

NIGERIAN AGRICULTURAL JOURNAL

ISSN: 0300-368X Volume 49 Number 1, April 2018. Pp. 260-265 Available online at: http://www.ajol.info/index.php/naj

EVALUATION OF YAM-BASED PRODUCTION ENTERPRISES IN NIGERIA

^{1,4}Amaefula A., ²Farquharson, R., ^{2,3}Ramilan, T. and ⁴Asumugha, G.N

¹PhD Candidate University of Melbourne, Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences, Building 142 Royal Parade, VIC 3010, Australia

² University of Melbourne, Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences, Building 142 Royal Parade, VIC 3010, Australia

³ Massey University, New Zealand

⁴National Root Crops Research Institute, Umudike, PMB 7006 Umuahia, Abia State, Nigeria <u>a.amaefula@student.unimelb.edu.au</u> and <u>adamaefula@yahoo.com</u>

ABSTRACT

This research investigated yam based production enterprise in Nigeria to identify the ideal farming system for yam. Data were extracted from 360 respondents in selected major yam-producing States in Nigeria. Data were analysed by the use of descriptive statistics and economic analysis. The result of this investigation reveals that yam production is profitable but it requires a large capital outlay. Yam production was more profitable that other comparable crops. There are infinite cropping systems for yam in Nigeria. All the dominant cropping systems for yam are profitable. Yam/maize intercropping system is the most profitable crop system for yam in Nigeria. The key recommendations from this research are increased investment in yam production; adoption of Yam/Maize intercropping system; and the development of an appropriate yam production technique that will reduce the cost of yam and make its production more profitable.

Introduction

The food situation and the conditions of Nigerian farmers are critical. Nigerian food producers are predominantly resource-constrained poor farmers, who are the most food insecure (Otaha, 2013; World Food Programme, 2015). They are unable to produce adequate food for their families (Otaha, 2013). Adoption of appropriate farming technologies and systems can improve farmer performance. This research assessed Nigerian vam production enterprises to identify improved cropping systems for yam. This project illustrated a more profitable yam production system and improved farmer wellbeing. Yam is a significant crop in Nigeria. It is a highly prized crop in the It has sociocultural, medicinal, country. nutritional and economic values. It is nutritionally superior to comparable crops including sweet potato and taro (Wanasundera

and Ravindran, 1994). Notwithstanding, vam farmers in Nigeria are performing poorly, in particular there is declining yam yield. Yam production in Nigeria is mainly impeded by high costs, unavailability of appropriate planting materials, and over reliance on labour-intensive indigenous vam production methods. These methods are expensive, encourage the use of low materials, quality planting and inhibit mechanization of yam production. There is a need to embrace an ideal farming system for yam in Nigeria. Mechanization of yam farming would reduce the drudgery of yam production but current production practices and varieties use for vam production limited mechanization possibilities (Opara, 2003). Developing the Nigerian farming system would encourage mechanization. This could increase yield and the profitability of yam production. Assessing yam

based production enterprise in Nigeria will lead to the identification of appropriate yam production techniques for a more economically viable yam production. The trend in profitability analysis in Nigeria is usually to determine the economic viability of a particular crop or cropping system in a given region (for example, Asala and Ebukiba, 2016; Ibitoye and Onimisi, 2013). This study evaluated the major cropping systems for yam in Nigeria to identify the most profitable intercrop. It also compared the profitability of the main crops in yam production systems.

Methodology

A multi-stage random sampling technique was used to elicit data from Nigerian yam farmers for yam production. Data were extracted from three hundred and sixty respondents by the use of a well-structured questionnaire and by direct Three yam-producing observation. agroecological zones were randomly selected. Three States producing a minimum of 1.2 million metric tonnes of yam per annum were randomly selected from the zones. The States were Benue, Enugu and Ondo, from different geographical zones. The next stage of sampling involved randomly selecting two major yam-producing Local Government Areas (LGAs) from each State. The LGAs selected were Buruku and Katsina-ala in Benue State, Nkanu-east and Uzo-Uwani in Enugu State, and Owo and Ose in Ondo State. Sixty respondents were randomly selected from each LGA, making a total of three hundred and sixty farmers.Data collected include input and output data for the farm activities. Data were also

Table 1: Number of intercrops for yam in Nigeria

economic results were compared using Gross Margin (GM) analysis to determine the profitability of yam, and to evaluate the cropping systems for yam in Nigeria. This analysis used information obtained from the three sampled States to represent the entire country. From the sampling technique used for this project, aggregated data from the States is a good representative of the country. Each yamproducing State had equal chance of being selected. There were one hundred and twenty respondents from each State and three hundred and sixty for the country.

collected on prices, and cropping systems.

