Moyer's method of mixed dentition analysis: a meta-analysis

William Buwembo, Sam Luboga

Makerere University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Anatomy, P.O. BOX 7072, Kampala

ABSTRACT

Background: Mixed dentition analysis forms an essential part of an orthodontic assessment. Moyer's method which is commonly used for this analysis is based on data derived from a Caucasian population. The applicability of tables derived from the data Moyer used to other ethnic groups has been doubted. However no meta-analyses have been done to statistically prove this.

Objective: To assess the applicability of Moyer's method in different ethnic groups.

Study design: A meta-analysis of studies done on other populations using Moyer's method.

Method: The seven articles included in this study were identified by a literature search of Medline (1966-June 2003) using predetermined key words, inclusion and exclusion criteria. 195 articles were reviewed and meta-analyzed.

Results: Overall the correlation coefficients were found to be borderline in variation with a p-value of 0.05. Separation of the articles into Caucasian and Asian groups also gave borderline p-values of 0.05.

Conclusion

Variation in the correlation coefficients of different populations using Moyer's method may fall either side. This implies that Moyer's method of prediction may have population variations. For one to be sure of the accuracy while using Moyer's method it may be safer to develop prediction tables for specific populations. Thus Moyer's method cannot universally be applied without question.

Key words: meta-analysis, mixed dentition analysis, Moyer's method *African Healthe Sciences 2004; 4(1) 45* -

INTRODUCTION

An orthodontic assessment has to be performed before treating an orthodontic patient. In the mixed dentition (temporally and permanent dentition) patients, spacing or crowding of the developing dentition is of prime concern¹. The accumulated sizes of each child's teeth may not be in perfect relationship to the amount of space in the child's dental arches to accommodate the dentition. When the accumulated sizes of the teeth and the perimeter of the arch are not closely correlated a spaced or a crowded dentition results.

The assessment of spacing or crowding of teeth is frequently associated with measurements in the mixed dentition stage because accurate and specific prediction of future dental developmental events can be made at that stage¹. Mixed dentition analysis thus forms an essential part of an orthodontic assessment ¹⁻⁴. This is because it helps to determine the amount of space available (whether

Correspondence author

William Buwembo Makerere University Faculty of Medicine Department of Anatomy TelL 077 414863 E-mail: wbuwembo@yahoo.com available space is necessary for making competent decisions concerning eruption guidance, serial extraction, space maintenance, space regaining and other areas of orthodontic treatment planning³. Different methods for forecasting the sizes of unerupted teeth have been published. A review of the literature using Medline search revealed that three categories of methods are in use to estimate the mesio-

in the mandibular or the maxillary arch) for

accommodation of the incremental permanent teeth, and

for the transitional changes occurring in the mixed dentition

stage ⁵. An accurate estimate of tooth structure versus

These include direct measurement of the width of permanent canine and (first and second) premolars from dental radiographs^{7, 8,9,10,11,12,13} and use of tables to predict the size of permanent canine and (first and second) premolars based on their correlation to the mesio-distal width of the mandibular permanent incisors^{4,5,9,13,14,15,16}. It also includes a combination procedure involving radiographic measurement of the width of un-erupted first and second premolars plus the width of erupted mandibular central and lateral incisor on the same side to obtain a value that can be applied to a table to get the predicted combined width of permanent canines and (first and second) premolars^{11,17}.

distal crown width of un-erupted maxillary or mandibular

canines and premolars in the mixed dentition patients⁶.

Of these methods it is argued that Moyer's method⁴ is more widely used^{18, 19,20}. This is because Moyer's method⁴ has minimal systematic error and the range of such errors is known; can be used with equal reliability by the beginner and the expert, as it does not require sophiscated clinical judgment and saves time. It requires no specific equipment or radiographic projections; may be used for both arches and, although best done on dental casts, it can be done with reasonable accuracy in the mouth.

Although Moyer's method has advantages, it was developed on a Caucasian population. The applicability of this method to populations of other ethnic groups has been studied and doubted^{18,19,20,21}. However no statistical analysis of the findings of these studies is documented. A meta-analysis to assess the applicability of Moyer's method in different ethnic groups is presented.

METHODOLOGY

The articles used in this meta-analysis were obtained by a literature search of Medline (1966-June 2003) using predetermined keywords (table1). Using the titles of articles and, where available, abstracts from Medline search, full-length articles were analyzed. From the references in these articles other relevant literature was accessed through the Sir Albert cook library at the Makerere Medical School. To be included in this meta-analysis the article had to have: used Moyer's method or be very similar; a correlation coefficient and show the number of subjects; used simple regression analysis; used lower mandibular incisors to predict the canine and premolar dimensions. Any articles comparing different methods of mixed dentition analysis were excluded.

