Antinociceptive and Anti-Inflammatory Effects of the Standardized Oil of Indian Callistemon lanceolatus Leaves in Experimental Animals

M. SUDHAKAR¹*, C.V. RAO², A.L. RAO¹, A. RAMESH¹, N. SRINIVAS³, D.B. RAJU¹, AND B. KRISHNA MURTHY³

¹Shri Vishnu College of Pharmacy, Vishnupur, Bhimavaram 534 202 Andhra Pradesh, India. ²National Botanical Research Institute, Ranapratap Marg, Lucknow 226 001 ³Department of Physiology, University of Tüebingen, Tübingen, Germany.

The effect of Callistemon lanceolatus (Syn. C. citrinus curtis; Family: Myrtaceae) leaf oil was studied for the antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory activity in experimental animals. C. lanceolatus, 25 - 100 mg/kg administered orally for 3 days exhibited graded dose response equivalent to 21.95% - 89.90% protection in the tail flick latent test in rat. The C. lanceolatus oil (50 and 100 mg/kg, given orally for 3 days) was effective in hot plate reaction time (64.05% and 112.97%, p < 0.01 and p < 0.001), analgesymeter induced mechanical pain (28.17% and 54.42%, p < 0.01 and p < 0.001) and acetic acid- induced writhing (26.68% and 51.79%, p < 0.5 and p < 0.05) in mice. The oil of C. lanceolatus potentiated the analgesic activity with pentazocine (10 mg/kg, i.p.) and aspirin (25 mg/kg, i.p.). In the carrageenan- induced paw edema C. lanceolatus oil (50 and 100 mg/kg, given orally for 3 days) decreased paw volume significantly (26,68% and 51.79%) and dose dependent anti-inflammatory activity in 1-3 hour time interval and potentiated with nimesulide (50 mg/kg, p.o.). In summary, this study demonstrates that leaf oil of C. lanceolatus has significant antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory activity.

Key words: Callistemon lanceolatus, oil, pain, inflammation

INTRODUCTION

Callistemon lanceolatus (Syn. C. citrinus curtis; Family: Myrtaceae) is an ornamental plant indigenous to Australia. Owing to their crimson red colored spikes, the 2-5 m high shrubs are popularly known as bottlebrush. C. lanceolatus is now commonly available in Indian gardens as an ornamental tree. The light petroleum extract of the leaves of Egyptian C. lanceolatus yielded ursolic and oleanolic acid [1]. A chemical composition of the oil of mature leaves of C. lanceolatus reveals that it is comprised of hydrocarbons, monoterpene oxygenated monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes [2]. The Lambadi, indigenous people of North Telangana districts of Andhra Pradesh, use this plant for the treatment of pain, gastro intestinal disorders and infectious diseases. There are several reports of the oil exhibiting fungitoxicity, inhibiting the growth of Fusarium oxysporium, cowpea mosaic virus, mung bean mosaic virus, bean common mosaic virus and southern bean virus [3-5]. The ethnic tribal mosaic communities have been using the C. lanceolatus

for many generations and information regarding the efficacy remains primarily anecdotal. There is no previous record of research work available on the traditional medicinal values of *C. lanceolatus*. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to evaluate scientifically the antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory activities of the standardized oil of *C. lanceolatus* leaves in experimental animals.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material

Callistemon lanceolatus was marked and the leaves were collected from the medicinal plant garden, Shri Vishnu Educational Society (SVCP) Bhimavaram, Andhra Pradesh in October 2002. The plant material was identified and authenticated taxonomically in the Department of Botany, DNR College of Science, Bhimavaram. A voucher specimen of the collected sample was a lso deposited in the herbarium of SVCP for the future reference.