Descriptive statistics were used to describe the

different yam production systems.Farm-level

Results and Discussion

Cropping systems for yam in Nigeria

Yam is mainly intercropped in Nigeria. There are numerous cropping systems for yam in Nigeria. Table 1 displays the number of intercrops for yam in Nigeria. It revealed that there were over 100 cropping systems identified for yam in the country. Ondo State had the highest number of yam intercrops and fewest in Benue State. Table 2 presents the percentage crop combinations for yam in Nigeria. It shows that there are mainly two to four crop combinations for yam in Nigeria. However, some yam farmers practice sole cropping. There are more farmers practicing monocropping in Benue than in other State. Most farmers in the State combine only two crops. The majority of farmers in Ondo had four crop Yam farmers in Enugu State combinations. mainly combine five crops.

Regions	Nigeria	Benue	Enugu	Ondo
Number of intercrops	108	25	50	57
Maximum number of combined crops	7	5	6	7
Source: Field survey, 2013				

Number of crops	Nigeria (%)	Benue (%)	Enugu (%)	Ondo (%)
1	17.8	35.6	10.8	7.8
2	21.7	39.4	11.7	14.4
3	18.8	17.4	15.8	22.4
4	21.7	5.3	27.5	31.2
5	17.3	2.3	31.7	19
6	2.5	0	2.5	4.5
7	0.2	0	0	0.7

Table 2: Percentage crop combination for yam in Nigeria

Source: Field survey, 2013

Surprisingly, yam alone is the dominant cropping system for yam in Nigeria, especially in Benue State (Table 3). Although, we have earlier reported that yam is mostly intercropped in Nigeria. A further investigation of the various cropping systems indicates that yam alone is the predominant cropping system for yam in Nigeria. This is due to the large number of crop combinations for yam in the country. Yam is primarily intercropped with cassava in Nigeria. Other major intercrops for yam in Nigeria include Yam/Maize, Cassava/ Yam/ Maize, Yam/Cassava/ Pepper and Yam /Maize/ Pepper (Table 3)

Enugu (%)

10.8

2.5

7.5

4.2

0

0

5.8

5.8

5

0

0

0

0

Ondo (%)

7.7

4.6

7.2

2.6

3.3

2.6

0.7

5.9

4.6

5.2

0

4

0

Table 3: Major cropping systems for yam in Nigeria					
Cropping system	Nigeria	Benue (%)			
	(%)				
Yam only	17.8	35.6			

14.3

5.4

2.5

1.5

2.2

1.9

1.5

2.2

1.9

1.7

2

1

36.4

1.5

0.8

4.5

3

0

0

0

0

0

0

0.8

Source:	Field	survey,	2013
---------	-------	---------	------

Yam/ Cassava/ Melon /Pepper

Yam/ Cassava/Melon/Maize/Pepper

Yam/Cassava

Yam /Maize

Yam/Cassava/Maize

Yam/Cassava/Okro

Yam/Cassava/Pepper

Yam/Fluted pumpkin

Yam/Maize/Pepper

Yam/ Pepper

Yam/ Cassava/Okro/Melon

Yam/ Maize/ Melon/ Okro

Profitability of selected root and tuber crops

In this investigation, we assume that all commodities produced were sold. An economic evaluation of the major crops in yam production enterprise demonstrates that yam production is more profitable than other comparable crops. However, a large capital outlay is required (Table 4). It has a higher GM than other root and tuber crops. This indicates that it is more profitable than other root and tuber crops. Farmers generate more income per hectare yam production than from other root and tuber crops. However, an economic analysis of the crops based on return per Naira shows that cassava is the most economically viable root and tuber crop. It had a return per Naira of 1.93. This implies that cassava is profitable by returning $\mathbb{N}1.93$ for every $\mathbb{N}1$ invested. Yam has a return per Naira of 1.82. This shows that it is profitable by returning $\mathbb{N}1.82$ for every $\mathbb{N}1$ invested.

