2

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

Mathematical modelling of fungi-initiated siderophore-iron interactions

M. JABED A. CHOUDHURY, PHILIP M. J. TREVELYAN & GRAEME P. BOSWELL^{*} Dept. of Mathematics and Statistics, University of South Wales, Pontypridd, CF37 1DL, UK

June 15, 2020

Abstract

Nearly all life forms require iron to survive and function. Microorganisms utilize a number of mechanisms to acquire iron including the production of siderophores, which are organic compounds that combine with ferric iron into forms that are easily absorbed by the microorganism. There has been significant experimental investigation into the role, distribution and function of siderophores in fungi but until now no predictive tools have been developed to qualify or quantify fungi initiated siderophore-iron interactions. In this investigation we construct the first mathematical models of siderophore function related to fungi. Initially a set of partial differential equations are calibrated and integrated numerically to generate quantitative predictions on the spatio-temporal distributions of siderophores and related populations. This model is then reduced to a simpler set of equations that are solved algebraically giving rise to solutions that predict the distributions of siderophores and resultant compounds. These algebraic results require the calculation of zeros of cross products of Bessel functions and thus new algebraic expansions are derived for a variety of different cases that are in agreement with numerically computed values. The results of the modelling are consistent with experimental data while the analysis provides new quantitative predictions on the time scales involved between siderophore production and iron uptake along with how the total amount of iron acquired by the fungus depends on its environment. The implications to bio-technological applications are briefly discussed. Key Words: mathematical model, partial differential equations, numer-

ical solution, ferric iron uptake

*Corresponding author: graeme.boswell@southwales.ac.uk

1 INTRODUCTION

1 Introduction

Iron is an essential element for nearly all life forms. In humans, iron deficiency 32 can lead to several chronic medical conditions (such as anemia, Zimmermann 33 and Hurrell, 2007; Beard, 2008), whereas in plants insufficient amounts of iron 34 can severely hinder growth, which is particularly problematic since one third 35 of the world's soils are considered to be iron deficient due to the insolubility of 36 ferric iron present in the environment (Marschner, 1995). Indeed, nutritional 37 iron is not readily available in the terrestrial environment and thus microorgan-38 isms have evolved mechanisms to cope with its scarcity by developing processes 39 to convert and subsequently uptake iron to aid in their growth. These mecha-40 nisms have been studied at the molecular level for various microscopic eukary-41 otes including bacteria and pathogenic fungi (Philpott et al., 2012). In fungi, 42 four different mechanisms for the acquisition of iron have been identified (e.g. 43 Van der Helm and Winkelmann, 1994; Renshaw et al., 2002; Haas, 2014, and 44 references therein) (i) Shuttle mechanism: ferric iron uptake mediated by ferric 45 iron specific chelators (siderophores), (ii) Direct-transfer mechanism: reductive 46 iron assimilation. (iii) Esterase-reductase mechanism: low-affinity ferrous iron 47 uptake and (iv) Reductive mechanism: heme uptake and degradation. In this 48 work we focus attention on the first, and most common, of these mechanisms. 49 Under iron-limited conditions, many microorganisms produce and secrete small 50 organic molecules called siderophores (Schwyn and Neilands, 1987; Saha et al., 51 2016). Siderophores are low molecular weight iron chelating compounds that 52 move by Brownian motion and have a high affinity for ferric iron. Once the 53 siderophores are attached to the ferric iron, the siderophore-iron complexes are 54 transported by diffusion (Srivastava et al., 2013) and can be acquired by the or-55 ganism, whereupon the iron is internalized and used to support further biomass 56 growth and function. 57

Siderophores have drawn much attention in recent times due to their poten-58 tial roles and applications in various bio-technologies including agriculture, ecol-59 ogy, bio-remediation, bio-control, bio-sensor and medicine (Saha et al., 2016). 60 Their significance in applications are mainly due to siderophores having the 61 ability to bind to a variety of metals in addition to iron (Bellenger et al., 2013; 62 Braud et al., 2009; Sasirekha and Srividya, 2016). For example, siderophores 63 play a crucial role in mobilizing metals from metal contaminated soils (Ahmed 64 and Holmström, 2014, and references therein). Additionally in bio-control, mi-65 croorganisms that produce certain siderophores can take up iron from around 66 their immediate vicinity and invade a competitor's space in search for iron, which 67 leads to the suppression of growth of several fungal pathogens (McLoughin et al., 68 1992; Verma et al., 2011). 69

Siderophores are classified by the ligands (an ion, molecule, or molecular group that binds to another chemical entity to form a larger complex) used to chelate the ferric iron that can be categorised as catecholates, hydroxamates, and carboxylates (Winkelmann, 1991, 2002; Ahmed and Holmström, 2014). Fungi mostly produce siderophores that fall in the "hydroxamates" category and most species of fungi make more than one type of siderophore, possibly to adapt to

1 INTRODUCTION

different environmental conditions (Renshaw et al., 2002; Perez-Meranda et al., 2007; Johnson, 2008). Thus, various assays have been developed to detect the
different phenotypes of siderophores. While these assays are useful for identifying various siderophores, numerous assays would have to be formed independently to detect all possible forms of siderophores, of which there are more than
500 known distinct types (Boukhalfa et al., 2003; Kraemer et al., 2005).

Schwyn and Neilands (1987) developed a universal siderophore detection as-82 say using chrome azurol S (CAS) and hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide 83 (HDTMA) as visual indicators of the presence and function of siderophores. 84 The CAS/HDTMA complexes tightly bond with ferric iron and become blue 85 in colour. When a strong iron chelator, such as a siderophore, removes iron 86 from the dye complex, the colour typically changes from blue to either orange, 87 magenta or purple, depending on the exact assay (Bertrand et al., 2010). The 88 toxicity induced by the HDTMA indicator can, in certain species, inhibit and 89 even prevent the normal growth and function of the fungus (Schwyn and Nei-90 lands, 1987). Consequently numerous later studies (e.g. Milagres et al., 1999) 91 have been based around a split Petri dish where the HDTMA indicator is added 92 to one semi-circular region but absent from the other half; such configurations 93 have been successfully modelled by one of the authors (Choudhury, 2019). 94

Despite their widespread existence, there has been relatively little attempt 95 at the mathematical modelling of siderophores and their interaction with iron. 96 In fungi, their mathematical treatment has typically been focussed on quantify-97 ing siderophore extent using simple ad-hoc approaches, such as measuring the 98 physical distance of the colour change on a Petri dish or placing square paper 99 underneath the Petri dish and recording the change in area over a time period 100 (Machuca and Milagres, 2003; Bogumilet al., 2013; Ghosh et al., 2015; Andrews 101 et al., 2016a,b). However, siderophores produced by bacteria have received more 102 advanced mathematical treatment, typically using sets of differential equations 103 (e.g. Eberi and Collinson, 2009; Niehus et al., 2017). Leventhal et al. (2019) 104 developed the most insightful mathematical model by considering siderophores 105 produced from a single non-moving and isolated bacteria cell and their subse-106 quent interaction with iron in a marine environment to form siderophore-iron 107 complexes and represented this process using a simple reaction-diffusion equa-108 tion 109

Consequently, and given the sheer volume of applications involving fungi 110 described above, it is timely that such a mathematical modelling exercise is 111 performed that focuses on siderophore production involving an expanding fun-112 gal colony and thus significantly extending previous treatments of siderophore 113 function. In this article a set of partial differential equations is developed that 114 model the growth of a fungal biomass in response to nutrients and which pro-115 duces siderophores to acquire iron from the external environment. The models 116 are less concerned with how the biomass subsequently uses the iron; rather the 117 models predict the quantity of iron acquired by the biomass and how iron is 118 distributed in the external environment as a result of siderophore interactions, 119 and thus provides quantitative predictions related to the experimental protocols 120 described above. A mathematical model is developed in Section 2 that simulates 121

the growth of a mycelium, the production of siderophores and their resultant 122 interaction with iron in a planar domain, representing typical experimental pro-123 tocol corresponding to the growth of a fungus in a Petri dish. The effect of 124 different concentrations of iron and external nutrients are investigated by solv-125 ing the equations numerically. These simulations motivate the construction of a 126 simplified set of equations, considered in Section 3, that focus on the siderophore 127 dynamics. Algebraic solutions are constructed that describe the temporal evo-128 lution of the siderophore dynamics towards a steady state distribution and are 129 consistent with the numerical approach. These algebraic solutions make use of 130 various asymptotic expansions applied to cross-products of Bessel functions and 131 hence new results and methods are developed accordingly. The implications of 132 the results and future work are discussed in Section 4. 133

¹³⁴ 2 Siderophore-iron interactions from an ex ¹³⁵ panding biomass

¹³⁶ 2.1 Model equations

Due to the dense network structure of a fungal mycelium, a continuum ap-137 proach is used to model its growth in a planar setting, representing mycelial 138 expansion in a Petri dish. The growth and function of a fungus in such settings 139 has been previously modelled by Boswell et al. (2003) and expanded upon in a 140 series of papers (e.g. Boswell et al., 2007: Choudhury et al., 2018, and references 141 therein). In short, a fungal mycelium comprises a network of tubes, termed hy-142 phae, that can branch, extend at their unbounded ends, fuse with other hyphae 143 (anastomosis), acquire new growth material from the external environment (up-144 take) and redistribute that material through the network (translocation). For 145 the purposes of modelling, the mycelium is assumed to comprise three variables 146 representing active hyphae (denoted by ρ and corresponding to those hyphae in-147 volved in nutrient uptake, branching, anastomosis and translocation), inactive 148 hyphae (denoted by ρ' corresponding to hyphae no longer involved in colony 149 function but still remaining part of the mycelium), and hyphal tips (denoted 150 by n) representing the expanding ends of active hyphae. Briefly, hyphal tips 151 move predominantly in a straight line but with some random variations which 152 is modelled by an advective process directed away from hyphae coupled with a 153 diffusive process representing the random reorientation. (This growth charac-154 teristic is a consequence of the delivery of vesicles from the Spitzenkörper to the 155 hemiellipsoid-shaped apical tip, Riquelme et al., 2018). New hypha are therefore 156 formed from the trail left behind a tip as it moves and thus the tip flux corre-157 sponds to the creation of hyphal biomass. Thus the absolute value of the flux is 158 a convenient approximation of the amount of new material created through the 159 movement of hyphal tips. Tips are created through branching along existing 160 active hyphae and are lost through anastomosis also with active hyphae. It is 161 assumed that a single generic substrate is responsible for growth. This substrate 162 exists in two forms; external to the mycelium (with density s_e) and held within 163

the mycelium (with density s_i). The external substrate may represent combina-164 tions of carbon, nitrogen and trace metals other than iron while the internalised 165 substrate additionally includes iron. Internally-located substrate is translocated 166 through the biomass structure by a combination of diffusion and active trans-167 port directed towards the hyphal tips, the latter of which has a metabolic cost 168 and there is a further cost associated with the movement of hyphal tips. Consis-169 tent with experimental evidence, tip flux and branching rates increase with the 170 internal substrate (Gruhn et al., 1992) and this resource is also used to uptake 171 external substrate. 172

It is assumed that the biomass is in an iron-depleted state and thus 173 siderophores are being released throughout its extent. Consistent with the nu-174 trient uptake process, it is assumed siderophore production can only arise in 175 the presence of sufficient energy reserves, and in the absence of experimental 176 evidence to the contrary, it is assumed that siderophore production is propor-177 tional to the internal substrate concentration and the density of active biomass 178 with r_1 denoting the constant of proportionality. When released to the external 179 environment, siderophores (denoted by C) diffuse and bind with iron (denoted 180 by I) to form siderophore-iron complexes (denoted by V) and standard enzyme-181 reaction kinetics are assumed to describe this binding process with r_2 denoting 182 the rate constant. These complexes subsequently diffuse and are absorbed by the 183 biomass across hyphal cell walls. As previously mentioned, there are in excess of 184 500 different types of siderophores with quantitatively and qualitatively different 185 characteristics and consequently there are a multitude of different pathways via 186 which the fungus acquires iron from the siderophore-iron complexes (Howard, 187 1999; Winkelmann, 2007). Simple diffusion across the hyphal cell wall is com-188 mon to all and hence this process is used to account for the iron uptake, where 189 r_3 is the uptake rate constant. Once internalized, the iron forms a component 190 of the generic internal substrate that is subsequently used to promote further 191 growth via hyphal tip extension and translocation, and to acquire additional 192 resources, including more iron. The uptake and subsequent conversion of the 193 siderophore-iron complex into the generic internalised substrate has an associ-194 ated cost and hence the effective acquisition rate of the complex, r'_3 , is less than 195 the overall uptake rate, r_3 . Thus the entire system can be modelled using the 196 mixed hyperbolic-parabolic set of partial differential equations given by 197

$$\rho_t = |vs_i n \nabla \rho + D_n s_i \nabla n| - d_\rho \rho, \qquad (2.1a)$$

1

200

202

203

¹⁹⁹
$$\rho'_t = d_\rho \rho - d_i \rho',$$
 (2.1b)

$$n_t = \nabla \cdot (v s_i n \nabla \rho + D_n s_i \nabla n) + \alpha s_i \rho - \beta n \rho, \qquad (2.1c)$$

$$s_{i_t} = \nabla \cdot (D_i \rho \nabla s_i - D_a \rho s_i \nabla n) + c_1 \rho s_i s_e - c_2 |v s_i n \nabla \rho + D_n s_i \nabla n|$$

$$-c_4 |D_a \rho s_i \vee n| - r_1 \rho s_i + r_3 \vee \rho, \qquad (2.10)$$

$$s_{e_t} = D_e \nabla s_e - c_3 \rho s_i s_e,$$
 (2.16)
 $I_t = D_r \nabla^2 I - r_2 I C.$ (2.1f)

