



# Day case endourology in surgical outpatient clinic at Ibadan: A 5 year review

# A.O. Takure\*, O.B. Shittu, S.A. Adebayo, E.O. Olapade-Olaopa, L.I. Okeke

Division of Urology, Department of Surgery, University College Hospital, P.M.B. 5116, Ibadan, Nigeria

Received 10 May 2012; received in revised form 22 August 2012; accepted 25 August 2012

KEYWORDS

Day case endourology; Caudal anaesthesia

#### Abstract

Objective: To review our day case endourological practice over a five-year period.

Subjects and methods: Data was obtained from the endourology day case register and these were analysed using simple statistical methods. Caudal anaesthesia and intravenous sedatives were used for the procedures. *Results:* A total of 559 patients underwent endoscopic procedures as day cases. Their ages ranged from 10 to 88 years, with a male to female ratio of 4 to 1. Four hundred and thirty eight (78.4%) were diagnostic and 121 (21.6%) were therapeutic. The main diagnostic procedures were urethrocystoscopy (n = 222), and cystoscopy alone (n = 116), cystoscopy and biopsy (n = 46) while the therapeutic procedures were direct visual internal urethrotomy (n = 86), endoscopic cystolitholapaxy (n = 10), and rigid retrograde endoscopic realignment (n = 7) for posterior urethral injury. The main anaesthesia was caudal block in 472 patients and topical 2% xylocaine jelly with sedation in 86 patients.

*Conclusions:* There is a steady increase in therapeutic day case endourology. Caudal anaesthesia provides effective pain free procedure to the patient. Surgical trainees can benefit by learning the technique of caudal block anaesthesia.

© 2012 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Pan African Urological Surgeons' Association.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: aotakure@yahoo.com, augusturoendo@gmail.com (A.O. Takure).

Peer review under responsibility of Pan African Urological Surgeons' Association.



## Production and hosting by Elsevier

1110-5704 © 2012 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Pan African Urological Surgeons' Association. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.afju.2012.08.012

#### Introduction

Day case surgery is increasingly being practiced worldwide and is now a recognized department in some parts of the world. This is not surprising because the patient is discharged home on the same day of the surgery. This is possible because of the improvement in anaesthesia [1], development of effective antimicrobial agents [2,3] and advancement in surgical equipments that can be adapted into small instrument. Endourology allows all the urinary organs to be assessed using appropriate endoscopes.

Most traditional open surgical procedures are now being done endoscopically with comparable results and reduced complication rates. In the early part of the millennium, endoscopic procedures were

#### Day case endourology

less performed in our environment because of high cost of procuring the necessary equipments and low number of trained personnel [4].

Day case endoscopic procedures are well tolerated by the patient. They are convenient as well as reduce waiting time in the hospital and the patient feels happy going back home [5].

We retrospectively reviewed our day case endourological procedures to determine if there is an improvement in utilization, extent of therapeutic day case endourology, and the benefits to the patient and the hospital. We also tried to assess the value of caudal anaesthesia to the patients and its significance in the training of surgical residents.

#### Subjects and methods

#### Setting

The day case endoscopy suite is located within the outpatient clinic department of our teaching hospital. It has a waiting section, a consulting section, a reception, a recovery wing and the main endoscopy section. The patients are given fixed appointment date and an average of 5–6 procedures are done per session. Each patient is accompanied by at least one other competent adult. The study was done at the University College Hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria.

The data of all the patients who had endoscopic urological procedures from October 2006 to September 2011 was retrieved from the day case endourology register. The parameters analysed included; age, sex, indications for the procedures, type of procedure, types of anaesthesia and record of any complications.

#### Statistical analysis

These data was analysed using range, mean, and standard deviation of mean, ratio and percentages.

