Concordia Seminary - Saint Louis

Scholarly Resources from Concordia Seminary

Bachelor of Divinity

Concordia Seminary Scholarship

4-12-1935

The Diety of Christ According to the Epistle to the Hebrews

Thomas Coates Concordia Seminary, St. Louis, ir_frenzelc@csl.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.csl.edu/bdiv

Digitalrt of the Religious Thought, Theology and Philosophy of Religion Commons

Commons

Network Recommended Citation

Logo Coates, Thomas, "The Diety of Christ According to the Epistle to the Hebrews" (1935). *Bachelor of Divinity*.

https://scholar.csl.edu/bdiv/749

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Concordia Seminary Scholarship at Scholarly Resources from Concordia Seminary. It has been accepted for inclusion in Bachelor of Divinity by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Resources from Concordia Seminary. For more information, please contact seitzw@csl.edu.

THE DEITY OF CHRIST

ACCORDING TO

telle in the Payment is not of the sand

THE EPISTLE TO THE KEBREVS

to parties and the at a terraneous AF they see the less than the

An essey presented to the Feculty of Concordie Seminery, St. Louis, Missouri, in completion of the requirements for the degree of Bechelor of Divinity,

by:

Thomas Coates,

Duluth, Linnesota,

April 12, 1935.

Offmed R.Gailer B. Arredt May 20, 1835.

Introduction

The Epistle to the Mebrews is one of the most unique and interesting books of the inspired canon of the New Testement, and certainly one of the richest in feetrimal content. Because it is such an outstanding book, both as to its theelegical import and as to its classical and mesterin literary style, it is all the more strange that the authorship of the Epistle has remained shroused in mystery. Among the names mentioned as the possible author have been those of Inke, Bernabas, Clement of Alexandria, Apollos, Silvenus, Aquila and Priscilla, and especially Paul. The Pauline suthership, however, is rendered quite imprebable by the following considerations: 1. The style is totally different from that of Paul's epistles: the Epistle to Rebrows is difficult both linguistically and symbolically. 2. The Epistle to the Bebrews quotes the IXX, while Paul always quotes or translates directly from the original. 3. The comparison of Hobrews 2,3, where the writer says that the salvation spoken of by the Lord "was confirmed unto us by them that heard him, with Galatiens 1.12.17, where Paul expressly states: "For I neither received it (the Gospel) of any man, meither was I taught it, but by the reveletion of Jesus Christ. .. Meither went I up to Jerusalem to them which were apostles before me; but I went into Arabia, and returned egain unto Demascus."

Although it is unlikely, in view of the above mentioned considerations, that the Epistle was written by Paul, yet the author was certainly a member of Paul's inner circle, as is evident both from internal and external considerations with regard the the Letter. But the authorship of the Epistle is not of paramount importance for either the proper estimation or understanding thereof, and we may well share the sentiment to which Bruce gives expression: "We may therefore rest content that the name of the writer should remain unknown, and even find a

certain satisfection in the reflection that anonymity is a not incongruous attribute of a writing which begins by virtually proclaiming God to be the only Speaker in Scripture and Jesus Christ to be the one Speaker of God's final revolution to mon."

The title and the contents of the Epistle prove that the addressess were Jewish Christians, and the emphasis placed on the temple worship points to the fact that they were residents of Palestine, especially of Jerusalem. The letter constitutes a warning to the Jowish Christians to remain steedfast in their adherence to Christ, amid all the vicissitudes of life. They should not relapse into their former mode of worship, naturally meaningful and dear to them as it was, for Christ is the fulfilment of the Old Testement symbolism, and the new covenant is superior to the old. The Epistle was probably written from Italy about 66 A.D., with 68 as the terminus ad quem, since later than that the temple worship was no longer in practice.

The Epistle is a veritable compendium of Christology, and/Deity of Christ is anaxaf the presentation which the Epistle presents; Indeed, this theme runs like a golden throad throughout the entire letter. The eternal Son, the incremate Research, the great High Priest, seated at the right hand of the Majesty on high is the exalted Subject to whom the entire book is dedicated. It would be difficult to find a more comprehensive or more convincing array of testimony to His Deity than that which this Epistle presents.

It is to this momentous and divincly solemn topic of the Deity of Christ as presented in the Epistle to Rebrows that the present essay is devoted. In the treatment of this subject, we shall discuss the doctrinal portion of the Epistle in its entirety, but we shall not enter into a consideration of the hortetory sections, since these do not fall within the scope of this essay.

We submit that the Deity of Christ, as presented in this Epistle, is proved: I. By His preeminent position as the Mediator of Revolution; II. By His divine work of Atomement as the great High Priest.

I. THE ETERNAL SON

The profundity and sublimity of the exalted subject upon which superior to the the author intends to instruct his readers is evident from the very prophets outset of the letter. The spostle does not begin with the the customery epistolary introduction, but immediately plunges in medias res, opening his discourse with a majestically impressive and rhythmically rounded poind, which comprehends all the main thoughts of the Epistle. This is, so to speck, the portice of an august temple, its weighty clauses being a row of stately erasmental pillars supported the roof. This temple front has an imposing aspect. It fills the mind with swe, and disposes one to enter the sacred edifice in religious silence. (Bruce, p.26).

With telling force the author begins by contrasting the revelation of God through the prophets of old with His new and more excellent revelation through His Son: "God, who at sundry times and in divers manners spake in time past unto the fathers by (in) the prophets, Hath in these last days spoken unto us by His Son."

The revelation which God imparted in former times (PAIAI), namely, in the Old Testement era, was given that sundry times and in divers manners, to provide the sundry times and in divers manners, to provide the sundry times during the course of the Old Mestement history. Moreover, God made known His Word to the fathers in various forms and modes of revelation. Hence, the fathers did not receive the revelation of God in its entirety, but their knowledge and understanding of the plan of God and of the coming of the Messich progressed and became clearer in proportion to the extent and manner in which God revealed His will. As one commentator has very strikingly declared: "Theywere like men listening to a clock striking, always getting measure the truth, but obliged to wait until the whole was heard."

Prophets," EN TOIS PROPHETAIS. God did not speak to His people immediately, but through the medium of the prophets. The author very evidently does not here use the term "prophets" in the marrower sense of the word, but rather includes the whole body of Old Testament revelation. The inspired writers of the Old Testament Scriptures were God's mouthplece and instruments, in and through whom God spoke unto the fathers.

Now, the olden reveletion dere not be dispersed or belittled. It was indeed the reveletion of God Himself; the Old Tostament Scriptures are indeed the verbally inspired Worf of the Lord; they have indeed formed a sakutary and indispensable part of the all-wise economy of God. This much cannot and dare not be decied.

But in comparison with the new reveletion which God has greated through His Son, they are as the pale light of the moon when compared with the glorious and radient brightness of the sun.

Therefore, after delineating the revelation of "tige past," the author declares by way of contrast: "God...hath in these last days spoken unto us by His Son." At once the superiority of the new revelation becomes evident. Whereas the Old Testament revelation was manifold and variegated in form and nature, the revelation which God has given to men "in these last days," EP. ESCHATWH TWN HEIGHTH, nomely, in the "ew Testament era, is a unit. For, instead of speaking unto us by the prophets, as He did of old, God has new spoken unto us by His Son, EN HYIW. The Son is regarded as the only speaker of the new dispensation; the apostles who wrote the inspired books of the New Testament canon are really only witnesses, only echoes of His voice.

The appellation "Son," used here without the article (as BAR in Pseln 2,12), has the force of a proper name, signifying Jesus Christ, the Mediator of the New Testament, op. Hob. 7,28.

<

The fact that the now revolution has been imported through the <u>Son</u> serves to emphasize its transcendent superiority. To be sure, it is only the Son who can be the <u>Mediator</u> of a perfect, complete revolution. And in speaking through His Son, the Father has invested His Word with full authority. When the Son has spoken, no more remains to be said. "No man hath seen God at any time; the only-begetten Son, which is in the bosom of the Father. He hath declared Him." John 1.18.

Having stated the fact that God's revelation in these last days has been given through His Son, the inspired writer goes on, in vv.2 and 3, to present a detailed description of that Son. And this description, is so clear, so unmistakelle, so positive, so comprehensive in its declaration of the Deitys of Christ, that if we had no other information concerning His person and work than this, this description alone would suffice to convince us beyons all shedow of doubt that Jesus Christ is the true, almighty, eternal God.

It is first stated that God has appointed His Son to be "the heir of all things", HON ETHEKE KLERONOMON PANTAN. Indeed, the designation, "Son", at once suggests the idea of "heir," for HYIOS and KLERONOMOS are kindred notions. Jesus Christ is Lord by right of heredity; indeed, the heirship of all things implies that all things exist for the heir. As Psalm 2 informs us, the lordship ever the threefold kingdom of power, grace and glory has been bequeathed by the Father unter the Son as an eternal inheritance. Proof positive, to be sure, for the Beity of Christ;

And His Doity is further stressed by the second clause: "By whom also He made the worlds," DI: HOU KAI TOUS ALVHAS EPOLESEN. The term ALWNAS literally means "ages" - and this brings out the eternal power of Jesus Christ all the more clearly: "He made the ages!" We are at once reminded, of course, of the parallel statements, John 1,2: "All things were made by Him (the Word), and without Him was not anything made that was made," and Col.1,16: "By Him (the Son) were all

things created, that are in heaven, and that are in earth, visible and invisible, whether they be thrones, or dominions, or principalities or powers; all things were created by Him and for Him." He who has been appointed Heir of all things is the eternal Lediator of creation. The universe came into existence only through the creative activity of Jesus Christ. Can He be snything less than God?

The apostle continues in His description of the divine Christ by saying that He is "the brightness of His glory", APAUCASHA TES DOKES, and "the express image of His person", CHARAKTER TES HYPOSTASHUS AUTOU. We could scarcely conceive of a more striking portrayal of the relationship existing between Christ and His Father.

In calling the Son APAUGASHA, the writer signifies the brightness given forth by a shining object, which we might render as "effulgence" or "eradiation" of the glory of God. It is this conception of the Son's relationship to God which called forth the Ejecuc Greed's designation of Christ as "Light of Light," PE/S EK PE/TOS. He indeed is "the true Light, which lighteth every man that cometh into the world," John 1,7. And because Christ is the effulgence of God's glory, it follows that He must be consubstantial with the Fether, since that which emanates from light must itself have the nature of light. The relation between God the Fether and God the Son is similar to the relation between the sun and the sunlight, and this loads won Gerlach to conclude: "As we cannot see the sun without the brightness which issues from hip, so we cannot see the Father without the Only-begotton Son."

between Father and Son, the author also describes Christias "the express image of His person," CHARAKTER/TES HYPOSTASEWS AUTOU. CHARAKTER is that which makes a mark or impression, hence also the impression itself; it is used to denote absolute similarity, or as the A.V. renders it, "express image." He is the exact impression of God's person, HYPOSTASIS, i.e., His essence, nature (literally, "substance"). He is identical with the Father as to essence and nature. In

celling Christ "the effulgence of God's glory" and "the express image of His person", the holy writer presents in terms which are crystal-clear the divine truth that Christ and God are one, and thereby enables us the better to perceive the import of Christ's statement to Philip: "He that hath seen me hath seen the Father." (John 14.9).

Since Christ is God, it is quite logical that the author should doclare of Him that "Ho upholds all things by the word of His power," PHRHIN TA PANTA TV HENTI TES DYNAMENS AUTOU. Not only was the world originally created through His instrumentality, but its government is still carried on through His mediation. The destiny of the entire universe rests upon Him. He directs all things by the more utterance of His divine will. Nor did He relinquish His divine function of preserving the universe curing His sojourn here on earth, when He had taken upon Himself the form of a servent, for as the unique God-man He retained the full power of His divine nature even while suffering the deepest humiliation.

En this connection, the spostle next states that Christ "by Himself purged our sins," DI' EMAUTOU KATHARISHON POIESALENOS THE HAMARTIME HENDE. Concerning the significant use of the middle voice in the participle POIESALENOS, Delitasch writes (I,54): "This designates with act of cleansing as one specially and properly belonging to the Son, a notion further expressed by DI' HEAUTOU. The act was done by Him, not through the instrumentality of any outward means, but by interposition and within the sphere of His owns personality." In accomplishing the purification of our sins, Christ performed a priestly act, and this act was of absolute validity, for He, the almighty Son, purged our sins by Himself. And this divine work of Christ in blotting out all our sins by His own atoning sacrifice is of such stue. Page 18 purges magnitude and importance that the author devotes a lengthy section of his Epistle (5,14 - 10,18) to an exhaustive discussion of this very matter.

Because Christ's purification of our sins was of such infinite worth; God
"highly exalted "im," which the holy writer hore describes in the words, "He sat
down on the right hand of majesty on high," EKATHISEN EN DEXIA THE MEGALUSYMES
EN HYPSELOIS. Sitting on the right hand of God is of course to be understood
illocally; it is the familiar Scriptural expression used to denote the supreme
followship of shonor and dominion which Christ spassesses in relation to the Father, op. Rop. 8,34; Eph. 1,20; Gol. 3,1. Scated at the right hand of the Father,
the Lord "esus exercises that almighty power which belongs to His divine essence,
and also performs His mediatorial work in behalf of those whose sins He has purged.

