

# The Naming of Names: Guidelines for Gene Nomenclature in Marchantia

John L. Bowman<sup>1,\*</sup>, Takashi Araki<sup>2</sup>, Mario A. Arteaga-Vazquez<sup>3</sup>, Frederic Berger<sup>4</sup>, Liam Dolan<sup>5</sup>, Jim Haseloff<sup>6</sup>, Kimitsune Ishizaki<sup>7</sup>, Junko Kyozuka<sup>8</sup>, Shih-Shun Lin<sup>9</sup>, Hideki Nagasaki<sup>10</sup>, Hirofumi Nakagami<sup>11</sup>, Keiji Nakajima<sup>12</sup>, Yasukazu Nakamura<sup>10</sup>, Kyoko Ohashi-Ito<sup>13</sup>, Shinichiro Sawa<sup>14</sup>, Masaki Shimamura<sup>15</sup>, Roberto Solano<sup>16</sup>, Hirokazu Tsukaya<sup>13,17</sup>, Takashi Ueda<sup>18</sup>, Yuichiro Watanabe<sup>19</sup>, Katsuyuki T. Yamato<sup>20</sup>, Sabine Zachgo<sup>21</sup> and Takayuki Kohchi<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Biological Sciences, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria 3800, Australia

<sup>2</sup>Graduate School of Biostudies, Kyoto University, Kyoto, 606-8502 Japan

<sup>3</sup>University of Veracruz, Institute for Biotechnology and Applied Ecology (INBIOTECA), Avenida de las Culturas Veracruzanas 101, Colonia Emiliano Zapata 91090, Xalapa, Veracruz, México

<sup>4</sup>Gregor Mendel Institute, Dr. Bohrgasse 3, 1030 Vienna, Austria

<sup>5</sup>Department of Plant Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3RB, UK

<sup>6</sup>Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge CB2 3EA, UK

<sup>7</sup>Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, 1-1 Rokkodai-cho, Nada-ku, Kobe, 657-8501 Japan

<sup>8</sup>Graduate School of Life Sciences, Tohoku University, 2-1-1, Katahira, Aoba-ku, Sendai, 980-8577 Japan

<sup>9</sup>Institute of Biotechnology, National Taiwan University, Taiwan

<sup>10</sup>National Institute of Genetics, Research Organization of Information and Systems, 1111 Yata, Mishima, 411-8540 Japan

<sup>11</sup>RIKEN Center for Sustainable Resource Science, Tsurumi-ku, Yokohama, Kanagawa, 230-0045 Japan

<sup>12</sup>Graduate School of Biological Sciences, Nara Institute of Science and Technology, 8916-5 Takayama, Ikoma, Nara, 630-0192 Japan

<sup>13</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Graduate School of Science, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo, Tokyo, 113-0033 Japan

<sup>14</sup>Graduate School of Science and Technology, Kumamoto University, Kumamoto, 860-8555 Japan

<sup>15</sup>Department of Biological Science, Graduate School of Science, Hiroshima University, Kagami-yama, Higashi Hiroshima, Hiroshima, 739-8526 Japan <sup>16</sup>Departamento de Genética Molecular de Plantas, Centro Nacional de Biotecnologia-CSIC, C/ Darwin, 3, Campus Cantoblanco, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain

<sup>17</sup>Okazaki Institute for Integrative Bioscience, National Institute of Natural Sciences, 5-1, Higashiyama, Okazaki, Aichi, 444-8787 Japan

<sup>18</sup>Laboratory of Developmental Cell Biology, Department of Biological Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1, Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 113-0033 Japan

<sup>19</sup>Department of Life Sciences, Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1, Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo, 153-8902 Japan

<sup>20</sup>Faculty of Biology-Oriented Science and Technology, Kinki University, Nishimitani, Kinokawa, Wakayama, 649-6493 Japan

<sup>21</sup>University of Osnabrück, Botany Department, Barbarastr. 11, D-49076 Osnabrück, Germany

\*Corresponding authors: John L. Bowman, E-mail, john.bowman@monash.edu, Fax: +61-3-9905-5613; Takayuki Kohchi, E-mail: tkohchi@lif.kyoto-u.ac.jp, Fax: +81-75-753-6127

(Received August 5, 2015; Accepted November 25, 2015)

While Marchantia polymorpha has been utilized as a model system to investigate fundamental biological questions for over almost two centuries, there is renewed interest in M. polymorpha as a model genetic organism in the genomics era. Here we outline community guidelines for M. polymorpha gene and transgene nomenclature, and we anticipate that these guidelines will promote consistency and reduce both redundancy and confusion in the scientific literature.