#### Technique

The caudal anaesthesia was administered by the consultant urologist or the senior resident in urology. This entailed placing the patient prone, skin of the low back and gluteal region is prepared with 1% povidone iodine. The land mark of posterior superior iliac spines and sacrococcygeal joint is identified. A wheal of xylocaine is raised around the sacral hiatus and the needle advanced through the sacrococcygeal membrane at an angle of  $45^{\circ}$  to the body, into the sacral canal extradural space. The needle is aspirated for blood or cerebrospinal fluid. If dry, then 10 ml of 2% xylocaine hydrochloride solution is deposited into the space. Patient is returned into the supine position. Then wait for 15–20 min after which the patient is placed in the lithotomy position for the endourological procedures.

| Tabla 1 | The details of the indication | for day case endour | ology in males and females  |
|---------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Table I | The details of the indication | for day case endour | ology in males and remales. |

| Indications                                   | No. of males (%) | No. of females (%) | Total |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------|
| Haematuria                                    | 98 (22.3)        | 57 (47.8)          | 155   |
| Bladder outlet obstruction                    | 101 (23.0)       | 11 (9.2)           | 112   |
| Urethral stricture                            | 87(19.8)         |                    | 87    |
| Check cystoscopy                              | 57(13.0)         | 03 (2.5)           | 60    |
| LUTS-storage symptoms                         | 19(4.3)          | 05 (4.2)           | 24    |
| Vesico-vagina fistula                         |                  | 17(14.3)           | 17    |
| USS bladder mass/bladder tumour               | 16(3.6)          | 03 (2.5)           | 19    |
| Urinary incontinence                          | 05(1.1)          | 12(10.1)           | 17    |
| Pre-prostatectomy assessment                  | 10(2.3)          |                    | 10    |
| Post-prostatectomy obstruction                | 10(2.3)          |                    | 10    |
| Urethral rupture                              | 07(1.6)          |                    | 07    |
| An-ejaculation                                | 05(1.1)          |                    | 05    |
| NFK on IVU/USS-hydronephrosis                 | 03(0.7)          | 02(1.7)            | 05    |
| USS impacted ureteric stone                   | 02(0.5)          | 03 (2.5)           | 05    |
| Neurogenic bladder                            | 04(0.9)          |                    | 04    |
| Faecouria                                     | 03(0.7)          |                    | 03    |
| Meatal/urethral warts                         | 03(0.7)          |                    | 03    |
| Pre-kidney transplant                         | 03(0.7)          |                    | 03    |
| Post-kidney transplant JJ stent removal       | 03(0.7)          |                    | 03    |
| USS bladder stone                             |                  | 02(1.7)            | 02    |
| Post-prostatectomy UTI                        | 02(0.5)          |                    | 02    |
| Ejaculatory duct cyst                         | 01(0.2)          |                    | 01    |
| Removal JJ stent post-incision of ureterocele |                  | 01 (0.8) 01        | 01    |
| Ectopia vesica                                |                  | 01 (0.8) 01        | 01    |
| Sacral agenesis                               |                  | 01 (0.8) 01        | 01    |
| Colo-vesical fistula                          |                  | 01 (0.8) 01        | 01    |
| Haemospermia                                  | 01 (0.2)         |                    | 01    |
| Total                                         | 440              | 119                | 559   |

Data presented as numbers, with percentages in parentheses.

NFK, non-functioning kidney; USS, ultrasound; IVU, intra-venous urogram; UTI, urinary tract infection; LUTS, lower urinary tract symptoms; JJ, double pig tail stent.

# Results

In total, 559 patients had day case endourological procedures and this constituted 28% of the total urological procedures performed in the study period. The overall age ranged from 10 to 88 years with a mean of 52.1 years  $\pm$  17.6 standard deviations. The males were older with a mean age of 53.7 years than the females with a mean age of 46 years. The male to female ratio was 4 to 1.

Eighty five percent of the caudal anaesthesia was administered by senior urologic surgical trainees.

The indications for day case endoscopy (Table 1) in 440 males include bladder outlet obstruction (n = 101, 23.0%), haematuria (n = 98, 22.3%), urethral stricture (n = 87, 19.8%), and check cystoscopy for patients as previously treated bladder tumours (n = 57, 13.0%). While in the 119 females, they are haematuria (n = 57, 47.9%), vesico-vagina fistula (n = 17, 14.3%), and urinary incontinence (n = 12, 10.1%).