Number	Request	Records		
1	Mixed	101,106		
2	Dentition	7,689		
3	Mixed Dentitions	1,332		
4	Analysis	2,008,403		
5	Mixed dentition	195		
	and analysis			

Table 1 The literature search strategy-Medline

RESULTS

One hundred and ninety five articles were identified through a Medline search and ten from the references of the full-length articles. Of these, seven fulfilled the inclusion criteria. The details are given in table 2.

Cited study	Number	Ethnic group	Maxilla (r)	Mandible (r)	
Billard&Wylle ⁹	441	Caucasian		0.64	
Motakawa ²	119	Japanese		0.66	
Tanaka&Johnson ¹⁴	506	Caucasian	0.625	0.648	
Furguson etal ¹⁸	105	American Blacks	0.630	0.706	
Ziberman etal ²³	46	Israel	0.640	0.66	
Keith ²⁰	46/51 (F?M)	Hong Kong Chinese	0.79/0.65 F/M	0.77/0.69 F/M	
Ver-der-merwe ²¹	127/73 (F/M)	South African (Whites)	0.72/0.56 F/M	0.70/0.68 F/M	

I abic », citca staates metaaca m are meta anarysis	Tabl	e 2:	: Cited	studies	included	in	the	meta	analy	ysi
---	------	------	---------	---------	----------	----	-----	------	-------	-----

r=coefficient of correlation

The findings of the met-analysis are given in table 3. **Table 3: The findings of the meta-analysis.**

Subgroup	r-bar	r S 2	S ² _e	S ² _p	S _p	P-value	Degree of freedom
Overall	0.655	0.001597	0.002116	-0.0052	12.07584	P=0.05	6
Maxilla Mandible	0.694 0.646	$0.00436 \\ 0.00104$	$0.004501 \\ 0.001282$	-0.0001 -0.0002	5.81208 5.690461	P=0.05 P=0.05	2 2

r-bar=weighted mean correlation, ^r_{S2=}variance, S²_{e=}sampling error,

 $S_{p=}^{2}$ variance in the population correlation and $S_{p=}$ chi-square (for test of equality)

African Health Sciences Vol 4 No 1 April 2004

By pooling the data (table2) the variance in the population correlation coefficient is not equal to 0. Using Hunter's²⁴ significance test the null hypothesis (Ho: the correlation coefficients are homogeneous) is not rejected. This was done by using Chi square statistics (p=0.05). So the null hypothesis of equal population coefficients is not rejected.

By taking the met-analysis further to the subgroups of the Caucasian and the Asians populations, they both had a p-value of 0.05. This implies that there may be variation in the correlation coefficients of the populations.

DISCUSSION

Meta-analyses can organize results and thereby facilitate new findings, or put old findings in a new perspective^{28.} However they also raise problems. A frequent criticism is about the number of studies included in the meta-analysis. In some cases there a few studies that meet the inclusion criteria. For example in the present study only seven studies did. However inspection of the literature shows that researchers start with a large number of studies and then split them into smaller groupings. For example Wright et al ²⁹ analyzed 13 studies and Tett et al ³⁰ in one of the categories meta-analyzed two studies. So the seven in the current study are reasonable. This is because the analysis gives a good blend of the data from different articles and ethnicity in the current study.

In meta-analysis, well-defined criteria for inclusion of studies are required. The selection of studies is based on strict distinctions such as age. However in the present study age was not considered since mixed dentition analysis is performed on individuals in the same age bracket (the mixed dentition stage). To avoid bias, the present analysis was carried out considering only the criteria for inclusion. In addition the methods of Hunter and Schumidt ^{26,27}used in this meta-analysis are adapted to correct for sources of error such as sampling error and reliability of measurement variables.

Since it became difficult to assess applicability by using data from all the studies included at once, subgroups of Caucasians^{9,14,21} and Asians^{20,22,23} were also meta-analyzed to try and find possible variation within an ethnic group. The African¹⁸ population was not considered in this meta-analysis because only one study fulfilled the inclusion criteria.

The findings of this meta-analysis show that differences may exist between correlation coeffi-

cients in different ethnic groups, since the p-value was 0.05. This is in agreement with studies done on Saudi Arabians¹⁹ in which graphs showed population differences from those derived from the population used by Moyer. The review of Hunter¹ and other studies^{18, 19,20,21}, which doubted the applicability of the findings of Moyer's study to other ethnic groups are further supported by this study. It is also possible that among the same ethnic groups there are no significant differences in the correlation coefficients. Since the findings from isolated studies from populations such as Caucasians from South Africa ²¹ suggest that more accurate prediction results could be obtained from data and tables developed from the population in question and not universally applying Moyer's method. As more populations are developing their own tables the problem of accuracy will eventually be put to rest.

CONCLUSION

Variation in the correlation coefficients of different populations using Moyer's method may fall either side. This implies that Moyer's method of prediction may have population variations. For one to be sure of the accuracy while using Moyer's method it may be safer to develop prediction tables for specific populations. Thus Moyer's method cannot universally be applied without question.