The cumulative testimony to the Deity of our Savior which the holy writer presents in this section is so convincing that it must dispel every vostice of foubt as to the fact that Jesus Christ is God Almighty. And because, as these verses state, He is the Heir of all things, the Creator of the world, the brightness of God's glory and the express image of His person, the emilpotent Preserver of the universe, the Reference from sin, and the exalted Son, seated at the right hand of power — He is also preminently qualified to be the perfect and final dedictor of God's reveletion to man, a Medictor infinitely superior to the preparets of the Old Testament era.

The Son Superior to the Angels Heving established the Deity of Christ beyond all contravention, the author new proceeds very skilfully to weave a new theme into the eld, concluding his long introductory sentence with the declaration that Christ, highly exalted by God, is "made so much better then the angels,

as He hath by inheritance obtained a more excellent name than they."

This statement of the superiority of Christ over the angels is so self-evident to us that at first blush it may seem superfluous and trite. The writer of the Epistle to the Hebross, however, had good reason to sevote himself to such a

thorough discussion of this subject. The fact must not be overlooked that the sugels occupied an important position in the system of Rebbinical theology. The Telms makes the statement: "There is not a thing in the world, not even a timy blastef grass, over which there is not an angel set." The angels were held in utnost are and reverence by the Jews, to such an extent that a sort of angelolatry had crept in emong some circles. And the opinion was held by certain Jowish Caesties that Christ Himself belonged to an angelic creation. Hence, to the suther of this Epistle the superiority of Christ evers the angels was a very live subject, for he felt the necessity of dispelling the false notions concerning the relationship of Christ and the angels which were current and of establishing beyond all shadow of doubt the fact these the angels, hely and blessed and powerful beings though they are, must after all bow before the Son, their Haker.

Christ is made "better", KREITENE, than the engels, superior to them in power and authority. And the correlative of His exaltation overs them is found in His super-engelic name, for the author declares: "Being made so much better than the angels, as He hath by inheritance obtained a more excellent name than they." He who has been appointed "the heir of all things," v.1, has received a most exalted name, OHOMA. The frame which Jesus has inherited is His heavenly name, so glorious, so divine that it transcends all our limited human powers of conception. It is the name "that no man know, but He Himself," Rev. 19,12. And the majesty of this name is indicated in the following verse, in which Christ is directly called "the Song", HYIOS, "Lord," KYRIOS, and God", THEOS.

The superiority of Christover the angels is now proved by seven quotations from the Old Testament. While the dignity and blessedness of the amplic creatures is by no means denied (for Scripture is very explicit in ascribing to them a lofty position), yet the author takes pains to prove that in relation to the Son of God, their position is altorether subordinate.

The angels have received no precainent uses. They are not to receive any worship from men, but they must rather worship the Sen. Despite their glorified state, they are not supreme, but only servants of God, comparable to the winds and flames, entirely dependent upon His will, and bound to do His bidding, especially in the interest of His people. While God employed them on occasions to be the mediators of His will, yet their mediatorial work was inferious to that of the divine Mediator of the Hew Testement. And although they have been endued with great power, their power does not include the ability or the right to rule; God has hot scated any of their number on the right hand of His power, nor has He given them any jurisdiction over the world to come."

Behold-now the metchless superiority of Jesus Christs For he is called the Son, the First-bedgetten of God; he is sectedal upon the threne of God; he is the Creator of the universe.

The spostle first emphasizes the eternal Sonship of Jesus Christ, citing three Old Testement Messianic prophecies, Psalm 2,7, 2 Sam.7,14 and Psalm 97,72 "For unto which of the angels said He at any time, Thou art my Son, this day have I be often Thee? Andd again, I will be to Him a Father, and He shall be to me a Son. And again, when He bringeth En His firstbegotten into the world, He saith, And let all the angels of God worship Him."

This group of quotations portrays first the unique relationship existing between the Fither and the Soh, a relationship into which the Father has extered tith no angelic being. Jesus Christ is called the Son of God the Father; He is indeed the Son K.T. EKOCHEN, for He has been begotten, GEGERMERA, of the Father, and the hely writer refers to Him as the Wirstbegotten of God, PRITO-TOKON. He has been begotten of the Father, not in time, nor by any physical process, but in an eternal, ente-mundane generation, by a mysterious and divine act within the Godhead. The term "Firstbegotten" is here used absolutely, and signifies both the priority and the preeminence of the Son over all created beings.

and one commentator has aptly stated: "The Only-begotten becomes, in His glorified humanity as the Son with many brothren, the First-born among them." And the fact that Jesus is the First-begotten of the Father involves also the eternity of His mature and existence, for the Father has said unto Him, "Thou art my Son, this day have I begotten Thee," SEMERON CHECKRIMENA SE, - the day, namely, of eternity.

And because He is the eternal Son of God, He is worthy of honor and worship, even on the part of the holy angels, for the writer declares: "And again, when He bringeth in His firstbegotten into the world, He saith, And let all the angels of God worship Him." When God will again bring His Son into the world, namely, on the great Day of Judgment, when He will appear in all the fulness of His divine majesty and power, all creation will have to worship Him, and in this adoration of the Son also the angels will join. Striking proof, indeed, for the superiority of Christ over the engels — for He is none other than God Himself!

The author new proceeds to emphasize they presminence of Christ over the angels still further by establishing the didne kingship of the Son, vv.8.9.

Here again He quotes an Old Testament Messienic prophecy, this time from Psalm 45,6.7; "But unto the Son He saith, Thy throne, O God, is for ever and ever; a sceptre of right-cousness is the sceptre of Thy kingdon. Thou hast leved right-cousness and hated iniquity; therefore God, even thy God, hath amointed Thee with the oil of gladness above Thy fellows." In this divine eracle we find a fivefeld proof for the Deity of Christ - proof that is indeed incontrovertible.

In the first place, the Sen is directly called "Gef" twice in these two verses: The Fether says to the Sen, "Thy threne, O Ged, is for ever and ever," and again in v.9, a comparison with the Hebrow text of Ps.45,8, of which this verse is a quotation, shows that ELOHIM there is to be taken as a vocative and applied to the Mossiah, to whom the words area addressed, so that the exact rendition of the original would be a "Therefore, O Ged, (namely Christ) Tay Ged

halk ancinted Thee with the cil of gladness above Thy fellows." Here, then, the Father twice addresses the Sen, Jesus Christ, as God. It would be impossible to find a more direct, often-out or convincing proof of the Deity of Christ, for here we have the undeniable testimony of the almighty Father in Heaven Hinself—and tith such testimony, any doubt of Christ's deity becomes gress blasphony, a denial of the very truthfulness of God.

Secondly, the Son is declared to have a threne, a kingdom and a sceptre.

Upon His sleighty shoulders the government of heaven and earth has been placed,

Isa.9,6. He holds His gracious and almighty sway over a threefold kingdom - Ef

power, grace and glory. Full well could He reply to the question of the Homan

governor, "Art Thou a king, then?" with firm and positive assurance: "Thou sayest

that I am a King!" The kingship of Christ is one of the most familiar Scriptural

conceptions of His Mossishship, and our text finds substantiation in many parallel

passages, c.g., Ps.89.4.6.8.37; Ps.72.5.ff.; Ps.110.4.

In the third place, the Sen is described as having the quality of perfect righteousness and equity: "A sceptre of righteousness is the sceptre of Thy king—don. Thou hast loved righteousness and hated iniquity." Since the Sen is the very essence of righteousness, DIKAIOSYME, and because the very trought of iniquity and lawlessness, ANOMIA, is repulsive to him, therefore He is able to confuct the affairs of His kingdom with complete and unerring equity, and rule with "e sceptre of righteousness", or "rectitude", RABDOS EUTHYTETOS. And it is only to God that this attribute of perfect holiness and consumente justice on be ascribed. Again, the logical conclusions Christ, the righteous King, is God!

Fourthly, because of the Sen's love of righteousness and hatred of iniquity,

God has anointed Him with the oil of gladness above His follows. The kings and

priests of the Old Testement were also anointed, but only with material eil and

for a limited period of service. Christ, however, has been anointed "with the

Hely Chost and with power." Acts 10.38. God the Hely Chost anointed Him "to proceed

to the captivos, and the opening of the prison to them that are bound; to precisin liberty to the captivos, and the opening of the prison to them that are bound; to precisin the acceptable year of the Lord, and the day of vongeance of our God; to confort all that mourn; to appoint unto them that mourn in Zion; to give unto them beauty for ashes, the oil of joy for mourning, the garment of praise for the spirit of heaviness; that they might be called trees of righteensness, the planting of the Lord, that He might be glorified," Isa.61,1-4. And because the Son entered upon His Messianic office voluntarily and cheerfully, and also because the performance of the work whereunto the Holy Spirit anciented Him afforded Him such holy joy and happiness, therefore He is said to be anciented with "the oil of gladness", op.

For this reason also, He has been amointed "above His fellows," TOUS METACHOUS. He has been amointed in a fer higher sense and in infinitely greater measure than all the earthly kings and pagistrates and priests, who are have indicated by the term "fellows." And His unique and precminent amointing indisputably establishes the fact of His Deity.

In the fifth place, all the deminion, righteensness and joy which this quetation declares the Son to possess is <u>eternal</u>, for the Father said to the Son, "Thy throne, O God, is for ever and ever," EIS TON ATWNA TOU ATWNOS — a massing up of time, so to speak, to approximate the conception of eternity. Again and again the apostle drives home this point, that Jesus Christ is the eternal God; He indeed is "the same yesterday; and today, and forever", Heb. 13.8.

But even the fivefold effirmation of the Deity of Christ which the author presents in this quotation from Pselm 45,7.8 does not suffice him. So intent is he upon impression upon his readers the doctrine of Christ's Deity - For they sorely headed such thorough instruction upon this fundamentally important subject - that He adds still another quotation from the Old Tostement, this time to

reemphesize the fact that Jesus Christ, God's Son, is also the <u>Greator</u> of the universe. In vv.tc-12 he cites Ps.102,25-27: "And Thou, Lord, in the beginning hast laid the foundation of the earth; and the heavens are the works of Thine hands; They shall perish; but Thou remainest; and they all shall wax old as doth a garment; And as a vesture shalt Thou fold then up, and they shall be changed; but Thou art the same, and They years shall not fall."

Again the Son, in this Messianic Psalm, is directly addressed by the tith of Deity: "Thou, Lord, from the beginning", etc., SY KAT: ARCHAS, KYRIE. Again the work of creation is expressly ascribed to Him: "Thou, Lord, in the beginning hast laid the foundations of the earth; and the heavens are the works of Thine hands." Again He is declared to be all-powerful: "As a vesture shalt Thou fold them up." Again He is called the unchangeable and eternal One, in striking comtrast to the transitory and finite things of the universe; "They shall perish; but Thou remainest; and they all shall wax old as doth a germent; And as a vesture shalt Thou fold them up, and they shall be changed; but Thou art the same, and Thy years shall not fail."

And because He is God the Son, God the King, God the Greeter, the Father has bestowed upon Him the place of honor, majesty and power, of which no angel could ever beast: "Sit on my right hand, until I make Thine enemies Thy footstool."

This citation of Psalm 100,1, which is definitely Messianic, expresses the communion of height and majesty which Jesus has with the Father, and looks Saward to the final and complete subjugation of His enemies. The severeigntys of the Son is the end toward which all things are directed — and the angels are only instruments toward that end.

Thus the spestle has hesped quotation upon quotation, proof upon proof, to establish the preemignence of the Son. He has hammered home with derestating

logic and with overpowering evidence this subline fact: "Christ is God!" ind
because He is God, He is ipso facto superior to the angels. And since He is superior to the angels, the new covenant of which He is the Mediator is correspondingly superior to the old covenant which they were called upon to mediate. As
one commentator declares: "The spear-point of the argument is this. The eternal
Son has brought a salvation greater and higher than that of the angels."

In order to continue the discussion of the superiority of the Sen

Superior without interrupting the trend of thought, we shall for the present
to Koses moment pass over Chapter II, in which the author devotes Himself to
an extended treatment of the incarnation of the Son, and turn to Chapter III, 1-6,
wherein the apostle compares Christ with Koses.

The writer, having established the superiority of Christ evers the engels, naturally is led to prove His susperiority over that other great mediator of the old covenent, that figure who loomed countein-high in the religious thought of the Jews - Moses. And lost we are again inclined to feel that the preemionee of Christ ever Moses is a self-evident matter and that it was rether-mediates for the author to devote any attention to such a subject, we must again bear in mind the fact that the religious background and thought of the people to when the spestle addressed this opistle was entirely different from ours. Saphir writes: "It is hardly possible for Contiles to understand or realize the veneration and affection with which the Jews regard Moses, the servant of God. All their religious life, all their thoughts about God, all their practices and observances, all their hopes of the future, everything connected with God, is with them connected also with Moses. Moses was the great apostle to them, these was sent unto them of God, the mediator of the old covenant" (Vol.I.p. 173). The preeminence of Christ ever Moses was by no means the self-evident fact to the Mebrews that it is to us.

Therefore it was vitally important for the holy writer to establish the superbrity of Christ, the Mediator of the New Tostement, over Moses, the outstanding Mediator of the Old, around "hose name gathered all the revelation and legislation in which the Jaws trusted. It is a delicate subject, but the apostles handles it with consuments skill.