Four hundred and thirty eight patients (78.4%) had diagnostic endoscopy mainly urethrocystoscopy (n = 222, 39.7%), cystoscopy alone in 116 patients (20.8%), cystoscopy with biopsy in 46 (8.2%) and urethroscopy alone in 43 (7.7%) (Table 2). Therapeutic endoscopy was performed in 121patients consisting of direct visual internal urethrotomy (DVIU) in 86 cases, cystolitholapaxy in 10, retrograde rigid endoscopic alignment (RREA) in 5, removal of double J-stent and endoscopic valve ablation in one each. Eight patients had

DVIU combined with urethral dilatation and 12 urethroscopies was combined with urethral dilatation. Three DVIU, two RREA and one urethrocystoscopy were abandoned due to protracted urethral bleeding.

The diagnostic value of day case endourological procedure is shown in Table 3; 142 cases of urethral strictures and their locations as against the 87 cases suspected. In both sex the commonest causes of haematuria (n = 155) are bladder tumour (males, 41; females, 33), benign prostate enlargement 1(n = 19), upper urinary tract diseases in the females (n = 14) and bleeding prostate cancer (n = 10).

In Table 4, benign prostate enlargement was responsible for bladder outlet obstruction (BOO) in 60% of cases, followed by bladder neck stenosis (22%) in the men. While in the females of the 11 cases of BOO, 6 were due to meatal stenosis, obstructing extra-vesical mass (n = 3) and bladder neck stenosis (n = 2). Seventeen vesico-vaginal fistulae were confirmed endoscopically among other diagnosis in Table 5.

In Table 6, caudal anaesthesia was administered in 443 patients and topical 2% xylocaine jelly with sedation in 86 patients. Twenty-nine patients had failed caudal block that was augmented with sedation using intramuscular pentazocine (30 mg) and intravenous diazepam 10 mg. This constituted 5.2% failure rate of caudal anaesthesia.

#### Discussion

In this review, endourological procedures constituted 28% of the total urological procedures performed during the study period. This

| Procedures                                      | Males | Females  | Total (% of 559) |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------|----------|------------------|
| Diagnostic endourological procedures performed  |       |          |                  |
| Urethrocystoscopy                               | 181   | 41       | 222 (39.7)       |
| Cystoscopy alone                                | 64    | 52       | 116(20.8)        |
| Cystoscopy + biopsy                             | 27    | 19       | 46(8.2)          |
| Urethroscopy alone                              | 43    |          | 43 (7.7)         |
| Urethroscopy + urethral biopsy                  | 03    |          | 03(0.5)          |
| Cystoscopy + ureteric cannulation               | 02    | 01       | 03(0.5)          |
| Urethroscopy + prostate biopsy                  | 02    |          | 02(0.2)          |
| Cystoscopy + vaginoscopy                        |       | 01       | 01(0.2)          |
| Urethroscopy abandoned <sup>a</sup>             | 01    |          | 01 (0.2)         |
| Cystoscopy $\pm$ ureteric cannulation abandoned |       | 01       | 01 (0.2)         |
| Total                                           | 323   | 115      | 438 (78.4)       |
| Therapeutic endoscopic procedures performed     |       |          |                  |
| DVIU                                            | 86    | 86(15.4) |                  |
| Urethroscopy + dilatation <sup>a</sup>          | 10    | 02       | 12(2.1)          |
| Cystolitholapaxy                                | 08    | 02       | 10(1.8)          |
| RREA                                            | 05    |          | 05(0.9)          |
| DVIU abandoned <sup>a</sup>                     | 03    |          | 03(0.5)          |
| RREA abandoned <sup>a</sup>                     | 02    |          | 02(0.4)          |
| Urethrocystoscopy abandoned <sup>a</sup>        | 01    |          | 01 (0.2)         |
| Removal of JJ stent                             | 01    |          | 01 (0.2)         |
| Endoscopic valve ablation                       | 01    |          | 01 (0.2)         |
| Total                                           | 117   | 04       | 121 (21.6)       |

*Note*: DVIU, direct visual internal urethrotomy; RREA, rigid retrograde endoscopic alignment; JJ, double pig tail stent. Data presented as numbers, with percentages in parentheses.