Keywords: Gene nomenclature • Liverwort • Marchantia polymorpha • Model organism.

In the not too distant past, mankind began to travel the world in search of discovery and, when he or she decided that a geological feature required to be identified from the surrounding landscape, a name was bestowed upon it. However, more often than not they were not the first to do so, and so began the multiplicity of names for singular features, which in turn can lead to confusion of identity and questions of priority. In the age of genetics and now genomics, mankind travels along the chromosomes of their own and another organism's genomes and bestows names upon landmarks therein. Again, often they are not the first, and the multiplicity of names is one cause for confusion in the literature. While some multiplicity is unavoidable, such as when the same gene is identified independently in different genetic screens, our goal is to minimize duplicity of names.

Since Marchantia polymorpha is not the first species to have been examined genetically or to have its genome sequenced, we can build upon the lessons of the past and adapt nomenclatural guidelines to suit an organism in the genomic era. Thus, the guidelines proposed closely follow those of Arabidopsis thaliana (Meinke et al. 1998), whose guidelines (formulated by the Arabidopsis Nomenclature Committee at the 1987 Third International Arabidopsis Meeting in East Lansing, MI, USA) were based on those previously produced for Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Cherry 1998). In the case of M. polymorpha, these guidelines have been

available online at www.pcp.oxfordjournals.org

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

Plant Cell Physiol. 57(2): 257-261 (2016) doi:10.1093/pcp/pcv193, Advance Access publication on 7 December 2015,

<sup>©</sup> The Author 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Japanese Society of Plant Physiologists.



expanded to include types of genes, such as microRNAs, that have been discovered since. While the genome assembly in mid 2015 is not sufficiently complete to assign gene names based on chromosomal location, we anticipate such 'gene ID' names to be formally introduced in the future. Finally, the complexity and sophistication of transgenes have greatly expanded in the past couple of decades and, while a onesize-fits-all nomenclature may not incorporate the full extent of their variety, we provide guidelines for configurations commonly used at present.

With the sequencing of genomes across the breadth of life, including the land plants and their algal relatives, reverse genetics approaches to uncover gene function and trace the evolutionary history of genes has become pervasive. This has led to gene names from one taxon often being adopted for related genes in another taxon. While this promotes crossreferencing and comparisons across taxa, there are risks if appropriate phylogenetic analyses are not performed. We propose that adopting gene names across taxa should only be done in the knowledge that the genes in question are orthologs, otherwise such practice should be avoided. To facilitate both intrageneric and intergeneric comparisons of gene function, we propose that gene names in M. polymorpha are prefixed with 'Mp' such that the origin of the gene is immediately recognizable. This prefix may be omitted if, in the context in which the gene is described, it is obvious that the M. polymorpha gene is being referenced—for example, in manuscripts or sections of manuscripts where genes from species other than M. polymorpha are not mentioned. Finally, in anticipation that other Marchantia species may become subjects of genetic and genomic investigations, we provide guidelines for naming of genes in other species of the genus.

To facilitate the sharing, canalization and choosing of unique gene names in *M. polymorpha*, a wiki has been established at the National Institute of Genetics at Mishima, Shizuoka, Japan: http://marchantia.info/nomenclature/.