He bunches into his discussion in an engaging manner, addressing his readers as "Holy brethron, partekers of the heavenly calling." In view of the picture of the Savier which he has drawn in the preceding chapters, he now invites them to "consider the Apostle and High Priest of our profession, Christ Jesus," TOH APOSTOLON KAI ARCHIEREA TES HOMOLOGIAS HELSIN, CHRISTON IESCUN. The tiles here ascribed to Jesus are significant, in that they give us a deeper insight into His office and also emphasize His Deity.

The author refers to Josus as the "Apostle" of our profession. Only here in the New Testament is Jesus called APOSTOLOS, although the cognate worb, APOSTELLEIN, is frequently applied to Him. The term "Apostle" signifies a legate or messenger. Jesus is the authorized Messenger to reveal to us the whole will of God for our soul's salvation; He is the heaven—sent Prophet who has manifested Himself as the Son of God and has taught His people the way to righteousness and life eternal.

Bengel describes Him as "Eum qui Dei causan apué nos agit."

Christ is also called the "Wigh Priest" of our profession. In in this Epistle to the Bedrews is the term ARCHIEREUS applied to Christ; the present writer/calls Ein by this title no less than seventeen times. The designation as referred to Christ is particularly appropriate, for it involves the twofold function of effering sacrifices and of making intercession. Moreover, He was the Antitype of whom every high priest of Israel was a prototype. The Jewish high priest occupied and extre-ordinary position. It was essential that He bed free of all ceremental defilement; it was required that he be attired in gorgeous robes, according to minute directions; on his mitre was inscribed "Holiness to the Lord," while on his breastplate

were written the names of the twelve tribes. Only he could enter the foly of Molies, and only he could make the offering on the great Day of Atonement. In in fine,/every respect the Jowish high priest was a remarkable type of the great High Priest who was to come, Jesus Christ, the Son of God, the great Representative of His people before His Heavenly Father. Whereas, as the "Apostle" He calls men to the heavenly treasures, as the High Priest He secures them for us.

Furthermore, He who is designeted as the "Apostle and High Priest of our profession" is specifically mentioned by both His human name, "Jesus", the Savier of His people, and by His divine name, "Christ", the Massiah, the Anointed One of God. The very hame which He bears implies an emphatic contrast to the old covenant, which He has now superseded; He is both the founder and the content of our Christian confession.

Having thus portrayed the person and the office of the Son, thereby lending weight to the argument upon which He is about to enter, the apostle proceeds to contrast Christ with Moses. He first emphasizes the quality which they had in common - faithfulnose. He writes, "Who (Christ) was faithful to Him that appoint—of Him, as also Moses was faithful in all his house," Christ was indeed faithful, PISTOS, to God, who appointed Him to be the Apostle and High Priest of our profession. He manifested His faithfulness throughout His earthly life; He did everything which His holy mission required of Him, He never betrayed His trust, He did not refuse to drink the cup of suffering and sorrow which was so essential to His redemptive work.

Moses was also faithful to his particular trust. Although he was but a weak and sinful mon, yet he was dutiful, assiduous and loyal in the performance of the tasks placed upon him. And he was frithful "in all his house," HE HOLE THE OIKE AUTOU, namely, in the prosecution of all his duties in the House of God, the Church.

Although Moses was the great Mediator and a notable example of faithfulness, hemeres; he was far inferior to Him who is the essence of faithfulness, Jesus Christ. "For", says the author in effect, "Moses was as faithful as any servent in a house can be; still he was only a servent, while He of whom I now speak was not a mere servent in the house, but a son; and that makes all the difference" (Bruce, p. 136). Hence, he goes on to show in a very logical and concise manner just how and why Jesus and His faithfulness should eclipse that of Moses.

who hath builded the house hath more honor than the house, For every house is builded by some man, but he that built all things is God. Thus the sacred writer proves the preeminence of Jesus Christ over Moses by the fact that Christ was the Maker of the house, while Moses was only a member, a part of that house. Moses indeed was an exemplary character and performed a noble work in the service of the Church. But Christ is the Sovereign and Founder of that Church. He built the house, for He is the Master-builder, the Maker of Moses, of all mankind, of all creation. Therefore, the Mory of Jesus Christ is greater than the glory of Moses in corresponding measure as the builder of the house has more honor than the house.

Horeover, "every house is built by some men." This statement is exiomatic; no house comes into being of its own wills or springs up of itself, but its existence is the to the will of someone who is greater than it. Now, he who built and established all things is God, honce he must have built also the Church (as one interpretor remarks, "The Church is the greatest house ever built, and if any house ever needed a builder, this is that house"). Moses is a part of the house. God built the house. Christ is God and Greater (which point has been abundantly proven and which is also the direct implication of the present argument). Therefore it logically follows that Christ is the Maker of Moses, and therefore infinitely superior to Moses and worthy of more honor and glory than He. The forde of the spostle's logic and reasoning is irresistible.

But the author adds still another evidence of the superiority of Christ to Moses, showing that Christ is the Son and Master over the house, whereas Moses is but a servant in the house. He writes: "And Moses verily was faithful in all his house, as a servant, for a testimony of those things which were to be speken after; But Christ as a Son over His wan house."

Moses indeed was a loyal and trustworthy servent of God, and a faithful witnoss concerning "those things which were to be spoken after," namely, the proclamation of the glorious Gospel tidings by the Massiah. This is the sole honor the
him, and a great honor indeed it is. But after all, Moses was only a servent; he
did not perform his official duties of his own; initiative and according to his own
ideas, but received his instructions from God.

Christ, on the other hand, was faithful, as the "Son over his own house,"
He is the Master, the Head of the house. Christ the Savier presides over His
Church, which He has purchased with His own blood, and of which He is Lord and
King. And He holds this exalted position by virtue of His eternal Sonship.

It is a self-ovident fact that the Son of the household is greater than any servent in that household, as Jesus pointed out, "The servent chideth not in the house forever, but the Son abideth ever," John 8,35. Christ is the Son; Moses is the servent. Therefore, Christ is greater than Moses. The author has clinched his argument and driven home his point.

The undertone which pervedes the entire line of ressding is the transient nature of the old covenent in contrast to the abiding nature of the new. This is brought out by the contrast between Moses in his temporary capacity as servant and Christ in His eternal dignity as Son; it is further made evident by the representation of the ministry of Moses as being for "a testimony of those things to be spoken after"; and it is also shown by the words: "Whose house are we," for the

egoth core and finite interiors subjection

fact that the Christians are called the house of God clearly implies that the Mossic dispensation was only transitory in nature, and that the more glorious structure of the New Tostament Church is the eternal abode of the children of God. Christ is indeed the Modiator of a more excellent exement.

II. "THE WORD MADE FLESH"

We have chosen advisedly the above caption for this section, for we can think of no more appropriate title with which to head a discussion of the second chapter of the Epistle to the Hobrews then this meaningful expression of the inspired apostle, St. John; The beleved apostle writes in the opening verses of His Gospel: "In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God and the Word was God;" and concerning this oternal Word, who is none other than the Son of God, John doclares in v. 14 of his first chapter: "The Word was made flesh and dwelt among us." The trond of thought of the writer to the Hebrews is remarkably parallel to this. He begins His letter by portraying in majestic terms the eternal Deity of Jesus Christ, God's only-begotten Son, the "Word who was God," Having established this fret, he then turns his attention to a consideration of the increation and the unique humanity of the Son of God. It my be contended that the humanity of Jesus does not prove His Ditty, and therefore is not germane to the subject of our essay. This would be true if Jesus had been an ordinary, mortal man; but Jesus, in contrest to all His brethron, was a unique, immocent, sinless men, who, after the days of His fle sh, was expliced to the right hand of the mejesty on high; and therefore His humanity and incornation have a very real connection with His Deity.

The Return of the entire discussion is struck in v.14.15: "Fores—
The Neture of His In— much then as the children are partakers of flesh and blood, He also carnation

Himself likewise took part of the same, that through death He might destroy him that had the power of death, that is, the devil; and deliver them who through fear of death were all their lifetimes subject to bondage."

Christ became men; He took part of flesh and blood, SAHK KAI HAILA being the femiliar Scriptural expression to denote the human nature, portraying especially its weakness and frailty. Since the rest of mankind, including also the children of God, are partakers of flesh and blood, Christ, in becoming man, had to assume the same nature as they. He had to be united with them in the natural followship of the same bodily life.

Christ truly became flesh and block in all that the expression implies, with but one exception - He was without sin. He hungred, He thirsted, He slept, He was sorrowful, He became weary, He suffered, and finally He also died. Indeed, if He had not become flesh and blood He could not have died, and it was absolutely essential that He should die.

The Purpose of His Incornation

that had the power of death, that is, the devil, and deliver them

who through four of death were all their lifetimes/ subject to bondage." There we
have the reason for His incarnation, His suffering, His death. He could only die
by virtue of the human nature which He had assumed, but when He died the God-man
died (communication of attributes), and this fact gave His death infinite worth.

When He uttered His phereing cry as He hung on Calvery's cross, "It is finished?"
the great work of recomption was complete. By His victious life, suffering and
feath He conquered Setan and the forces of sin and death, or, as the holy writer

In bringing to mought the power of the devil and rendering him impotent,

KATARGESE, Jesus delivered them who through feer of death were all their lifetime
subject to bondage, op. Rom. 8, 15; Col. 1, 21. 22; 2 Tig. 2, 10. Having removed the
cause of death. Jesus also removes the feer of death. Because of our manifold

puts it: He destroyed "him that had the power of death, that is, the devil." The

devil is extremely powerful; only God could destroy him. Jesus destroyed him.

Therefore Jesus ix God.

sins, we mortals are afflicted with a guilty and disturbing conscience; this preduces in us the dread of death and eternal retribution as the punishment for sin.

Hence, as St. Paul declares, who are by nature the children of wrathy our entire

life is subject to bendage, DOULEIA, — a state which is certainly centrary to the

idea of senship. But Christ has now delivered us from the bendage of sin and from

the fear of death by His perfect recomption, so that we can now exult with St.Paul;

"O death, where is thy sting? O grave, where is thy victory? The sting of death is

sin, and the strength of sin is the law. But thanks be to God, which giveth us the

victory through our Lord Jesus Christ," 1 Cor.15,55-57.

In order to accomplish this redemption, the apostle goes on to say, Christ did not "take hold of angels," OU CAR ACCELSE EPILAMBANETAL. The good angels are holy and sinless beings who need no redemption, hence it would have been needless for Christ ot "take hold of them", become a savier to them. The evil angels, on the other hand, are beyond the pale of redemption.

Hence, instead of taking hold of angels, Christ wtook hold of the seed of Abraham, w ALLA SPERMATOS ABRAAM EPILAMBANETAI. He become a true man, a lineal descendant of the patriarch Abraham, so that He might be the Savier of both Jew and Gentile, in fulfilment of the promise which God gave of old to the father of the faithful, ep. Gal. 3, 16.29.

And in becoming a member of the family of Abraham, "It behooved him to be used like unto His brothren, that He might be a merciful and faithful highlypriest in things pertaining to Gdd, to make reconciliation for the sins of the people."

The obligation which rested upon Christ by virtue of the great task of redemption which He had determined to undertake involved His becoming "like unto His brothrem", in other words, to become a true human being, to share their joys and theirs serrows, to esseciate with them and to live and work with them and for them.

This is the same truth to which the author gives voice in vv.11-13: "For both He that senctifieth and they who are senctified ere all of one, for which cause He

is not eshamed to call them brothron, Saying, I will declare Thy name unto my brothron, in the midst of the Church I will sing praise unto thee. And again, I will put my trust in Kim. And again, Behold I and the children which God hath given me."

Jesus is called HO AGIAZMN, "the Sanctifier", nemely, He who purifies by expietion. By His blood He redocms His people, and thus they become "sanctified," consecrated to God. This truth is repeated at various points in the Epistle, netably in ch.13,12: "Wherefore Jesus also, that He might sanctify the people with His own blood, suffered without the gate." He sanctifies His people specifically priest, and in general as the fountain of all grece.

It is said of the Sanctifier and the sanctified (or: "the Reconciler and the reconciled"; "the Savier and the saved") "ere all of one," EX HENOS PARTES, namely, they all have the same Father in Meaven. Honce, they share a common interest and a common lot.

Since this is the case, the Sevier is not ashemed to call His people "brothren; He did not doom it to be unworthy of his divine dignity to call them His brothren, eccording to His human nature. To be sure, he rejoices to own them as his brothren, as the regenerated and adopted sons of God.

The apostle substantiates this essertion by quoting three Old Testament lessianic prophecies, all of which express or clearly imply brotherhood. The first is from Pselm 22,22: "I will declare Thy name unto my brothern, in the midst of the Church will I sing preise unto thee." Christ, the speaker of these words, is represented as taking part in the worship of God, which manifests the completeness of His human nature. The second is a quotation of Isa.8,17: "I will put my trust in Him." Jesus, like His brothren, placed His confidence in God. The third is from Isa.8,18: "Behold, I and the children which God hath given me; where the Hossiah associates Himself with the children whom God has given Him as being of the same family, for any who do the will of God He considers His brothren, cp. Mark 3,35; Luke 8,21; John 17,6.11; Eph.5,30.