204
$$I_t = D_I \nabla^2 I - r_2 I C,$$
 (2.1f)
205 $C_t = D_C \nabla^2 C + r'_1 \rho s_i - r_2 I C,$ (2.1g)

206
$$V_t = D_V \nabla^2 V + r_2 I C - r_3 V \rho.$$
 (2.1h)

Variable	Description	Unit
ho	active hyphal density	cm^{-1} (cm hyphae cm ⁻²)
ho'	inactive hyphal density	cm^{-1} (cm hyphae cm ⁻²)
n	hyphal tip density	tips $\rm cm^{-2}$
s_i	internal substrate concentration	$mol \ cm^{-2}$
s_e	external substrate concentration	$mol \ cm^{-2}$
Ι	concentration of free iron	$mol \ cm^{-2}$
C	concentration of siderophores	$mol \ cm^{-2}$
V	concentration of siderophore-iron complex	$ m mol~cm^{-2}$

207 The model variables and parameters along with their calibrated values are given in Tables 1 & 2 respectively.

Table 1: Summary of model variables used in equation (2.1)

208

The flux term in equation (2.1c) corresponds to the motion of hyphal tips 209 accounting for their straight line growth habit (where s_i accounts for the role of 210 the growth promoting substrate in the process) coupled with variations about 211 this orientation, modelled using diffusion. The parameter v, corresponding to 212 the straight line growth habit of individual hyphae, is influenced by toxicity in 213 the external environment; in particular, tip extension can be inhibited through 214 the presence of the HDTMA visual indicator used to detect the presence of 215 siderophores (Schwyn and Neilands, 1987). Indeed, numerous studies (e.g. Fom-216 ina et al., 2000) have shown that the ability of fungi to colonize space occupied by 217 toxic material is increased through the availability of nutrients such as carbon. 218 Consequently, it is tacitly assumed that the HDTMA indicator is uniformly dis-219 tributed and at a concentration that does not prevent the biomass from expand-220 ing so that v may be regarded as a positive constant and thus the expansion of 221 the model biomass into the space where the HDTMA visual indicator is present 222 is consistent with experimental observations. Furthermore this phenomenon fur-223 ther justifies the explicit modelling of both an external substrate, representing 224 nutrients that assist the fungi in overcoming the toxicity, and the iron distribu-225 tion. The metabolic cost of tip movement is accounted for in equation (2.1d)226 through the parameter c_2 , while the trail left behind the tip, and thus the cre-227 ation of new hyphae, is given by the related term in equation (2.1a). The flux 228 in equation (2.1d) represents movement of internally-held material through the 229 network (i.e. translocation) having both diffusive and directed components, the 230 latter towards hyphal tips and having a metabolic cost. Equations (2.1a)-(2.1e)231 are precisely those in Boswell et al. (2003). In equations (2.1f)-(2.1h) the iron, 232 siderophore and the siderophore-iron complex populations are assumed to un-233 dergo standard Fickian diffusion with coefficients D_I, D_C and D_V respectively. 234 Note that the key function of siderophores is to increase the mobility of iron, 235 which is achieved through the formation of siderophore-iron complexes. Thus 236 $D_I < D_V$. See Howard (1999) and Leventhal et al. (2019) for further details 237

2 SIDEROPHORE-IRON INTERACTIONS FROM AN EXPANDING BIOMASS7

²³⁸ and discussion of calibration.

Equations (2.1) are considered on a domain representing a typical experimental protocol, i.e. a circular Petri dish of radius R_{dish} with an initially uniform growth medium inoculated at its centre by a small circular plug of biomass of radius R_{plug} . Consequently the biomass is initially confined to a central region of the domain with no siderophore or siderophore-iron complexes. Thus the initial data is

$${}_{^{245}} \qquad [\rho, \rho', n, s_i, s_e, I, C, V] = \begin{cases} [\rho_0, 0, n_0, s_{i_0}, s_{e_0}, I_0, 0, 0] & \text{if } r < R_{plug}, \\ [0, 0, 0, 0, s_{e_0}, I_0, 0, 0] & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

where r denotes the distance from the centre of the domain (i.e. the inoculation site) while zero-flux boundary conditions are applied on the boundary $r = R_{dish}$ for all model variables.

249 2.2 Numerical solutions

The model equations (2.1) with initial data (2.2) were solved using Comsol Multiphysics. Parameter values and initial data were used from the calibrations in Boswell et al. (2002, 2003); Perez-Meranda et al. (2007); Eberi and Collinson (2009); Leventhal et al. (2019) while reasonable assumed values were taken for the complex uptake rate r_3 (Table 2). A typical solution is shown in Fig. 1.

Parameter	Value	Description	Unit
v	0.5	tip velocity ²	$\mathrm{cm}^5 \mathrm{~day}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
D_n	0.1	$tip diffusion^2$	$\mathrm{cm}^4 \mathrm{~day}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$d_{ ho}$	0.2	hypha inactivation rate ¹	day^{-1}
d_i	0	inactive hypha decay rate ¹	day^{-1}
α	10000	branching rate ²	$\mathrm{cm} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{day}^{-1}$
β	10000	anastomosis rate ²	$\rm cm \ day^{-1}$
D_i	10	internal substrate diffusion coefficient ²	$\rm cm^3 \ day^{-1}$
D_a	10	internal substrate active $transport^2$	$\mathrm{cm}^5 \mathrm{day}^{-1}$
c_1	900	nutrient uptake rate ¹	$\mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~day}^{-1}$
c_2	1	tip extension $costs^1$	$mol \ cm^{-1}$
c_3	1000	nutrient uptake rate ¹	$\mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{day}^{-1}$
c_4	10^{-8}	active translocation $costs^2$	cm^{-1}
D_e	0.0001	external substrate diffusion coefficient ¹	$\rm cm^2 \ day^{-1}$
D_I	0.000864	iron diffusion $\operatorname{coefficient}^4$	$\rm cm^2 \ day^{-1}$
D_C	0.3	siderophore diffusion coefficient ⁵	$\rm cm^2 \ day^{-1}$
D_V	0.3	complex diffusion coefficient ⁵	$\rm cm^2 \ day^{-1}$
r_1	10^{-7}	siderophore production $costs^5$	$\rm cm \ day^{-1}$
r'_1	100	production of siderophores ⁵	$\rm cm \ day^{-1}$
r_2	100	complex production rate ⁵	$\mathrm{cm}^2 \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{day}^{-1}$
r_3	1000	complex uptake rate	$\rm cm \ day^{-1}$
r'_3	900	conversion of iron to substrate	$\rm cm \ day^{-1}$
R_{dish}	2	radius of Petri dish	cm
R_{plug}	0.2	radius of $inoculum^1$	cm
ρ_0	0.1	initial biomass density ¹	cm^{-1}
n_0	0.1	initial tip density ¹	cm^{-2}
s_{i_0}	0.4	initial internal substrate density ¹	$ m mol~cm^{-2}$
s_{e_0}	0.6	initial external substrate density ¹	$ m mol~cm^{-2}$
I_0	0.004	initial iron concentration ³	$ m mol~cm^{-2}$

Table 2: Parameter values used in model equations (2.1) with initial data (2.2). The values are taken from ¹Boswell et al. (2002), ²Boswell et al. (2003), ³Perez-Meranda et al. (2007), ⁴Eberi and Collinson (2009), ⁵Leventhal et al. (2019) while the remaining parameters were assumed to take values consistent with those in similar processes. The value of R_{dish} was chosen to represent a Petri dish of radius 2 cm for computational convenience.



Figure 1: Numerical solution of equations (2.1) with initial data (2.2) at times t = 0.5, 1, 1.5 (representing days) over a circular domain with representative diameter 4 cm. Parameter values are given in Table 2. For each variable, the colour range is shown as a proportion of their maximum value between times t = 0 and t = 3 (i.e. when the biomass had collided with the edge of the domain).

The model biomass expanded outwards in a radially-symmetric manner and 255 was preceded by an increased density of model tips. The external substrate was 256 depleted in regions occupied by the biomass. Indeed, the numerical solutions for 257 these variables mirrored those in Boswell et al. (2003), indicating the validity of 258 the numerical integration scheme utilised in the current study, and therefore are 259 consistent both qualitatively and quantitatively with experimental data on the 260 growth of a mycelium in initially uniform nutrient settings (see Boswell et al., 261 2003, and references therein). 262

The siderophore population was greatest at the centre of the domain and 263 expanded beyond the extent of the biomass. The iron distribution was depleted 264 from the middle of the domain outwards and the extent of the depletion ex-265 ceeded the range of the model biomass. The resultant siderophore-iron complex 266 distribution was greatest in the zone between the model biomass and where 267 the iron population was at its greatest and thus consistent with the complex's 268 formation where siderophores first encounter iron and where the complex is 269 absorbed by the biomass. 270

While the quantitative concentrations of the siderophores and the 271 siderophore-iron complex cannot be related to experimental data, the depletion 272 of the iron population has the same qualitative features as observed in numerous 273 experiments (e.g. Milagres et al., 1999; Bertrand et al., 2010; Srivastava et al., 274 2013); namely iron is depleted in a radially symmetric fashion and this depletion 275 extends beyond the extremes of the fungal biomass. Indeed, the formation of 276 the siderophore-iron complex coincides with the depletion of iron and hence the 277 extent of the complex V from the biomass periphery yields information on the 278 magnitude of the zone within which the siderophores operate. 279

280 2.2.1 Variations in initial iron concentration

The extent of the biomass, siderophores, iron and siderophore-iron complexes 281 depend on the concentration of iron as shown in Fig. 2. The extent is defined to 282 be the boundary where each concentration is equal to a critical level (stated in 283 the figure legend) and since the siderophore-iron complex advances as a "ring" 284 formation, both the inner and outer boundaries of that structure are shown. In 285 all cases, the extent of the biomass increases approximately linearly over time, 286 indicative of a constant growth rate, and this also marginally increases with I_0 , 287 consistent with the use of that resource to further promote growth, and therefore 288 has similar characteristics to other modelling investigations and experimental 289 results (e.g., Prosser and Trinci, 1979, where tip vesicles are analogous to in-290 ternal substrate). The siderophore and iron extent both decline with increasing 291 iron concentration because of the concomitant increased rate of complex for-292 mation. Consequently, the extent of the complexes increases with the initial 293 iron concentration I_0 so that whereas for reduced initial iron concentrations the 294 complexes are only found in the vicinity of the biomass edge (Fig. 2(a)), for 295 greater concentrations the complexes are found throughout most of the domain 296 (e.g. Fig, 2(c)). This observation significantly extends experimental results that 297 focus only on the uptake of iron from the growth medium and, due to the diffi-298

²⁹⁹ culties in tracking siderophores, not their distribution prior to or after forming ³⁰⁰ the iron complexes. Throughout Fig. 2, the sudden increase in the extent of ³⁰¹ the siderophore and siderophore-iron complex populations close to r = 2 is due ³⁰² to their interactions with the boundary at r = 2.



Figure 2: The distance r at times t from the centre of the domain where $\rho + \rho'$ (dotted), C (dot-dashed), I (dashed) and V (solid) take critical values for differing concentrations of iron. (a) $I_0 = 0.0004$, (b) $I_0 = 0.004$, (c) $I_0 = 0.04$ representing one tenth, one and ten times the calibrated initial iron value. (Notice that the complex V expands as a "ring" and hence its inner and outer extents are shown.) The critical concentrations are defined to be 0.0181 for biomass, 4×10^{-5} for iron, 0.0679 for siderophores, and 1.204×10^{-4} for the complex, representing one tenth of their maximum values for the numerical solution with $I_0 = 0.0004$.