# Guidelines for Gene Names in Marchantia polymorpha (Table 1)

- 1. The wild-type allele is written in upper case letters and italics.
- The mutant allele is written in lower case letters and italics. The origin of the mutant allele, whether via homologous recombination knockout, forward genetics mutagenesis or targeted via genome editing, is irrelevant.
- 3. The wild-type and mutant names, when written in full, should be the same, except for case.
- 4. Abbreviated gene symbols should have 2–8 letters and may be followed by a number if there are multiple loci with the same name. In the case of genes encoding microRNAs, the locus designation is a lowercase letter rather than a number.
- 5. Different mutant alleles of the same gene are distinguished by a number (allele designation) following a hyphen. If only a single

Table	1	Guidelines	for	gene	names	in	Marchantia	polymorpha
-------	---	------------	-----	------	-------	----	------------	------------

Gene full name	MpALPHABETICA; with a locus number, MpALPHABETICA2
Gene symbol	MpABC, MpABC2, MpDEF2
Mutant allele	Mpabc
Specific mutant allele	Mpabc-1, Mpabc-2 <sup>ko</sup> , Mpabc-3 <sup>ge</sup> , Mpabc-4 <sup>D</sup> , Mpabc-5 <sup>GOF</sup>
Protein	MpABC
Phenotype	MpAbc <sup>+</sup> , MpAbc <sup>-</sup>
MicroRNA gene	MpMIR160, MpMIR166a, MpMIR166b
MicroRNA	MpmiR160, MpmiR166
Allele in another accession	MpABC <sup>Kit-2</sup>

mutant allele is known, it is best to designate it as '-1' to circumvent future changes in nomenclature when additional alleles are discovered. Optional superscript descriptors, such as 'ko' for homologous recombination-mediated knockout or 'ge' for endonuclease-generated genome editing [e.g. CRISPR-CAS9 (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats/CRISPR associated proteins) or TALEN (transcription activator-like effector nuclease)], may be added.

- 6. In the near future, or perhaps even at present, the majority of mutant alleles will be endonuclease generated. The implementation of such techniques often generates large numbers of similar, independent alleles. Formal allele designation should only be assigned to those alleles that have been well characterized at the molecular level and that are likely to be maintained. Molecularly identical, but independently derived, alleles are given unique allele numbers.
- 7. Recessive and dominant alleles are written in the same manner; however, if an allele is dominant to the wild-type allele, a superscript 'D' may be added after the allele designation. If dominance cannot be assessed, given the haploid nature of the *M. polymorpha* gametophyte, but the allele has been shown to be a gain-offunction allele, a superscript GOF can be added to the allele designation.
- 8. Protein products should be written in upper case letters, no italics.
- Phenotypes are designated by the gene symbol (no italics) with the first letter capitalized and followed by a superscript + or -. Thus Abc<sup>+</sup> describes the wild type; Abc<sup>-</sup> refers to the mutant.
- 10. MicroRNA nomenclature follows that outlined in Meyers et al. (2008) and at www.mirbase.org. The microRNA locus follows the standard gene nomenclature [e.g. see 1 and 2 above]; the microRNA itself is written as 'MpmiRNNN'. However, at miRBase, species are assigned a three-letter code, with *M. polymorpha* designated mpo; thus, a synonym for MpmiR166 at miRBase would be mpo-MIR166.
- 11. The wild-type allele is that present in the accession whose genome was sequenced, Tak-1. If using another accession in which the allele differs biochemically or biologically from that of Tak-1, it is written with the accession in superscript.
- 12. Double mutants are written with alleles directly following one another.
- 13. If the gene name is co-opted from a gene initially identified in another species, the name is preceded by 'Mp' (not italicized) to



designate Marchantia polymorpha. In order to use the same name, the M. polymorpha gene should be an ortholog, not merely a homolog-orthologs are genes in different species that have descended from a single gene in their last common ancestor (Fitch 1970). Note that this guideline strictly applies only to the alphabetical part of the name and not the numerical if there are multiple loci. While it would be desirable to include the number of the ortholog as well, in cases where there are large differences in gene number between, for example M. polymorpha and A. thaliana, conservation of gene number may be impractical. Finally, if the numerical designation of an ortholog is retained, and if there are multiple paralogs in M. polymorpha, they can be designated with an upper case letter following the number, e.g. MpABC2A and MpABC2B if both are orthologs of the A. thaliana gene ABC2. If the gene name originates from studies in M. polymorpha, the prefix (Mp) is optional.

