that the quality of preventive or therapeutic ealth services merits investigation.23,24 Sentinel surveillance so refers to specifically chosen sites, whether health facilities health providers, where data that are not routinely available e collected.25 Careful selection of sites allows for adequate sources, including experienced and dedicated personnel, for lecting detailed information on each case and providing reful follow-up. As the collection of data is the most costly and difficult component of any surveillance system, it is Esential that all elements to assure quality, reliability and iformity of data are in place.26 These include the ease of data ellection facilitated by clarity, simplicity and lack of abiguity of standardised forms and flow charts; well-defined se definitions; timeliness; mechanisms for preventing loss to low-up; and measures to motivate data collectors, including

In selecting a sentinel surveillance site, consideration must be a ven to a number of issues. These include the particular repose of surveillance, frequency of the health event curacy of sample estimate), available resources, feasibility, the need to generalise findings (external validity), duration (tends) and likely quality of data (internal validity). Use of hispitals or other sophisticated facilities may pose problems because of the selection bias that usually operates. However, hospitals are particularly valuable sites for tracking mortality trends or for detecting severe diseases that are almost inevitably admitted. 28,29

fedback, participation in planning and review, recognition and

her incentives.

South Africa has been tardy in recognising the potential value of sentinel sites. A number of malaria control programmes in other African and South-East Asian countries have an established tradition of assessing the efficacy of their first-line malaria therapeutic regimens at sentinel surveillance sites to guide public health policy. In recent years both Zambia and Malawi have altered their national malaria treatment policies on the basis of results from standardised *in vivo* studies conducted at sentinel clinics.^{30,31}

Malaria sentinel sites may serve additional valuable functions. In particular, their usefulness as an epidemiological early warning system for malaria epidemics is being increasingly realised. The alarm is triggered when monthly morbidity thresholds set for particular clinics are exceeded. 32.34

Mpumalanga has also harnessed the capacity developed at its sentinel sites to field test the accuracy and utility of rapid malaria diagnostic tests. ^{35,36} The seasonal nature of malaria transmission in South African creates the opportunity for using the capacity developed at these sites to the benefit of other public health programmes.

The need for a sentinel surveillance network as a prerequisite for epidemiological research and health planning in South Africa was mooted more than 60 years ago. This plea appears to have been vindicated by the high-quality drug efficacy information and data on the accuracy of diagnostic tests already collected at the malaria sentinel sites in South Africa that have facilitated major policy changes. The proposed plan to establish similar sites in KZN, Northern Province, Swaziland and Mozambique should therefore be vigorously pursued. However, the true value of these sites will not be measured by the volume of information they generate, but by the public health actions triggered.

D N Durrheim

Communicable Disease Control Mpumalanga Department of Health

B L Sharp

National Malaria Research Programme Medical Research Council,

K Barnes

Department of Pharmacology University of Cape Town

- Durrheim DN, Ogunbanjo GA, Blumberg L. Managing re-emergent malaria in South Africa. SA Fam Pract 1999; 21: 19-24.
- Marsh K. Malaria disaster in Africa. Lancet 1998; 352: 924.
- 3. Nchinda TC. Malaria: A reemerging disease in Africa. Emerg Infect Dis 1998; 4: 398-403.
- Wernsdorfer WH. The development and spread of drug-resistant malaria. Parasitology Today 1991; 7: 297-303.
- Fogh S, Jepson S, Effersoe P. Chloroquine-resistant Plasmodium falciparum in Kenya. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1979; 73: 228-229.
- 6. Kean BH. Chloroquine-resistant falciparum malaria from Africa. JAMA 1979; 241: 395-396.
- Bac DJ, Cox GA, Isaäcson M. In vivo and in vitro chloroquine-resistant malaria in South Africa. S Afr Med J 1985; 67: 937-938.
- Visagie NJ, Sieling WL. Chloroquine-resistant Plasmodium falciparum malaria in South Africa. S Afr Med J 1985; 68: 600-601.
- Freese JA, Sharp BL, Ngxongo SM, Markus MB. In vitro confirmation of chloroquine-resistant Plasmodium falciparium malaria in KwaZulu. S Afr Med J 1988; 74: 576-578.
- Freese JA, Markus MB, Golenser J. In vitro sensitivity of southern African reference isolates of Plasmodium falciparum to chloroquine and pyrimethamine. Bull World Health Organ 1991; 69: 707-712.
- Freese JA, Sharp BL, Rossouw EJ, Gous E, Fay SA, Markus MB. The in vitro sensitivity of southern African isolates of Plasmodium falciparum to amodiaquine, chloroquine, mefloquine, quinine and sulphadoxine/pyrimethamine. S Afr J Sci 1994; 90: 417-420.
- Deacon HE, Freese JA, Sharp BL. Drug-resistant Plasmodium falciparum malaria in the eastern Transvaal. S Afr Med J 1994; 84: 394-395.
- Kruger P, Durrheim DN, Hansford CF. Increasing chloroquine resistance: the Mpumalanga Lowveld story, 1990-1995. S Afr Med J 1996; 73: 280-281.
 World Health Organisation. Assessment of Therapeutic Efficacy of Antimalarial Drugs, for
- Uncomplicated Malaria in Areas with Intense Transmission. Geneva: WHO, 1996. WHO/Mal96. 1077.
- Govere JM, la Grange JJ, Durrheim DN, et al. Sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine effectiveness against Plasmodium falciparum malaria in Mpumalanga Province, South Africa. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1999; 93: 644.
- Mabuza A, Govere J, Durrheim D, Mngomezulu N, Bredenkamp B, Barnes K, Sharp B. Therapeutic efficacy of sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine in uncomplicated Plasmodium falciparium malaria 3 years after introduction in Mpumalanga. S Afr Med J 2001; 91: 975-978 (this issue).