³⁰³ 2.2.2 Variations in initial external substrate concentration

The extent of the biomass, siderophores, iron and siderophore-iron complex 304 are strongly influenced by the concentration of the external substrate as shown 305 in Fig. 3. Firstly, the extent of the biomass increased with the external sub-306 strate due to the increased uptake, branching and model tip extension associated 307 with that resource with the least external substrate corresponding to minimal 308 biomass expansion (Fig. 3(a)), consistent with widely-reported data relating 309 fungal growth and productivity to nutrient availability (e.g. Suberkropp, 2011). 310 Additionally, since siderophores are produced at a rate proportional to the inter-311 nal substrate, the siderophore extent also increased with the external substrate, 312 with the initial internal substrate concentration responsible for an initial but 313 not sustained production of siderophores under reduced external substrate con-314 centrations (Fig. 3(a)). The depletion of the iron increased with the external 315 substrate but not linearly; a ten-fold reduction from the default value of s_{e_0} 316 (Fig. 3(c)) did not result in a ten-fold reduction of the extent of iron (Fig. 3(a)). 317 However, the distribution of the siderophore-iron complexes displayed a highly 318 irregular association with the external substrate. For low concentrations of the 319 external substrate, the complex distribution arose as a narrow "ring" a signif-320 icant distance away from the biomass periphery (Fig. 3(a)). However, as the 321 external substrate increased, the width of this "ring" increased through a re-322 duction in its inner radius (Fig. 3(b)). As the external substrate concentration 323



Figure 3: The distance r at times t from the centre of the domain where $\rho + \rho'$ (dotted), C (dot-dashed), I (dashed) and V (solid) take critical values for differing concentrations of external substrate. (a) $s_{e_0} = 0.06$, (b) $s_{e_0} = 0.3$, (c) $s_{e_0} = 0.6$ representing one tenth, one half and one multiple of the calibrated value. (Notice that the complex V expands as a "ring" and hence its inner and outer extents are shown.) The critical concentrations are defined to be 0.01 for biomass, 4×10^{-4} for iron, 0.04 for siderophore, and 3.341×10^{-4} for the complex, representing one tenth of their maximum values for the numerical solution with $s_{e_0} = 0.06$.

increased still further, the inner radius of the "ring" expanded and thus reduced 324 the region of the domain in which the complexes were greatest in concentration 325 (Fig. 3(c)). This nonlinear change in siderophore-iron complex distributions due 326 to external substrate concentrations is likely because of associated variations in 327 the production of siderophores coupled with the formation of the complexes and 328 their subsequent uptake by the biomass. For large concentrations of the exter-329 nal substrate, not only were large amounts of siderophores produced, but also 330 the biomass expanded quickly that enabled a more rapid uptake of siderophore-331 iron complexes. On the other hand, for reduced concentrations of the external 332 substrate, fewer siderophores were produced, the production of siderophore-iron 333 complexes was thus reduced and their subsequent uptake by the biomass was 334 delayed since biomass expansion was slower. 335

336 2.2.3 Cumulative iron uptake

As previously explained, micro-organisms produce siderophores to acquire iron 337 only when in an iron-deficient state. Consequently, quantitative predictions on 338 the amount of iron obtained by the biomass through the acquisition of the iron-339 siderophore complexes is fundamental in this model. (Indeed, when the internal-340 ized iron concentration reaches such a critical level then siderophore production 341 is ceased.) It has previously been shown that the extent of biomass, siderophores 342 and siderophore-iron complexes depends on the initial concentration of iron and 343 external substrate which will therefore also impact on the ultimate uptake of 344 345 iron by the biomass.

³⁴⁶ Due to the structure of the model equations, iron is either free in the external ³⁴⁷ environment, combined as complexes with siderophores, or has been taken up ³⁴⁸ by the model biomass. Consequently the cumulative amount of iron acquired ³⁴⁹ by the model biomass at time t can be easily calculated by considering the ³⁵⁰ difference between the initial iron population and the amount of iron at time t³⁵¹ existing in either their free form (i.e. denoted by I) or that currently held in ³⁵² complexes (i.e. denoted by V):

cumulative iron uptake by time
$$t = \int_{\Omega} I_0(x, y) \,\mathrm{d}\Omega - \int_{\Omega} I(x, y, t) \,\mathrm{d}\Omega - \int_{\Omega} V(x, y, t) \,\mathrm{d}\Omega$$
(2.3)

where Ω denotes the entire domain (i.e. the region inside the Petri dish).

353

The cumulative amount of iron obtained by the biomass depended upon the 355 initial amount of iron in the external environment and on the external sub-356 strate (Fig. 4). In all instances, there was a sudden increase in the quantity of 357 internally-held iron and the rate of increase subsequently declined until bound-358 ary effects impacted on this process (approximately at time t = 2 for the simu-359 lations with large values of I_0 and s_{e_0}). While there appears to be a near linear 360 relationship between the amount of iron in the external environment and that 361 subsequently obtained by the biomass (Fig. 4(a)), there is a more complex non-362 linearity between the external substrate concentrations and the amount of iron 363 obtained where a ten-fold reduction in external resources only approximately 364 halves the total amount of iron acquired by the biomass (Fig. 4(b)). 365



Figure 4: The cumulative amount of iron obtained by the biomass. (a) The initial iron concentration is varied where $I_0 = 0.004$ (solid line), $I_0 = 0.04$ (dashed line), $I_0 = 0.0004$ (dotted line) and in all cases $s_{e_0} = 0.6$. (b) The initial external substrate is varied where $s_{e_0} = 0.6$ (solid line), $s_{e_0} = 0.3$ (dashed line), $s_{e_0} = 0.06$ (dotted line) and in all cases $I_0 = 0.004$.

³⁶⁶ 3 Siderophore-complex distributions: an alge ³⁶⁷ braic approach

The analysis in the previous section essentially focussed on the temporal change in the distances over which the siderophores operated and generated good qual-



Figure 5: The circular fungal biomass has radius R_1 centred at the origin while the iron is contained outside the circular region of radius R_2 . The siderophores and the siderophore-iron complexes exist in the "ring" between the two circles. Siderophores are released from the biomass at $r = R_1$, are converted into complexes at $r = R_2$, and the complexes are subsequently taken up by the biomass at $r = R_1$.

itative agreement with experimental observations (Milagres et al., 1999; Sri-370 In polluted terrestrial environments, combinations of vastava et al., 2013). 371 heavy metals and other toxins may be present that inhibit the growth of a 372 fungus (Fomina et al., 2000), in addition to toxicity from the HDTMA visual 373 374 indicator. In such cases, while siderophores are still released by fungi in an irondepleted state, the mycelium does not necessarily expand due to the presence of 375 pollutants. Since the standard experimental approach to observing siderophore 376 dynamics relates to observing the reduction in iron from the growth medium, 377 if the initial iron concentration is sufficiently high then small losses may not 378 be visually observable. Here a simplification of model equations (2.1) is used 379 to construct quantitative predictions on siderophore and siderophore-iron com-380 plexes in such settings. 381

It is assumed that a circular biomass in an iron-depleted state is positioned 382 inside a toxic region that prohibits its subsequent expansion. This could rep-383 resent a situation where a fungus is introduced to a domain exhibiting large 384 concentrations of heavy metals, which, for example, arises in bio-remediation 385 applications. Distributions of iron are positioned outside of the toxic region and 386 therefore siderophores provide the sole means of the biomass obtaining iron. 387 See Fig. 5 for a schematic illustration. A key aspect of this investigation is the 388 distance between the biomass, where the siderophores are produced, and the 389 iron resource, where the complexes are formed. Thus the radius of the biomass, 390 R_1 , and the distance of the iron from the centre of the biomass, R_2 , are crucial 391 parameters. The biomass is assumed to release siderophores at a constant rate 392 that subsequently diffuse. Since it is reasonable to assume the diffusive time 393 scale is greater than the reactive time scale, once the siderophores encounter 394 the distribution of iron a siderophore-iron complex is immediately formed and 395 diffuses. When the complex reaches the biomass it is immediately absorbed so 396 that the iron can be utilized by the biomass. Consequently the above scenario 397 can be represented using polar coordinates and due to radial symmetry (see also 398 the results in Section 2) there is no variation with the angular coordinate. Thus, 399

3 SIDEROPHORE-COMPLEX DISTRIBUTIONS: AN ALGEBRAIC APPROACH15

 $_{400}$ consistent with the above approaches, the siderophore population is governed $_{401}$ by

402

406

411

418

421

430

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \frac{D_c}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial C}{\partial r} \right) \qquad \text{for } R_1 < r < R_2. \tag{3.1}$$

Since siderophores are released at a constant rate by the biomass and immedi ately form siderophore-iron complexes once the iron distribution is encountered,
 the corresponding boundary conditions are

$$D_c \frac{\partial C}{\partial r}(R_1, t) = -k, \qquad C(R_2, t) = 0, \qquad (3.2)$$

where the flux k corresponds to the rate siderophores enter the region $R_1 < r < R_2$ from the biomass. It is useful to note that boundary condition (3.2) on $r = R_1$ is an alternative but eventually equivalent condition obtained from the solution of

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \frac{D_c}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial C}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{2k}{R_1} H(R_1 - r), \qquad \text{for } 0 < r < R_2,$$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial r}(0, t) = 0, \qquad C(R_2, t) = 0, \qquad (3.3)$$

where H denotes the standard Heaviside step function and represents the case where siderophores are produced throughout the region $r < R_1$ at a constant rate so that after a transient time the flux at $r = R_1$ is a constant $-\frac{k}{D_c}$. For convenience we use boundary condition (3.2) but will later exploit (3.3) in Section 3.1.2.

417 It is assumed that initially there are no siderophores in the domain, i.e.

$$C(r,0) = 0. (3.4)$$

The siderophore-iron complex also undergoes diffusion and hence is modelled
 using

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = \frac{D_v}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) \qquad \text{for } R_1 < r < R_2. \tag{3.5}$$

The siderophore-iron complex forms immediately upon interaction between the siderophores and the iron distribution. Half the resultant complex continues to diffuse in the outward direction while the other half diffuses back towards the biomass whereupon it is immediately absorbed. Thus the boundary conditions are given by

$$V(R_1, t) = 0, \qquad D_v \frac{\partial V}{\partial r}(R_2, t) = -\frac{1}{2} D_c \frac{\partial C}{\partial r}(R_2, t). \qquad (3.6)$$

It is assumed that at time t = 0 there are no siderophore-iron complexes in the domain and so the initial data is

$$V(r,0) = 0. (3.7)$$

⁴³¹ Notice that equations (3.1) and (3.5) are similar to annihilation models (e.g.
⁴³² Ben-Haim and Redner, 1992) except the annihilation arises from a boundary
⁴³³ condition rather than a reaction.

It is advantageous to nondimensionalise the model equations before constructing their solution. By introducing $t^* = \frac{D_v}{R_1^2}t$, $r^* = \frac{r}{R_1}$, $R = \frac{R_2}{R_1}$, $D = \frac{D_c}{D_v}$, $C^* = \frac{D_v C}{kR_1}$ and $V^* = \frac{2D_v V}{kR_1}$ the model equations reduce to

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \frac{D}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial C}{\partial r} \right), \qquad \text{for } 1 < r < R, \qquad (3.8a)$$

437 438 439

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right), \qquad \text{for } 1 < r < R, \qquad (3.8b)$$

440 with boundary conditions and initial data

$$D\frac{\partial C}{\partial r}(1,t) = -1, \qquad V(1,t) = 0, \qquad (3.9a)$$

441 442 443

444 445

$$C(R,t) = 0,$$
 $D\frac{\partial C}{\partial r}(R,t) = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}(R,t),$ (3.9b)

$$C(r,0) = V(r,0) = 0$$
 (3.9c)

and where s have been dropped for notational convenience.

The solutions of equations (3.8) with the initial data and boundary conditions (3.9) are in Appendix A shown to be

$$C(r,t) = \frac{1}{D} \ln\left(\frac{R}{r}\right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \phi_n(r) e^{-\lambda_n D t},$$

$$V(r,t) = \ln(r) - D \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \frac{\phi'_n(R)}{\psi'_n(R)} \psi_n(r) e^{-\lambda_n D t} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \omega_n(r) e^{-\mu_n t},$$
(3.10)

449

450 where the eigenvalues
$$\lambda_n$$
 and μ_n are the roots of the characteristic equations

$$\frac{J_1\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}\right)}{J_0\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}R\right)} - \frac{Y_1\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}\right)}{Y_0\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}R\right)} = 0,$$

$$\frac{J_1\left(\sqrt{\mu_n}R\right)}{J_0\left(\sqrt{\mu_n}\right)} - \frac{Y_1\left(\sqrt{\mu_n}R\right)}{Y_0\left(\sqrt{\mu_n}\right)} = 0,$$
(3.11)

451

452 respectively, the eigenfunctions $\phi_n(r)$, $\psi_n(r)$ and $\omega_n(r)$ are given by

$$\phi_n(r) = \frac{Y_0\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}r\right)}{Y_0\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}R\right)} - \frac{J_0\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}r\right)}{J_0\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}R\right)},$$

$$\psi_n(r) = \frac{Y_0\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}Dr\right)}{Y_0\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}D\right)} - \frac{J_0\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}Dr\right)}{J_0\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}D\right)},$$

$$\omega_n(r) = \frac{Y_0\left(\sqrt{\mu_n}r\right)}{Y_0\left(\sqrt{\mu_n}\right)} - \frac{J_0\left(\sqrt{\mu_n}r\right)}{J_0\left(\sqrt{\mu_n}\right)},$$

453

3 SIDEROPHORE-COMPLEX DISTRIBUTIONS: AN ALGEBRAIC APPROACH17

where J_m and Y_m (m = 0, 1) are the Bessel functions of the first and second kind respectively, and A_n and E_n are given by

$$A_{n} = \frac{2\phi_{n}(1)}{D \left[\phi_{n}^{2}(1)\lambda_{n} - R^{2}(\phi_{n}'(R))^{2}\right]},$$
$$E_{n} = \frac{2\omega_{n}(R) \left[1 - R\mu_{n}D\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_{m}\phi_{m}'(R)}{\mu_{n} - D\lambda_{m}}\right]}{(\omega_{n}'(1))^{2} - \mu_{n}R^{2}\omega_{n}^{2}(R)}$$

⁴⁵⁷ provided $\mu_n \neq D\lambda_m$ for all eigenvalues μ_n and λ_m . Notice that the eigenvalues ⁴⁵⁸ λ_n and μ_n from equation (3.11) correspond to the zeros of a cross product of ⁴⁵⁹ Bessel functions, which have long been studied (e.g. Fettis and Caslin, 1966).

460 3.1 Results using numerically computed eigenvalues

The eigenvalues in equation (3.11) were computed numerically in Matlab enabling the calculation of solutions in (3.10). Since these solutions involve generalised Fourier series, in the investigations below, the summations in equations (3.10) are truncated after 10 terms since the inclusion of further terms produced graphically indistinguishable results.