<sup>a</sup> Failed endoscopic procedure.

# Day case endourology

| Table 3 | Causes of haematuria ( | (n = 155) and typ | es of urethral strictures | diagnosed in males and females. |
|---------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
|         |                        |                   |                           |                                 |

| Male                                          | n  | %    | Female                         | n  | %                |
|-----------------------------------------------|----|------|--------------------------------|----|------------------|
| Bleeding bladder tumour                       | 41 | 41.8 | Bleeding bladder tumour        | 34 | 59.6             |
| Bleeding benign prostate                      | 19 | 19.4 | Upper urinary tract haematuria | 14 | 24.6             |
| Bleeding prostate cancer                      | 10 | 10.2 |                                |    |                  |
| Haemorrhagic cystitis                         | 08 | 8.2  | Haemorrhagic cystitis          | 08 | 14.0             |
| Upper urinary tract haematuria                | 08 | 8.2  |                                |    |                  |
| Schistosomiasis                               | 07 | 7.1  | Schistosomiasis                | 01 | 1.8              |
| Benign prostate + bladder stone               | 05 | 5.1  |                                |    |                  |
| Total                                         | 98 | 100  | Total                          | 57 | 100              |
| Urethral strictures in male                   |    |      |                                |    | ( <i>n</i> = 142 |
| Bulbar urethral stricture                     |    |      |                                |    | 99               |
| Penile urethral stricture                     |    |      |                                |    | 12               |
| Post-urethroplasty stricture                  |    |      |                                |    | 10               |
| Recurrent urethral stricture                  |    |      |                                |    | 10               |
| Post-internal urethrotomy stricture           |    |      |                                |    | 04               |
| Peno-bulbar stricture                         |    |      |                                |    | 03               |
| Pan-urethral stricture                        |    |      |                                |    | 03               |
| Post-prostatectomy stricture                  |    |      |                                |    | 01               |
| Total                                         |    |      |                                |    | 142              |
| %, percentage; <i>n</i> , number of patients. |    |      |                                |    |                  |

is higher than what was previously reported from Nigeria of 20% [4] and 25% [6].

Bladder outlet obstruction due to benign prostate enlargement is a major indication for urethrocystoscopy in this review, and this compares favourably with the experiences at Osogbo and Ile-Ife [4,6]. In addition, a reasonable number of our patients had bladder neck stenosis as the second most common cause of BOO requiring urethrocystoscopy on a day case basis as part of the overall evaluation. Virtually all the bladder neck stenosis was complications of previously performed transvesical prostatectomy, during which efforts at haemostasis often require significant bladder neck ligatures.

In this review, 142 patients with urethral strictures were identified, although only 87 of the patient had a pre-procedure suspicion of a urethral stricture. DVIU was successfully carried out in 86 of these patients at the same time. In a previous study in this unit about a decade ago, 40 patients with urethral strictures were treated with internal optical urethrotomy (I.O.U.) over a 5-year period [7].

