

East African Medical Journal Vol. 91 No. 8 August 2014

ISOLATION AND CHARACTERISATION OF *CRYPTOCOCCUS NEOFORMANS* AND *CRYPTOCOCCUS GATTII* FROM ENVIRONMENTAL SOURCES IN NAIROBI, KENYA

M. Kangogo, Department of Medical Microbiology, H. Boga, Department of Botany, W. Wanyoike, Department of Botany, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, P. O. Box 62000-00200, Nairobi and C. Bii, Medical Mycology Unit, Centre for Microbiology Research, Kenya Medical Research Institute, P. O. Box 54840-00202, Nairobi, Kenya

## ISOLATION AND CHARACTERISATION OF *CRYPTOCOCCUS NEOFORMANS* AND *CRYPTOCOCCUS GATTII* FROM ENVIRONMENTAL SOURCES IN NAIROBI, KENYA

M. KANGOGO, H. BOGA, W. WANYOIKE and C. BII

### ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To establish the environmental reservoirs of *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *Cryptococcus gattii* in Nairobi, Kenya.

**Design:** Prospective study.

**Setting:** Kenya Medical Research Institute, Mycology laboratory, Nairobi, Kenya.

**Subjects:** A total of 400 environmental samples from different sites were analysed including; avian droppings, tree swabs, soil contaminated with avian droppings and swabs from garbage dumping sites. Samples were subjected to various phenotypic tests including microscopic morphology, physiological and biochemical tests, pigmentation on bird seed agar and reaction on Canavanine-Glycine-Bromothymol Blue agar.

**Results:** *Cryptococcus neoformans* was isolated from 23/200 (11.5%) dropping samples and *Cryptococcus gattii* in 5/200 (2.5%) of the same samples. *Cryptococcus gattii* was isolated from 7/60 (11.7%) tree swabs and *Cryptococcus neoformans* in 5/60 (8.5%) of the same samples. From other sites there was no *Cryptococcus gattii* recovered with (5/50: 10%), (6/60: 10%), (2/30: 6.7%) *Cryptococcus neoformans* recovered from chicken cage, garbage dumping site and soil respectively.

**Conclusion:** Findings clearly showed a high presence of *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *Cryptococcus gattii* from several environmental sites in Nairobi, Kenya. This could probably explain the high incidence of cryptococcal meningitis in HIV/AIDS patients in Kenya.

### INTRODUCTION

*Cryptococcus neoformans* and *Cryptococcus gattii* are pathogenic basidiomycetous yeasts that cause cryptococcosis disease in immunocompromised and immunocompetent patients (1,2). With the emergence of the AIDS pandemic, the incidence of cryptococcosis is increasing and represents a major life threatening fungal infection in these patients (1,3). Globally, the risk of cryptococcal meningitis in HIV/AIDS is estimated between 0.04 to 12% in adults and about 1% in children. The highest population at risk of meningoencephalitis is in sub-Saharan Africa and South East Asia where the highest burden of HIV/AIDS exists (1,4). A low CD4 cell count is the main predictor of risk of cryptococcal meningoencephalitis; the vast majority of cases occur among AIDS patients with a CD4 cell count < 100 cells/mm (5).

*Cryptococcus neoformans* has historically been divided into three varieties of five serotypes based on

antigenicity of the capsule: *C. neoformans* var. *grubii* (serotype A), *C. neoformans* var. *gattii* (serotypes B and C), *C. neoformans* var. *neoformans* (serotype D), and one hybrid (serotype AD) (6). In 2002, *C. neoformans* var. *gattii* (serotypes B and C) was awarded species status and renamed *Cryptococcus gattii* (7). The two varieties exhibit different sexual states; *Fillobasidiella neoformans* var. *neoformans* is the teleomorph of *C. neoformans* var. *neoformans* and *F. neoformans* var. *bacilliospora* is the teleomorph of *C. neoformans* var. *gattii*. The two varieties are morphologically similar except that basidiospores of var. *neoformans* are round and those of var. *gattii* are more elliptical in shape (7). The definitive identification of the two varieties is possible through biochemical tests such as resistance to canavanine and use of glycine as the sole carbon and nitrogen and resistance of their urease enzyme to EDTA (8). *C. neoformans* has a worldwide distribution and has been associated with a variety of environmental sources in particular, bird excreta and decaying wood (9,10). It is documented that

*C. gattii* is limited to tropics and sub-tropical regions and causes cryptococcosis in over 40 % of non AIDS patients (2,11). Majority of the isolates from Europe belong to *C. neoformans* var. *neoformans* while only 15 % of the isolates from USA, Argentina and Canada belong to *C. gattii* (12). Such vast environmental reservoirs documented encourage the assumption of the existence of many other natural reservoirs of *C. neoformans*.