466 3.1.1 Typical results

456

The temporal changes in the distributions of the siderophore and siderophore-467 iron complexes, as obtained from equation (3.10), are shown in Fig. 6. Ini-468 tially both distributions are zero throughout the domain. Due to the influx of 469 siderophores at the r = 1 boundary, the distribution of siderophores increases 470 accordingly. After a sufficient time has passed, the siderophore population has 471 extended across the domain to reach the r = R boundary. Accordingly, the 472 production of the siderophore-iron complexes is initiated and this continues to 473 increase so that the complexes subsequently diffuse back across the domain 474 where they are absorbed at the r = 1 boundary. As expected, the siderophore 475 distribution approaches its steady state prior to that of the siderophore-iron 476 complex distribution and where the steady states $C_S(r)$ and $V_S(r)$ are respec-477 tively given by the leading terms in equation (3.10), i.e. 478

479
$$C_S(r) = \frac{1}{D} \ln\left(\frac{R}{r}\right), \qquad V_S(r) = \ln(r), \qquad \text{for } 1 < r < R. \quad (3.12)$$

Thus increases in *R*, representing the relative difference between the location of the iron and the extent of the biomass, results in increases in the density of both the siderophore and the siderophore-iron complex throughout the domain.

483 3.1.2 Siderophore-complex distribution: numerical predictions

The above algebraic solution can be compared to the numerical solutions of model equations (2.1). To simulate the configuration in Fig. 5, R_{dish} was taken



Figure 6: The distribution of siderophores C (solid lines) and siderophore-iron complexes V (dashed lines) from equation (3.10) with D = 1 and R = 2 are shown at times $t = 0, 0.2, 0.4, \ldots, 2$.

to be 0.45 and the iron was located in the region within a distance 0.05 of the 486 boundary of that boundary so that $R_2 = 0.4$ and $R_1 = R_{plug} = 0.2$ as before. 487 To represent large concentrations of iron, the calibrated value of I_0 in Table 2 488 was increased 100 fold and was assumed to be continually replenished upon the 489 production of siderophore-iron complexes and was implemented by removing 490 the corresponding depletion term in equation (2.1f). Finally, the biomass was 491 prevented from expanding from its initial distribution by setting both v and D_n 492 to be zero. 493

To best compare the output of the full model equations (2.1) to the algebraic solutions (3.10), note that the siderophore population in equation (2.1g) can be approximated by (3.3) and that after the same nondimensionalisation described above the steady state solution is

$$C(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{1+2\ln(R) - r^2}{2D}, & \text{for } 0 < r < 1, \\ \frac{1}{D}\ln\left(\frac{R}{r}\right), & \text{for } 1 < r < R, \end{cases}$$

498

⁴⁹⁹ and therefore satisfies $D\frac{\partial C}{\partial r}(1) = -1$, consistent with equation (3.9a). Using ⁵⁰⁰ this approach it is seen that the siderophore and siderophore-iron complex pop-⁵⁰¹ ulations develop in a similar way to that seen previously (Fig. 7). Indeed, the ⁵⁰² main difference between the numerical and algebraic solutions arises at r = 1⁵⁰³ for small times due to the immediate uptake of siderophore-iron complex in the ⁵⁰⁴ latter (via boundary condition (3.9a)) compared to a more prolonged process in ⁵⁰⁵ the former (represented by the reaction term in equation (2.1h)). Thus there is ⁵⁰⁶ clearly a strong qualitative and quantitative agreement between the algebraic

507 and numerical solutions.



Figure 7: The distribution of nondimensionalised siderophores C (solid lines) and siderophore-iron complexes V (dashed lines) from equation (2.1) vary over the distance r from the centre of the domain and the densities increase over time. Except for key parameters described in text, parameter values are given in Table 2 and the distributions are shown at times $t = 0, 0.2, 0.4, \ldots, 2$.

⁵⁰⁸ 3.1.3 Dependence on parameter values

5

Having demonstrated the agreement between the numerical solution of the full 509 set of PDEs (2.1) and the reduced versions (3.8) with boundary conditions (3.9), 510 the algebraic solutions of the reduced equations can be used to obtain useful pre-511 dictions on the temporal behaviour of the siderophore-iron interactions. While 512 the ultimate effect of the parameters on the final steady state distribution of 513 the siderophores and the complexes are obvious through equation (3.12), their 514 involvement in the time taken to reach their stationary distributions is less clear. 515 To illustrate the delay in approaching the equilibrium distributions $C_S(r)$ and 516 $V_S(r)$, consider the normalized functions 517

$$Q_C(t) = \frac{\int_1^R rC(r,t) \,\mathrm{d}r}{\int_1^R rC_S(r) \,\mathrm{d}r}, \qquad Q_V(t) = \frac{\int_1^R rV(r,t) \,\mathrm{d}r}{\int_1^R rV_S(r) \,\mathrm{d}r}, \qquad (3.13)$$

which at time t = 0 take a value of 0 and approach 1 as the respective distributions approach their equilibria, and therefore represent the ratios of the total amount of each population to their final amount. Notice that both numerators and denominators of (3.13) can be calculated using integration by parts.

Fig. 8 illustrates the convergence of the siderophore and siderophore-iron 523 complex to their equilibrium distributions for different values of D. In all 524 cases, the siderophore distribution approaches its equilibria in advance of the 525 side ophore-iron complex. As D increases, the side ophore distribution ap-526 proaches its equilibrium more rapidly, consistent with the corresponding increase 527 in movement rates for that population. The delay between the siderophore and 528 complex distributions approaching their equilibria increases with D up to a lim-529 iting value. For $D \ll 1$, there is a noticeable lag period before $Q_V(t)$ increases, 530 corresponding to the time taken for the siderophores to reach the r = R bound-531 ary and initiate the formation of the siderophore-iron complexes; when $D \gg 1$ 532 no such lag is present due to the comparative reduction in transit time between 533 the two boundaries. 534



Figure 8: The functions $Q_C(t)$ (solid) and $Q_V(t)$ (dashed) with R = 2 are shown for (a) D = 0.1, (b) D = 1, (c) D = 10 and (d) D = 100. Note the different time scale in (a).

For large values of D, $Q_C(t)$ very quickly approaches its equilibrium value of unity so that C(r, t) can be approximated by its steady state distribution $C_S(r)$ while an asymptotic expression can be constructed for the distribution V(r, t)by taking leading order terms so that

$$V \approx \ln(r) + E_1 \omega_1(r) e^{-\mu_1 t}$$

5

3 SIDEROPHORE-COMPLEX DISTRIBUTIONS: AN ALGEBRAIC APPROACH21

⁵⁴⁰ Consequently for large D, by noting $r\omega_1(r) = -\frac{1}{\mu_1} (r\omega'_1)'$ and using integration ⁵⁴¹ by parts it follows that

542
$$Q_V(t) \approx 1 - \frac{4E_1 e^{-\mu_1 t} \left[R \omega_1'(R) - \omega_1'(1) \right]}{\mu_1 \left[1 - R^2 + 2R^2 \ln R \right]}$$

and therefore the approximate time \hat{t} for $Q_V(t)$ to obtain a value \hat{Q} for large Dis given by

$$\hat{t} = \frac{1}{\mu_1} \ln \left(\frac{4E_1 \left[R\omega_1'(R) - \omega_1'(1) \right]}{\left(1 - \hat{Q} \right) \mu_1 \left(1 - R^2 + 2R^2 \ln R \right)} \right).$$
(3.14)

Notice that the coefficient E_1 involves a summation of terms including λ_n . However, the asymptotic approximation in equation (3.14) where the coefficient E_1 has been truncated to only the leading term involving λ_1 agrees well with solutions obtained by algebraically solving equation (3.10) with the first 10 eigenvalues of both λ_n and μ_n using Matlab and their differences reduce with increasing D (Fig. 9).



Figure 9: Absolute differences in the times taken by $Q_v(r, t)$ to approach $\hat{Q} = 0.9$ (solid) and $\hat{Q} = 0.99$ (dashed) obtained using equation (3.10) with numerically computed eigenvalues λ_n, μ_n for n = 1, ..., 10 and approximation (3.14) using only μ_1 and λ_1 with R = 2 for different values of D.

Variations in the domain size R altered the convergence times of the distributions $Q_c(t)$ and $Q_v(t)$ to their equilibrium values (Fig. 10). The convergence times for $Q_c(t)$ and $Q_v(t)$, at least for large R, can be approximated from the corresponding leading eigenvalues, i.e. are given by $1/\sqrt{\lambda_1}$ and $1/\sqrt{\mu_1}$ respectively. Expansions for λ_1 and μ_1 are detailed below (Section 3.3) and consequently the convergence times for $Q_c(t)$ and $Q_v(t)$ scale with R and $R\sqrt{\ln R}$ respectively.

558 3.2 Approximations of eigenvalues λ_n and μ_n

The previous algebraic results required the numerical computation of the eigenvalues λ_n and μ_n from equations (3.11). A number of authors have constructed



Figure 10: $Q_c(t)$ (solid) and $Q_v(t)$ (dashed) with D = 1 are shown for domain sizes (a) R = 1.5, (b) R = 2, (c) R = 3 and (d) R = 6.

algebraic approximations of various Bessel functions (e.g. Bowman, 2003) but
these do not immediately help deduce the roots of (3.11) and hence the required eigenvalues. However, by taking an asymptotic series expansion (see
Appendix B), approximations to the eigenvalues can be made resulting in an
entirely algebraic solution for equation (3.10) under appropriate limits. Indeed,
by defining

567

$$P_{n}(p,q) = \left| \frac{\pi(n-\frac{1}{2})}{q-p} \right|,$$

$$Q_{1}(p,q) = \frac{p+3q}{8pq(q-p)},$$

$$Q_{3}(p,q) = \frac{25p^{4}-31p^{3}q-36p^{2}q^{2}+9pq^{3}-63q^{4}}{384(q-p)^{2}q^{3}p^{3}},$$

$$Q_{5}(p,q) = \frac{3219p^{7}-6938p^{6}q+2279p^{5}q^{2}+2040p^{4}q^{3}+360p^{3}q^{4}+4797p^{2}q^{5}-7614pq^{6}+5697q^{7}}{15360p^{5}q^{5}(q-p)^{3}},$$

and provided $n \gg R$, the square roots of the eigenvalues for large values of Rcan conveniently be expressed as the series

570

$$\sqrt{\lambda_n} = P_n(1,R) + \frac{Q_1(1,R)}{P_n(1,R)} + \frac{Q_3(1,R)}{P_n^3(1,R)} + \frac{Q_5(1,R)}{P_n^5(1,R)} + \cdots$$

$$\sqrt{\mu_n} = P_n(R,1) + \frac{Q_1(R,1)}{P_n(R,1)} + \frac{Q_3(R,1)}{P_n^3(R,1)} + \frac{Q_5(R,1)}{P_n^5(R,1)} + \cdots$$
(3.15)

By defining the zeroth order approximation as comprising only the first 571 term in the series, the first order approximation comprising only the first two 572 terms and so on, even second order approximations are in close agreement with 573 numerically computed values for all but the smallest eigenvalues λ_1 and μ_1 for 574 R = 2 (Table 3). Indeed, good approximations for the eigenvalues λ_1 and μ_1 575 arise provided sufficient terms in the series approximation are included. Notice, 576 however, that in order to use these approximations it is necessary that $D \neq D$ 577 μ_n/λ_m for all n,m to ensure that E_n is defined. Thus, for example, the zeroth 578 order approximation cannot be used for D = 1 (but the first and higher order 579 approximations can still be used). 580

	n	Numerical	0th	1st	2nd	3rd
	1	1.7940	1.5708	1.8493	1.7555	1.8440
	2	4.8021	4.7124	4.8052	4.8018	4.8021
$\sqrt{\lambda_n}$	3	7.9090	7.8540	7.9097	7.9089	7.9090
	4	11.0351	10.9956	11.0354	11.0351	11.0351
	÷					
	1	1.3608	1.5708	1.3719	1.3687	1.3504
	2	4.6459	4.7124	4.6461	4.6460	4.6459
$\sqrt{\mu_n}$	3	7.8142	7.8540	7.8142	7.8142	7.8142
	4	10.9671	10.9956	10.9672	10.9671	10.9671
	÷					

Table 3: Comparison of numerical and analytical values of eigenvalues with R = 2 using the approximations in equation (3.15) of stated order.

When used in (3.10), approximations (3.15) produce results consistent with 581 the full algebraic solutions and are in strong qualitative agreement for small 582 R (Fig. 11), especially at larger times. Such a result is unsurprising since the 583 approximations in (3.15) were derived from asymptotic expansions of $J_{\nu}(z)$ and 584 $Y_{\nu}(z)$ for large z and hence are most applicable for the calculation of λ_n and 585 μ_n for large n (see also Table 3) but the smallest eigenvalues λ_1 and μ_1 exert 586 the greatest influence on the solutions in equation (3.10), particularly at small 587 times. 588



Figure 11: (a) Solution of equation (3.10) with R = 2, D = 1 using the eigenvalues computed from equation (3.11); (b), (c) and (d) using the first, second and third approximations from equation (3.15). Profiles of the siderophore distribution C (solid lines) and the siderophore-iron complex V (dashed lines) are shown at times $t = 0, 0.2, 0.4, \dots 2$.