14. Genes in related *Marchantia* species will have a different prefix (see below).

## Guidelines for Transgene Nomenclature in Marchantia polymorpha (Table 2)

- Regulatory sequences, colloquially termed promoters, are designated with a subscript 'pro' preceding or following the name of the regulatory sequences.
- 2. Transcriptional fusions are written with a single colon between the name of the regulatory sequence and the name of the coding sequence.
- 3. Translational fusions are written with a '-' between the fused coding sequences, which are listed in the order they appear in the protein. This nomenclature can be extended to include the fusion of more than two coding sequences.
- 4. Specific transgene alleles are written either with a hyphen and a number or with a superscript designation.
- 5. Artificial microRNAs are written with an amiR prefix followed by a hyphen and then the name of gene(s) that are targeted. The original backbone on which the amiR was based is designated in superscript.
- 6. When using a transactivation system (e.g. GAL4/UAS or LhG4/ Op) for regulating transgenes a '>>' is written between the name of the regulatory sequences and the name of the coding sequences being regulated.

 Table 2 Guidelines for transgene nomenclature in Marchantia polymorpha

Promoter	proMpABC or MpABCpro
Transcriptional fusion	proMpABC:GFP
Translational fusion	proMpABC:MpABC-GFP
Transgene allele	<pre>proMpEF1:MpABC-1 or proMpEF1:MpABC<sup>#1</sup></pre>
Artificial microRNA	amiR-MpABC <sup>Mpmir160</sup>
Transactivation	proMpEF1 > > MpABC

# A Brief Taxonomic History of *Marchantia* polymorpha (Table 3)

As M. polymorpha is a cosmopolitan species, there is significant variation in morphology, and three subspecies that differ in both habitat and morphology are presently recognized (Bischler-Causse and Boisselier-Dubayle 1991, Bischler-Causse 1993). For details of the morphological differences between these subspecies, see Shimamura (2016). As M. polymorpha was well known by the Renaissance and possibly much earlier by the Greeks and Romans (Bowman 2016), a long and convoluted taxonomic history exists, and by the late 18th century Schmidel cited nearly 50 synonyms for M. polymorpha (Schmidel 1783). Earlier authors, such as Casper Bauhin (Bauhin 1623) and Micheli (Micheli 1729), had already recognized different morphological variants. Perhaps this variation is why Linnaeus, who contributed minimally to liverwort taxonomy and based his nomenclature of cryptogamic plants largely on the previous work of Dillenius (1741), lumped the morphological variants together into a single species 'polymorpha', albeit with three unnamed varieties (Linné and Salvius 1753). Later, Nees von Esenbeck (1838) articulated two distinct varieties, A and B, each with further subvarieties, three of which (var. B alpestris, communis A $\gamma$  domestica, and communis A $\alpha$ aquatica) corresponded to the three forms identified earlier by Micheli. These varieties were cited as forms by Müller (1906), a practice subsequently followed by Evans (1917). Nees von Esenbeck's names were later adopted by Burgeff when he suggested they should be raised to species rank based on restricted infertility between his European varieties-if female 'aquatica' were crossed with male 'alpestris', the crosses failed, but the reciprocal cross was always successful; crosses between 'polymorpha' and either 'alpestris' or 'aquatica' occurred readily (Burgeff 1943). More recently, Bischler-Causse and Boisselier-Dubayle described three subspecies based on enzyme polymorphism data and suggested that of the three types described by Linnaeus, his  $\alpha$  type corresponded to the 'aquatica' variety and that this form should be M. polymorpha subsp. polymorpha (Bischler-Causse and Boisselier-Dubayle 1991). They could not reconcile Linnaeus' other varieties with enzymatic polymorphism data and thus described two other subspecies, montivagans and ruderalis, which may correspond to 'alpestris' and 'domesticus' of Nees von Esenbeck. Based on a limited number of isozyme, RFLP (restriction fragment length polymorphism) and RAPD (random amplified polymorphic DNA) markers, the three taxa can be reliably distinguished, with little genetic variation occurring within a taxon (Boisselier-Dubayle et al. 1995). Individual thalli of co-occurring taxa, e.g. M. polymorpha subsp. ruderalis and M. polymorpha subsp. polymorpha, living within a few meters of one another did not exhibit any evidence of cross-fertilization-in an exemplar case a strict genetic separation was seen between M. polymorpha subsp. polymorpha living in contact with the water along a brook and M. polymorpha subsp. ruderalis just above the water level (Boisselier-Dubayle et al. 1995).