Bruce dockers, p.125; "It will be found that Christ's likeness to His brothen is closest just where the traces of the curse are most apparent; insefer as this life is (1) a flicted with poverty, (2) exposed to temptations to ungodiness, (3) subject to death under its more manifestly penal forms, as when it comes as a blight in early life, or as the judicial penalty of crime. Jesus was like His brothen in proportion as they need His sympathy and succer - like the poor, the tempted, the criminal."

It was incumbent upon Christ to become like unto His brethren, in order that
He night be "a merciful and feithful high priest in things pertaining to God, to
make reconciliation, HILASKESTHAI, for the sins of the people." It was the function of the high priest to make atonement for the people, TOU LACU, and to camed
their sins on the Day of Atonement, in keeping with God's ordinance. Josus Christ
assumed our flash and became our Brother, so that He isable to serve as the High
the all-sufficient
Priest per excellence, and to make/propitiation for our sins before His Heavenly
Father, and so that He might have something to sacrifice formus and also have a
mature capable of sympathy with us.

"For," the spostle centimes, "in that He Himself hath suffered being tempted," He is able to succor them that are tempted." Christ was exposed to the bitterest and most insidious temptations which the old Evil Foe could devise, and to the williest snares that wicked men could lay for Him. He mortal man was ever so sorely tried as He. But by virtue of the fact that He Himself has suffered being tempted, He is now "able to succor them that are tempted." Thus indeed He is in a position to be a merically and faithful high priest, for He knows the feeling of our infirmities and the temptations to which we are exposed. Hence, He has both the power and the willingness to succor who who are tempted, by removing our sins, by cancelling our guilt, and by conquering our Foe.

We have purposely postponed until new the pensiteration of vv.6-to,
The Sequel
to His In—
since these verses present a fitting climax to the consideration of
christ's essumption of the human nature. The holy writer portrays
both the humiliation and the exaltation of Christ in quoting Psalm 8, which is
underliably a Massianic Psalm; "What is man, that Thou art mindful of Him, or the
son of man, that Thou visitost Him? Thou madest Him a little lower than the angels; Thou crownedst Him with glory and honor, and didst set Him over the works of
Thy hands. Thou hast put all things in subjection under His feet,"

Hodorn interpreters are almost imanimous in denying the direct and exclusive application of these words to Christ. However, they miserably fail in their interpretation of the passage, for there can be no doubt that Christ, and one else, is meent. Psalm 8, from which these words are quoted, is unquestionably a Massinia Psalm, for the description of the subject of the Psalm can only apply to Christ, the Son of God. And in substantiation of this view, the author of the Spistle to the Mebrews, in v.9 of this chapter, directly refers these words to Jesus.

He first pictures the humiliation of Christ: "What is man, that Thou art mindor
ful of Him, and the Son of man, that Thou visitest Him?" This is by no means a reference to mankind, for the author here treats of Christ's humiliation, as contrasted with God's great creation, as the study of Psalm 8,1-5, will clearly show.

The next words present a crux interpretum; ELATTUSAS AUTON BRACHY TI PARAGELOUS, which the A.V. has translated; "Thou hast made him a little lower than
the angels." We agree with the interpretation of Dr. W. A. Maier, who holds that
the correct translation of Pselm 8,5, is; "Thou hast made him to be without God for
a little while." The original Hebrew reads; WETECHASEREHU LE-AT LEELCHIM. The
vorb CHASAR means "to lack", "to be without", and in the Piel, as here, "to make
to lack." This translation is substantiated by a comparison with Ecc.4,8, where
the same word is used. Moreover, the word ELATTOUSTHAI in Neb.2,7, which the A.V.,
following the IXX, has incorrectly translated "to be lower than", has for its originel magning, "to be without."

This Franchation, is will while it a companied of

Furthermore, the word LE-AT, and its Greek equivalent, BRACHY, means "little" in the sense of time, not of degree, hence: "a little "hile," as in Ps.12.2.

Moreover, MLOHIM in Ps.8,5 must be translated God. The LXX, which the writer to the Hobrews has followed in citing this verse, is entirely without werrant in translating ELOHIM as wongols. ELOHIM means "God" in every case except in Ps. 91,5 and Exod. 21,6, where the context clearly shows that it cannot mean God; here, however, the context clearly indicates that it is to be taken as "God," for there is no gogent reason why we should depart just in this instance from the ordinary, accepted meaning of the word.

The correct translation of Ps.8,5, accordingly, would be: "Thou madest Him to be without God for a little while," while the exact translation of the original Greek of Heb.2,7 would be: "Thou madest Him to be without the angels for a little while," The fact that the writer to the Hebrews follows the LXX and renders Him to be without the angels for a little while," the fact that the writer to the Hebrews follows the LXX and renders Him the "engels", instead of as "God" does not militate against the doctrine of inspiration, however, for the How Testement usually adopts the LXX version, even when the LXX is not exact, providing that it is not electrically wrong or unscriptural. The simple explanation is that the Holy Ghost, who verbally inspired both the Psalmist and the writer to the Hebrews, saw fit to direct the latter to quote the the LXX rendition, "engels," The general sense is the same, for if Christ on the cross was without God for a little while, it usturally follows that He was also without the angels.

The reference, of course, is to the climax of Christ's humiliation, when, as He hung upon the cross, in the deepest thross of mortal anguish, He cried out:
"My God, my God, why last Thou forseken me?" (Matt.27,46). That was the some of His earthly suffering; that was the deepest stroke that pierced Him. This was a suffering so intense that it is incomprehensible to human minds. He was made to be without God for a little while, in order that we might not have to be without Him eternally.

But this same Jesus, who suffered the very depths of humiliation, after He had completed His atoning sacrifice, was raised to the pry heights of divine glory and honor. God crowned Him with glory and honor and set Him over the works of His hands, and put all things in subjection under His feet. The humble, meek and lowly Jesus, the carpenter's son of Massareth, who suffered the most ignominious criminal's doath, has been exalted to the position of supreme dajesty and power, off the right hand of God.

The spostle continues, "For in that He put all in subjection under Him, He left nothing that is not put under Him." But now we see not yet all things put under Him." The dominion of Christ is indeed absolute, even though our human eyes, beholding so much that is evil and contrary to God's will, do not yet see all things put in subjection to Him. That sight is reserved for us until the Day of Judgment, when we shall see Christ making an open show of His complete lordship over all creation, including also His chemies.

The author now directly refors the foregoing quotation of the prophecy of Psalm 8 to Jesus; "But we see Jesus, who was made a little lewer than the angels for the suffering of death, crewed with glery emphaner; that He by the gape of God should taste death for every man," "The sting of death; we know, is sin, and the strength of sin is the lew; but the strength of the lew is the curse against sin, and the strength of that curse is the wrath of the Hely One. Had cur Lord not died this death, with just this awful background to it, His death would have been a merely fantestic one. In order to evercome death, He had not a merely to put His lips as it were to the bitter potion, but to taste it in the depth of its full reality. He had to taste the very sever of wrath in death, in a creder, by God's gracious appointment, to take that saver away from us. And so it was the grace of God which need him thus submit to the bitter experience of death, even to the extramity of divine dereliction, the grace of God, which He Himself subserved in thus submitting." (Delitssch, Vol.I.p. 115, 116).

The vindication of the humiliation of Christ is presented by the scored penmen in v.10: "For it become Him, for whom are all things, and by whom are all things,
in bringing many sons to glory, to make the captain of their salvation perfect
through sufferings." The bringing of sany sons to glory is the great purpose and
plan of God; hence, it was necessary that the children of God should be led to
glory by a Captain, and that this Captain should be perfected, fully equipped,
TELEIWSAI, by God.

"It became Him, by whom are all things, and for whom are all things," - It was in keeping with the supreme love and faithfulness and marcy of God that He should provide for His people's salvation, and that He should accomplish that salvation through His own eternal Son, through whom many sons have come to glory.

Christ is here termed the "Captain of salvation," TON ARCHEGON TES SVIERIAS.

Just as the "sraclites had their leader, under whom they made their exodus from

Egypt and traveled to Cansen, so also their heirs of salvation have their leader,

to bring them out of the bondage of sin into the eternal liberty of the children

of God. Christ is the "Captain", i.e., the Prince and Leader of salvation, and

the holy writer speaks of him in a similar vein as "the Author and Finisher of

our Faith", 12,2, and as "the great Shaphord of the sheep," 13,20.

And Christ has been made perfect and complete in His official character as the deptain of salvation by <u>sufferings</u>, all those afflictions which befoll Him during His carthly life. And thereby He was perfected, for by suffering He became they sympethizing friend and fellow-sufferer of mankind, by suffering He made full and complete satisfaction for sin, and by suffering He procured the right to the slady and bliss of Heaven, in which we, because of His suffering, will also share.

The Word was indeed made flosh, but now that He has completed the gracious work for which He assumed human flosh, He has been gloriously excited by His Heavenly Father, who has given Him wa name which is above every name, that at the name of Jesus every knee should bow, of things in heaven, and things in earth, and things under the earthy, Phil.2,9.10.

PART THO

THE DEITY OF CHRIST PROVED BY HIS WORK OF ATOMERICAT AS THE GREAT HIGH PRIMET

In the first three chapters of the legter to the Hebrows, the Apostle purtreys, as we have seen, the preeminent position of Christ as the Mediator of revelation, addusing the proof the superiority of Christ over the prophets of the Old Testement, over the angels, and over Moses. He follows this presentation with a hortatory passage extending from 3.7 to 4.13, wherein he warms his readers against unbolief and exhorts them to faithfulness toward Christ. Thereafter he resumes his Christological discussion; turning his attention to Christ as the Mediator of atonement. He devotes a large section of his Epistle, 4.14 - 10.18, to a vivid and detailed description of the superiority of Christ over the Aeronic priesthood, partraying to his readers the Son of God as the Great High Priest.

This subject forms an integral part of the Christology of the Letter to the Hebrews, and is of such importance that we shall devote the second chief part of our essay to the consideration of it.

I. CHRIST'S PRIESTHOOD THE CHRISTIAH'S CONFIDENCE

The apostle begins his lengthy characterisation of the high priestly office of Christ with an exhoration to his readers; "Seeing then that we have a great high priest that is passed into the heavens, Jesus the Son of God, let us hold fast our profession." The apostle reiterates with increased emphasis the fact which he had mentioned in 2,17 and 3,1, that Jesus is our "high priest." He has purged us from our sins and now represents us before His Heavenly Father, performing continually for His people that which the high priests of the Old Testement did only once a year, namely, His intercession for His people. For He is both the Propitation for our sins and also our Advocate, whose pleading the Father cannot withstend. He is the only real Priest, the very ideal of the priesthood realized.

It is significent that the spostle calls Him the "great high priest,"

AREHEREA LEGAU. His greatness is due to His exalted office and nature, which
the spostle here depicts in two statements: 1."He is passed into (or "through")
the heavens, DIELELYTHOTA TOUS CURARCUS; Christ has passed through the created
heavens into the uncreated Heaven, the DOXA of God. (Taken in commection with
Eph. 4,10, this statement clearly indicates the ubiquity of Christ.) Whereas the
Old Testement high priest once a year passed through the forecourts and behind the
veil to reach the Holy of Holies, our great High Priest has passed through the
forecourts of the heavens into the heavenly sanctuary, to make intercession for
us before the throne of God. 2. Horeover, the holy writer speaks of the great
High Priest as "Josus the Son of God." This title is a concise description of
the theanthropic Person who passed through suffering and death to royal and priestly glory, for He is referred to both by His human name, "Jesus", and by His divine
title, "Son of God." Because our High Priest is great, as to both His office and
His person, He is certainly worthy of our fullest trust and confidence.

The "High Priest of our profession" is further presented as being worthy of our confidence because of the feat that He is perfectly grecious, "We have not an high priest which can not be touched with the feeling of our infirmities, but was in all points tempted like as we are, yet without sin," The twofold fact that He bears the divine dignity of the Son of God and that He is invisible to the eye does not render him unsympathetic to our infirmities. Compassion with the weaknesses, the imperfections and the foibles of men was an indispensable qualification of the high priest, and this requisite of compassion Jesus possessed in supreme and perfect measure. He was tempted just as we are, and because He too has been exposed to the poisonous darts of Satan, He indeed "can be touched with the feeling of our infirmities." Since this is the case, we can head the apostle's exhortation to "come holdly to the throne of grace," where our gracious High Priest over makes intercession for us, for thus we shall "obtains mercy and find grace to help in time of need."

We Christians can place our full confidence in the priesthood of Christ also because of the fact that He is <u>sinless</u>, for the holy writer says of Him:
"He was in all points tempted as we are, <u>yet without sin</u>." Unlike the Levitical high priests, who were themselves sinful men, and who therefore had to offer up secrifices first for their own sins, our great High Priest was without the slightest teint of imperfection or of guilt. This point is elaborated upon in 5,3; 7,26,f. and 9,7, allof which combine to show that the sinlessness of Christ, the divine High Priest, is a factor in establishing the superiority of His priesthood over the Levitical.