Approximations of leading eigenvalues λ_1 and μ_1 3.3589

It was shown above that the approximations (3.15) for λ_n and μ_n are least 590 suited for small values of n, especially n = 1, and also are less suited for large 591 values of R (see Appendix B). However, the first eigenvalues λ_1 and μ_1 have the 592 most prominent roles in the convergence of the siderophore and siderophore-iron 593 complex to their final steady state distributions. Hence an alternative approach 594 to approximating λ_1 and μ_1 is developed here. By observing the behaviour of $R\sqrt{\lambda_n}$ as $R \to \infty$ it follows that (see Ap-595

596 pendix C) 597

$$\sqrt{\lambda_1} = \frac{\zeta_1}{R} - \frac{\pi \zeta_1^2 Y_0(\zeta_1)}{4R^3 J_0'(\zeta_1)} + O\left(R^{-5}\ln(R)\right), \qquad (3.16)$$

where ζ_1 is the first root of $J_0(\zeta) = 0$ and is valid for $\zeta_1 \ll R$. In a similar way 599

598

3 SIDEROPHORE-COMPLEX DISTRIBUTIONS: AN ALGEBRAIC APPROACH25

⁶⁰⁰ by considering $R\sqrt{\mu_n}$ as $R \to \infty$ (Appendix C)

601

$$\mu_1 = \frac{1}{R^2 \ln(R)} \left[2 + \frac{3}{2\ln(R)} + \frac{5}{6\ln(R)^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\ln(R)^3}\right) \right],$$
(3.17)

which is valid provided $e \ll R$. Furthermore, related expressions can be derived for all λ_n and μ_m (Appendix C). Table 4 demonstrates that the eigenvalues λ_1 and μ_1 obtained using approximations (3.16) and (3.17) converge to those obtained using the full characteristic equations (3.11) as R increases.

	R	λ_1	μ_1	$\bar{t} \text{ or } \hat{t}$	t_C	t_{Cf}
Full solution	2	3.2185	1.8517	1.7783	0.3101	0.3118
from (3.11)	6	0.1768	0.0476	57.4074	6.1772	6.2190
	20	0.0146	0.0022	1170.4852	78.0076	78.6103
Approx. solutions	2	3.0979	2.1274	1.6872	0.3225	0.3242
using λ_1 and μ_1	6	0.1776	0.0480	57.1043	6.1651	6.2066
from (3.16) and (3.17)	20	0.0146	0.0022	1177.7715	78.3036	78.9064

Table 4: Solutions using numerically computed eigenvalues in equation (3.11) are compared to truncating the series to terms in only λ_1 and μ_1 from equations (3.16) and (3.17) for different domain sizes with D = 1. For equations (3.11), \bar{t} denotes the time taken for $Q_V(\bar{t}) = 0.9$ while the approximation \hat{t} is obtained from equation (3.14) using eigenvalues (3.16,3.17). t_C and t_{Cf} denote the approximate times for the siderophore concentration at r = 1 + 0.9(R - 1) and the flux at r = R to reach half the steady state values respectively (see text for details).

These approximations for the leading eigenvalues can also be used with the normalized function $Q_V(t)$ in equation (3.13) to estimate the time taken by the siderophore-iron complex to approach its steady state distribution. Table 4 compares the numerically computed time \bar{t} such that $Q_V(\bar{t}) = 0.9$ using the eigenvalues from the solutions of equation (3.11) to the approximation in equation (3.14) for \hat{t} where the summation used in E_1 is restricted to its leading term, i.e. that involving only λ_1 .

The approximations of λ_1 and μ_1 obtained above also allow the derivation of simple expressions relating to the spread of siderophores and the resultant uptake of iron at the r = R boundary. In particular, by truncating the series to terms only involving λ_1 and μ_1 in the solutions for C(r, t) in equation (3.10), the approximate time taken t_C for the siderophore density to reach a concentration C^{\dagger} at $r = r^{\dagger}$ (where $1 < r^{\dagger} < R$ and $0 < C^{\dagger} < C_S(r^{\dagger})$) can be shown to satisfy

$$t_C = -\frac{1}{\lambda_1 D} \ln\left(\frac{DC^{\dagger} - \ln(R/r^{\dagger})}{A_1 D\phi_1(r^{\dagger})}\right)$$
(3.18)

while the flux of the siderophores at the boundary r = R corresponds to the acquisition of iron by the siderophores and the approximate time taken t_{Cf} for

4 DISCUSSION

624

this rate to reach a value C_r^{\dagger} (where $0 > C_r^{\dagger} > -(RD)^{-1}$, representing the value at equilibrium) satisfies

$$t_{Cf} = -\frac{1}{\lambda_1 D} \ln\left(\frac{RDC_r^{\dagger} + 1}{RDA_1 \phi_1'(R)}\right).$$
(3.19)

These expressions clearly illustrate the effect of the diffusion coefficient D625 and the radius R on the delay until the iron begins to be acquired by the 626 siderophores. In Table 4 the approximations in equations (3.18) and (3.19) using 627 the approximated eigenvalues (3.16) and (3.17) are compared to the correspond-628 ing algebraic solutions from equation (3.10) with numerically computed eigen-629 values from equation (3.11). The simple approximations using (3.16) and (3.17)630 are in strong qualitative and quantitative agreement with the full algebraic so-631 lution and the agreement improves as R is increased due to two independent 632 reasons; firstly the approximation of the leading eigenvalues improves as $R \to \infty$ 633 and, secondly, as R increases, it takes longer for the siderophores to reach the 634 exterior boundary at r = R and hence the second and higher eigenvalues play 635 less significant roles in determining the distributions of C(r, t) and V(r, t). 636

⁶³⁷ 4 Discussion

Siderophores play a central role in how microorganisms acquire important elements. While there are known to be hundreds of different types of siderophores with various functionalities, the most studied relationship is that with iron and thus the subject of this investigation. Indeed, it has recently been shown that siderophores significantly increase the rate at which bacteria acquire this important resource compared to alternative methods (Niehus et al., 2017; Leventhal et al., 2019).

Equation (2.1) represents, to the authors' knowledge, the first mathematical 645 model of iron uptake in fungi mediated through siderophores. The numeri-646 cal simulations of the model equations display the same qualitative features 647 observed in experiments regarding the extraction of iron from a solid growth 648 medium; specifically there is a radially-symmetric depletion of the iron that 649 extends beyond the edge of the expanding biomass (Fig. 1) and that this re-650 gion expands initially in an approximately linear fashion at rates determined 651 by local conditions (Figs. 2 & 3). In limiting conditions, e.g. Fig. 3(a), the 652 expansion of the siderophore distribution and the concomitant depletion of the 653 iron concentration was clearly less than linear and instead the extent of the iron 654 depletion appeared to increase with the square root of time, consistent with the 655 reduced production and diffusive movement properties of the siderophores. A 656 key feature of the model was its ability to predict the cumulative amount of iron 657 taken up by the biomass through the absorption of the iron-siderophore com-658 plexes, as represented by equation (2.3). Such time-dependent data is difficult 659 to obtain experimentally through either direct or indirect means as destructive 660 sampling of the biomass provides the most accurate measurements of the former 661 while the latter is limited since there is currently no convenient procedure to 662

4 DISCUSSION

measure siderophore populations given their diversity. Nonetheless, our model 663 clearly has the potential to make such quantitative predictions on iron acquisi-664 tion by mycelial fungi. Moreover, further refinements should account for such 665 siderophore-diversity and the different pathways through which iron is utilized 666 by fungi following its acquisition (e.g. Howard, 1999). It should also be noted 667 that the model equations represent a simplification of how a combination of 668 different nutrients can impact on the growth and function of a fungal mycelium 669 through the merger of internalised iron and the generic substrate. While al-670 ternative approaches have been used to model how fungi utilize combinations 671 of nutrients and essential elements (e.g. Lamour et al., 2000), due to the gen-672 eralized treatment of the iron pathway once that substance was internalised 673 by the fungus, the precise role of iron on key morphological processes was not 674 isolated in this current study and therefore remains an important avenue for fu-675 ture investigations which would necessitate the inclusion of feedback processes 676 by restricting siderophore production to prevent excessive accumulation of iron. 677 678

Key features of the numerical solution of the full set of equations (2.1) were 679 captured in the algebraic solutions of the reduced set of equations (3.8), includ-680 ing the constant uptake rate of iron for all but small times. Indeed, there was 681 strong qualitative and quantitative agreement between the full numerical solu-682 tions and the algebraic simplifications in the distributions of siderophores and 683 siderophore-iron complexes (Figs. 6 & 7). The nondimensionalisation used to 684 construct the algebraic solutions (3.10) introduced the parameter D representing 685 the ratio of the diffusion coefficients of the siderophores and the siderophore-686 iron complexes. Since the diffusion coefficient of the complexes is less than that 687 of the siderophores (due to obvious differences in their molecular weight), it 688 follows in application that D > 1 and therefore siderophores are released and 689 complexes are formed more rapidly than they are acquired by the biomass until 690 equilibrium is reached (Fig. 8). Consequently, equation (3.14) with $\dot{Q} = 0.9$ 691 (or 0.99) is expected to provide a reasonable estimate for the time taken for 692 the siderophore-iron complex distribution to approach its equilibria. The same 693 algebraic solutions also demonstrated the impact of domain size on siderophore 694 and siderophore-iron complex distribution. Specifically, greater distances be-695 tween the biomass and the source of iron resulted in greater concentrations of 696 both populations (equation (3.12)). 697

An important consequence of the model equations is the ability to calculate 698 the cumulative amount of iron taken up by the biomass through the release of 699 siderophores and the subsequent acquisition of the siderophore-iron complexes. 700 Other than during an initial transient period, the total uptake rate of iron 701 was approximately linear (Fig. 4) except when influenced by boundary effects. 702 Indeed, this same qualitative feature is captured in the reduced model in Sec-703 tion 3 by observing that for large D (i.e. when $D_c \gg D_v$) the uptake of iron 704 corresponded to the flux of the complex at r = 1 which to leading order from 705

707

ros equation (3.10) is given by

$$\left. \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right|_{r=1} \approx 1 + E_1 \omega_1'(1) e^{-\mu_1 t}$$

and tends to the constant unity. However, this rate was heavily influenced by lo-708 cal conditions. While an increased concentration of external substrate resulted 709 in an increase of iron extracted from the growth domain and internalized by 710 the biomass, the relationship was highly nonlinear; a ten-fold increase in exter-711 nal substrate only approximately doubled the amount of iron obtained by the 712 biomass. However, the observation that external resources can influence the de-713 pletion of iron from the growth environment clearly has important consequences 714 in the bio-technological applications of fungi. 715

While the algebraic results presented in this paper have focussed on radial 716 geometry, similar treatments are possible in other domains including a single-717 dimension Cartesian and spherical radial geometries. (Indeed, by introducing x718 so that $r = R_1 + (R_2 - R_1)x$ and letting $R_2 - R_1 \rightarrow \infty$, equations (3.1) and (3.5) 719 can be easily converted into a one-dimensional Cartesian geometry with spatial 720 coordinate x resulting in Fourier series solutions for the siderophore and com-721 plex populations. Such a situation has been thoroughly explored in Choudhury 722 (2019).) In our calculations, the algebraic solutions (3.10) are defined provided 723 the nondimensionalised diffusion coefficient D is not a ratio of the eigenvalues 724 λ_n and μ_m for all n, m. In one-dimensional Cartesian geometry, the equiva-725 lent restriction corresponds to D not being a ratio of squares of odd numbers 726 (however, alternative solutions can be constructed by selecting an alternative 727 form for \hat{V} in Appendix A, equation (A.15)). Moreover, similar issues arise in 728 the spherical radial geometry case. We cannot provide any physical reasoning 729 behind this limitation. Further interesting analysis would concern the imple-730 mentation of moving boundary conditions consistent with the depletion of the 731 iron concentration and the advancement of the fungal biomass. Such a situation 732 would more closely represent the scenarios considered in Section 2. 733

Siderophores are extensively used by microorganisms to obtain essential met-734 als, in particular iron. In this work we have constructed and investigated the 735 first mathematical model of their use by fungi. The qualitative behaviour of the 736 model is consistent with known experiments and quantitative predictions have 737 been made on how local conditions influence the amount of iron obtained by the 738 fungus along with how key distributions involving siderophore function change 739 over time. It remains to develop a suitable experimental technique to verify 740 these predictions. We note that the model does not consider how the fungus 741 subsequently uses the iron it has obtained and this is therefore an important 742 challenge for future modelling investigations. 743

744 **References**

Ahmed, E. and S. J. M. Holmström (2014). Siderophores in environmental
research: roles and applications. *Microbial Biotechnology* 73, 196–208.