The first assembled genome sequence was generated from Tak-1, an accession of *M. polymorpha* subsp. *ruderalis*. While the



Micheli 1729	Marchantia major	Marchantia capitulo	Marchantia foliis
	tab. 1, fig 1	tab. 1, fig. 2	tab. 1, fig, 3
Dillenius 1741		Domesticus minor	Fontanis major
		tab. 77, fig. 7	tab. 76, fig. 6
Linné and Salvius 1753		M. polymorphaβ,γ	M. polymorphaα
Nees von Esenbeck 1838	M. polymorpha	M. polymorpha	M. polymorpha
	B alpestris communis	Ag domestica communis	Aa aquatica
Burgeff 1943	M. alpestris	M. polymorpha	M. aquatica
Bischler-Causse 1993	M. polymorpha	M. polymorpha	M. polymorpha
	subsp. montivagans	subsp. ruderalis	subsp. polymorpha

Table 3	Taxonomic	history	of	Marchantia	nolvmornha
I able J	галопоппс	Instory	UI.	marchantia	polymorphu

Table 4 Pro	oposed prefix	to Marchan	<i>itia</i> species
-------------	---------------	------------	---------------------

Species	spp.	Prefix	
M. polymorpha	ruderalis	Мр	
M. polymorpha	montivagans	Mpmo	
M. polymorpha	polymorpha	Мрро	
M. paleacea	-	Мра	
M. foliacea	-	Mfo	

species complex is presently considered to consist of three subspecies, future taxonomic revision may be instigated by additional genetic and genomic data. If the species complex is deemed to represent multiple species, it is possible that priority for the name *M. polymorpha* may not go to the common laboratory variety, *M. polymorpha* subsp. *ruderalis*. Thus, to avoid future nomenclatural confusion, we propose that the prefix Mp be applied to the laboratory strain (*M. polymorpha* subsp. *ruderalis*), and that modifications of this 'moniker' be applied to the other subspecies: e.g. Mpmo for 'montivagans' and Mppo for 'polymorpha' in the scheme of Bischler-Causse and Boisselier-Dubayle (**Table 4**). Alternatively, in the future there may be an requirement for an agreement similar to that for the genus *Acacia*, where retypification allows the Australian clade to conserve the name over priority (Smith and Figueiredo 2011).

### The Marchantia Genus

As with all model species, some features of *M. polymorpha* are derived relative to related species, and thus tools and resources developed for *M. polymorpha* will be turned towards other *Marchantia* species. For example, an obvious derived feature of intense interest is the lack of mycorrhizal associations in the common laboratory strains of *M. polymorpha* subsp. *ruderalis* (Golenkin 1902, Stahl 1949, Ligrone et al. 2007). Mycorrhizal associations have not been observed in *M. polymorpha* subsp. *polymorpha*, but they are present in at least some accessions of *M. polymorpha* subsp. *montivagans* (Ligrone et al. 2007). As research may proceed rapidly to other *Marchantia* species, we propose that genes in other species within the *Marchantia* genus should have different prefixes,

such as Mpa for *M. paleacea* and Mfo for *M. foliacea*, two species in which mycorrhizal associations have been more recently investigated (Russell and Bulman 2005, Humphreys et al. 2010). Prefixes for other species can be assigned as the need arises.

#### **Additional signatories**

Shin-ichi Arimura, Kevin Davies, Feng Chen, Taku Demura, Beverley J. Glover, Ueli Grossniklaus, Takashi Hashimoto, Yuki Hirakawa, Yoko Ikeda, Yutaka Kodama, Nayelli Marsch Martinez, Kenji Matsui, Hiroyasu Motose, Ryuichi Nishihama, Toshiharu Shikanai, Kosaku Takahashi, Mizuki Takenaka, Daisuke Takezawa, and Masaaki Umeda.

#### Funding

This work was supported by the Australian Research Council (DP130100177) to JLB, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Bilateral Research Program, and JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Area (25113001).

