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#### Abstract

The study was on Economic analysis of horticultural enterprises in Yenagoa metropolis of Bayelsa State, Nigeria. A total of 8 horticultural enterprises were chosen through a pilot survey to form the sample size. Results show that horticultural farming is an activity for both males and females. A greater number of the horticultural farmers, who were married with an average household size of 5, fall within the age range of $31-40$ years, which inferred that horticultural farming is not an activity of the teenage and also not for the aged. Majority of the horticultural farmers acquired formal education in order to meet the technical demands of the business. Results also show that majority of the horticultural farmers engage in full time farming with 1-5 years experience. They do not have access to extension services and formal borrowing of capital in spite of the existing commercial banks in the study area. Most of them do not belong to farmers co-operative or association. They acquired land by lease and employ both hired and family labour. They engage in nursing and pinning propagation methods and involve mainly floriculture and ornamental plant practices. The result shows that horticultural enterprises incurred fixed cost of $¥ 34,012.50$, variable cost of $¥ 74,297.56$, with a total cost of $¥ 108,310.06$, and realised a total revenue of $\# 366,813.00$ and an estimated Net Farm Income of $\ddagger 258,502.94$, which implies that horticultural farming in Yenagoa metropolis of Bayelsa State is a profitable venture.
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## INTRODUCTION

Attempts towards employment, wealth creation, agricultural growth and development in Nigeria have witnessed the mobilisation and adoption of various agricultural development strategies. Yet, there exist the dilemma in the horticultural business particularly in states such as Bayelsa, as the State is still at its nasent stage of develoment. In places such as Britain, many of horticulture jobs are in the rural areas, and horticulture sustains many additional jobs, in industries such as fertilizer and compost production, and in supply chain industries such as food packaging and processing (Stiegert, 2008). Horticulturist are employed not only in the more typical plant nurseries, green house, public parks, vegetable fields and golf courses, but also in hospitals (horticultural therapy), aerospace, food and recycling in space labs and zoos (managing environments for animals and visitors). According to Stiegert (2008) and UWCAL, (2009) horticulturists are responsible for the cultivation of vegetable fruits, and salad crops that contributes to the quality of our diet, and flowers, bulbs, shrubs, and trees to enrich our environment. According to Hansel, (2011), horticulturist maintains the parks and open spaces in our towns and cities, providing the much needed space away from the pressure of urban living. Horticulturists construct and manage the landscape around office, Business Park, retails areas, and road development, improving the environment in which we live and work. The Horticultural industry is estimated to worth 1.2 billion pounds to the British Economy. It provides regular employment for over 37,000 people in the United Kingdom and 20,000 people in the United States of America (USA) and 6,000 people in
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Ireland. Horticulture as a science, has four components or branches such as; pomology, oleiriculture, floriculture and ornamentals. Notwithstanding, the Horticultural industry has never had the kind of Government support available to Agriculture to buffer it from the ups and downs of the economy (Brenda, 2009). The Nigerian agro-economy is not an exception. The Horticultural industry in the Nigerian agro-economy has great potentials and if positioned properly, will meet the government policy on environment and beautification of the Yenagoa metropolis of Bayelsa State as well as an avenue for employment and wealth creation for investors and would-be investors. Thus, it is important to view comprehensively the characteristics of these enterprises which include examining their level of operation and practices, costs and returns status and problems affecting the industry. However, there is dearth of information on the economics of horticultural enterprises in the state. Hence this study becomes relevant.

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study was carried out in Yenagoa metropolis of Bayelsa State, located within latitudes $4^{\circ} 45 \mathrm{~N}$ and $5^{\circ} 23 \mathrm{~S}$ and Longitudes $5^{\circ} 15 \mathrm{E}$ and $6^{\circ} 45 \mathrm{E}$. Yenagoa Local Government Area constitutes a population of 353,344 (National Population Census 2006). The State however lies within the rainforest zone, with a humid equatorial climate and mean annual rainfall ranging from $2,000 \mathrm{~mm}$ to $4,000 \mathrm{~mm}$ and alternating rainy (March-November) and dry (December to February) season, featuring a short dry period between July and September (August break). Maximum average temperature is $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a relative humidity between 55 and 90 percent, depending on season and location. The major occupation of the people are fishing, farming and trading. Other means of livelihood includes hunting, lumbering, distillation, palm oil milling, building, weaving and salt production (Alagoa 1999).