II. CHRIST HAS THE ESSENTIAL QUALIFICATIONS FOR THE PRIESTHOOD

This is the burdens of the spestle's discourse in the first ten verses of Chapter 5, and the statement of Christ's qualifications is indeed essential to the case which the author is logically, thoroughly, and skilfully presenting for the superior excellence of the high priesthood of Christ, the Son of God.

In vv.1-3 the author mentions the qualifications which every high priest must possess: "For every high priest taken from smong men is ordered for men in things portaining to God, that he may offer both gifts and secrifices for sins; Who can have compassion on the ignorant and on them that are out of the way, for that he himself is also compassed with infirmity. And by reason hereof he ought, as for the people, so also for himself, to offer up for sins."

The apostle now proceeds, vv.4-10, to show how Christ measures up to these qualifications, for He, too, although He was the Son of God, was taken from among men and was ordained for men; He, too, offered up sacrifice for sins; He, too, had compassion on the ignorant and the erring.

The high priestly office is an honor, TIME, "Ehrensmit", which no one "taketh unto himself," but which is legitimately obtained solely by divine appointment. Thus Asron for example was called to the high priesthood by God, of. Exed. 28.

In like manner, Christ, the greater Asron, "glorified not Himself to be made an high priest;" He by no means arrogated unto Eimself the honorable office of high priest.

Put while Christ and Aeron were alike in that neither usurped the office, but rether were called to the high priesthood by God, yet Christ is far superior to Aeron, not only as to his person but also as to the manner of his call. Thus the holy writer declares that it was God who glorified Christ to be made an high priest, namely, "He that said unto Him, Thou art my Son, today have I begotten Thee. As He saith also in another place, Thou art a priest forever after the order of Molchizedek."

He who solemnly declares Christ to be Mis Son, Pselm 2.7, is the seme who formally calls Him to be priest, Pselm 110.4. The former quotation recalls His eternal genesis from the Pather, ch.1,5, while the latter emphasizes His design followed metion as High Priest. The apostle cites these two Messianic passages to lend force to His description of Christ as the divine High Priest, for He thereby proves that He who has begotten the Son from all eternity has caused also the fulfilment in Him of the prophecy which calls Christ "a priest forever after the order mi (TAXIN, i.e., character, menner, kind) of Helchizedek." The two acts as related, but not identical. The same One whom God addresses as "My Son," MYIOS MU, appointed the Lord and Heir of creation, He also designates at "a priest forever", HIEREUS EIS TON AIVNA: in the Sonship of Christ lay His destination to the priesthood. The call of Christ was in full conformity with the prophecy of the Old Testrment. And the kick priesthood of Christ is not efter the orders of Agron, but of Kelchizedek, whose priesthood was insoperably connected with royal dignity; he was both king and priest, and thus was the ideal type of Him who was to be the great kingly Briest and priestly King. Thus the holy writer, in portraying Christ the High Priest as the eternal Son, once more drives home with compelling force . the sublime truth of the Deity of Christ.

Christ possessed not only the requisite of legitimate appointment, but, as a true man, He had also those qualifications to which the apostle referred in vv.1-3 as being essential to the high priesthood. Thus, the apostle declares of Him, vv.7.8: "Who in the days of His flesh, when He had offered up prayers and

supplications with strong orging and toars unto Him that was able to save Him from doath, and was heard in that He formed; Though He were a Son, yet learned He obedience by the things which He suffered.

He took upon Hims. If our flesh, in order that He might be "taken from among men." Excever, He was ordained for men in things pertaining to God," in that He seffered up prayers and supplications with strong crying and tears unto Him that was able to save Him from death, and was hear in that He feared."

And the consequence of His discharge of His high priestly office? "Though He were a Son, yet learned He obedience by the things which He suffered, And being made perfect, He became the author of eternel selvation (AITIAS SWERIAS AIVEIOU) unto all them that obey Him; Called of God an high priest after the order of "clohizedek," Although Christ was the eternal, only-begotten Son of God, yea, the true God Himself, the apostle states, yet He suffered — and words seemed be found to describe the depth and the bitterness of that suffering — and thus "learned obedience," that obedience which was an essential part of His redemptive work, and which He learned by voluntary submission to God's appointment, ep. Phil.2,6-8. Hence also He was eminently qualified to "have compassion on the ignorant and on them that are out of the way."

ifter Christ had shown Himelf "obedient unto death, even the death of the cross," Phil. 2,8, He was "mede perfect", TELEINTHEIS, in his mediatorial relationship to God. When Christ on the cross cried, TETELESTAI, his atoning work was complete; He had performed his high priestly duty of "offering up secrifice for cins," And thereby he has become "the author of eternal selvation unto all them that obey him." There is no salvation apart from Christ; He is its one personal Principal, as our author describes him in 12,2, "Jesus, the Author and Finisher of our faith." The salvation which he has wrough is eternal, and is enjoyed by all those who "obey him", that is, who put their trust in him alone as their Savier.

The concluding verse of this section is noteworthy. The writer declares that Christ, the Author of eternol selvation is "called by God on high priest after the order of Lolchisedek." PROSAGORAUTHEIS HYPO TOU THEOU ARCHIEREUS KATA TEN TAXIN : ELC:: IZEDEK. Bruce's comment on this verse is so felicitous that we quote it in full: "The style is dramatic and the language emotional. God is moved by the spectacle of His Son's self-sacrifice, as olf old He had been noved by the readiness of Abraham to sacrifice Isaac, and exclaims, 'Thou art a Priest indeed: That the writer is not thinking of a formal appointment, which creates position previously non-existent, appears from the liberties he takes with the words of the oracle which contains the evidence that Christ was a God-called priest: 'With priest' subsituted for 'priest' and 'forever' omitted. The former of those changes is specially noteworthy. It is not accidental and trivial, but intended and significant. The alteration is made to suit the situation: Christ. sire dy a High Priest in virtue of functions englogous to those of Agron, and now and honceforth a priest after the order of "olchizedek (the two great antitypical titles impoven in one). Translated into abstract language, v.10 supplies the rationale of the fact stated in v.g. Its effect is to tell us that Christ became the author of otornal salvation because He was a true High Priest after the order of Melchizofek: author of salvation in virtue of his being a priest, suthor of eternal salvati n because His pressthood was of the Felchizelek type never ending." (p.193).

The spostle's reference to the Melchisedekien character of Christ's priesthood provides a link with the next section, in which Christ' is described in His
capacity as High Priest efter the order of Melchisedek. It is the spostle's plan
to employ the Asronic priesthood to demonstrate the nature of Christ's priestly
functions, and the melchisedekien priesthood to show their eternal worth and validity.
He does not employ the terms "priest" and "high priest" promiseuously, but is careful to call Christ "priest" when comparing Him with Melchisedek, and "high priest"
when comparing Him with Asron. In His high priestly secrifice of Himself on earth,

in Eqs high priestly entrance into the heavenly senctuary, and in His high priestly intercession for His people before the throne of Gos, Christ is the antitype of Aeron. In His combination of the royal and priestly offices, in His lofty supra-legal dignity and in His independence of time and of natural descent, Christ is the antitype of welchisedek. With this transitional thought, we pass now to the consideration of the Welchisedekian character of the priesthood of Christ.

II. CHRIST A PRIEST AFTER THE ORDER OF MELCHIZEDEK

In the final verse of Chapter 6, the apastle, referring to "esus as our "forerunner", PRODROMOS, who has "entered into that within the veil," (vis., that He has entered the presence of God as the herals and guarantee of our entrance), reachoes the declaration which he made in 5,10, and refers to Christ as "an high priest forever after the order of Melchizedek."

Now the postle, in Chapter 7, enters into a description of that strange and exalted figure of the old dispensation, Melchizedek, and then proceeds to prove, in a very clear and logical manner, the superiority of his priesthood over the Carrie-Levitical priesthood, a fact which has as its natural correlative the superiority of Christ's priesthood over the Levitical, inasmach as Christ is a priest after Melchizedek's order.

Abrehen returning from the slaughter of the kings, and blessed him; To whom also Abrehen gave a tenth part of all; first being by interpretation King of righteous-ness, and after that also King of Selem, which is, King of peace; Without father, without mother, without descent, having neither beginner of days, nor end of life, but made like unto the Son of God, abideth a preest continually," vv.1-3.

Melchizedek is here portrayed as an extraord nary king, for he is called, in accordance with the meaning of his name. "King of righteousness." being himself righteous, ruling in righteousness, and having righteousness as the sphere of his action. Furthermore, He is "King of Salem, which is King of peace." Jerus-lem, the city over which Melchisedek in all probability ruled, is itself the inheritance or dwelling-place of peace. Beades being a king, however, he was also empriost of the most high God," And a unique priest indeed he was, for he was "without father, without mother, without descent, having neither beginning of days, nor end of life." This description does not imply that welchizedek was a superhuman being, but the attributes escribed to him wat have a typical and prophetical significance, as applied to the manner in which he is mentioned in Scripture. The fact the lehizedel is declared to be APATUR, AMATUR, AGENTALOGETOS, means simply that nothing is said in Scripture concerning his father or his mother or his genealogy. This shows that the royal priesthood of -elchizedek is to be regarded as a purely personal dignity, and not/be traced back to any circumstance of maturel descent. Moreover, he is seid to have "neither beginning of days, nor end of life." that is. Scripture contains no record either of the beginning or end of his earthly life or the beginning or end of his priesthood. "He makes a mysterious, momentary appearance out of eternity on the stage of time, then disappears forever from view" (Bruce). His life at both ends is shrouded in mystery. Thus, "he abideth a priest continually," - the character of his priesthood is permanent, unbroken by transmission or inheritance.

And in these respects he is an eminent type of Christ, "made like unto a the Son of God," APEN/MOIWAENOS TW HYIW TOU THEOU. Christ, like Telchizedek, bot to an exalted degree, was both a priest of the most high God and a king of rightcousness and perce, both of which concepts are presented in the Old Testement as characteristics of the Messianic era. Christ, like Telbhizedek,

es for es His priesthood was concerned, was "without father, without nother, without descent;" His priesthood was unique, ideal, having no depended on parentage or descent, but based on personal, not technical or external, qualifications. Christ, like Holchisedek, had "neither beginning of days nor end of life." Chryststom says: "We know of no beginning and no end of either — in the case of Holchisedek, because they have found no record; in the case of Christ, because they have no existence." Thus also Christ, like Helchisedek, but again in a fer higher sense, "abideth a priest continually," perpetually; since His priesthood is a personal preregative, inherent in Himself alone, it is unchangeable and unduding. To summarize: In every respect, in his parsonal and official character, Helchisedek was a remarkable and outstanding type of Christ, the Son of God.

Having described the nature and office of "elchizedek, the spectle now goes on, vv.4-10, to show the superiority of his order over the Levitical priesthood, which he proves in a very logical fashion from two points:

1. Holchizedek received tithes from Abraham; 2. Holchizedek blessed Abraham.

In v.2 the writer had declared of melchizedek, "To been also Abraham gave a tenth part of all." He again takes up this thread of his argument in vv.4.

5.8.10: "Now consider how great this man was, unto whom even the patriarch Abraham gave the tenth of the spoils. And verily, they that are of the sons of Levi, who receive the office of the priesthood, have a commandment to take tithes of the people according to the law, that is, of their brothren, though they come out of the loins of Abraham....And here men that dieth receive tithes; but there he receiveth them, of whom it is witnessed that he liveth. And as I may so say, Levi also, who receiveth tithes, paid tithes in Abraham. For ye was yet in the loins of his father, when Melchizedek met him."

Abshen was infeed a great man, one of the greatest in the entire Scriptural record, for unto him were the promises given, and he was destined to be the father of the faithful; horeover, when Kelchisedek met him, Abshem was at the very summit of his meterial greatness, having just emerged victorious from his encounter with the kings. And yet, great though he was, he paid tithes to Kelchisedek, thus expressly acknowledging the royal pirest as the more illustricus of the two, so that the hely writer exclaims: Now consider how great this man was, unto whom even the patriarch Abraham gave the tenth of the spoils;

But how does this prove the superiority of the "elchizedekian priesthood to the Levitical? The matter is very clear. The Levitical priesthood indeed enjoys great dignity and honor, since by the Lord's own command the sons of Levi pay tithes from the people, their own brothren. Now, at the time when Abraham paid tithes to Molchizedek, the patriarch contained within his body the seed of his great-grandson Levi, or, as the suther declares, "Levi, was yet in the loins of his father (i.e. Kbraham) when "elchizedek met him." Hence, when Abrehem peld tithes to Melchizedek, Levi, in the loins of Abrahem, elso, in a menner of speeking, paid tithes to Melchizedek. Therefore, as the paying of tithes is a mark of the inferiority of the payer to the racipient, thus 'evi, who himself receives tithes from the people, is clearly proon to be inferior to Melchizedek, insamuch as to paid tithes to him. And this is reenforced by the statement: "Here men that diefthat is, the levitical priests), butter receive tithes, but there he receiveth them (i.e., Melchizedek), of whom it is witnessed that he liveth." As applied to Christ, the Son of God, who was made a parest ofter the order of Lolchizodek, this naturally infers his complete superiority over the Leviticel priesthood.