969

- White NJ, Olliaro PL. Strategies for the prevention of antimalarial drug resistance: rational for combination chemotherapy for malaria. Parasitology Today 1996; 12: 10.
- Bredenkamp BLF, Sharp BL, Mthembu SD, Durrheim DN, Barnes KI. Failure of sulphadoxine-pyrimethamine in treating *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in KwaZulu-Natal. S Afr Med J 2001; 91: 970-972 (this issue).
- Teutsch SM, Thacker SB. Planning a public health surveillance system. Epidemiol Bull 1995; 16:
 1-6.
- Langmuir AD. The surveillance of communicable diseases of national importance. N Engl J Med 1963; 268: 182-192.
- 21. Giesecke J. Choosing diseases for surveillance. Lancet 1999; 353: 344.
- Thacker SB, Choi K, Brachman PS. The surveillance of infectious diseases. JAMA 1983; 249: 1181-1185.
- Rutstein DD, Mullan RJ, Frazier JM, Halperin WE, Melius JM, Sesito JP. Sentinel health
 events; a basis for physician recognition and public health surveillance. Am J Public Health
 1983; 73: 1054-1062.
- Durrheim DN, Fieremans S, Kruger P, Mabuza A, de Bruyn JC. Confidential inquiry into malaria deaths. Bull World Health Organ 1999; 77: 263-266.
- Woodall JP. Epidemiological approaches to health planning, management and evaluation.
 World Health Stat Q 1988; 41: 2-10.
- 26. Declich S, Carter AO. Public health surveillance. Bull World Health Organ 1994; 72: 285-304.
- Otten MW. Surveillance issues in developing countries. In: Teutsch SM, Churchill RE, eds. Principles and Practice of Public Health Surveillance. Oxford: Oxford University Press 1994: 245.
- Trape JF, Pison G, Preziosi MP, et al. Impact of chloroquine resistance on malaria mortality. Comptes Rendus de l'Academie des Sciences, Serie III 1998; 321: 689-697.
- Durrheim DN, Harris BN, Speare R, Billinghurst K. Hospital infection control nurses. Sentinels for outbreak surveillance. Bull World Health Organ 2001; 74: 22-27.
- Barat LM, Himonga B, Nkunika S, et al. A systematic approach to the development of a rational malaria treatment policy in Zambia. Trop Med Int Health 1998; 3: 535-542.
- World Health Organisation. Antimalarial Drug Policies: Data Requirements, Treatment of Uncomplicated Malaria and Management of Malaria in Pregnancy. Geneva: WHO, 1994. WHO/WAL/94.1070.
- Carrasquilla G, Banguero M, Sanchez P, et al. Epidemiologic tools for malaria surveillance in an urban setting of low endemicity along the Colombian Pacific coast. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2000; 62: 132-137.
- Albonico M, De Giorgi F, Razanakolona J, et al. Control of epidemic malaria on the highlands of Madagascar. Parassitologia 1999; 41: 373-376.
- Connor SJ, Thomson MC, Molyneux DH. Forecasting and prevention of epidemic malaria: new perspectives on an old problem. *Parassitologia* 1999; 41: 439-448.
- Durrheim DN, la Grange JJP, Govere J, Mngomezulu NM. Accuracy of a rapid immunochromatographic test for Plasmodium falciparum in a malaria control programme in South Africa. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1998; 92: 32-33.
- JJP la Grange, Durrheim DN, Govere J, Mngomezulu NM, Mabusa A. Field assessment of a combined immunochromatographic test for malaria diagnosis in Mpumalanga Province, South Africa. S Afr J Epidemiol Infect 1999; 14: 97-98.
- Gear HS. A plea for improved South African medical and vital statistics. S Afr Med J 1937; 11: 149-154.