The infectious particles of *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* are presumed to be the dehydrated yeast cells, which enter the alveolar spaces of the lungs. Once inside the lungs, the yeast cells become rehydrated and acquire the polysaccharide capsule which is best visible in Indian ink preparations (13). The thickness of the capsule is strain related and varies with environmental conditions and is known to be responsible for virulence (13,14). Upon growth in 1% peptone solution, production of the capsule is enhanced. *C. neoformans* can cause an asymptomatic pulmonary infection followed by the development of meningitis, which is often the first indication of the disease limited to the lungs (13).

The study was aimed at establishing environmental reservoirs for *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* in attempt to establishing the risk factors for the high prevalence of Cryptococcal infection in Kenya. The paucity of information on the natural reservoirs of *C. neoformans* in Kenya compared to other documented information is worthwhile.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 400 samples were collected from different locations in Nairobi, (1°17'S 36°49'E / 1.283°S 36.817°E), Kenya. The city lies on the Nairobi River, in the south of the nation, and has an elevation of 1661 m (5450 ft) above sea level. Sampling was done from areas in the city with high concentrations of pigeons, birds, private chicken breeders' homes and chicken selling markets. Others were from garbage dumping sites within the city, (Table 1, Figure 1). Samples were treated as described by Staib, 1987 (15). Droppings, bark and soil samples were processed as follows: 5 g of the sample were suspended in 25 ml of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and allowed to settle for 30 min then the sample was filtered and 50 µl of antibiotic solution, (20 U/mL streptomycin and 40 U/mL penicillin) was added. Then 100 µL of the mixture was cultured on Niger-seed agar (*Guizotia*

*abyssinica*). All plates were incubated at 37°C for up to two weeks. The material collected with the swabs was directly plated onto the same medium. All brown colonies were cultured on Christensen's urea agar and incubated at 37 °C for 48 hours. Urease positive colonies were cultured on corn meal agar using slide culture technique. Each plate was divided into four parts and a single colony was seeded onto each part, it was covered with sterile cover slip and incubated at 37 °C for 48 hours. The cover slip was removed aseptically and observed under microscope using X 40 resolution power. This was to distinguish different *Cryptococcus neoformans* from other yeasts. *Cryptococcus neoformans* do not produce pseudohyphae, are spherical, irregular in size and widely separated on corn meal agar. Observation for the presence of capsule which is a characteristic of *Cryptococcus neoformans* was done on Indian ink stain. The biovariety study was performed by culturing the isolates on Canavanine- Glycine- Bromthymol blue (CGB) medium to determine the use of glycine as a carbon source. A colour change from light yellow green to cobalt blue was considered a positive result for the CGB test, indicating presence of *C. neoformans* var. *gattii* (serotype B/C). The lack of colour change was considered a negative result, indicating absence of *C. neoformans* (serotype A/D).


## RESULTS

The environmental isolates of *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* were recovered from different sources and sites as shown in Table 1 and Figure 1. *Cryptococcus neoformans* was frequently isolated in all the droppings with the majority being from marabou stock (4/20; 20%) followed by chicken droppings (7/60; 11.7%) and 10% each from weaver birds (2/20), pigeon droppings (8/80), chicken cage (5/50) and pied crow droppings (2/20). In contrast, *Cryptococcus gattii* was less frequently isolated among the droppings with 3/80 (3.8 %) in pigeon droppings 1/20 (5%) from Marabou stock droppings and 1/60 (1.7%) from chicken droppings. Also, *C. gattii* was more frequently isolated among trees with 6/50 (12%) from *Eucalyptus saligna* and 1/10 (10%) from *Acacia xanthophloea*. *C. neoformans* 5/50 (10%) were recovered from *Eucalyptus saligna*. On the other hand *C. gattii* was not recovered from soil or garbage dumping sites. *C. neoformans* was isolated from soil (2/30; 6.7%) and garbage dumping sites (6/60; 10%) (Table 1).