The suttor proves the superiority of Melchizedek over Abreham, and as a consequence, over Pevi and the Levitical priesthood, also from the fact that

the maker a more remarkable

lelchizedek blessed the patriarch. It is a self-evident truth that "without all contradiction, the less is blessed by the better," v.7. Abraham was blessed by Melchizedek, honce Melchizedek must have been the better of the two. Abraham, to whom the promises of the coming Savier had been given, was blessed by the man who was the type of Him who was both the giver and the subject of the promises.

Having thus established the superfority of Molchizedek over Abraham, and, by logical deduction, the superfority of his priesthood over the Levitical, the inspired writer now turns to the consideration of the supersession of the Levitical priesthood and the superfority of Christ's priesthood. Vv.11.12: "If therefore perfection were by the Levitical priesthood, (for under it the people received the law) what further need was there that another priest should rise after the order of Molchizedek, and not be called after the order of -aron? For the priesthood being changed, there is made of necessity a change also of the law."

It was evident that perfection could not come by the Levitical priesthood and the legal dispensation upon which it was founded. The Old Tostenship priests could not possibly bring the people to the perfect enjoyment of those whensings which they pointed out; they could only point forward and show the way to the ultimate realization of those blessings, namely, in the promised lessish. If the Levitical priesthood had been perfect, there would have been no need to raise up another priest, of a different and unique order - a priest after the order of Lolchisedek, not called after the order of Laron. Therefore, since such a new and preeminent Priest has arisen, through whom perfection is attained, it follows that the Levitical priesthood could not have been perfect.

Accordingly, since there is a change in the priesthood, there must be a corresponding change of the law. The relation between the priesthood and the law is so close that one cannot be changed without the other; the new priesthood must be under a new regulation.

Superiority of Christ's Priesthood

The epostle, having given voice to this thought, proceeds to claborate upon it, proving therefrom the superiority of Christ's priesthood. He shows that this superiority is sixfold: 1. As to origin; 2. As to form and order; 3. As to efficacy; 4. As to establishment; 5. As to curation; 6. As to moral qualifications.

1. "He of whom these things are spoken portaineth to snother tribe, of which no man gave attendance at the alter. For it is evident that our Lord sprang out of Juish; of which thibe Moses spake nothing concerning priesthood."-VV.12.14. Thus does the hely writer describe the transference of the priesthood from one tribe to enother, and the consequent superiority of origin of the new priesthood over the old. J. Capellus writes: "Translatio non valuti a rame ad remum, sed ab arboro ad arborom." He whose eternal priesthood was prophesied in Parlm 110 belongs not to the tribe of Levi, be the old covenent prescribed. but to another tribe, the tribe of Juda, cp. Gen. 49,8.10, which had never, in any of its members, been appointed to priestly service. The establishment of the unique origh and of the priesthood of Christ is a vital link in the chain of argument which the author is forging to prove Christ's superiority.

2. "And yet it is far more evident", the apostle continues, "for after the Millerina similitude of Melchizedek there criseth snother priest. Who is myde, not after the law of a carnal commendment, but after the power of an encloss life. For there is verily a disammlling of the commendant going before for the weakness and unprofitableness thereof," vv.18.19. It is chearly evident, according to the author, that the pressthood of Christ is more excellent than the "evitical priesthood, because the Old Testement priests were made "after the law of a carnel commendment, "wheres our Lord was made "after the power of an ent less life." A double contrast between the old and new priesthoods is here presented: (1) The former is KATA KOMON; the latter is KATA DYNALIN. (2) The former is dependent

upon what is SARKINE; the latter on what belongs to ZUE AKATALYTOS. The "evitical priests indeed were made after the power of a cernel commentment, for they were born of flesh, they offered up secrifices of flesh, and they died, according to mortal flesh. The Son of God, however, became a priest, not because of any legal compulsion, but because of the power in his own nature which compelled him and enabled him to undertake his priestly work; he indeed is node after the pioner of an endless, indestructible, indissoluble life — a life which even survived death. And to prove the precedence of Christ's priesthood to the Asponic also in this respect, the author once more quotes Psalm 110 to pur support his argument: "For he testificth, Thou art a priest forever after the order of Eachizedekt"

3. Because the priesthood of Christ is better than that of the old dispensation by virtue of His being consituted after the power of an encless life, it follows that His priesthood is of greater efficacy than the former. This is the
point which our author drives home in vv.18.19: "For there is verily a disanmulling of the commandment going before for the weakness and unprofitableness
thereof. For the law rade nothing perfect, but the bringing in of a petter hope
did, by the which we draw night unto God."

The legal dispensation of the Old Testement era has now been abrogated, because of its week and unprofitable character, op. Gel.4,9; Rom.8,3. It was too week to bring about perfection and inadequate to unite men with God. It reveals the holy will of God, it taught ceremonies and rudiments, it foreshadowed and presented types, but it perfected nothing.

Whereas the Paw made nothing perfect, the bringing in of a better hope fift, viz., of a better priesthood, succeeded where the former dispensation had failed, for by the bringing in of this better hope "we draw night unto God." Under the of law, only the priests could draw near to God. Now, however, there is no more

berrier between God and men; the veil which hid the Holy of Holius has been removed, and access to God is opens and free to all men. This is one of the selient points in the Epistle. Christienity is presented as the religion of the better hope, or rather, of the physical hope. Because Christ, the Son of God, is our great High Priest, we learn from this Epistle that we can "enter into the holiest by the blood of Jesus, by a new and I living way, which He hat consecrated for us, through the veil, that is to say, His flesh; And having an high priest over the house of God; Let us draw near with a true heart in full assumence of feith," Heb. 10, 19-22.

4. The spostle adduces still another proof for the superiority of the secondotal office of Christ, viz., the fact that it was established by God's eath: He deckres, vv.20-22, "And inasmuch as not without an eath He was made priest (For those priests were made without an eath; but this with an eath by Him that said unto Him; The Lord sware and will not repent, Thou art a priest feaver after the order of -clohizedek); By so much was Jesus made a surety of a better testament. The divine appointment of Christ as the eternal High Priest has been made by an eath, by the most binding and unalterable form of obligation known to men. In this the priestheed of Christ differed from and surpassed that of the "evitical priests, for they were inducted into their office without the solemn formality of an binding their priestheed with an eath. The fact that they were made priests without an eath indicates the temporarily and imperfect character of their effice, and of the priestheed covenant under which they served, in contrast to which the priestheed of Christ is declared to be fixed, permanent, perfect, and hence perpetually and wholly satisfactory to God.

The spostle states the manner in which the priesthood of Christ was established by the Father: "But this (was made) with an oath by Him Him that said unto Him, The Lordswere and will not repent, Thou art a priest forever after the order of i-clohizedek," God does not swear eaths lightly or promiscuously. When it is said of him that he has sworn and will not repent, it is evident that any alteration of his plans is excluded, and that the priesthood of Christ is final and eternal. The Aaronic sacerdotal System was unsatisfactory to Him, therefore he has ordeined the new priest to be constituted after the order of Helchizedek, the processness of which has already been proved.

Since the establishment of the priesthood of Christ was so much better than that of the Asronic line, the spostle concludes: "By so much was Jesus made a surety of a better testement," KATA TOSCUTON KREITTONOS DIATREKES GEGOREN
ECCYOS IESOUS. The superiority of the priesthood of Christ involves the superiority of the covenant based upon it. The greater excellence of the new covenance over the old corresponds to the measure in which its surety, as a priest constituted by an each, is greater than a priest not constituted by an each.

Josus is called the Esurety, EGGYCS, of the better testament. "It is

Jesus as the eternal Priest after the order of Melchizedek, as the rish and
exalted One, who is here spoken of as an EGGYCS. And He is so called because
that new relation between God and man, which, the result of His great selfoffering here, has now in Him, as our Forerumer in the heavenly sanctuary,
6,20, and there royally crowned with glory and honor, 2,9, its personal security for continuance and completion. As truly as He is Priest and King, so assuredly will the promises of the covenant be fulfilled in us, - a covenant which,
in distinction from the impotence of that of Sinsi, has for its objects time
perfection and eternal resulties - free, unclouded communion with God - eternal

complished. The cath in the Psalm which makes Him Priest is the sign of a promise, not of a compandment. His everlasting priesthood is not a more office committed to Him, but a solomnly recognized possession obtained in the way of suffering. And all He has obtained was obtained for us. He exists and lives for us eternally. His indissoluble life as Priest and King is the indissolube bond which unites us with God, and assures us of the encurance of this blissful fellowship." (Delitssch.spx Vol.I.pp.368.369.)

5. Christ's priesthood is of greater worth then that of the "evitical priests also because of the extent of its duration as compared with theirs. To this fact the author next gives expression, vv. 23-25: "And they truly were many priests, because they were not suffered to continue by reason of death; Abut this man, because he continueth ever, but a simulations of the simulation of the si

The Levitical priesthood experienced a continual change in personnel; they were truly "many priests." The Old Testement priests were mortal, their activity was cut off by death, and thus the Levitical system was enything but fixed and settled. Christ, on the other hand, is the eternal Son of God, who "continuath ever," and therefore his priesthood is "unchangeable", APARABATON. His office is unalterable, inviolable, incapable of being transmitted from him to another, for the is its sole and continual occupant, and willy remain so forever.

Accordingly, "He is able to save them to the uttermost that come unto God by Him" - He grants perfect and everlasting salvation to all those who trust in . Him, for "He ever liveth to make intercession for them." This is the same thought to which the author gives expression in Chapter 12,24: "Yes are come... 47

to Jesus, the Mediator of the new covenant, and to the blood of sprinkling, that speaketh better things than khal that of Abel." Jesus Christ has become our all-sufficient Savier, our effectual Intercessor, by virtue of His eternal Deity.

6. The author brings his inspired argument for the superiority of Christ's priesthood to a magnificent climax by emphasizing the superiority of his morel qualifications, vv.26-28: "For such an high priest became us, who is holy, harmless, undefiled, separate from sinners, and made higher than the heavens; The needeth not deily, as those high priests, to offer up sacrifice, first for his own sins, and then for the people's, for this He did once, when He offered up Himself. For the law maketh men higher priests which have infirmity; but the word of the oath, which was since the law, maketh the Son, who is consecrated for evermore."

The spostle again points to the fact that the Enviticel high priests were by no means perfect, but were themselves sinful men, for of necessity the law constituted men as high priests who were infirm, subject to the weakness and depravity to which the flosh is heir. And because they were sinners, they were constrained first to offer up sacrifice for their own sins before they undertook to make an offering for the people's sins. Furthermore, since the snimals which they sacrificed were but mere shadows of holiness, physically faultless but intrinsically worthless, their offering had to beer continual repetition — and this in itself is an underiable mark of inferiority.

Nork woll the superior morel quelifications of Christ. "Such a high priest became us," TOIOUTOS GAR REMIN EPREPEN ARCHIEREUS, the spostle avers. Summing up all that has been said concerning the "elchizedekian character of the great High Priest, the author declares that a high priest of that type was

mecesse us", because He is "holy", HOSIOS, perfectly righteous in all His relations; He is "hermless", AKAKOS, innocent and free of any fault that might disqualify Him as High Priest; He is "undefiled", AMIANTOS, unconteminated by sin, despite His contact with the world, op! Lov.21,1 and 22,9; He is "separate HECHURISHENOS APO THE MAMARTHEM, from sinners,"/distinct from them in His moral perfection; He is "made higher than the heavens," HYPSELOEROS THE OURABHE GENOMENOS, exalted to the throne of majesty on high. Here in Christ we have the ideal of priesthood, and He is ideal only because He is the eternal Sons of God.

But Christ is the High Priest who whoceme us Malso because of His great mediatorial work, which so far surpassed that of the Levitical priests. Whereas their secrifices needed continually to be repeated, because of the imperfection both of priest and victim, His was a single secrifice, which needed no repetition, for "this "e did once." It was of sufficient worth and validity of itself to stone for all the sins of all mankind for all time; And this single secrifice which Jesus offered up derived its infinite power from the fact that it was a self-secrifice, for "He offered up Himself." He was both the officiating Priest and the secrificial Lemb; yea, He was the holy Lemb without bloomsth and without spot who was offered up upon the alter of Galvary's cross as the one divine and perfect sin-offering, by whose blood all sins are purged away. Indeed, by the very act of His self-sacrifice, He demonstrated Hisself to be perfectly holy, the embodiment of Love. (And herein also He is the fulfilment of the Eelchizodekian type, for self-sacrifice is truly an establishment of the Eelchizodekian type, for self-sacrifice is truly an establishment of the ideal priesthood, wherein priest and victim are one.)

The apostle conditudes his argument by reiterating the fact that the Son was constituted a priest by the words of the oath, cited in v.21. This oath, sworn by the Lord in the prophecy of Pselm 110.4, was given "since the Lew,"

indicating that the Lew was imperfect and needed to be revised. And the Son is consecrated for evermore, we had to have a like the Lew was imperfect and needed to be revised. And the Son is secretary and the Lew was imperfect and needed to be revised. And the Son is secretary and like secretary and like secretary and like secretary.