A pilot survey was carried out in Yenagoa metropolis of Bayelsa State and eight (8) horticultural firms which formed the sample frame were selected to form the sample size for the purpose of this study. The data collected for this study were analyzed by means of descriptive statistical tools and budgetary analysis. Budgetary analysis involves estimation of gross revenue and total cost of production. The difference between these two estimates gives a measure of the net income. Mathematically, the budgetary model was expressed as:

NAI = GAR - TC
Where: NAI= Estimated Net Annual Income from horticulture production;
GAR= Estimated Gross Annual Revenue accruing from horticulture production;
TC= Estimated Total Cost of horticulture production;
But TC $=\mathrm{TFC}+\mathrm{TVC}$
Where: TFC = Total Fixed Cost, TVC= Total Variable.
From (1) and (2);
$\mathrm{TC}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{P}^{1}{ }_{1} \mathrm{X}_{1}+\mathrm{P}^{1}{ }_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}+\ldots \ldots . .+\mathrm{P}^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{a}+\sum_{\mathrm{l}=1} \mathrm{Pl}^{1}{ }_{i} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}$,
$\mathrm{GAR}=\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{Y}_{1}+\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{2}+\ldots \ldots \ldots . .+\mathrm{PnYn}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Yi}$.
$\mathrm{a}=\quad$ Average annual fixed costs;
$P_{i}^{1}=$ Average unit price of given production input employed per annum by the ith horticulture enterprise;
$\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ Average amount of given production input employed per annum by the ith horticulture enterprise;
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}=\quad$ Average unit price of horticulture produced per annum by the ith horticulture enterprise;
$\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}=\quad$ Average amount of horticulture produced per annum by the ith horticulture enterprise.
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## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results show that horticultural farming is an activity for both males and females. A greater number of the horticultural farmers, who were married with an average household size of 5, fall within the age range of $31-40$ years, which inferred that horticultural farming is not an activity of the teenage and also not for the aged. Majority of the horticultural farmers acquired formal education in order to meet the technical demands of the business. Results also show that majority of the horticultural farmers engage in full time farming with 1-5 years experience. They do not have access to extension services and formal borrowing of capital in spite of the existing commercial banks in the study area. Most of them do not belong to farmers co-operative or association. The farmers acquired compound plot size by lease and employ both hired and family labour. They engage in nursing and pinning propagation methods and involve mainly floriculture and ornamental plant practices. The result shows that horticultural enterprises incurred fixed cost of $\ddagger 34,012.50$, variable cost of $\equiv 74,297.56$, with a total cost of $¥ 108,310.06$, and realised a total revenue of $£ 366,813.00$ and an estimated Net Farm Income of $£ 258,502.94$, which implies that horticultural farming in Yenagoa metropolis of Bayelsa State is profitable. Major problems include Flooding, weed disturbance and shortage of water during dry season.

Table 1: Socio-economic Characteristics of Respondents.

| Social characteristics | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender |  |  |
| Male | 5 | 62.5 |
| Female | 3 | 37.5 |
| Age (Years) |  |  |
| $\leq 20$ | - |  |
| 21-30 | 2 | 25.0 |
| 31-40 | 6 | 75.0 |
| 41-50 | - | - |
| $\geq 51$ | - | - |
| Marital status |  |  |
| Single | 3 | 37.5 |
| Married | 5 | 62.5 |
| Divorced | - | - |
| Educational status |  |  |
| No formal Education | 1 | 12.5 |
| Primary Education | 1 | 12.5 |
| Secondary Education | 5 | 62.5 |
| Tertiary Education | 1 | 12.5 |
| Experience ( Years) |  |  |
| $\leq 5$ | 5 | 62.5 |
| 6-10 | 2 | 25.0 |
| 11-15 | - | - |
| 16-20 | - | - |
| $\geq 21$ | 1 | 12.5 |
| Source of capital |  |  |
| Personal Savings | 8 | 100.0 |
| Cooperation loan | - | - |
| Friends/Relatives | - | - |