Analysis of essential oil

Callistemon lanceolatus leaves (500 g) were subjected to hydrodistillation in a Clevenger apparatus for 4.5 h. In different batches of distillation the yield of the oil varied from 0.65 -0.8 per cent on fresh weight basis. The oil is colourless with a characteristic aroma having the specific gravity of 0.9074 at 29.5°. Specific optical rotation measured using a JASCO DIP 181 polarimeter was +6.9°. Refractive index at 30° was 1.4604 determined using an Abbe'stype refractometer. The oil was subjected to gas chromatographic examination on а gas chromatograph equipped with thermal conductivity detector and a stainless steel column (6' X 1/4") packed with C 22 firebrick (42-60) having 30 % coating of carbowax 1000. The apparatus was run with two different isothermal temperatures of 160 °C and 100 °C It revealed the presence of 17 [6-7]. components in the oil, the major constituents were 1,8- cineole (41.5 %), β-pinene (4.2 %), αpinene (4.1 %), α -terpineol (7.3 %), limonene (6.0 %).

Test animals

Charles-Foster (CF) albino rats (110-125 gm) and Wistar strain mice (16-18 gm) of either sex were obtained from the animal house of B. V. Raju foundation, Bhimavaram. They were kept in the departmental animal house at 25 ± 2 °C and relative humidity 45 - 51.5 %, light and dark cycles of 10 and 14 h respectively for 1 week before and during the experiments. The animals were provided with standard rodent pellet diet (Hind lever) and water was allowed *ad libitum*. Rearing up of animals in the experimental period and their upkeep during the entire experimental span conformed to ethical guidelines laid down by Institutional Animal Ethical Committee (IAEC of SVCP, India).

Drug treatment

The essential oil of the leaves C. lanceolatus (suspended in 0.5 % carboxymethylcellulose in distilled water) in doses of 25 - 100 mg/kg was administered once daily for three consecutive days. Nimesulide (Cipla, India) in the dose of 50 mg/kg, p.o was used as the standard antiinflammatory agent, whereas pentazocine (Ranbaxy, India) 10 mg/kg, i.p. and aspirin (Astra – IDL Ltd, India) 25 mg/kg, i.p. were used as standard analgesic agents. All the reference drugs were administered 30 minutes before the experiment. Control group of animals received suspension of 0.5 % carboxymethyl-cellulose in distilled water. Experiments were conducted on day 3, one hour after last drug or vehicle administration.

PHARMACOLOGICAL TESTS

Antinociceptive activity

Tail flick latent period: The technique described by Davies et al., [8] was adopted, using a techno analgesiometer. The rat was placed in a rat holder with its tail coming out through a slot in the lid. The tail was kept on the bridge of the analgesiometer with an electrically heated nichrome wire underneath. The tail received r adiant heat from the wire, h eated by passing current of 6 mA. The time taken for the withdrawal of the tail after switching on the current was taken as a latent period, in seconds of tail flicking response and was considered as the index of nociception. The cut off time for determination of latent period was taken at 30 seconds to avoid injury to the skin [9]. Three tail flick latencies were measured per rat at each time interval and the means of the tail flick latencies were used for statistical analysis. Pentazocine (10 mg/kg, i.p.) was used as a standard reference.

Hot plate reaction time in mice: Mice were screened by placing them on a hot plate maintained at $55 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and recording the reaction time in seconds for fore paw licking or jumping. Only mice which reacted within fifteen seconds and which did not show large variation when tested on four separate occasions, each fifteen minutes apart, were taken for the test. Pentazocine (10 mg/kg, intraperitoneal) was used as reference standard. The time for fore paw licking or jumping on the heated plate of the analgesiometer was taken as a reaction time [10].

Analgesymeter induced pain: The analgesic effect of C. lanceolatus was tested in mice of either sex using an Ugo Basile analgesymeter. This method involves the application of force to the paw of the mice using the analgesymeter, which exerts a force that increases at a constant rate. The mice were gently placed between the plinth and plunger. The instrument was switched

on and constant motor rate was used to drive the plunger on to the paw of mice. When the mice struggles the instrument is switched off and force at which the, animal felt, pain was read on a scale calibrated in gram X 10 by a pointer [11].

Acetic acid induced writhing response in mice: Acetic acid solution at a dose of 10 ml/kg (0.6 %) was injected i.p. and the number of writhes during the following 15 minutes period was observed [12]. Significant reductions in number of writhes by drug treatment as compared to vehicle treatment animals were considered as a positive analgesic response. The percent inhibition of writhing was calculated.