Table	4 :	Economic	evaluation	of	selected	root	and	tuber	crops
-------	------------	----------	------------	----	----------	------	-----	-------	-------

Yam	Cassava	Sweet potato	Cocoyam
901,399	375,296	319,567	314,465
72,631	25,715	15,267	58,806
16,779	10,921	14,379	7,813
53,219	-	-	-
17,423	2,587	1,988	23,203
8,127	1,826	2,833	7,614
9,377	1,562	5,636	8,280
69,717	61,618	61,632	62,958
16,352	10,258	7,165	10,795
3,848	4,505	4,330	5,654
4,910	-	-	1,167
32,727	-	-	-
7,464	4,443	998	3,915
91,146	50,569	49,597	34,043
92,738	20,437	39,614	20,190
496,458	194,442	203,439	244,439
404,941	180,854	116,128	70,026
1.82	1.93	1.57	1.29
	Yam 901,399 72,631 16,779 53,219 17,423 8,127 9,377 69,717 16,352 3,848 4,910 32,727 7,464 91,146 92,738 496,458 404,941 1.82	YamCassava901,399375,29672,63125,71516,77910,92153,219-17,4232,5878,1271,8269,3771,56269,71761,61816,35210,2583,8484,5054,910-32,727-7,4644,44391,14650,56992,73820,437496,458194,442404,941180,8541.821.93	YamCassavaSweet potato $901,399$ $375,296$ $319,567$ $72,631$ $25,715$ $15,267$ $16,779$ $10,921$ $14,379$ $53,219$ $17,423$ $2,587$ $1,988$ $8,127$ $1,826$ $2,833$ $9,377$ $1,562$ $5,636$ $69,717$ $61,618$ $61,632$ $16,352$ $10,258$ $7,165$ $3,848$ $4,505$ $4,330$ $4,910$ $7,464$ $4,443$ 998 $91,146$ $50,569$ $49,597$ $92,738$ $20,437$ $39,614$ $496,458$ $194,442$ $203,439$ $404,941$ $180,854$ $116,128$ 1.82 1.93 1.57

Source: Field survey, 2013 Note: 1 USD = N160

Evaluation of the major crops in yam production system

Although yam production in Nigeria is more expensive than other crops, yam production is relatively profitable. An economic evaluation of the major crops in yam production enterprise demonstrates that has a higher GM than the other crops (Table 5). Yam farmers generated more money relative to farm size. Comparing the enterprises on return per Naira indicates that pepper production is most profitable. It had a higher return per Naira (2.10). This signifies a return of $\mathbb{N}2.10$ for every $\mathbb{N}1$ invested in pepper production.

Table	5:	Gross	margin	of	major	crops	in	yam	production	enterprise
-------	----	-------	--------	----	-------	-------	----	-----	------------	------------

Items	Yam (N)	Cassava	Pepper	Maize	Okro	Melon
		(N)				
Revenue (N /ha) (A)	901,399	375,296	350,116	295,516	196,280	236,638
Planting material for yam	72,631	25,715	8,752	8,987	7,777	9,557
Fertilizer for yam	16,779	10,921	10,128	10,995	14,192	7,342
Staking material N /ha	53,219	-	-	-	-	-
Organic manure for yam	17,423	2,587	9,245	8,313	8,436	5,648
Pesticide for yam	8,127	1,826	7,763	8,769	8,081	4,609
Herbicide for yam	9,377	1,562	7,155	6,029	7,353	5,020
Land preparation	69,717	61,618	64,778	61,732	63,367	59,573
Planting	16,352	10,258	8,341	9,366	8,575	10,403
Fertilizer application	3,848	4,505	7,070	8,681	6,521	6,540
Mulching	4,910	-	-	-	-	-
Staking	32,727	-	-	-	-	-
Chemical application	7,464	4,443	5,276	18,126	4,553	3,915
Weeding	91,146	50,569	26,025	32,828	29,391	28,099
Harvesting	92,738	20,437	11,808	20,273	12,069	21,037
Variable cost (B)	496,458	194,442	166,342	194,098	170,314	161,744
GM=A-B	404,941	180,854	183,774	101,419	25,966	74,895
Return per Naira	1.82	1.93	2.10	1.52	1.15	1.46
Source: Field survey, 2013						