Thus the spostle in this romerkable chapter has portrayed Christ as the priest efter the order of Lelchisedek, and has logically shown the transcendent superiority of his priesthood. He has by no means finished his presentation of the priestly character and office of Christ, but continues to discuss this bublect in Chapters 8-10, treating it from the viewpoint of:

IV. CHRIST THE HIGH PRIEST AS THE ARTITYPE OF THE LEVITICAL DISPENSATION

Explicit in the course of the essay we made the observation that Christ is portrayed as "priest" when compared with Melchisedek, and as "high priest" when compared with maron and the "evitical system. The author has already shown his superiority over the "evitical priesthood; now he proceeds to explain the manner in which the Levitical dispensation forestedowed his high Priesthood.

The author begins this phase of his discussion with the words: KEPHALAION DE EFI TOIS EEGEMENOIS, which Hecoll has aptly translated, "How to crown our long (Collective and the product which had been a large at the) present discourse." A He kegins with a statement as to the exalted position of Christ: "We have such an high priest, who is set on the right hand of the throne of the "ajesty in the heavens." Our High Priest, Jesus Christ, is true God; He occupies the place of ineffable glory and power on the right hand of God the Father. There he exercises both his kingly and physistly functions. He is the royal priest, not a "escendotal drudge", for he intercedes for simmers in his regal state. The session of the great high Priests on the right hands of the lajesty in the heavens proves: 1. That he is greater than any Levitical high priest; 2. That his sacrifice is of infinite worth, and therefore acceptable to God; 3. That he is all-powerful, and thus also "mighty to saves" 4. That he abides in the heavenly Hely of Helies continually, ever living to make intercession for his people, unlike the Levitical high priests, who went into the Hely of Helies but once a year. (Co. Krotsmann, "Popular Commontary, p. 4465).

The greatness of the High Priest is now proved by the place of His ministry. He is called "a minister of the sextuary and of the true teberracle, which the Lord pitches, and not men." Into the carthly, naterial teberracle and senetuary the Lord Jesus nover entered, for He was not a member of the Levitical priesthood. His high priestly work was vestly more noble and more exalted. He performed His saccordatal work of atomment in the "true teberracde", Skene Alkenine, the ideal, antitypical teberracle of His own body, and he now discharges his saccordatal duty of intercession in the the true, colostial sanctuary, of which he is a minister (TWH HAGIWH LEITOURGOS). And that indeed was pitched not by man, but by the Lord Himself, which goes to prove their incomparable more precominence of the divine High Priest who serves there.

The author now states the necessary parts of Christ's high priesthood, vv. 3-5: "For every high priest is ordained to offer gifts and secrifices; wherefore it is of necessity that this man have somewhat also to offer. For if he were on earth, he should not be a priest, seeing that there are priests that offer gifts according to the law, Who serve unto the example and shows of heavenly things, as Moses was admonished of God when her was about to make the tabernacle, for, See, saith He, that thou make all things according to the pattern showed to thee in the mount." Hence, it was necessary: 1. That Christ should have something to offer; 2. That He should perform His intercessory duties in Reeven.

The universal law that every high priest is appointed to offer gifts and sacrifices proves that Christ, who is the High Priest of the heavenly sanctuary, is not idle or inactive, but must be offering something. And that which Christ now offers to God is the presentation of His finished sacrifice. Just as the Jewish high priest could not enter into the Holy of Hiles without the blood of the sacrificial victim, ch.9,7, so Jesus, the greater High Priest, had to enter the divine sanctum with the blood of the greater Jietim - Himselft and that blood is of infimite and eternal merit, for it is the blood of the Son of God.

Moreover, since Christ has been proven to be our High Priest, it must be exercising in Heaven that he is exercising his ministry, for if he were on earth he could not serve as a priest, lot alone as the high priest; hence, it is necessary that Christ perform his high Priestly auties in Heaven. The priesthood, accordingly to the "aw, was restricted to men of the tribe of Levi, which would have ipso facto excluded Jesus, a memor of the tribe of Judah.

But far from detracting from the excellence of Jesus' priesthood, this consideration immessurably enhances it, for the apostle shows that He is the perfect High Priest, of whom the Levitical priesthood was morely a type, and he performs His sacerdotal functions in the true, heavenly, hely place, of which the earthly? tebernacle, built by Moses in accordance with God's spen specifications, was but a shedow, with no imagendent existence nor intrinsic value.

The hely "riter continues his argument for the preminence of Christ, vv.

The Media 6.7: "But now beth He obtained a more excellent ministry, by how stor of a Better Cowent slso He is the mediator of a better covenant, which was esvenent.

tablished upon better promises. For if that first covenant had

been faultless, then should no place have been sought for the second." The ministry of Christ is superior to that of the Levitical priesthood in proportion to the superiority of the heavenly to the certhly, of the real to the symbolic. Since the ministry of Christ in included in his activity as the Mediator of a better covenant, it must share in the superior excellence of that covenant. It is fauperior in that it is a clearer and more perfect dispensation of the arcse of God, the "no plus ultra" of divine revelation. Moreover, it has been established on better promises, incamach as the free ofer of felvation, as contained in the Gospel, is better than the absolute demand of perfection, as required by the "ew.

The superiority of the new covenant is indisputable, for, as the author 'ecclares, "if the first covenant had been faultless, then should no place have been sought for the second." If the old dispensation had accomplished its purpose of bringing men to God, there would have been no need or demand for a new dispensation. But the former cover nt was indeed found to be faulty, and so it had to be superseded by a new dispensation.

The spored writer now continues by quoting Jeremish 32,31-34 to describe and emphasize the preeminence of the new covenant, vv.8-12. According to this quotation, the new and better covenant involves a better relationship between God and His people than that which existed according to the covenant which He made with the fathersy when He led them out of Egypt, because of their unfaithfulness and disobedience to that covenant.

This distinction, according to the Lord's own declaration, is fourfold:

"I. "I will put my laws into their minds, and write them in their hearts"; 2.

"I will be in to them a God, and they shall be to me a people"; 3. "All shall know me, from the least to the greatest"; 4. "I will be merciful to their unrighteousness, and their sins and their iniquities will I remember no more."

The new dispensation, to be sure, is based upon better promises — promises which are centred in Christ, God's eternal Son.

The spostle closes the chapter with the observation, "In that He saith, A new covenant, He hath made the first old. Now that which decayeth and waxeth old is ready to vanish away." When God speaks of a new covenant, the former covenant isgotheroby automatically branced as old. Thus, already at the time of the Prophet Jeremiah the Mosaic dispensation was looked upon as insufficient, and the point is too interesting to be passed by: "The time of fulfilment has arrived. Leviticalism is decrepit, and death must ensue. Think of this, ye "ebrews, who cling to Levitical ordinates:

See: the high priest's head is white with age; his limbs totter from feebleness; the boards of the tabernacle are rotten; the veil of the sanctuary is
moth-eaten. Everything portends approaching dissolution. Let it die, then,
the hoary system, and receive from devout men decent burish. Shut not your
eyes to the white hairs and tottering steps, fanatically striving to endow
the venerable with immortality, embalming that which is already dead. Accept
the inevitable, however painful, and find comfort in the thought that though
the body dies the spirit lives on, that when the old passes every screething new
and better takes its place. It is sed to lose such a one as Simeon the just
que devout; but why mourn for him when Christ is born?" (p.304)

In the minth chapter the author continues his discussion of the superiority of Christ's priesthood to the Old Testement cultus, devoting the first fourteen verses to a portrayel of thrist as the high Priest of a greater and more perfect tabernacle.

He lays the foundation for his argument by entering into a description of the old tebernacle, which, impressive thank and elaborate khough it was, in its appointments and services, was only a symbol and shadow, vv.1-10. He calls it a "worldly sent turry." It was worldly, for it pertained wholly to this world, in that it was a temporary and non-stationary institution, and thus characteristic of the world. Hevertheless, it was a "senctuary", for it was the place in which God was pleased to dwell, and it was to His glory alone that it was erected and maintained. The tabernacle was divided into the parts: The outer part, called the "senctuary", which contained the candle stick and the table with the showbroad; and the inner part, called the "holiest of all", which was divided from the outer part by the veil, and which had the gold on conser, (or golden alter of incense), according to the interpretation of This-lawshow (this, however, was not within the Holy of Holies proper, but was inseparably connected with its ritual), and which contained the Ark of the Coveners.

In the Ark reposed the golden pot that had menne, Asron's rod that budded, and the tables of the covenent; the lid of the Ark was the so-called "mercy-seat," which was overshadowed by the charabins of glory. Concerning these hely appointments the apostle declares that he "commot now speak particularly," and therefore immediately goes on to gention the duties and services of the taber-nacle. He states that the ordinary priests went continually into the first tabernacle, i.e., the hely place, to perform their services, but that into the second, the Hely of Helies, "the high priest alone went once every year, not without blood, which he offered for himself and for the errors of the people."

The rest truth which the Noly Ghost , who is identified here as the suther of the ritual, intended to inculcate thereby was that "the way into the holiest of all was not yet made manifest, while sayet the first tabernacle was yet standing. The fact that under the old covenant there was no! free access to God's presence is proven by the arrangement that not all The Nore Parfect priests, but only the high priest, went into the Holy of Nolies, Tabernacle that he went not always, but only once a year, and that he went not empty-handed, not freely, but always with blood.

The old tabornacle was merely a"figure for the time then present," and now of its gifts and sacrifices could make the doer perfect or satisfactorily appears his conscience. For the Old Testement institutions were only imperfect ordinances, which consisted of external, carnal regulations, such as meets and drinks and divers washings, and which were only temporary in duration, effective only until the writes of reformation," MECHRI KAIROU DIORTHESENS (literally, for corrections, "putting things right"), namely, the New Testament era, in which all the defects of the "cyltical dispensation would be remedied, the veil which hid the presence of God removed, and man brought into the right spiritual terret.

to off you was no policy of for me of wall with his contribution of the contribution o

in the first of arendies and them there are no second positions.

In contrast to this shedowy, typical, imperfect institution, the author now goes on, vv.11.12, to picture Christ as "the high priest of good things to come," namely, of the New Testament dispensation and of the eternal blessings which it supplies. This perfect High Priest, the author continues, "by a greater and more perfect tabernacle, not made with hands, that is to say, not of this building; Neither by the blood of goets and calves, but by H, s own blood Ne entered once into the holy place, having obtained eternal redemption for us."

This description offers four marks of superiority for Christ's high priestly activity: 1. He is the High Priest of a greater and more perfect tabernacle, not of human creation, as was the Old Testement tabernacle, but His own body. 2. He entered the holy place not with the blood of goats and calves, worthless animals, but with His own divine and precious blood. 3. He entered not many times, as did the Old Testement high priests, but only once.

4. The redemption which He wrought was not marely effective for a yearly respite, but is eternal.

Thus Christ is the High Priest of supreme and complete efficacy. "The blood of bulls and of goets and the makes of an heifer sprinkling the unchean, sanctifieth to the purifying of the <u>flesh</u>", declares the apostle, but the peerless worth of Christ's sacrifice throby becomes all the more evident, for whow much more shall the blood of Christ, who through the eternal Spirit offered Himself without spot to God, surge your conscience from dead works to serve the living God?"

The inestimable virtues of Christ's secrifice is expressed in the statement that He offered Himself. His sacfifice was not made under the compulsion,
but was the free and voluntary act of His own divine will; and the sacrifice which
He offered was no paltry offering of animals, but His own precious Flife. That
is the ideal of sacrifice and pitesthood, as previously stated. And because He

was the divine and perfect Lemb of God, the author also declares that He offered Einself "without spot to God". The Levitical sacrifices were physically spot—less, but He was morally spotless, the essence of perfection and of purity; therefore He is the "emb of God "which taketh away the sin of the world."

But the apostle makes the significant statement that He offered Himself to God "through the eternal Spirit." In contrast to the earthly, material offerings of the Levitical era, the secrifice of Christ was <u>spiritual</u>, in the highest sense of that word, removed from all association with mundane, temporal, carnal ideas. And His secrifice is of eternal, never-ending efficacy. It is only because of the fact that the secrifice of Christ was unique, in that it was the self-offering of the <u>lod-man</u>, that if possessed such perfect and everlasting validity. Luman reason cannot comprehend how the <u>lod-man</u> could die, but the syllogism is clear: Jesus Christ died; Jesus Christ is God-man; ergo, the lod-man died. The well-known Lenten hymn, "O grosse Not, Gott selbst ist todt," is therefore based upon sound Scriptural doctrine.

As a result of the sacrifice of Christ, our consciences are purged from cord works, works that are unprofitable and sinful, and we are enabled to devote our lives to the service of the living God.

The Deity of Christ, His divine essence, is the factor which gives to His secrifice its transcent ent and all-sufficient value. Or, to express it in enc-ther vey, the fact that the Scriptures declare the secrifice of Christo be of infinite value proves Him to be true God.

In v.15 the epostle now presents the theme about which He is to weave the remainder of the chapter: "And for this cause He is the Mediator of the New testement," MESITES DIATERES KAINES. Christ has inaugurated a new dispensation, whereby men are brought into a new and holier relationship with God. The purpose of His Mediatorship is immediately stated: "That by means of death, for

the recemption of the transgressions that were under the first testement, they which are called might receive the promises of eternal inheritance." The death of Christ is here viewed from a retrospective angle. The Old Testement believers had received the promise of salvation through Christ, but it was not until the actual coming of Christ that their transgressions were perfectly atomed for, ep. 11,40. Now that Christ has completed His work of reconciliation, the promised eternal inheritance is bestowed upon all those who will accept it in true faith; for eternal salvation is insured by the death of Christ.