Anti-inflammatory activity

Carrageenan-induced paw edema: Rats were injected with 0.1 ml of 1 % λ -carrageenan into the subplantar region of the left hind paw [13]. The paw was marked with ink at the level of lateral malleolus and dipped in perspex cell up to the mark. The paw volume was measured with Ugo Basile Plethysmometer (No: 6142, 7140 Comerio-varese, Italy) before and 60, 120 and 180 minute's after injecting the λ -carrageenan suspension.

Statistical analysis

The values are expressed as mean \pm SEM. Statistical significance of the differences between control and treated groups were calculated using unpaired Students t-test followed by Mann-Whitney U-test (two tailed). A value of p<0.05 was considered to be significant.

RESULTS

Tail flick latent period: The oil of C. lanceolatus at the dose levels of 25, 50, 100 mg/kg exhibited graded dose response equivalent to 21.95 % - 89.90 % protection.

The oil of *C. lanceolatus* significantly potentiated the antinociceptive effect of pentazocine at the dose of 50 and 100 mg/kg producing 115.21 % and 144.48 % protection (Table 1).

Hot plate reaction time in mice at the dose of 50 and 100 mg/kg significantly increased the reaction time of pentazocine and the percent protection is equivalent to 64.05 % and 112.97 %, respectively. The oils of *C. lanceolatus* increased the reaction time of pentazocine at 50 and 100 mg/kg (Table 2).

Analgesymeter induced pain: The data (Table 3) indicates that the oil of *C. lanceolatus* treated mice exhibited resistance against mechanical pain after 30 minutes. The weight that indicates pain after treatment was dose dependent and significantly synergies the activity of aspirin.

Acetic acid induced writhing: The oil of C. lanceolatus showed a significant decrease in writhing response induced by acetic acid and the degree of percent inhibition was 26.68 % and 51.79 % at 50 and 100 mg/kg (Table 4).

Carrageenan induced paw edema: T reatment with different doses of *C. lanceolatus* oil at 50 and 100 mg/kg showed a significant and dose dependent anti-inflammatory a ctivity with time interval 1-3 h. The oil of *C. lanceolatus* significantly potentiated the anti-inflammatory effect of nimesulide (Table 5).

Table 1: Effect of Callistemon lanceolatus oil on tail flick latent period in rats

	Dose (mg/kg)	Mean latent period of tail flick response (sec)		
Treatment		Initial	After 30 min	
Control	_	8.05 ± 1.31	8.61 ± 1.42	
C. lanceolatus	25	9.09 ± 1.02	10.50 ± 1.00	
C. lanceolatus	50	10.01 ± 1.00	12.61 ± 1.13^{a}	
C. lanceolatus	100	9.97 ± 1.35	16.35 ± 1.42^{b}	
Pentazocine	10	10.12 ± 1.25	16.30 ± 1.25^{b}	
C.lanceolatus + Pentazocine	50 + 10	9.81 ± 0.93	18.53 ± 1.71^{b}	
C.lanceolatus + Pentazocine	100 + 10	10.10 ± 1.01	$21.05 \pm 1.25^{\circ}$	

Values are mean \pm SEM for six rats ; P: ^a< 0.05, ^b< 0.01 and ^c< 0.001 compared to control group

Treatment	N	lean latent period (sec)		
	Dose (mg/kg)	Initial	After 30 min	
Control	_	10.96 ± 1.10	11.10 ± 1.12	
C. lanceolatus	50	11.15 ± 1.15	18.21 ± 2.15^{a}	
C. lanceolatus	100	11.93 ±1.32	23.64 ± 2.89 ^b	
Pentazocine	10	11.45 ± 1.39	$32.33 \pm 4.10^{\circ}$	
C.lanceolatus + Pentazocine	50 + 10	10.40 ± 1.05	$37.54 \pm 3.54^{\circ}$	
C. lanceolatus+ Pentazocine	100 + 10	10.69 ± 1.19	39.66 ± 3.93°	

Table 2: Effect of *Callistemon lanceolatus* oil on hot plate reaction time in mice

Values are mean ± SEM for six mice P: ^a< 0.05, ^b< 0.01 and ^c< 0.001 compared to control group

