Prevalence of Refractive Error in a Rural Ogoni Community in Southern Nigeria

AA Onua,¹ CN Pedro-Egbe,² S Babatunde³

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Braithwaite Memorial Specialists Hospital, Port Harcourt ²Department of Ophthalmology, University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital, Port Harcourt ³Department of Ophthalmology, University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital, Port Harcourt

³Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, University of Port Harcourt

Abstract

- **Background:** Refractive error, though not life-threatening, can negatively affect the quality of the socio-economic life of an individual, especially in a poor-resource setting.
- **Objective:** To determine the prevalence and pattern of the distribution of refractive error in Kegbara-Dere community in Gokana local government area of Rivers State, Nigeria.
- **Methods**: This study forms part of a baseline ocular health survey among the residents of Kegbara Dere (K-Dere), a community designated for community eye outreach in Gokana local government area of Rivers State, Nigeria. Visual acuity was tested outdoors using the Snellen chart and near vision with a near vision chart. Objective refraction was done in a darkened area with a streak retinoscope, and subjectively refined to determine the refractive status of the subject.

For this study, refractive error is defined as presenting vision < 6/6 and improved by one or more lines on the Snellen chart when looking through the pinhole or with the aid of a minimum of 0.25D lens. Myopia was diagnosed when the correction of the eye was more than minus 0.25DS; hypermetropia, when the correction of the eye was > + 0.25DS. All astigmatic refractions were recorded at their spherical equivalent.

- **Results:** The prevalence of refractive error was 28.5%. Of this, hypermetropia was seen in 19.2%, while myopia was seen in 9.3% of the cases. Most of the study population (71.5%) were emmetropic. The highest number of emmetropes and hypermetropes occurred in the 20-29 year age group, while most myopes were seen in the 50-59 year age group.
- **Conclusion:** Refractive error constitutes a significant cause of ocular morbidity in Kegbara community.

Key words: refractive error, rural community

INTRODUCTION

Refractive error is a common cause of impaired vision, and a leading cause of treatable blindness in many parts of the world,^{1,2,3} including Nigeria. Avoidable blindness, including that due to uncorrected refractive error, is one of the world's major ocular health problems.⁴

It is estimated that between 800 million and 2.3 billion people worldwide have errors of refraction.⁵ Severe refractive error accounts for about 8 million blind people, and about 90% of all people with uncorrected refractive error reside in low- and middle-income countries.^{6,7}

The prevalence of impaired vision due to uncorrected refractive error in a population-based study among Mexican Americans in Arizona, USA, was over 73%.⁸ In order to tackle problems of avoidable blindness, the World Health Organization, in collaboration with the International Agency for the Prevention of Blindness (IAPB) and non-governmental organizations (NGOs), launched 'Vision 2020: The Right to Sight' in 1998⁴ with the sole objective of eliminating avoidable blindness by the year 2020. Reports suggest that 5-25% of blindness in some countries is caused by refractive error, and as much as 4% of the population sees < 6/18 because of this condition.⁷ In Ghana, refractive error was present in 4.8% of the all hospital patients with visual impairment.²

The report of the Nigeria National Blindness and Low Vision Survey (2005-2007) showed that 61% of adults aged 40 years and above are visually impaired from uncorrected refractive error.⁶ Of these, 50% were moderately visually impaired, 10% had severe visual impairment, and 1% was blind.⁶ It was estimated that about 1.5 million Nigerian adults need spectacles for distant vision.⁶ Studies in Western Nigeria report a varied prevalence of refractive error, ranging from 37.3%-54.9%.^{9,10,11} In eastern Nigeria, the

^{*}Correspondence: Dr.Azubuike Alfred Onua, Department of Ophthalmology, Braithwaite Memorial Specialists Hospital, Port Harcourt, Nigeria • email: onuadr@yahoo.com

prevalence of refractive error ranges from 20.5% in Enugu¹² to 54.5% in Onitsha,¹³ though these are more urban settings.

In rural communities in Rivers State, Nigeria, refractive error was found to be the commonest cause of visual impairment, accounting for 32.1% of all cases of visual impairment.¹⁴ Similarly, a study in Ahoada-East LGA in Rivers State, also largely rural, reported a prevalence of 26.8%.¹⁵ This study aimed to describe the prevalence and pattern of refractive error in a rural Ogoni community in Southern Nigeria as part of a baseline assessment of ocular health, prior to the commencement of a community eye outreach by the University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital (UPTH).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was a household-based, cross-sectional study carried out between October and December 2009, among residents of Kegbara-Dere (K-Dere) community in Gokana local government area of Rivers State, Nigeria. K-Dere is one of the communities in the Ogoni ethnic nationality. The community was identified as the eye outreach post for training in the Department of Ophthalmology, UPTH. Participants were selected by simple random sampling from a list of households previously enumerated by the Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, University of Port Harcourt. All consenting participants had visual acuity (VA) tests done outdoors using Snellen chart (literate and tumbling 'E'-chart), and near vision was assessed with a near vision chart. Each eye was tested separately, first at 6 metres, and where the subject could not see 6/60, the test was repeated at 3 metres. The pin-hole was presented when VA was $\leq 6/9$. Objective refractions were done in a darkened area with the streak retinoscope (Heine Beta 200-Ce) and then a subjectively refined spherical equivalent. Data entry and analysis were done with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 16. Statistical significance was taken as P<0.05.

For this study, refractive error was defined as presenting vision < 6/6, and improved by one or more lines on the Snellen chart when looking through the pin-hole or with the aid of a minimum of 0.25D lens. Myopia was diagnosed when the correction of the eye was more than minus 0.25DS; hypermetropia, when the correction of the eye was more than plus 0.25DS. All astigmatic refractions were recorded at their spherical equivalent value.