**Table 1**  
Environmental isolates of *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *Cryptococcus gattii* in Nairobi, Kenya

Sample Type	Positive Samples		
	Total Number of Samples	<i>Cryptococcus neoformans</i>	<i>Cryptococcus gattii</i>
<b>Droppings</b>			
Marabou stock ( <i>Leptoptilos crumeniferus</i> ) droppings	20	4 (20%)	1 (5%)
Weaver birds ( <i>Ploceus spekei</i> ) droppings	20	2 (10%)	0
Pigeon ( <i>Geopelia striata</i> ) droppings	80	8 (10%)	3 (3.8%)
Chicken ( <i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i> ) droppings	60	7 (11.7%)	1 (1.7%)
Pied crow ( <i>Corvus albus</i> ) droppings	20	2 (10%)	0
<b>Tree swabs</b>			
Acacia xanthophloea	10	0	1 (10%)
Eucalyptus saligna	50	5 (10%)	6 (12%)
<b>Other sites</b>			
Chicken ( <i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i> ) cage	50	5 (10%)	0
Garbage dumping site	60	6 (10%)	0
Contaminated Soil	30	2 (6.7%)	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>400</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>12</b>

**Figure 1**  
Map Showing Sampling Sites of *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *C. gattii* in Nairobi, Kenya



## DISCUSSION

We were successful in recovering *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* from different environmental sites in Nairobi, Kenya (Table 1 and Figure 1). This success was attributed to the fact that all the droppings analysed were dry and not wet at the time of collection. In a study conducted by Granados and Castañeda (16), it was noted that old excreta was more likely to harbour high numbers of *C. neoformans* than fresh excreta. We were also able to isolate *C. gattii* though in small percentages from pigeon droppings, marabou stock droppings and chicken droppings. *C. gattii* was not isolated from weaver bird and pied crow droppings. Limited studies have been carried out in Africa on the environmental isolation of *C. neoformans* (17-18). A study in Ethiopia on occurrence of *C. neoformans* in the environment showed the presence of *C. neoformans* in pigeon droppings and absence of *C. neoformans* from other bird droppings (18). The same study did not recover *C. gattii* from the pigeon droppings. In our study most of the *C. neoformans* isolates were recovered from pigeon (8/80; 10%) and chicken (7/60; 11.7 %) droppings. Although pigeon droppings has been documented as the most common source of *C. neoformans* in the environment, the presence of this yeast in many bird species other than pigeons, i.e., dove, psittacines, budgerigars, canaries, parrots, cockatoos and Starlings had also been reported (19).

We were able to recover *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* from two tree species namely *Acacia* and *Eucalyptus*. Most of the environmental *C. gattii* isolates were recovered from *Eucalyptus* trees (6/50; 12%) and only (1/10; 10%) was recovered from *Acacia* tree. Our findings agree with other studies that associates *C. gattii* with *Eucalyptus* and other non *Eucalyptus* trees as well (12,16). This was confirmed in an environmental surveillance study by Granados Castaneda in Bogotá, Colombia whereby *C. gattii* was recovered from *Eucalyptus* trees as well as other trees including *Acacia*, *Cupressus*, and *Pinus* (16). Similarly, *C. neoformans* was recovered also from *Eucalyptus* trees in this study. Many studies associate *C. gattii* isolation with *Eucalyptus* but our findings proved otherwise; the fact that these trees harbor different bird species could have contributed to the recovery of both isolates. Few reports exist of isolations of *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* from the same habitats with the recognitions of natural hybrids between the two species. For instance, *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* have been isolated from same sources, such as *Eucalyptus* spp. or *Syzygium cumini* trees or bird feces (20,21).

*C. neoformans* was isolated from other sites including soil samples, chicken cage and swabs from garbage dumping sites. Contrary to some studies that have found soil to be a major reservoir of *C. gattii* (12,22), we did not recover *C. gattii* from soil in this study.

The occurrence of *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii* in different environmental samples collected in the Nairobi, Kenya is significant. The isolation and identification of yeast from these environmental sources in Kenya might provide useful information for ecological and epidemiological studies of *C. neoformans* and *C. gattii*.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was funded DAAD and JKUAT. We acknowledge the assistance rendered by Kenya Medical Research Institute in providing Laboratory space for sample collection and processing of the samples.