Note: 1 US $\$ = \frac{N}{160}$

Economic analysis of the major cropping systems for yam in Nigeria

The result of this investigation detects that all the dominant yam cropping systems are profitable (Table 6). Yam /Maize intercrop was the most profitable cropping system. It had the highest Net Return and Return per Naira (2.76). It returns $\frac{1}{2}$? for every $\frac{1}{2}$ invested. Yam/cassava /maize intercrop was the least profitable cropping

system. It had the lowest profit and return per Naira (1.75). This signifies a return of \$1.75 for every \$1 invested in Yam/Cassava /Maize intercrop. This research also reveals that sole yam is more profitable than Yam/Cassava intercrop. It had a Net Return of 2.65. This indicates that it is profitable by returning \$2.65 to every \$1invested.

Table 6. A comparative economic analysis of major vam cronning systems in Nige	•
Tuble 0, It comparative contonne anarysis of major yann cropping systems in the	ria

	Yam	Yam/Cassava	Yam/Maize	Yam /Cassava/Maize
Revenue Yam	1,225,576	841,534	1,170,267	886,871
Revenue from cassava	-	328,214	-	296,450
Revenue from maize	-	-	326,282	186,925
Total revenue (A)	1,225,576	1,169,749	1,496,549	1,370,246
rent	15,416	15,315	15,124	15,026
Depreciated cost of capital	1,484	4,770	3,554	7,226
Price of planting material	60,625	84,065	83,663	100,282
stakes N /ha	86,813	46,963	82,692	412,134
Fertilizer	0	23,241	19,731	16,778
Organic manure	6,000	0	0	0
Herbicide	12,000	1,980	1,846	5,580
pesticide	0	1,563	0	157,985
Land preparation	62,794.79	91,542	69,717	99,684
Planting	15,953	28,700	20,471	39,065
weeding	101,462	133,492	126,300	155,691
Harvesting	99,875	126,917	118,154	146651
TFC	16,900	20,085	18,678	22,252
TVC	445,523	538,464	522,575	762,930
TC (B)	462,423	558,549	541,254	785,182
profit (A-B)	763,153	611,200	955,296	585,064
Return per Naira	2.65	2.09	2.76	1.75

Source: Field survey, 2013

Note: 1 US $\$ = \frac{1}{2}$ **Note:** 1

Conclusion

The study noted the numerous number of possible crop combinations for vam in Nigeria. Yam/Maize is the most profitable cropping system for yam in Nigeria. The research also shows that yam production is profitable but a large capital outlay is required. This shows that farmers will make more profit relative to farm size if they increased investment in yam production. Nigerian farmers have small farms, and so investing in yam production should enable them make more profit and escape poverty. Although cassava has a higher return per naira than yam, since an average Nigerian has small farm, it is advisable for the farmers to invest in yam production for more effective and profitable use of land. Embracing Yam/Maize intercrop and increased investment in yam production can

contribute to improving the poverty status in Nigeria. Reduction in the number of crop combinations for yam will contribute to improving the performance of yam farmers. Developing an alternative yam production method that will reduce the cost of yam will make its production more profitable.

References

- Asala S. W. and Ebukiba E. S. (2016) Profitability of yam production in Southern Guinea Savanna zone of Nigeria *Net Journal of Agricultural Science*, 4(1):9-14
- Ibitoye, S. J. and Onimisi, J. A. (2013). Economic assessment of yam production in Kabba-Bunu Local Government Area of Kogi State, Nigeria *Journal of Development and*

Agricultural Economics, *5*(11), 470-475. doi:10.5897/JDAE2013.0484

- Opara, L. U. (2003). Yams: Post Harvest Operation. . Retrieved 20 July, 2015, from <u>http://www.fao.org/inpho/content</u> /compend/text/ch24_02.htm
- Otaha, I. J. (2013). Food Insecurity in Nigeria: Way Forward. An International

Multidisciplinary Journal, Ethiopi, 7(4):26-35. doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/afrrev.7i4.2</u>

- Wanasundera, J. P. D. and Ravindran, G. (1994). Nutritional assessment of yam (Dioscorea alata) tubers. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition, 46*:33-39.
- World Food Programme. (2015). Who are the hungry? *Hunger*. Retrieved 8 July, 2015, from https://www.wfp.org/hunger/who-are