Fundoscopy was carried out by the author with a direct ophthalmoscope in a chosen dark area. Where small pupils prevented a good view of the fundus, they were dilated with mydriacyl 0.5%. Subjects with prolonged dilated pupils were treated with topical pilocarpine and reassured before discharge. Ethical clearance for this study was obtained from the University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital Ethics Committee.

RESULTS/ DISCUSSION

A total of 1562 subjects (3124 eyes) were studied; 812 (52%) were males and 750 (48%) were females, giving a male: female ratio of about 1.1:1. The mean age of the study subjects was 36.3 ± 2.6 years. The youngest was 15 years old, while the oldest was 89 years old. About 65% (1018) were less than 40 years old. Below age 40, there were 472 males and 546 females, but above age 40, males outnumbered females by a ratio of 1.7:1. The prevalence of refractive error was found to be 28.5% (445) of the study population; of this, hypermetropia was seen in 19.2% (299), while myopia was found in 9.3% (146). Most of the study population, 71.5%, were emmetropic. The highest number of emmetropes and hypermetropes occurred in the 20-29 years age group, whereas the largest number of myopes was seen in the 50-59 age group.

Table 1. Age and sex distribution of study population

Age	Male	Male	Female	Female	Total	%
group	(No)	% of	(No)	% of		
		total		total		
15-19	52	3.3	58	3.7	110	7.0
20-29	204	13.1	295	18.9	499	31.9
30-39	216	13.8	193	12.3	409	26.2
40-49	182	11.6	111	7.1	293	18.8
50-59	122	7.8	58	3.7	180	11.5
60-69	27	1.7	30	1.9	57	3.6
70-79	7	0.4	4	0.3	11	0.7
80-89	2	0.13	1	.06	3	0.2



Figure 2. Pattern of refractive status of study population

AA Onua, CN Pedro-Egbe, S Babatunde



Figure 3. Distribution of refractive status according to age

The prevalence of refractive error from this study was 28.5%, which is similar to other population-based studies in Nigeria, in which the prevalence of refractive error ranged between 22.0-41.1%.^{13,14,15} These studies were carried out in rural areas of similar socio-cultural background in the eastern and southern parts of Nigeria. Moreover, the inhabitants of these rural areas were less exposed to any form of reading culture (near work), a predisposing factor to myopia.

In this study, 9.3% of the study population was myopic. It was the leading cause of refractive error in those aged 60 years and above. Myopia is thought to be commoner in this age range because of the aging changes that occur in the lens and its attendant myopic shift.¹⁶

CONCLUSION

This study has shown that uncorrected refractive error is a significant cause of visual impairment in the study community, even though most of the study population was emmetropic.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are very grateful to the chief, elders and entire people of K-Dere community for their support during the study period.

REFERENCES

1. Dandona R, Dandona L. Blindness in the Indian state of

Andhra Pradesh. *Invest Ophthal Vis Sci* 2001; 42 (5): 908-916.

- Ntim-Amponsah CT. Contribution of refractive error to visual impairment in patients at Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital. *Ghana Med J* 2007 41(2): 68-71.
- 3. Dandona R, Dandona L. Refractive error blindness. Bulletin of WHO 2001;79 (3):237-243.
- 4. Foster A. 'Vision 2020: The Right to Sight'. *The Newsletter* of the International Agency for the Prevention of Blindness 1999; 24: 1-3.
- David D, Ian B. Worldwide distribution of visual refractive error and what to expect at a particular location. Presentation to the International Society for Geographic and Epidemiologic Ophthalmology, 2004.
- Dineen B, Gilbert CE, Rabiu M, Kyari F, Mahdi AM, Abubakar T, Ezelum CC et al. The Nigeria national blindness and visual impairment survey: rationale, objectives and detailed methodology. *BMC Ophthalmol* 2008; 8: 17.
- 7. *WHO press release*. Sight test and glasses could dramatically improve the lives of 150 million people with poor vision. Geneva, 2006.
- Munoz B, West SK, Rodriguez J, Sanchez P. Blindness and visual impairment and problems of uncorrected refractive error in a Mexican-American population: Proyecto VER. *Invest Ophthalmol and Vis Sci* 2002; 43: 608-614.
- Ajaiyeoba AI, Isawumi MA, Adeoye A, Oluleye TS. Pattern of eye diseases and visual impairment among students in southwestern Nigeria. *Int Ophthalmol* 2007; 27(5): 287-292.
- Adegbehingbe BO, Majekodunmi AA, Akinsola FB, Soetan EO. Pattern of refractive error at Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospital, Ile-Ife. *Nig J Ophthalmol* 2003; 11(2): 76-79.
- Adeoti CO, Egbewale BE. Refractive errors in Mercyland Specialist Hospital, Osogbo. Niger Postgrad Med J 2008; 15: 116-119.
- 12. Onyekonwu GC. Pattern of refractive errors among computer users in a Nigerian urban community. *Ebonyi Med J* 2007; 6(2): 83-88.
- 13. Nwosu SNN. Ammetropia in Onitsha, Nigeria. *Nig J Ophthalmol* 1997; 5(1):21-24.
- 14. Omoni AO. The epidemiology of blindness and visual impairment in a fishing village in Nigeria. *The Nig Health J* 2005; 15(1 &2): 252-260.
- Pedro-Egbe CN, Chukwuka IO, Babatunde S, Umeh RE. Blindness and visual impairment in the Niger-Delta: a study of Ahoada East LGA of Rivers State, Nigeria. *PHarcourt Med J* 2006; 1: 56-61.
- 16. Clarke-Farr PC. Presbyopia: refractive error or the result of the normal ageing process of the eye. *S Afr Optom* 2004; 63(1): 30-35.