Table 3: Effect of Callistemon lanceolatus oil on force induced pain in mice

Treatment	Dose (mg/kg)	Weight causing pain (g)		
		Before administration	After administration	
C. lanceolatus	50	85.9 ± 4.72	110.1 ± 5.99 ^a	
C. lanceolatus	100	86.0 ± 5.61	132.8 ± 7.05 ^b	
Aspirin	10	86.2 ±6.20	131.4 ± 7.07 ^b	
C. lanceolatus + Aspirin	50 + 25	83.3 ± 4.35	142.0 ± 7.13 ^b	
C. lanceolatus +Aspirin	100 + 25	85.1 ± 5.17	148.0 ± 8.32^{b}	

Values are mean \pm SEM for six mice P: ^a < 0.01 and ^b < 0.001 c ompared to r espective b efore a dministrative group

Table 4: Effect of Callistemon	<i>lanceolatus</i> oil on aceti	c acid-induced writhing in mice
--------------------------------	---------------------------------	---------------------------------

Treatment	Dose (mg/kg)	Number of writhing	% Inhibition
Control	-	25.41 ± 3.11	
C. lanceolatus	50	18.63 ± 2.33	26.68
C. lanceolatus	100	$12.25 \pm 2.85^{*}$	51.79
Aspirin	10	10.55 ± 1.94^{b}	58.48
C. lanceolatus + Aspirin	50 + 25	$8.97 \pm 1.51^{\circ}$	64.69
C. lanceolatus + Aspirin	100 + 25	$7.69 \pm 1.21^{\circ}$	69.74

Values are mean \pm SEM for six mice P: ^a< 0.05, ^b< 0.01 and ^c< 0.001 compared to control group

Table 5: Effect of Callistemon lanceolatus oil on λ carrageenan induced paw edema in rats

Treatment	Dose (mg/kg)	Paw volume (ml) at		
		60 min	120 min	180 min
Control	-	0.89 ± 0.03	1.05 ± 0.04	1.06 ± 0.03
C. lanceolatus	50	0.85 ± 0.03	0.94 ± 0.03	$0.85 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$
C. lanceolatus	100	0.83 ± 0.02	0.88 ± 0.02^{b}	$0.63 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$
Nimesulide	50	0.75 ± 0.02^{b}	$0.64 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$	$0.62 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$
C. lanceolatus + Nimesulide	50 + 50	0.80 ± 0.01^{a}	$0.52 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$	$0.48 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$
C. lanceolatus + Nimesulide	100 + 50	0.77 ± 0.01^{b}	$0.47 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	$0.41 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$

Values are mean \pm SEM for six rats P: ^a< 0.05, ^b< 0.01 and ^c< 0.001 compared to respective control group

DISCUSSION

Callistemon lanceolatus showed significant anti-nociceptive and anti-inflammatory effects on the experimental a nimal models. The oil of *C. lanceolatus* was found to increase significantly the tail flick reaction time.

This test is useful for discriminating between centrally acting opiate and non opiate analgesics, giving positive response with the former only. The essential oil of C. lanceolatus exhibited analgesic activity in rats and potentiated with the analgesic activity with pentazocine. Hot plate reaction time in mice method was originally described by Woolfe and MacDonald [14]. This test has been found to be suitable for evaluation of centrally but not of peripherally acting a nalgesics. The validity of this test has been shown even in the presence of substantial impairment of motor performance [15]. The significant results indicate that C. lanceolatus oil may be acting centrally. Analgesy-meter induced pain is the force applied to the paw by the plinth increases at a constant rate, being the motor synchronous with mains frequency, its speed (60 rpm) is constant, unaffected by friction and wear. The force measured on the scale is in 10 gram intervals by a pointer riveted to the slide. C. lanceolatus oil significantly alleviated the pain threshold. This offers new perspectives in the treatment of pain, as there is evidence that a symptom of vital pain varies in intensity with central and peripheral somatosensory pathways. In the acetic acid induced writhing response, C. lanceolatus significantly inhibited the abdominal constriction and potentiated the activity of aspirin in mice. Acetic acid causes an increase in peritoneal fluids of PGE_2 and $PGF_2\alpha$ involving in part, peritoneal receptors [16-17] and is very sensitive method of screening antinociceptive effect of compounds [18].