Stry for Christ to die in order to make the New Testament effectual. The general rule applies that: "Where a testament is, there must also the New Testament of necessity be the death of the testator; For a testament is of force after men are dead; otherwise it is of no strength at all while the testator liveth." The natural conclusion is that, as in the case of all testaments, so also in the case of the testament which Christ insituted for men, this was of no force until the death of the testator, Christ Himself. Christ had to die in order that His people might enjoy the benefits and blessings which had to die in order that His people might enjoy the benefits and blessings which had bequesthed to them in His lest will and testament.

Moreover, the inspired author argues that the testement must useds be ratified by blood. He shows the manner in which the first testement was ratified:

Ifter Hoses had instructed the people in all the Law, then he dedicated the testement with blood, sprinkling the book of the Law and the covenant, the people themselves, and the tabernacle and all the vessels of the ministry, — all of which, of course, was a type of Christ, who has sprinkled and purged us with His own holy blood.

the section of the same and the same and the same of t

rule. And above all, those things which were consecrated to the service and worship of God were purged with blood. Hence, the apostle concludess "Without the shedding of blood there is no remission." The necessity of purging everything connected with the service of God was because of its contamination by contact with meh, guilty and sinful beings. This stein of guilt is removed by the remission of sin. And remission of sin is accomplished by the shedding of blood, HAIMATEKCHYSIA. This rule applied to material things; it applied in a fer higher sense to the shedding of the blood of Christ, which has purged us simmers from allow guilty steins, and made us acceptable to God, vessels of honor, purified by the blood of the Lemb.

The writer extends this thought in the next verse; will was necessary that the patterns of things in the heavens should be purified with theses but the heavenly things themselves with better secrifices than these. The blood of secrificial beasts sufficed to purge those material things, enumerated above, which were more shadows, copies and types of the heavenly things; but these things themselves, which the new covenant comprised, had to be purified within better secrifice — the blood of the Son of God. And in confirmation of this statement, the suther repeats the thought to which he has previously given expression: For Christi is not entered into the hely places made with hands, which are the figures of their true, but into heaven itself, now to apear in the presence of God for us."

Once more the apostle drives home his ever-recurring theme, the superiority of Christ's secrifice: "Nor yet that He should offer Himself often, as the high priest entereth the holy place every year with blood of others; for then must He often have suffered since the foundation of the world, but now once in the end of the world hath He appeared to put away siny by the sacrifice of Himself." Again the inspired penmen depicts the superiority of Christ's

the oft-repeated secrifices which the Levitical high priests had to present in the holy place, for if his secrifice were to be of the same kind as theirs, requiring constant repetition, he would have had to suffer repeatedly throughout all the ages — which is to absurd as to be unthinkable, and which therefore proves that Christ's sacrifice must have been performed only once, was, Secondly, it was a sacrifice of himself, in contrast to the Levitical snimel sacrifices.

The spostle fine by clinches his argument for the divine efficacy and preeminence of the one offering of Christ, by illustrating it from God's appointment concerning mon: "And as it is appointed unto men once to die, but after this the Judgment, So Christ was once offered to bear the sing of many; and unto them that look for him shall he appear the second time without sin unto selvation." It is the inexorable rule of nature that men must die, and that but once, and thereafter comes the Judgment. Likewise in the case of Christ, it was necessary that he die, but his death naturally occurred but once. And in his case, too, death will be followed by Judgment, but in the Judgment he will appear as the Judge, in contrast to all mortal men, who will be the judged. And when he comes again it will be "without sin," i.e., not as a Sin-bearer, as he did the first time, for now the burden of mankind's sins have been lifted from his shoulders, by virtue of his perfect atonement.

Over and over again the holy writers stresses the fact that Christ's sacrifice was substitutionary; He took our place and suffered in our stead. This is the burden of the ritual prescribed and powered in the Book of Leviticus (ch.1,3,4,etc.), and which was intended to typify the substitutionary sacrifice of Christ, the Lamb of God. This is the burden of the prophecy of Isaich 53, which points to Christ as the Servent of Schoveh who was to suffer in our stead.

on the rest indexes continue to be regarded to the occurs of the continue of

And this is the burden of those chapters of the Epistle to the "obrews which treat of the atoning work of "esus Christ. With this doctrine of the vicarious atonement of Christ the Christian religion stands and falls.

The author has not quite finished with his ergument for the greater excellence of Christ's sacrifice, but continues to dwell upon his lefty subject
a little longer, showing, in Chapter 10,1-18, how the new dispensation supersedes the old.

The flew
Dispensation of the legel dispensation, vv.t-6. In the first place, they supersedes
The Old had no value in themselves, but only pointed forward to
ChristevThe law having a shedow of good things to come, and not the very image of those things." Furthermore, the legal secrifices could not make the comers thereunto perfect. This is very clear, for if they could have attained this one, they would have coased to be offered, for in that case, there being no more sin, there would have been no more need of such sacrifices. But the fact that they did not succeed in purging the worshippers' consciences from sins is emply proven by the consideration that in those sacrifices remembrance of sin is made snowly.

Moreover, the secrifices were inherently defedtive - "It is not possible that the bloods of bulls end of goets should take ewey sins." It was impossible that they should possess this purging power within themselves, for they were not of the same nature as sinners, they were not of sufficient intrinsic value, and they were not voluntary sacrifices - alls of which considerations were essential to the one perfect and consummte sacrifice, which of course was fulfilled in Christ.

Lastly, the Lovitical secrifices were not pleasing to God, for they were only temporary and were destined to be repealed at the coming of Christ. In

substantiation of this contention, the spostle cites Psalm 40,6-8: "Wherefore comoth when He (Christ) Exem into the world, He saikh, Sacrifice and offering Thou wouldest not, but a pody hast Thou prepared me; In burnet offerings and sacrifices for sin Thou hast had no pleasure. Then said I, Lo, I come(in the volume of the book it is written of me), to do Thy will, O God."

This quotation affords a natural transition from the sensideration of the inferiosity of the old dispensation to the discussion of the excellence of the new. The preeminance of the Christian dispensation is demonstrated first by the divine purpose. The citation from Psalm 40 serves to show that already in the old Testement era God had decreed and foretold the coming of Christ; moreover, he had determined upon the manner in which Christ should perform his work of reconciliation: who doty hast Thou propered me, or, seconding to the literal rendition of the words of the Psalm; which ears hast Thou opened. He should assume the human nature, and as a true men render perfect obedience to the will of his Father. We are reminded of the inspired dictum, which found its perfect realization in Christ: "To obey is better then secrifice, and to hearken than the fat of rams(1 Sem. 15.22).

The new dispensation is more excellent also because of the willingness of Christ to undertake the great work of atomement. He is represented as saying, in the words of the Messienic Pselm: "Lo, I come (in the volume of the book it is written of me), to do thy will, O Godi". In fulfilment of the Old Testement prophecy, which was recorded of Him in the inspired record, Christ came to earth to do the will of His Mesvenly Father; voluntarily, without any compulsion; furthermore, He entered upon His redemptive mission gladly and joyfully, for a comparison with the original statement of Pselm 40 shows that He exclaimed: "I delight to do Thy will, Ol God!" The will of God was the redemption and sanctification of all manking, and Christ willingly and cheerfully undertook to carry out that will.

thereby "He taketh away the first, that He may establish the second," He abrogated the former dispensation, which was inadequate to satisfy the demands of God, and insuranted the new dispensation, whereby a perfect and eternal reconciliation between God and man has been effected. In accordance with God's will, then, we of the New Testament era ware sanctified by through the bifering of the body of Jesus thrist once for all, Jesus' blood and rightesousness, appropriated by faith, bring us into intimate and permanent communion with God.

The now disponsation has perfect efficacy, in striking contrast to the former system. "And every priest standeth daily ministering and offering often times the same secrifices, which can never; take sway sins" - surely a dreary and disheartening picture of the inaffectiveness and impotence of the old coverent; But it only serves to paint in more vivid has the matchless worth of Christ; "But this man, after He had offered passerifice for sits forever, sat down on the right hand of God; From henceforth expecting till His enemies be made His Tootstool. For by one offering hathperfected forever them that are sanctified."

The spostle thus shows once more the finelity of Christ's sacrifice. He does not need, as do the Levitical priests, to stand daily offering sacrifices, for He has performed His single, unique, self-sacrifice, and nothing more remains to be done to unite men with God. His atomic work accomplished, Christ now has ascended to the right hand of God, where He lives and reigns, as the royal priest, in eternal majesty and glassy.

His completion of His priestly work and His entrance into glory is thus accounted for: "For by one offering "e hath perfected forever them that are sanctified." His one offering serves all the purposes of all the sacrifices

under the Law: It senctifies non, brings them to the right relationship toward God, and it also perfects them, keeps them in that holy relationship, thus insuring their everlesting salvation. The one perpetually effective secrifice of Christis the anchor of our Christian hope, the guarantee of our Christian trust.

It might have been expected that the author would have brought his discussion of the secondotal office of Christ to a dose with this impressive picture of the exalted high Priest. But he does not write "Finis" to this magnificent discourse before he has adduced another Scriptural proof for the finality of Christ's sacrifice, vv.15-18. He requotes the prophecy of Jeremish which he had recorded in Chapter 8.10-12.

The fact that no further sacrifice for the expiation of sin is to be expected is attested not only by the session of Christ on the throne of God, but also by the witness of the Holy Chost, speaking by inspiration through the Prophet Jeremiah. He repeats the two chief points of the promise: Regeneration of the heart and the benishment of all remembrance of sin, as a result of which there is no further place for an aftening sacrifice.

With the establishment of the new covenant, the law of God, inscribed in the hearts and minds of men, is deepened and spritualized. Hen thereby enters into a new relation with God, a relation which is based upon the grace and love which God has manifested in His Soh. Now, in this new era, our sins no longer exist in the mind of God, for absolute forgiveness is a characteristic of the dispensation which Christ ushered in. "Now," the apostle concludes, "where remission of these (sins) is, there is no more offering for sin." With the remission of sin accomplished through the reconciliation of Christ, there is no longer any ground or reason for atonoment. All need for satisfaction has been met, all sacrifices for sin are annulled, and the temple ritual has no further value. The last word of the argument has been spoken. The case

Thus, in this lengthy section of the Epistle, extending from 4,14 to 10,18 (with the omission of the parenthetical episode of rebuke and exhortation, 5,12-6,20), Christ has been portrayed in His divine work of atonoment as the great High Priest. And in this presentation of the sacerdotal character and office of Christ, we have an indisputable and absolute proof of His Deity, for only God Himself could be such a High Priest, who was "holy, harmless, undefile, separate from simmers, made higher than the heavens," and only God Himself could render such a perfect, divine, all-sufficient secrifice - the blood of Christ, "who through the eternal Spirit offered Himself without spot to God."

We have now reached what is rightly regarded as the close of the Soctrinal part of the Epistle. The remainder is taken up in warnings, exhortations, historical allusions, and the inculcation of various duties, all drawing their motive or illustration from the great and sublime truths which we have discussed, and which are centred about Christ, the eternal Son of God.

Mus the entire spistle, in its description of Christ in His preeminent position as the -ediator of reveletion and in His divine work of atomement as the great High Priest, emphasizes with ever-increasing force the sublime truth of the <u>Deity of Chbist</u>. He one can read this grand Epistle in a reverent spirit without being convinced by the overwhelming mass of evidence that Jesus Christ is indeed true God. He is greater than the prophets, greater than the angels, greater than Moses, greater than Aeron and the Levitical priesthood — because He is Himself the great God; Devout meditation upon this theological and Christological emesterpiece cannot fail to strengthen and confirm the reader's faith in the Deity of his Savier, and thus, in corresponding measure, strengthen and confirm his hope of eternal salvation.

Truly, only then do we know true happiness and peace in this life and possess the sure hope of the life to some, if we look unto Jesus, the Author and Finisher of our faith, that great Shepherd of the sheep, whom the God of peace has brought from the dead through the blood of the everlasting covenant.

To Him be glory for over and every kmen.

freer; has a section and point to the Comment

The Prince of the last of the particular

THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

- Thomas Costes,
Duluth, Linnesots,
April 12, 1935.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Delitzsch, "Commentary on the Hebeevs"

Bruce, "The Epistle to the Hebrews"

Expositors Greek Testament, Vol. IV (Nicoll)

Kretzmenn, P.E., "Popular Commentary"

Keyer, "Kommentar - Der Brief en die Hebraer"

Tholuck, "Kommentar zum Briefe an die Hebraer"

Keil, "Kommenter weber den Brief en die Hebraer"

Plumer, "Commentery on Paul's Epistle to the Hebrews"

Letthew Henry, "Commentery", Volume VI

Fuerbringer, "Einleitung in des Neue Testement"

Lutheren Commentery, "Annotations on the Epistle to the Mebrews" (Wolf)

Mirschberger Bibel

Meier, W. A., Lecture Motes on the Pselms

International Bible Cyclopedia, Volume II

Luther," Vorrede auf die Epistel an die Eebraer"

Kretzmenn, O.P., "Christology of the Letter to the Hebrews"