| Table 4 | Causes of bladder | outlet obstruction and | d endoscopic findi | ngs of check | cystoscopy in m | ales and females. |
|---------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|         |                   |                        |                    |              |                 |                   |

| Male                                           | (n = 101)    | Female | :                           | (n = 11) |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------|-----------------------------|----------|
| Bladder outlet obstruction $(n = 112)$         |              |        |                             |          |
| Obstructing BPH                                | 61 (60)      | Meatal | stenosis                    | 06 (55)  |
| Bladder neck stenosis                          | 22 (22) Obst |        | cting extra-vesical mass    | 03 (27)  |
| Posterior urethral valve                       | 08 (8)       |        |                             | 02 (18)  |
| Obstructing prostate cancer                    | 05 (5.0)     |        |                             |          |
| Meatal stenosis                                | 05 (5.0)     |        |                             |          |
| Total                                          | 101 (100)    | Total  |                             | 11 (100  |
| Male                                           | n            | %      | Female                      | n        |
| $\overline{\text{Check cystoscopy } (n=60)}$   |              |        |                             |          |
| Patent urethra                                 | 22           | 38.6   |                             |          |
| Recurrent bladder tumour                       | 08           | 14.3   | No recurrent bladder tumour | 03       |
| Prostate residual post-TURP                    | 07           | 12.3   |                             |          |
| Small capacity bladder                         | 05           | 8.8    |                             |          |
| Urethral warts and polyps                      | 05           | 8.8    |                             |          |
| Anejaculation                                  | 04           | 7.0    |                             |          |
| Foreign body in bladder, prostate and urethral | 03           | 5.3    |                             |          |
| End stage renal disease pre-transplant         | 02           | 3.5    |                             |          |
| Non-functioning kidney on IVU                  | 01           | 1.8    |                             |          |
| Total                                          | 57           | 100    |                             | 03       |

TURP, transurethral resection of prostate gland; IVU, intravenous urogram; %, percentage.

# **Table 5** Other endoscopic diagnosis (n - 90)

| Male                            | n  | %    | Female                   | n   | %    |
|---------------------------------|----|------|--------------------------|-----|------|
| Bladder mass (tumour)           | 16 | 38.0 | Vesico-vaginal fistula   | 17  | 35.4 |
| Bladder stone                   | 08 | 19.0 | Small capacity bladder   | 05  | 10.4 |
| Urethral rupture                | 07 | 16.7 | Bladder mass (tumour) 04 | 8.3 |      |
| Impacted ureteric stone         | 03 | 7.1  | Bladder stone            | 04  | 8.3  |
| Post-prostatectomy incontinence | 02 | 4.8  | Uretero-vaginal fistula  | 04  | 8.3  |
| Double JJ stent                 | 02 | 4.8  | Impacted ureteric stone  | 03  | 6.2  |
| Prostato-rectal fistula         | 02 | 4.8  | Uretero-vaginal fistula  | 02  | 4.2  |
| Entero-vesical fistula          | 01 | 2.4  | Cystocele                | 02  | 4.2  |
| Urethral cancer                 | 01 | 2.4  | Normal bladder           | 02  | 4.2  |
|                                 |    |      | Double JJ stent          | 01  | 2.1  |
|                                 |    |      | Left ectopic ureter      | 01  | 2.1  |
|                                 |    |      | Ectopia vesica           | 01  | 2.1  |
|                                 |    |      | Sacral agenesis          | 01  | 2.1  |
|                                 |    |      | Vaginal atresia          | 01  | 2.1  |
| Total                           | 42 | 100  |                          | 48  | 100  |

JJ, double pig tail ureteric stent; n, number of patients.

Minimal invasive approach to the treatment of bladder stones has significantly reduced the morbidity related to the treatment of bladder calculi [8]. A total of 10 patients had endoscopic cystolitholapaxy in this review using the stone punch (Storz). Other minimally invasive techniques to treat bladder calculi include cystolithotripsy and laser lithotrity [9]. The low level of these techniques of treatment in our environment in the past has been due mainly to lack of requisite equipments [4].

The novel technique of rigid retrograde endoscopic realignment (RREA) for treating traumatic posterior urethral disruption was previously reported from Ibadan with a success rate of 80% in the initial 5 patients [10]. In this review, 5 of 7 patients were treated by RREA giving a success rate of 71%. The RREA has become an established technique in our practice with an acceptable outcome.