REFERENCES

- Andrews, M. Y., C. M. Santelli, and O. W. Duckworth (2016a). Digital image
 quantification of siderophores on agar plates. *Data in Brief 6*, 890–898.
- Andrews, M. Y., C. M. Santelli, and O. W. Duckworth (2016b). Layer plate CAS
 assay for the quantification of siderophore production and determination of
 exudation patterns for fungi. *Journal of Microbiological Methods* 121, 41–43.
- Beard, J. L. (2008). Why iron deficiency is important in infant development.
 Journal of Nutrition 138, 2534-2536.
- Bellenger, J. P., T. Wichard, A. B. Kustka, and A. M. L. Kraepiel (2013).
 Uptake of molybdenum and vanadium by a nitrogen-fixing soil bacterium using siderophores. *Nature Geoscience* 1, 243–246.
- Ben-Haim, E. and S. Redner (1992). Inhomogeneous two-species annihilation
 in the steady state. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 25, 575–583.
- Bertrand, S., J. P. Bouchara, M. C. Venier, P. Richomme, O. Duval, and
 G. Larcher (2010). N^α-methyl coprogen B, a potential marker of the airway
 colonization by *Scedosporium apiospermum* in patients with cystic fibrosis. *Medical Mycology 48 Suppl 1*, S98–107.
- Bogumił, A., L. S. Paszt, A. Lisek, P. Trzciński, and A. Harbuzov (2013). Identi fication of new *Trichoderma* strains with antagonistic activity against *Botrytis cinerea. Folia Horticulturae 25*, 123–132.
- Boswell, G. P., H. Jacobs, F. A. Davidson, G. M. Gadd, and K. Ritz (2002).
 Functional consequences of nutrient translocation in mycelial fungi. *Journal* of *Theoretical Biology* 217, 459–477.
- Boswell, G. P., H. Jacobs, F. A. Davidson, G. M. Gadd, and K. Ritz (2003).
 Growth and function of fungal mycelia in heterogeneous environments. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology* 65, 447–477.
- Boswell, G. P., H. Jacobs, K. Ritz, G. M. Gadd, and F. A. Davidson (2007).
 The development of fungal networks in complex environments. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology 69*, 605–634.
- Boukhalfa, H., J. Lack, S. D. Reilly, L. Herman, and M. P. Neu (2003).
 Siderophore production and facilitated uptake of iron and plutonium in *P. putida. AIP Conference Proceedings 673*, 343–344.
- Bowman, F. (2003). Introduction to Bessel functions. Dover.
- Braud, A., F. Hoegy, K. Jezequel, T. Lebeau, and I. J. Schalk (2009). New
 insights into the metal specificity of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pyoverdine iron uptake pathway. *Environmental Microbiology* 11, 1079–1091.
- Choudhury, M. J. A. (2019). Mathematical modelling of fungal interactions. Ph.
 D. thesis, University of South Wales.

REFERENCES

Choudhury, M. J. A., P. M. J. Trevelyan, and G. P. Boswell (2018). A mathemat ical model of nutrient influence on fungal competition. *Journal of Theoretical*

- Eberi, H. J. and S. Collinson (2009). A modelling and simulation study of
 siderophore mediated antagonism in dual-species biofilms. *Theoretical Biology* and Medical Modelling 6(30), 1–16.
- Fettis, H. E. and J. C. Caslin (1966). An extended table of zeros of cross products
 of Bessel functions. Report No. ARL 66-0023, Aerospace Research Laborato ries, Office of Aerospace Research, United States Air Force, Wright-Patterson
 Air Force Base, Ohio.
- Fomina, M., K. Ritz, and G. M. Gadd (2000). Negative fungal chemotropism
 to toxic metals. *FEMS Microbiology Letters 193*, 207–211.
- Ghosh, S., S. Pal, and N. Chakraborty (2015). The qualitative and quantitative assay of siderophore production by some microorganism and effects of different media on tis production. *International Journal of Chemical Science 13*, 1621–1629.
- Gruhn, C. M., A. Gruhn, and O. K. Miller (1992). Boletinellus meruliodes alter
 root morphology of *pinus densiflora* without mycorrhizal formation. Mycolo gia 84, 528-533.
- Haas, H. (2014). Fungal siderophore metabolism with a focus on Aspergillus
 fumigatus. Natural Product Reports 31, 1266–1276.
- Harrison, J. (2009). Fast and accurate Bessel function computation. In *Proceed- ings of the 2009 19th IEEE Symposium on Computer Arithmetic*, pp. 104–113.
 Washington DC: IEEE Computer Society.
- Howard, D. H. (1999). Acquisition, transport, and storage of iron by pathogenic
 fungi. *Clinical Micriobiology Review 12*, 394–404.
- Johnson, L. (2008). Iron and siderophores in fungal-host interactions. *Mycological Research 112*, 170–183.
- Kraemer, S. M., A. Butler, P. Borer, and J. Cervini-Silva (2005). Siderophores
 and the dissolution of iron-bearing minerals in marine systems. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry 59*, 53–84.
- Lamour, A., F. van den Bosch, and A. J. T. amd M. J. Jeger (2000). Modelling
 the growth of soil-borne fungi in response to carbon and nitrogen. *Mathematical Medicine and Biology* 17, 329–346.
- Leventhal, G. E., M. Ackermann, and K. T. Schiessl (2019). Why microbes secrete molecules to modify their environment: the case of iron-chelating siderophores. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface* 16(150), 20180674.

⁷⁸⁷ Biology 438, 9–20.

REFERENCES

Machuca, A. and A. M. F. Milagres (2003). Use of CAS-agar plate modified to study the effect of different variables on the siderophore production by *aspergillus. Letters in Applied Microbiology 36*, 177–181.

- Marschner, H. (1995). Mineral nutrition of higher plants. Academic Press.
- McLoughin, T. J., J. P. Quinn, A. Bettermann, and R. Bookland (1992). *Pseu- domonas cepacia* suppression of sunflower wilt fungus and role of antifungal
 compounds in controlling the disease. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* 58, 1760–1763.
- Milagres, A. M. F., A. Machuca, and D. Napoleão (1999). Detection of
 siderophore production from several fungi and bacteria by a modification of
 chrome Azurol S (CAS) agar plate assay. J. Microbiol. Methods 37, 1–6.
- Niehus, R., A. Picot, N. M. Oliveira, S. Mitri, and K. R. Foster (2017). The
 evolution of siderophore production as a competitive trait. *Evolution: Inter- national Journal of Organic Evolution 71*, 1443–1455.
- Perez-Meranda, S., N. Cabirol, R. George-Tellez, L. S. Zamudio-Rivera, and
 F. J. Fernandez (2007). O-CAS, a fast universal method for siderophore
 detection. Journal of Microbiolgical Methods 70, 127–131.
- Philpott, C. C., S. Leidgens, and A. G. Frey (2012). Metabolic remodeling in
 iron-deficient fungi. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Molecular Cell Research 1823*, 1509–1520.
- Prosser, J. I. and A. P. J. Trinci (1979). A model for hyphal growth and
 branching. *Journal of General Microbiology* 111, 153–164.
- Renshaw, J. C., G. D. Robson, A. P. J. Trinci, M. Wiebe, F. R. Livens, D. Collison, and R. J. Taylor (2002). Fungal siderophores: structures, functions and applications. *Mycological Research 106*, 1123–1142.
- Riquelme, M., J. Aguirre, S. Bartnicki-García, G. H. Braus, M. Feldbrügge,
 U. Fleig, W. Hansberg, A. Herrar-Estrella, J. Kämper, U. Kück, R. R.
 Mouriño-Pérez, N. Takeshita, and R. Fischer (2018). Fungal morphogenesis,
 from the polarized growth of hyphae to complex reproduction and infection
 structures. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews 82*, e00068–17.
- Saha, M., S. Sarkar, B. Sarkar, B. K. Sharma, S. Bhattacharjee, and P. Tribedi
 (2016). Microbial siderophores and their potential: a review. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International* 23, 3984–2999.
- Sasirekha, B. and S. Srividya (2016). Siderophore production by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa FP6*, a biocontrol strain for *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Colletotrichum*
- gloesporioides causing diseases in chilli. Agriculture and Natural Resources 50,
 250–256.

- Schwyn, B. and J. B. Neilands (1987). Universal chemical assay for the detection
 and determination of siderophores. *Analytical Biochemistry 160*, 47–56.
- Srivastava, M. P., R. Tiwari, and N. Sharma (2013). Effect of different cul tural variables on siderophores produced by *Trichoderma spp. International Journal of Advanced Research 1*, 1–6.
- Suberkropp, K. (2011). The influence of nutrients on fungal growth, productivity, and sporulation during leaf breakdown in streams. *Canadian Journal of Botony 73*, 1361–1369.
- Van der Helm, D. and G. Winkelmann (1994). Hydroxamates and polycarboxylates as iron transport agents (siderophores) in fungi. In G. Winkelmann and
 D. Winge (Eds.), *Metal Ions in Fungi.* Marcel Dekker.
- Verma, V. C., S. K. Singh, and S. Prakash (2011). Bio-control and plant growth
 promotion potential of siderophore producing endophytic streptomyces from
 Azadirachta indica A. Juss. Journal of Basic Microbiology 51, 550–556.
- Winkelmann, G. (1991). Structural and stereochemical aspects of iron transport
 in fungi. *Biotechnology Advances 8*, 207–231.
- Winkelmann, G. (2002). Microbial siderophores-mediated transport. Biochem *ical Society Transactions 30*, 691–695.
- Winkelmann, G. (2007). Ecology of siderophores with specia reference to the fungi. *Biometals 20*, 379–392.
- Zimmermann, M. B. and R. F. Hurrell (2007). Nutritional iron deficiency.
 Lancet 370, 511–520.

Solution of equation (3.8)

⁸⁸² Here we consider the model equations

883 884

889

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \frac{D}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial C}{\partial r} \right), \tag{A.1a}$$

 $\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right),$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \right), \tag{A.1b}$$

for 1 < r < R with boundary conditions and initial data

⁸⁸⁷
$$D\frac{\partial C}{\partial r}(1,t) = -1, \quad V(1,t) = 0,$$
 (A.2a)

$$C(R,t) = 0,$$
 $D\frac{\partial C}{\partial r}(R,t) = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}(R,t),$ (A.2b)

 $E_{891}^{890} C(r,0) = V(r,0) = 0.$ (A.2c)

⁸⁹² Due to the boundary conditions (A.2b), we first solve equation (A.1a) and then ⁸⁹³ construct the solution for equation (A.1b).

⁸⁹⁴ A.1 Solution of (A.1a)

From the non-homogeneous boundary conditions in equation (A.2), we suppose that $C(r,t) = C_S(r) + \hat{C}(r,t)$ where $C_S(r)$ denotes the steady-state distribution and satisfies those same non-homogeneous boundary conditions while $\hat{C}(r,t)$ satisfies homogeneous boundary conditions (and therefore represents the transition of the initial data (A.2c) towards the final steady state distribution $C_S(r)$). The steady state distribution $C_S(r)$ satisfies

901
$$0 = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left(r \frac{\mathrm{d}C_S}{\mathrm{d}r} \right), \qquad \text{for } 1 < r < R.$$

After integrating twice and applying the non-homogeneous boundary conditions (A.2), the corresponding steady state solution is given by

$$C_S(r) = \frac{1}{D} \ln\left(\frac{R}{r}\right). \tag{A.3}$$

The function $\hat{C}(r,t)$ satisfies equation (A.1a) but the corresponding boundary conditions (A.2a) and (A.2b) are expressed as

$$\frac{\partial \hat{C}}{\partial r}(1,t) = 0, \qquad \qquad \hat{C}(R,t) = 0, \qquad (A.4)$$

⁹⁰⁸ while the corresponding initial data is

$$\hat{C}(r,0) = -C_S(r).$$
 (A.5)

⁹¹⁰ By assuming $\hat{C}(r,t) = \hat{F}(r)\hat{G}(t)$, separating variables yields

$$\hat{G}(t) = e^{-\lambda Dt} \tag{A.6}$$

and the Bessel differential equation $r\hat{F}'' + \hat{F}' + r\lambda\hat{F} = 0$ (where ' denotes differentiation with respect to r), which has general solution

$$\hat{F} = c_1 J_0 \left(\sqrt{\lambda}r\right) + c_2 Y_0 \left(\sqrt{\lambda}r\right) \tag{A.7}$$

where J_0 and Y_0 are the Bessel functions of first and second kind respectively. From the boundary condition at r = R, equation (A.4) allows the constant c_2 to be expressed in terms of c_1 and by introducing $A = c_2 Y_0(\sqrt{\lambda}R)$ and

$$\phi(r) = \frac{Y_0\left(\sqrt{\lambda}r\right)}{Y_0\left(\sqrt{\lambda}R\right)} - \frac{J_0\left(\sqrt{\lambda}r\right)}{J_0\left(\sqrt{\lambda}R\right)},\tag{A.8}$$

919 it follows that

904

907

909

911

914

918

920

$$\hat{F}(r) = A\phi(r). \tag{A.9}$$

A SOLUTION OF EQUATION (3.8)

Substituting equations (A.6) and (A.9) into the boundary condition on r = 1yields the characteristic equation

$$\frac{J_1\left(\sqrt{\lambda}\right)}{J_0\left(\sqrt{\lambda}R\right)} - \frac{Y_1\left(\sqrt{\lambda}\right)}{Y_0\left(\sqrt{\lambda}R\right)} = 0, \qquad (A.10)$$

923

92

with roots λ_n denoting the eigenvalues. Thus $\hat{C}(r,t)$ can be represented by the series

$$\hat{C}(r,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \phi_n(r) e^{-\lambda_n D t}, \quad \text{for } 1 < r < R \quad (A.11)$$

where A_n are constants and the eigenfunctions $\phi_n(r)$ are obtained from equation (A.8) evaluated with $\lambda = \lambda_n$. Notice that $\phi_n(R) = 0$, $\phi'_n(1) = 0$ and $r\phi''_n + \phi'_n + \lambda_n r \phi_n = 0$.