Extension service
No ..... 1 ..... 12.5
Yes 7 ..... 87.5
Type of Labour

Hired 4 ..... 50.0
Family ..... 12.5
Both ..... 37.5
Farmer Association
Yes 3 ..... 37.5
No 5 ..... 62.5
Horticultural Plants Practices
Floriculture ..... 50.0
Ornamental ..... 50.0
4
Pomology ..... -
Method of Cultivation Nursing 2 ..... 25.0
Nursing \& Pinning ..... 5 ..... 62.5
Pinning ..... 1 ..... 12.5

## Table 2 Cost and Returns per Annum

|  | Cost Items | Qty | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| A. | Fixed Cost |  |  |  |
| (a) | Rent on compound plot |  | $1,250.00$ | $1,250.00$ |
| (b) | Improvised Store/green house 1 | $14,437.50$ | $14,437.50$ |  |
|  | Sub-Total |  | $15,687.50$ |  |
| (c) | Cost of tools |  |  |  |
|  | Cutlass | 2 | 812.50 | $1,625.00$ |
|  | Knives | 2 | 206.25 | 412.50 |
|  | Watering can/bucket | 2 | 962.50 | 1925.00 |
|  | Hoes | 2 | 175.00 | 350.00 |
|  | Rakes | 1 | 393.75 | 393.75 |
|  | Shovels | 2 | $1,562.50$ | $3,125.00$ |
|  | Spades | 1 | 373.00 | 373.00 |
|  | Scissors | 4 | 318.75 | $1,275.00$ |
|  | Wheelbarrow | 3 | $1,743.75$ | $5,331.25$ |
|  | Cutters | 2 | $1,012.50$ | $2,025.00$ |
|  | Watering hose | 1 | $1,587.50$ | $1,587.50$ |
|  | Sub-Total |  |  | $18,325.00$ |
| B. | Variable Cost | 1,787 | 24.38 |  |
| (a) | Seedlings |  |  | $43,567.06$ |


| (b) | Hired Labour | 2 | $9,437.50$ | $18,875.00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (c) | Family labour | - | - | - |
| (d) | Cost of Manure or top soil | 2 tippers | $11,837.50$ | $11,837.50$ |
|  | Sub-Total |  |  | $74,297.56$ |
| C. | Total Cost (A + B) | $=$ | $108,310.06$ |  |
| D. | Total Revenue | $=$ | $366,813.00$ |  |
| E. | Net Income | $=$ | $258,502.94$ |  |

Source: Field Survey Data May 2011

Table 3: Estimated Cost/Prices of Types of Seedlings or Flowers

Types of Seedlings
Queen Sago
Tropical Rose
Ixoria
Lady Palm
African Violets
Centipede grass
Habiscus Quantity

10
41
144
50
1
4
18
103
63
378
114
8
46
308
2
12
138
Togo Fucus
Queens Palm
Sycah Palm
Living Forrie
Green Bush
Tugar
100
269
26
10
100
Lautena
6
14
4
13
Queen of the night
6
Livistonia Palm 4
Pride of babados 1
Queen of phillipines 3
Murayer 38
Flames of forest
19
13
Alava Caria - excelsa
1
Bouganivillea -Glabra 5187.50
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Cucas - Circinals } & 1 & 250.00\end{array}$
Dombey - Xseminole $38 \quad 25.00$
Dervis - Trifolia $25 \quad 62.50$

Unit Price
250.00
50.00
162.50
125.00
50.00
62.50
187.50
425.00
125.00
87.50
437.50
187.50
300.00
162.50
187.50
300.00
31.25
312.50
200.00
106.25
125.00
12.50
25.00
75.00
62.50
62.50
187.50
100.00
37.50
187.50
25.00
62.50
125.00

3,125.00

| Eremodiba-Aphlodies | 3 | 62.50 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Rhapis - Excelsa | 63 | 12.50 |
| Roystone - elate | 38 | 187.50 |

Source: Field Survey Data, May 2011

## CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Since horticultural farming is a profitable business in Yenagoa metropolis of Bayelsa State, government should encourage public enlightenment on importance of compound and street beautification, construction of drainage systems, proper irrigation measures, provision of weedicide, and extension services on modern techniques and innovation. Government and other financial institutions should as a matter of policy assist by providing loans or subsidy to investors.
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