Onhauser, in 1934 reported the safety, effectiveness, and economic value of caudal block in 21 patients; 14 of which had urological procedures and the remaining proctology [11]. All the patients were given premedication with barbital, a popular sedative at the time. This review, confirms that caudal anaesthesia is quite effective whether given alone or with sedation. The urological senior trainees administered the caudal block anaesthesia in 85% of the cases. The ability of these senior trainees to perform caudal block anaesthesia significantly remove the burden on specialist anaesthetists who are extremely scarce in our environment.

Also, performing cystoscopy under caudal block anaesthesia in the day case unit reduces the overall cost of the procedure compared to performing the procedure in the main hospital theatre (62.5 USD versus 625 USD).

In this study, six procedures namely; three direct visual internal urethrotomies, two rigid retrograde endoscopic alignments and one urethrocystoscopy were abandoned due to protracted urethral bleeding.

Current, endoscopic procedures performed are mainly lower urinary tract because the main indications are lower urinary tract disease. However, five cases of impacted ureteric stones were treated though minimal invasive technique would be preferred [10].

# Table 6 Anaesthesia administered.

| Anaesthesia         | Male | Female | Total | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------|------|--------|-------|----------------|
| Caudal alone        | 24   | 81     | 443   | 79.2           |
| Topical + sedation  | 53   | 33     | 86    | 15.4           |
| Caudal + sedation   | 24   | 05     | 29    | 5.2            |
| General anaesthesia | 01   | 0.2    |       |                |
| Total               | 440  | 119    | 559   | 100%           |

The limitation of this study was that the impacted lower ureteric stones were treated by cystoscopic incision at the ureteric orifice. We are looking forward to introducing endoscopic cystolithotriptors, commencing ureteroscopic surgeries and percutaneous nephrolithotripsy in future.

In conclusion, there is a steady increase in therapeutic lower urinary tract day case endourological practice. The caudal anaesthesia is cheap, safe and beneficial to the patient. The urologic surgical trainee can become versatile in the administration of caudal anaesthetic technique.

#### References

- [1] White PF, Smith I. Ambulatory anesthesia: past, present, and future. International Anesthesiology Clinics 1994;32(3):1-16.
- [2] Khadori N. Antibiotics-past: present, and future. Medical Clinics of North America 2006;90(6):1049-76.
- [3] Powers JH. Antimicrobial drug development-the past, the present, and the future. Clinical Microbiology and Infection 2004;10(S4):23-31.
- [4] Salako AA, Badmus TA, Sowande OA, Adeyemi BA, Nasir AA, Adejuyigbe OA. Endourology in a Nigerian tertiary hospital-current level of practice and challenges. Nigerian Journal of Surgical Research 2005:3-4:268-70
- [5] Dorairajan N, Andappan A, Arun B, Siddharth D, Meena M. Day care surgery in a metropolitan government hospital setting-Indian scenario. International Surgery 2010;95(1):21-6.
- [6] Eziyi AK, Eziyi JAE, Salako AA, Aderounmu AOA. Early experience with endourology at Ladoke Akintola University of Technology teaching hospital: Osogbo. Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice 2010;13(1):24-7.

- [7] Shittu OB. Internal optical urethrotomy in the management of urethral stricture in Nigeria, technique and outcome. African Journal of Urology 2001;7:62–5.
- [8] Ullah S, Chaudhary IA, Masood R. A comparison of open vesicolithotomy and cystolitholapaxy. Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences 2007;23(1):47–50.
- [9] Seth A, Wadhwa SN. Day case urology in a hospital based setting. Journal of the Academy of Hospital Administration 1993;5(2):19–23.
- [10] Olapade-Olaopa EO, Adebayo SA, Atalabi OM, Popoola AA, Ogunmodede IA, Enabulele UF. Rigid retrograde endoscopy under regional anaesthesia: a novel technique for the early realignment of traumatic posterior urethral disruption. African Journal of Medical Sciences 2002;31(3):277–80.
- [11] Onhauser VF. The use of caudal anaesthesia in urology and proctology. Canadian Medical Association Journal 1934;31(1):51–4.