From equation (A.5) there is a generalized Fourier series satisfying

931
$$\frac{1}{D}\ln\left(\frac{r}{R}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \phi_n(r)$$

and hence the constants A_n can be determined as

933
$$A_n = \frac{\int_1^R r \ln(r/R)\phi_n(r) \,\mathrm{d}r}{D \int_1^R r \phi_n(r)^2 \,\mathrm{d}r}$$

By noting that $(r\phi'_n)' + \lambda_n r\phi_n = 0$, integrating by parts and recalling that $\phi'_n(1) = 0$ and $\phi_n(R) = 0$ we obtain

936
$$\int_1^R r \ln(r/R) \phi_n(r) \, \mathrm{d}r = -\frac{\phi_n(1)}{\lambda_n}.$$

⁹³⁷ Using a similar approach (Bowman, 2003),

$$\int_{1}^{R} r\phi_{n}(r)^{2} \,\mathrm{d}r = \frac{R^{2}}{2\lambda_{n}} \left(\phi_{n}'(R)\right)^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\phi_{n}^{2}(1).$$

939 Consequently, after some simplification,

940
$$A_n = \frac{2\phi_n(1)}{D\left[\phi_n^2(1)\lambda_n - R^2(\phi_n'(R))^2\right]},$$
 (A.12)

⁹⁴¹ and hence the solution of equation (A.1a) is

$${}_{^{942}} \qquad C(r,t) = \frac{1}{D} \ln\left(\frac{R}{r}\right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \phi_n(r) e^{-\lambda_n D t}, \qquad \text{for } 1 < r < R \quad (A.13)$$

where A_n , λ_n and $\phi_n(r)$ are defined in (A.12), (A.10) and (A.8) respectively.

$_{944}$ A.2 Solution of (A.1b)

⁹⁴⁵ Due to the boundary conditions (A.2), and in particular the flux condition on ⁹⁴⁶ r = R, we seek a solution of the form $V(r,t) = V_S(r) + \bar{V}(r,t) + \hat{V}(r,t)$ where ⁹⁴⁷ $V_S(r)$ denotes the steady state solution, $\bar{V}(r,t)$ matches the temporal change ⁹⁴⁸ due to the relationship between the fluxes of C(r,t) and V(r,t) at r = R, and ⁹⁴⁹ $\hat{V}(r,t)$ accounts for the change from the initial data.

⁹⁵⁰ The steady-state solution $V_S(r)$ satisfies the ODE

951
$$0 = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left(r \frac{\mathrm{d}V_S}{\mathrm{d}r} \right), \qquad \text{for } 1 < r < R$$

with boundary conditions $V_S(1) = 0$ and $\frac{\mathrm{d}V_S}{\mathrm{d}r}(R) = -D\frac{\mathrm{d}C_S}{\mathrm{d}r}(R)$. Consequently we see that

$$V_S(r) = \ln\left(r\right). \tag{A.14}$$

The function $\overline{V}(r,t)$ satisfies the PDE in (A.1b) but with boundary conditions

$$\bar{V}(1,t) = 0,$$
 $\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}(R,t) = -D\frac{\partial C}{\partial r}(R,t),$ (A.15)

where $\hat{C}(r,t)$ is defined in equation (A.11). Due to the form of $\hat{C}(r,t)$, suppose

$$\bar{V}(r,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \psi_n(r) e^{-\lambda_n D t}$$
(A.16)

for suitable constants B_n and eigenfunction $\psi_n(r)$. Since $\overline{V}(r,t)$ satisfies equation (A.1b), it follows that

$$r\psi'' + \psi_n' + \lambda_n Dr\psi_n = 0$$

963 and hence

954

ç

959

964

970

$$\psi_n(r) = c_3 J_0 \left(\sqrt{\lambda_n D} r\right) + c_4 Y_0 \left(\sqrt{\lambda_n D} r\right)$$

where c_3 and c_4 are constants. Since $\psi_n(1) = 0$ from (A.15), the constant c_3 can be expressed in terms of c_4 and by substituting into the boundary condition on r = R and defining

$$\psi_n(r) = \frac{Y_0\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n D}r\right)}{Y_0\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n D}\right)} - \frac{J_0\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n D}r\right)}{J_0\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n D}\right)}$$
(A.17)

969 it follows that

$$B_n\psi_n'(R) = -DA_n\phi_n'(R) \tag{A.18}$$

and provided $\psi'_n(R) \neq 0$ the constants B_n can be evaluated. Hence

$$\bar{V}(r,t) = -D \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \frac{\phi'_n(R)}{\psi'_n(R)} \psi_n(r) e^{-\lambda_n D t}, \qquad \text{for } 1 < r < R.$$
(A.19)

A SOLUTION OF EQUATION (3.8)

The function $\hat{V}(r,t)$ satisfies equation (A.1b) with homogeneous boundary conditions and initial data given by

$$\hat{V}(1,t) = 0,$$
 $\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}(R,t) = 0,$ $\hat{V}(r,0) = -V_S(r) - \bar{V}(r,0).$
(A.20)

⁹⁷⁶ By supposing $\hat{V}(r,t) = \tilde{F}(r)\tilde{G}(t)$, separating variables and integrating gives

$$\tilde{G}(t) = e^{-\mu t},\tag{A.21}$$

978 while $\tilde{F}(r)$ satisfies

975

977

986

$$r\tilde{F}'' + \tilde{F}' + \mu r\tilde{F} = 0.$$

As above, the general solution for $\tilde{F}(r)$ can be expressed in terms of Bessel functions while the boundary condition (A.20) on r = 1 gives $\tilde{F}(r) = E\omega(r)$ where E is a constant and

983
$$\omega(r) = \frac{Y_0(\sqrt{\mu}r)}{Y_0(\sqrt{\mu})} - \frac{J_0(\sqrt{\mu}r)}{J_0(\sqrt{\mu})}.$$
 (A.22)

The boundary condition (A.20) on r = R therefore gives the eigenvalues μ_n as the roots of

$$\frac{J_1(\sqrt{\mu}R)}{J_0(\sqrt{\mu})} - \frac{Y_1(\sqrt{\mu}R)}{Y_0(\sqrt{\mu})} = 0.$$
(A.23)

987 Hence $\hat{V}(r,t)$ is given by

$$\hat{V}(r,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \omega_n(r) e^{-\mu_n t}, \qquad \text{for } 1 < r < R, \qquad (A.24)$$

where E_n are constants and $\omega_n(r)$ is equation (A.22) evaluated at $\mu = \mu_n$. Note that for all n, $\omega_n(1) = 0$, $\omega'_n(R) = 0$ and $r\omega''_n + \omega'_n + \mu_n r\omega_n = 0$. It now only remains to determine the constants E_n . The initial data in (A.20) gives

992
$$-\ln(r) + D\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \frac{\phi'_n(R)}{\psi'_n(R)} \psi_n(r) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} E_m \omega_m(r).$$

⁹⁹³ By noting that

994
$$\int_{1}^{R} r\omega_{n}(r)\omega_{m}(r) \,\mathrm{d}r = 0 \text{ for all } n \neq m,$$

995 it follows that

996
$$E_m = \frac{\int_1^R r \left[-\ln(r) + D \sum_{n=1}^\infty A_n \frac{\phi'_n(R)}{\psi'_n(R)} \psi_n(r) \right] \omega_m(r) \, \mathrm{d}r}{\int_1^R r \omega_m^2(r) \, \mathrm{d}r}.$$
 (A.25)

B DERIVATION OF APPROXIMATIONS (??)

⁹⁹⁷ By making use of the identities noted above, integration by parts yields

$$\int_{1}^{R} r \ln(r)\omega_{m}(r) dr = \frac{\omega_{m}(R)}{\mu_{m}},$$
$$\int_{1}^{R} r\omega_{m}^{2}(r) dr = \frac{R^{2}}{2}\omega_{m}^{2}(R) - \frac{1}{2\mu_{m}} (\omega_{m}'(1))^{2},$$
$$\int_{1}^{R} r\psi_{n}(r)\omega_{m}(r) dr = \frac{R\psi_{n}'(R)\omega_{m}(R)}{\mu_{m} - D\lambda_{n}},$$

⁹⁹⁹ provided $\mu_m \neq D\lambda_n$. By using the above integrals and after some length algebra, ¹⁰⁰⁰ equation (A.25) yields

$$E_{m} = \frac{2\omega_{m}(R) \left[1 - R\mu_{m}D\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_{n}\phi_{n}'(R)}{\mu_{m} - D\lambda_{n}} \right]}{\left[(\omega_{m}'(1))^{2} - \mu_{m}R^{2}\omega_{m}^{2}(R) \right]},$$
(A.26)

1002 again provided $\mu_m \neq D\lambda_n$.

Finally from equations (A.14), (A.19) and (A.24) it follows that the solution of equation (A.1b) is given by

$$V(r,t) = \ln(r) - D \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \frac{\phi'_n(R)}{\psi'_n(R)} \psi_n(r) e^{-\lambda_n D t} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \omega_n(r) e^{-\mu_n t}, \qquad \text{for } 1 < r < R,$$
(A.27)

1005

998

1001

where $\phi_n(r)$ is given in equation (A.8), $\psi_n(r)$ is given in equation (A.17), λ_n are the roots of (A.10), $\omega_n(r)$ is stated in (A.22), μ_n are the roots of (A.23) and the constants A_n and E_n are defined by equations (A.12) and (A.26) respectively.

\mathbf{B} **Derivation of approximations** (3.15)

¹⁰¹⁰ The characteristic equations (3.11) are of the form

$$J_1(xp)Y_0(xq) - J_0(xq)Y_1(xp) = 0$$
(B.1)

where x denotes the square root of the eigenvalue and $p \neq q$ take either the values 1 or R (depending on which eigenvalue λ or μ is being considered). Using Hankel's asymptotic expansions, Harrison (2009) obtained approximations, valid for large values of z, for the Bessel functions

$$J_n(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}}\beta_n(z)\cos\left(z-\frac{\pi}{4}-\alpha_n(z)\right),$$

1017
$$Y_n(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \beta_n(z) \sin\left(z - \frac{\pi}{4} - \alpha_n(z)\right),$$

for suitable series $\alpha_n(z)$ and $\beta_n(z)$ each in terms of powers of 1/z. To determine the roots of the characteristic equation (B.1), we note from Harrison (2009) that 1020 for $|z| \gg 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0(z) &= \frac{1}{8z} - \frac{25}{384z^3} + \frac{1073}{5120z^5} - \frac{375733}{229376z^7} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^9}\right), \\ \alpha_1(z) &= -\frac{3}{8z} + \frac{21}{128z^3} - \frac{1899}{5120z^5} + \frac{543483}{229376z^7} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^9}\right). \end{aligned}$$

1022

1036

1021

 $_{1023}$ By using these expressions in the generalised characteristic equation (B.1), it $_{1024}$ follows that

$$\sin\left((q-p)x + \frac{\pi}{2} + \alpha_1(px) - \alpha_0(qx)\right) = 0.$$
(B.2)

¹⁰²⁶ Consequently, equation (B.2) gives rise to

1027
$$x \left[1 + \frac{\alpha_1(px) - \alpha_0(qx)}{(q-p)x} \right] = P_n(p,q)$$
(B.3)

1028 where n is an integer and

1029
$$P_n(p,q) = \frac{\pi(n-\frac{1}{2})}{q-p}$$

1030 Since we seek positive roots x and note that

$$\frac{\alpha_1(px) - \alpha_0(qx)}{(q-p)x} \to 0 \qquad \text{as } x \to \infty,$$

¹⁰³² it follows that $P_n(p,q) > 0$ and so if q > p then n has to be a positive integer. ¹⁰³³ (The case q < p is treated below.)

Equation (B.3) can therefore be written as the summation of a series of even powers of 1/x

$$x\left[1 + \frac{a_2(p,q)}{x^2} + \frac{a_4(p,q)}{x^4} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^6}\right)\right] = P_n(p,q)$$

where the coefficients $a_n(p,q)$ are easily determined from the above series for $\alpha_0(qx)$ and $\alpha_1(px)$. By constructing the reciprocal of the series on the left it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{P_n(p,q)} &= \frac{1}{x} + \frac{3q+p}{8pq(q-p)x^3} + \frac{25p^4 - 19p^3q + 36p^2q^2 + 117pq^3 - 63q^4}{384p^3q^3(q-p)^2x^5} \\ &+ \frac{3219p^7 - 6188p^6q + 3749p^5q^2 - 480p^4q^3 + 1440p^3q^4 + 7767p^2q^5 - 13284pq^6 + 5697q^7}{15360p^5q^5(q-p)^3x^7} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^9}\right). \end{aligned}$$

¹⁰⁴¹ By using series inversion, a power series for $\frac{1}{x}$ in terms of odd powers of $\frac{1}{P_n(p,q)}$ ¹⁰⁴² is obtained and is given by

$$\frac{1}{x} = \frac{1}{P_n(p,q)} - \frac{p+3q}{8pq(q-p)P_n(p,q)^3} - \frac{25p^4 - 37p^3q - 72p^2q^2 - 45pq^3 - 63q^4}{384p^3q^3(q-p)^2P_n(p,q)^5} - \frac{1073p^7 - 2396p^6q + 623p^5q^2 + 1200p^4q^3 + 720p^3q^4 + 1989p^2q^5 - 1908pq^6 + 1899q^7}{5120p^5q^5(q-p)^3P_n(p,q)^7} + O\left(\frac{1}{P_n(p,q)^9}\right)$$

C DERIVATION OF (??) AND (??)