Similarly leaf oil of *C. lanceolatus* exhibited a biphasic anti-inflammatory activity in λ -carrageenan- induced edema in rats. The first phase is due to release of histamine and serotonin. The second phase is caused by the release of bradykinin, protease, prostaglandin and lysosyme [19]. It has been reported that the second phase of edema is sensitive to the most clinically effective anti-inflammatory drugs, which has been used frequently to access the anti-edematous effect of natural product [20-

21]. Prostaglandin plays a major role in the development of second phase of reaction that is measured at 3 h [22]. Based on these reports it can be inferred that the inhibitory effect *C. lanceolatus* oil on carrageenan- induced inflammation in rats might be due to inhibition of mediators responsible for inflammation and pain. Thus, the present observation indicates the antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory activity of *C. lanceolatus* oil.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank Dr. C. M. Gupta, Director, CDRI, Lucknow, India for the help extended to record the GC, GC/MS of the C. *lanceolatus* leaf oil. Also Prof. Gopala Raju, Dept. of Botany, DNR College of Science, Bhimavaram.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.E. Younes, Aust. J. Chem. 28 (1975) 217-220.
- [2] L.N. Misra, F. Huq, A. Ahmad and A.K. Dixit. J. Essen. Oil Res. 9 (1997) 625-628.
- [3] D.K. Pandey, H. Chandra and N.N. Tripathi. Phytopathologische – Zeilschrift. 105 (1982) 175-182.
- [4] G.P. Rao, A.K. Pandey and K. Shukla. Ind Perfumer. 30 (1986) 483-486.
- [5] S.P. Singh, M. Mishra, G.P. Rao, M. Singh, P.P. Upadhyaya and M. Singh. J. Living World. 4 (1997) 39-44.
- [6] S.D. Bhagat. The Ind. J. Pharm. 37 (1975) 158-160.
- [7] L.N. Misra, F. Huq, A. Ahmad and A.K. Dixit. J. Essen. Oil Res. 9 (1997) 625-628.
- [8] O.L. Davies, J. Raventos and A.L. Walpole. Br. J. Pharmacol. 1 (1946) 255-261.
- [9] S.K. Bhattacharya, M.K. Raina, D. Banerjee and N.C. Neogy. Ind. J. Exp. Biol. 9 (1971) 257-262.

15 Sudhakar et al.

East Cent. Afr. J. Pharm. Sci. 7(1)

- [10] G. Woolfe and A.D. MacDonald. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Therapeut. 80 (1944) 300-305.
- [11] R.E. Rodriguez Alia. Psyshopharmacol. 101 (1990) 222–225.
- [12] L.B. Witkin, C.F. Huebner, F.O. Galdi,
 E. Keefe, P. Spitaletta and A.J. Plumer.
 J. Pharmacol. Exp. Thera. 133 (1961) 400-408.
- [13] C.A. Winter, E.A. Riseley, G.W. Nuss, Pro. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 111 (1962) 544-547.
- [14] G. Woolfe, A.D. MacDonald, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Therapeut. 80 (1944) 300-305.
- [15] J.L. Plummer, P.I. Cmiellewski, G.K. Gourlay, H. Owen and M. Cousins. J. Pharmacol. Meth. 26 (1991) 79-83.

- [16] R. Deraedt, S. Jougney, F. Delevalacee and M. Falthour. Eu. J. Pharmacol. 51 (1980) 17-24.
- [17] G.A. Bentley, S.H. Newton and J. Starr. Br. J. Pharmacol. 79 (1983) 125-134.
- [18] H.O.J. Collier, L.C. Dinneon, C.A.Johnson and C. Schneider. Br. J. Pharmacol. 32 (1964) 295-310.
- [19] J. Castro, H. Saseme, H. Sussman and P. Bullette. Life Sci. 7 (1968) 129-136.
- [20] A. Della Loggia, A. Tubaro, P. Dri, Czilli and P. Del Negro. Clin. Biol. Res. 213 (1968) 481–486.
- [21] M.J. Alcaraz and M.J. Jimenez. Fitoter. 59 (1988) 25-38.
- [22] M. Di Rosa. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 24(1972) 89–102.