REFERENCES

- 1. Hunter WS. Application of analysis of crowding and spacing of the teeth. *Dental Clinics of North America*1978; 22: 563-577.
- 2. Huckaba G.W. Arch size analysis and tooth size perdition. *Dental Clinics of North America*1964; 8: 431-440.
- 3. Smith HP, King DL and Valencia R. A comparison of three methods of mixed dentition analyses. *The Journal of Pedodontics*1979; 3(4): 291-302.
- 4. Staley RN, O' Gorman TW, Hoag JF and Shelly TH. Prediction of the widths of un-erupted canines and premolars. *Journal of American Dental Association* 1984; 108(2):185-190.
- 5. Moyer RE. Handbook of orthodontics. 4th ed. Chicago: Year Book. 1998; 235-239.
- 6. Staley HP and Hoag J. Prediction of the mesio-distal with of maxillary permanent canines and premolars. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1978; 73(2): 169-77.
- 7. Nance HN. The limitations of orthodontic treatment: Mixed dentition diagnosis and treatment. *American Journal of Orthodontics*1947; 33: 177-223.
- 8. Foster RR. and Wylie WL. Arch length deficiency in the mixed dentition. *American Journal of Orthodontics*1958; 44:464-476.
- 9. Ballard ML, and Wylie W. Mixed dentition case analysisestimating size of un-erupted permanent teeth. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1947; 33: 754-559.
- 10. Cohen M.E. Recognition of the developing Malocclusion. *Dental Clinics of North America*1959; 6: 299-311.

- 11. Hixon EH and Old father RE. Estimation of the size of un-erupted cuspid and bicuspid teeth. *The Angle Orthodontist* 1958; 22:236-240.
- Sim JM. Minor tooth movement in children. 2nd ed. St. Louis: Mosby, 1977: 74-81.
- 13. Moorrees CFA and Reed RB. Correlation among crown diameters of human teeth. *Archives of Oral Biology* 1964; 9 : 685-697.
- 14. Tanaka MM and Johnston LE. The prediction of unerupted canines and premolars in a contemporary population. *Journal of American Dental Association* 1974; 88(4): 798-801.
- 15. Brown JE. Predicting the mesio-distal crown width of un erupted maxillary canines, first and second premolars. M.S. Thesis, University of Tennessee, School of Dentistry, Memphis, 1955.
- Fonsenca CC. Predicting the mesio-distal crown width of the canine-premolar segment of maxillary dental arches. M.S. Thesis, University of Tennessee, School of Dentistry, Memphis, 1961.
- 17. Stahle H. Determination of mesio-distal crown width of un-erupted permanent cuspids and bicuspids. *Helv Odontol Acta* 1959; 3:14-17.
- Ferguson FS, Marco DJ, Sonnenburg EM and Shakun M.L. The use of regression constants in estimating tooth size in the Negro population. *American Journal* of Orthodontics1978; 73(1): 68-72.
- Al-Khadra BH. Prediction of the size of un-erupted canines and premolars in a Saudi Arab population. *American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics* 1993; 104(4): 369-372.
- 20. Yuen KK, Tang EL and So LL. Mixed dentition

analysis for Hong Kong Chinese. *The Angle Orthodontist* 1998; 68(1): 21-28.

- 21. Van-der-Merwe SW, Rossouw P, Van-Wyk-Kotze TJ and Trutero H. An adaptation of the mixed dentition space analysis for a Western Cape Caucasian population. *Journal of Dental Association of South Africa* 1991; 46 (9): 475-9.
- 22. Motokawa W, Onzaki M, Soejima Y and Yoshida Y. A method of mixed dentition analysis in the mandible. *Journal of Dentistry for Children*1987; 54(2):114-118.
- 23. ZibermanY, Koyoumdjisky KE and Vardimon A. Estimation of mesio-distal width of permanent canines and premolars in the early mixed dentition. *Journal of Dental Research*1977; 56(8): 911-915.
- 24. Hunter JE. Met analysis. Sage Publications, Beverly Hills. 1982
- Hunter JE and Schumidt FL. Methods of meta-analysis: correcting error and bias in research findings. Sage, California. 1990
- 26. Field A P. Meta-analysis of correlation coefficients: a Monte Carlo comparison of fixed and random-effects methods. *Psychological Methods* 2001;6(2),161-180
- 27. Rosenthal R. Writing met-analytical reviews. *Psychological Bulletin* 1995; 118:183-192
- 28. Bardsen A. Risk periods associated with the development of dental florosis in maxillary permanent central incisors: a metaanalysis *Acta Odontologisica Scandinevica* 1999; 57:247-256.
- 29. Wright P, Lichtenfels PA, and Pursell ED. The structured interview: Additional studies and a meta-analysis. *Journal of occupational psychology* 1989;62: 191-199.
- 30. Tett RP, Jackson DN, and Rothstein M. Personal measurers as predictors of job performance: A meta-analytical review. *Personal Psychology*1991; 44: 703-741.