Multiplying through by $P_n(p,q)$ produces a power series for $\frac{P_n(p,q)}{x}$ in terms of even powers of $\frac{1}{P_n(p,q)}$. Taking reciprocals and then finally multiplying through by $P_n(p,q)$ yields

1047
$$x = P_n(p,q) + \frac{Q_1(p,q)}{P_n(p,q)} + \frac{Q_3(p,q)}{P_n(p,q)^3} + \frac{Q_5(p,q)}{P_n(p,q)^5} + O\left(\frac{1}{P_n(p,q)^7}\right)$$

1048 where $P_n(p,q)$ is as defined above and

$$Q_{1}(p,q) = \frac{p+3q}{8pq(q-p)},$$

$$Q_{3}(p,q) = \frac{25p^{4} - 31p^{3}q - 36p^{2}q^{2} + 9pq^{3} - 63q^{4}}{384(q-p)^{2}q^{3}p^{3}},$$

$$Q_{5}(p,q) = \frac{3219p^{7} - 6938p^{6}q + 2279p^{5}q^{2} + 2040p^{4}q^{3} + 360p^{3}q^{4} + 4797p^{2}q^{5} - 7614pq^{6} + 5697q^{7}}{15360p^{5}q^{5}(q-p)^{3}}.$$

¹⁰⁵⁰ If on the other hand q < p then from (B.2)

$$x\left[1 + \frac{\alpha_1(px) - \alpha_0(qx)}{(q-p)x}\right] = \bar{P}_n(p,q)$$

1052 where

1053

$$\bar{P}_n(p,q) = \frac{\pi(\frac{1}{2}-n)}{q-p}$$

and *n* is now a strictly positive integer. Notice that $\bar{P}_n(p,q) = P_n(q,p)$ and the remaining terms in the expansion are obtained in the same way as for the case q > p.

¹⁰⁵⁷ C Derivation of (3.16) and (3.17)

Here approximations for eigenvalues λ_n and μ_n in equations (3.11) are derived for the case of large R. Attention is focussed on the smallest eigenvalues since they exert the greatest influence on the solution (3.10). The following Bessel function expansions, valid as $x \to 0$, will be used

$$J_{0}(x) = 1 - \frac{x^{2}}{4} + O(x^{4}),$$

$$Y_{0}(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \ln\left(\frac{xe^{\gamma}}{2}\right) - \frac{x^{2}}{2\pi} \left[\ln\left(\frac{xe^{\gamma}}{2}\right) - 1\right] + O(x^{4}\ln(x)),$$

$$J_{1}(x) = \frac{x}{2} - \frac{x^{3}}{16} + \frac{x^{5}}{384} + O(x^{7}),$$

$$Y_{1}(x) = -\frac{2}{\pi x} + \frac{x}{\pi} \left[\ln\left(\frac{xe^{\gamma}}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\right] - \frac{x^{3}}{8\pi} \left[\ln\left(\frac{xe^{\gamma}}{2}\right) - \frac{5}{4}\right] + \frac{x^{5}}{192\pi} \left[\ln\left(\frac{xe^{\gamma}}{2}\right) - \frac{5}{3}\right] + O(x^{7}\ln(x)),$$
(C.1)

1063 where γ denotes Euler's constant.

C DERIVATION OF (??) AND (??)

1064 C.1 Derivation of (3.16): approximation for small λ_n

1065 We recall that λ_n satisfies

1066

1078

1085

$$J_1\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}\right)Y_0\left(R\sqrt{\lambda_n}\right) = Y_1\left(\sqrt{\lambda_n}\right)J_0\left(R\sqrt{\lambda_n}\right).$$
(C.2)

¹⁰⁶⁷ As $R \to \infty$, by numerically solving equation (C.2), we find that $\lambda_n \to 0$. First ¹⁰⁶⁸ we expand the two functions of only $\sqrt{\lambda_n}$ using the expansions for $J_1(x)$ and ¹⁰⁶⁹ $Y_1(x)$ in (C.1). Then multiplying by $2\pi\sqrt{\lambda_n}$ yields

$$(\pi\lambda_n + O(\lambda_n^2)) Y_0\left(R\sqrt{\lambda_n}\right) = (-4 + O(\lambda_n \ln(\lambda_n))) J_0\left(R\sqrt{\lambda_n}\right). \quad (C.3)$$

¹⁰⁷¹ By numerically solving equation (C.2) we find that $R\sqrt{\lambda_n}$ tends to a constant ¹⁰⁷² as $R \to \infty$, so we seek an expansion in the form

1073
$$R\sqrt{\lambda_n} = \zeta_n + \epsilon. \tag{C.4}$$

We use the Taylor series expansions as $\epsilon \to 0$ and substituting into (C.3) yields

$$\left(\pi \frac{\zeta_n^2}{R^2} + O(\epsilon R^{-2}, R^{-4})\right) \left(Y_0(\zeta_n) + \epsilon Y_0'(\zeta_n) + O(\epsilon^2)\right) = \left(-4 + O(R^{-2}\ln(R))\right) \left(J_0(\zeta_n) + \epsilon J_0'(\zeta_n) + O(\epsilon^2)\right).$$

Notice that in the equation above, as $R \to \infty$ the left hand side tends to zero, but the right hand side tends to $-4J_0(\zeta_n)$. Thus we require

$$J_0(\zeta_n) = 0. \tag{C.5}$$

Hence the ζ_n 's in (C.4) are the n^{th} roots of J_0 . Using this and keeping the leading order terms yields

¹⁰⁸¹
$$\frac{\pi \zeta_n^2}{F^2} Y_0(\zeta_n) + O(\epsilon R^{-2}, R^{-4}) = -4\epsilon J_0'(\zeta_n) + O(\epsilon R^{-2} \ln(R), \epsilon^2).$$

1082 Thus, to leading order,

$$\epsilon = -\frac{\pi \zeta_n^2 Y_0(\zeta_n)}{4R^2 J_0'(\zeta_n)} + O(R^{-4} \ln(R)).$$

¹⁰⁸⁴ Hence, from (C.4) it follows that

$$\sqrt{\lambda_n} = \frac{\zeta_n}{R} - \frac{\pi \zeta_n^2 Y_0(\zeta_n)}{4R^3 J_0'(\zeta_n)} + O(R^{-5} \ln(R)),$$
(C.6)

which is valid for $\sqrt{\lambda_n} \ll 1$, i.e. $\zeta_n \ll R$.

C DERIVATION OF (??) AND (??)

1087 C.2 Approximation for small $\mu_n, n \ge 2$

¹⁰⁸⁸ Recall that the eigenvalue μ_n satisfies

1089

1096

1103

$$J_1(R\sqrt{\mu_n}) Y_0(\sqrt{\mu_n}) = Y_1(R\sqrt{\mu_n}) J_0(\sqrt{\mu_n}).$$
 (C.7)

As $R \to \infty$, by numerically solving equation (C.7), we find that $\mu_n \to 0$. First, we expand the two functions of only $\sqrt{\mu_n}$ using the series for $J_0(x)$ and $Y_0(x)$ in equation (C.1) so that equation (C.7) becomes

¹⁰⁹³
$$J_1(R\sqrt{\mu_n})\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{\mu_n}e^{\gamma}}{2}\right) + O(\mu_n\ln(\mu_n))\right) = Y_1(R\sqrt{\mu_n})(1+O(\mu_n)).$$
 (C.8)

¹⁰⁹⁴ By numerically solving equation (C.7) we find that $R\sqrt{\mu_n}$ tends to a constant ¹⁰⁹⁵ as $R \to \infty$, so we seek an expansion in the form

$$R\sqrt{\mu_n} = \theta_n + \delta. \tag{C.9}$$

1097 A Taylor series expansion as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ is constructed from equation (C.8) resulting 1098 in

$$(J_1(\theta_n) + \delta J_1'(\theta_n) + O(\delta^2)) \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \ln\left(\frac{(\theta_n + \delta)e^{\gamma}}{2R}\right) + O(R^{-2}\ln(R))\right)$$
$$= (Y_1(\theta_n) + \delta Y_1'(\theta_n) + O(\delta^2)) (1 + O(R^{-2})).$$

We notice that in the equation above, as $R \to \infty$ the right hand side remains finite, but the left hand side tends to infinity like $-2\ln(R)J_1(\theta_n)/\pi$. Thus, we require

$$J_1(\theta_n) = 0. \tag{C.10}$$

Hence the θ_n 's in (C.9) are the n^{th} roots of J_1 and note that $\theta_1 = 0$ is the first solution. Before collecting leading order terms, notice that the approach fails around θ_1 since $Y_1(0)$ is undefined and hence an alternative approach is required for the calculation of μ_1 (see subsection C.3).

Provided $n \ge 2$, keeping the leading order terms yields

1109
$$-\delta J_1'(\theta_n) \frac{2}{\pi} \ln(R) = Y_1(\theta_n) + O\left(\delta, R^{-2}, R^{-2} \ln(R)\delta\right)$$

Thus, to leading order and provided $1 \ll \ln(R)$, i.e. $e \ll R$,

1111
$$\delta = -\frac{\pi Y_1(\theta_n)}{2J_1'(\theta_n)\ln(R)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\ln(R)^2}, \frac{R^{-2}}{\ln(R)}\right).$$

¹¹¹² Hence from (C.9) we have

1113
$$\sqrt{\mu_n} = \frac{\theta_n}{R} - \frac{\pi Y_1(\theta_n)}{2R\ln(R)J_1'(\theta_n)} + O\left(\frac{R^{-1}}{\ln(R)^2}, \frac{R^{-3}}{\ln(R)}\right), \quad (C.11)$$

which is valid for $\sqrt{\mu_n} \ll 1$, i.e. $\theta_n \ll R$ (and the condition $e \ll R$ is ensured since $e < \theta_2$).

1116 C.3 Derivation of (3.17): approximation for small μ_1

The above approach failed to calculate μ_1 because $Y_1(0)$ is not defined and hence an alternative approach, utilizing a different expansion, is described here. Recall μ_1 satisfies

1120
$$J_1(R\sqrt{\mu_1})Y_0(\sqrt{\mu_1}) = Y_1(R\sqrt{\mu_1})J_0(\sqrt{\mu_1}).$$
(C.12)

As $R \to \infty$, by numerically solving equation (C.12), we find that $R\sqrt{\mu_1} \to 0$. By substituting all the expansions in equation (C.1) into equation (C.12) it follows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{R\sqrt{\mu_1}}{2} - \frac{R^3\mu_1^{\frac{3}{2}}}{16} + \frac{R^5\mu_1^{\frac{5}{2}}}{384} + O(R^7\mu_1^{\frac{7}{2}}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\pi}\ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{\mu_1}e^{\gamma}}{2}\right) + O(\mu_1\ln(\mu_1)) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (1 + O(\mu_1)) \times \left(-\frac{2}{\pi R\sqrt{\mu_1}} + \frac{R\sqrt{\mu_1}}{\pi} \left[\ln\left(\frac{R\sqrt{\mu_1}e^{\gamma}}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\right]$$

$$- \frac{R^3\mu_1^{\frac{3}{2}}}{8\pi} \left[\ln\left(\frac{R\sqrt{\mu_1}e^{\gamma}}{2}\right) - \frac{5}{4}\right] + \frac{R^5\mu_1^{\frac{5}{2}}}{192\pi} \left[\ln\left(\frac{R\sqrt{\mu_1}e^{\gamma}}{2}\right) - \frac{5}{3}\right] + O(R^7\mu_1^{\frac{7}{2}}\ln(R\sqrt{\mu_1})) \right)$$

¹¹²⁵ Multiplying by $2\pi R \sqrt{\mu_1}$ and cancelling out terms reduces this expression to

$$0 = -4 + R^2 \mu_1 \left[2\ln(R) - 1\right] - \frac{R^4 \mu_1^2}{4} \left[\ln(R) - \frac{5}{4}\right] + \frac{R^6 \mu_1^3}{96} \left[\ln(R) - \frac{5}{3}\right] + O(\mu_1, R^8 \mu_1^4 \ln(R\sqrt{\mu_1}))$$
(C.13)

1126

1129

Next, motivated by the presence of $\ln(R)$ and the powers of μ_1 in the above, we suppose that μ_1 can be expanded in the form

$$\mu_1 = \frac{1}{R^2 \ln(R)} \left[a + \frac{b}{\ln(R)} + \frac{c}{\ln(R)^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\ln(R)^3}\right) \right]$$
(C.14)

where a, b and c are constants to be determined. By substituting equation (C.14) into equation (C.13) and retaining leading order terms yields

$$O\left(\frac{1}{R^2\ln(R)},\frac{\ln(\ln(R))}{\ln(R)^3}\right) = -4 + 2a + \frac{2b}{\ln(R)} + \frac{2c}{\ln(R)^2} - \frac{a}{\ln(R)} - \frac{b}{\ln(R)^2} - \frac{a^2}{\ln(R)} - \frac{a^2}{4\ln(R)} - \frac{ab}{2\ln(R)^2} + \frac{5a^2}{16\ln(R)^2} + \frac{a^3}{96\ln(R)^2}.$$

1132

Finally, by equating the coefficients of the powers of $\ln(R)$, values for a, b and ccan be determined and hence

¹¹³⁵
$$\mu_1 = \frac{1}{R^2 \ln(R)} \left[2 + \frac{3}{2 \ln(R)} + \frac{5}{6 \ln(R)^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\ln(R)^3}\right) \right],$$
 (C.15)

1136 which is valid for $e \ll R$.