## REFERENCES

1. Park BJ, Wannemuehler K A, Marston BJ, Govender N, Pappas PG, Chiller TM. Estimation of the current global burden of cryptococcal meningitis among persons living with HIV/AIDS. *AIDS*. 2009;**23**:525-530.
2. Pappas PG. Cryptococcal infections in non-HIV-infected patients. *Trans Am Clin Climatol Assoc*. 2013;**124**:61-79.
3. Alemu AS, Kempker RR, Tenna A, et al. High prevalence of Cryptococcal antigenemia among HIV-infected patients receiving antiretroviral therapy in Ethiopia. *PLoS One*. 2013;**8**:e58377
4. Tortorano AM, Viviani MA, Rigoni AL, Cogliati M, Roverselli A, Pagano A. Prevalence of serotype D in *Cryptococcus neoformans* isolates from HIV positive and HIV negative patients in Italy. *Mycoses*. 1997;**40**:297-302
5. Jarvis JN, Harrison TS. HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis. *AIDS*. 2007;**21**:2119-2129.
6. Evans EE. The antigenic composition of *Cryptococcus neoformans*. I. A serologic classification by means of the capsular and agglutination reactions. *J Immunol*. 1950;**64**:423-430.
7. Kwon-chung KJ, Boekhout T, Fell JW, Diaz M. (1557) Proposal to conserve the name *Cryptococcus gattii* against *C. hondurianus* and *C. basillisporus* (Basidiomycota, Tremellomycetidae). *Taxon*. 2002;**51**:804-806.
8. Collier L, Balows A, & Sussman M. Topley & Wilson's Microbiology and Microbial Infections.; 1998.
9. Kuroki M, Phichaichumpon C, Yasuoka A, et al. Environmental isolation of *Cryptococcus neoformans* from an endemic region of HIV-associated cryptococcosis in Thailand. *Yeast*. 2004;**21**:809-12. doi:10.1002/yea.1112.
10. Ferreira AS, Sampaio A, Maduro AP, et al. Genotypic diversity of environmental *Cryptococcus neoformans* isolates from Northern Portugal. *Mycoses*. 2014;**57**:98-104.
11. Galanis E. Epidemiology of *Cryptococcus gattii*, British Columbia, Canada, 1999–2007. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2010;**16**:251-257.

12. Kidd SE, Chow Y, Mak S, *et al.* Characterization of Environmental Sources of the Human and Animal Pathogen *Cryptococcus gattii* in British Columbia, Canada, and the Pacific Northwest of the United States. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2007;**73**:1433-1443.
13. Buchanan KL, Murphy JW. What makes *Cryptococcus neoformans* a pathogen? *Emerg Infect Dis.* 1998;**4**:71-83.
14. Casadevall AP. Book Review *Cryptococcus neoformans.* *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 1999;**44**:139.
15. Staib F, Seibold M, Antweiler E, Frohlich B, Weber S BA. The brown colour effect (BCE) of *Cryptococcus neoformans* in the diagnosis, control and epidemiology of *C. neoformans* infections in AIDS patients. *Zentralbl Bakteriol Mikrobiol Hyg.* 1987;**266**:167-177.
16. Granados DP, Castañeda E. Isolation and characterization of *Cryptococcus neoformans* varieties recovered from natural sources in Bogotá, Colombia, and study of ecological conditions in the area. *Microb Ecol.* 2005;**49**:282-290.
17. Litvintseva AP, Mitchell TG. Population genetic analyses reveal the African origin and strain variation of *Cryptococcus neoformans* var. *grubii*. *PLoS Pathog.* 2012;**8**: e1002495.
18. Yimtubezenash W. Amanuel, Leykun Jemaneh DA. Isolation and characterization of *Cryptococcus neoformans* from environmental sources in Ethiopia. *Ethiop J Heal Dev.* 2001;**15**:45-49.
19. Kumlin U, Olsen B, Granlund MELTA. Cryptococcosis and starling nests. *Lancet.* 1998;**351**:1181.
20. Lazera MS, Cavalcanti MA, Trilles L, Nishikawa MM WB. *Cryptococcus neoformans* var. *gattii*—evidence for a natural habitat related to decaying wood in a pottery tree hollow. *Med Mycol.* 1998;**36**:119-122.
21. Abegg MA, Cella FL, Faganello J, Valente P, Schrank A VM. *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *Cryptococcus gattii* isolated from the excreta of psittaciformes in a southern zoological garden. *Mycopathologia.* 2006;**161**:83-91.
22. Hagen F, Ceresini PC, Polacheck I, *et al.* Ancient dispersal of the human fungal pathogen *Cryptococcus gattii* from the Amazon rainforest. *PLoS One.* 2013;**8**:e71148.