### Disclosures

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

#### References

- Bauhin, C. (1623) Pinax Theatri botanici Caspari Bauhini. Ludovici Regis, Basileae Helvet.
- Bischler-Causse, H. (1993) Marchantia L. The European and African taxa. J. Cramer, Berlin-Stuttgart.
- Bischler-Causse, H. and Boisselier-Dubayle, M.-C. (1991) Lectotypification of Marchantia polymorpha L. J. Bryol. 16: 361–365.
- Boisselier-Dubayle, M.-C., Jubier, M.F., Lejeune, B. and Bischler, H. (1995) Genetic variability in the three subspecies of Marchantia polymorpha (Hepaticae)—isozymes, RFLP and RAPD markers. *Taxon* 44: 363–376.
- Bowman, J.L. (2016) A brief history of Marchantia from Greece to genomics. Plant Cell Physiol. 57: In press.
- Burgeff, H. (1943) Genetische Studien an Marchantia. Gustav Fischer, Jena. Cherry, J.M. (1998) Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Trends Genet.* 14: S10–S11.



- Dillenius, J.J. (1741) Historia Muscorum: A General History of Land and Water, &c. Mosses and Corals, Containing All the Known Species. J. Millan, London.
- Evans, A.W. (1917) The American species of Marchantia. Trans. Conn. Acad. Arts Sci. 21: 201–313.
- Fitch, W.M. (1970) Distinguishing homologous from analogous proteins. *Syst. Biol.* 19: 99–113.
- Golenkin, M. (1902) Die Mycorrhiza-ahnlichen Bildungen der Marchantiaceen. *Flora* 90: 209–220; Taf. XI.
- Humphreys, C.P., Franks, P.J., Rees, M., Bidartondo, M.I., Leake, J.R. and Beerling, D.J. (2010) Mutualistic mycorrhiza-like symbiosis in the most ancient group of land plants. *Nat. Commun.* 1: 103: 1–7.
- Ligrone, R., Carafa, A., Lumini, E., Bianciotto, V., Bonfante, P. and Duckett, J.G. (2007) Glomeromycotean associations in liverworts—a molecular, cellular, and taxonomic analysis. *Amer. J. Bot.* 94: 1756–1777.
- Linné, C.v. and Salvius, L. (1753) Species plantarum. Impensis Laurentii Salvii, Holmiae [Stockholm].
- Meinke, D.W., Cherry, J.M. and Koornneef, M. (1998) Arabidopsis thaliana. *Trends Genet.* 14: S24–S25.

- Meyers, B.C., Axtell, M.J., Bartel, B., Bartel, D.P., Baulcombe, D., Bowman, J.L., et al. (2008) Criteria for annotation of plant microRNAs. *Plant Cell* 20: 3186–3190. Micheli, P.A. (1729) Nova plantarvm genera. B. Paperinii, Florentiae.
- Müller, K. (1906) Die Lebermoose in Dr. L. Rabenhorst's Kryptogamen-Flora von Deutschland, Oesterreich und der Schweiz. Vol. 6. Eduard Kummer, Leipzig.
- Nees von Esenbeck, C.G. (1838) Naturgeschichte der europäischen Lebermoose Vol. 4. Grass, Barth and Comp, Breslau.
- Russell, J. and Bulman, S. (2005) The liverwort Marchantia foliacea forms a specialized symbiosis with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in the genus Glomus. New Phytol 165: 567–579.
- Schmidel, C.C. (1783) Icones Plantarvm Et Analyses Partivm Aeri Incisae Atqve Vivis Coloribvs Insignatae. Valentin Bischoff, Norimberga.
- Shimamura, M. (2016) Marchantia polymorpha: taxonomy, phylogeny and morphology of a model plant. Plant Cell Physiol. 57: 230–256.
- Smith, G.F. and Figueiredo, E. (2011) Conserving Acacia Mill. with a conserved type: what happened in Melbourne? *Taxon* 60: 1504–1506.
- Stahl, M. (1949) Die Mycorrhiza der Lebermoose mit besonderer Beruücksichtigung der thallosen Formen. *Planta* 37: 103–148.