



Tizard Learning Disability F

Commentary on 'Video-modelling as an effective solution for coaching carers of autistic adults'. Building skills; that should be our priority.

Journal:	<i>Tizard Learning Disability Review</i>
Manuscript ID	TLDR-10-2020-0030
Manuscript Type:	Commentary on Service and Research Feature
Keywords:	Video Modelling, Daily Living Skills, Positive behaviour support, Behaviour Analysis, Intellectual disability, Learning disabilities

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Commentary on ‘Video-modelling as an effective solution for coaching carers of autistic adults’: Building skills should be the next step.

This study used a video modelling procedure to train three support workers working with adults with severe intellectual disability who exhibited behaviour described as challenging. The focus of the training was on how to best support their clients to brush their teeth. The study produced positive results, which is particularly important, considering that the support workers had no background in applied behaviour analysis or positive behaviour support. What is more, family members reported high levels of satisfaction with the results of the study. In this commentary, I will discuss the importance of skills development from the lens of behaviour analysis. My analysis will be based on the study by Cohen and McGill (2020) who demonstrated the impact of training staff members to utilise evidence-based tactics, when supporting people to develop their skills. Finally, I will discuss both the practical and conceptual implications of this study.

Video Modelling

1
2
3
4 Video modelling has been utilised for years with significant success for training
5
6
7 students and adults with intellectual and other developmental disabilities. It has been
8
9
10 applied in various areas such as perspective-taking (Charlop-Christy and Daneshvar,
11
12
13 2003), language development (D'Ateno *et al.*, 2003), social skills (Nikopoulos and
14
15
16 Keenan, 2003), daily living skills (Shiple-Beauchamp *et al.*, 2002), and even fire safety
17
18
19 procedures (Mechling *et al.*, 2009). Video modelling has also been used within
20
21
22 behavioural skills training (Kirkpatrick *et al.*, 2019) to improve the fidelity of
23
24
25 behavioural interventions (Digennaro-Reed *et al.*, 2010), and train staff in areas such
26
27
28 as discrete trial training (Catania *et al.*, 2009; Vladescu *et al.*, 2012), functional
29
30
31 analysis (Iwata *et al.*, 2000), and graph construction (Tyner and Fienup, 2015).
32
33
34
35 Years of research around video modelling have led to it being classified as an
36
37
38 evidence-based instructional practice (Bellini and Akullian, 2007). Simply put, video
39
40
41 modelling works, and this study adds more evidence on its effectiveness as an
42
43
44 instructional procedure.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 Daily Living Skills

53
54
55 The authors utilised video modelling to help produce improvements-indirectly- in
56
57
58 a particularly important daily-living skill (i.e., toothbrushing). To this day, people with
59
60

1
2
3 intellectual and developmental disabilities are reported to have poor oral health
4
5
6
7 (Wilson *et al.*, 2019). This is particularly troublesome considering our understanding
8
9
10 of oral hygiene and its relation to oral health. What is more, toothbrushing is one of
11
12
13 many daily living skills that adults with disabilities are expected to engage in
14
15
16 independently. That is why it is essential that the support provided is of appropriate
17
18
19 quality, and based on the most recent evidence. Unfortunately, what is typically
20
21
22 observed in services is adults who are dependent on their support workers even for
23
24
25 the simplest of activities. This phenomenon is relevant for various daily-living skills
26
27
28 such as washing their clothes, hoovering, showering, or preparing a meal. The over-
29
30
31 reliance on staff members is typically attributed to the individuals' lack of skills. By
32
33
34
35
36
37
38 doing so, however, the root cause is left unexamined.
39
40

41 42 **Activities and Skill Building** 43 44

45 In most cases, a lack of skills is the result of inappropriate instruction. All
46
47
48 individuals can be taught if the instruction is tailored to their level of ability (Becker
49
50
51 and Engelmann, 1976).. However, years of research and clinical practice have
52
53
54 demonstrated this Direct Instruction tenet to be true for the majority of individuals
55
56
57
58
59 (Becker and Engelmann, 1976; Stockard *et al.*, 2018). Progress can be achieved,
60

1
2
3
4 even if it is not as significant or quick for all individuals and of course maintenance of
5
6
7 existing skills might also be an important goal. To paraphrase Ogden Lindsley,
8
9
10 learners know best what they need (Lindsley, 1990a, 1990b). In other words, it is our
11
12
13 responsibility to identify their exact abilities and deficits and make our instruction
14
15
16 relevant. However, for this to be true, the critical variable is the quality of training and
17
18
19 support provided and here is where a fine yet crucial distinction lies—the difference
20
21
22 between skill-building and engaging in activities. Skill-building refers to a systematic
23
24
25 process of (a) assessing someone's current repertoire and identifying skills that are
26
27
28 fluent, emergent, or missing, (b) creating a sequence of skills to be taught going from
29
30
31 simple skills to more complex ones, (c) identifying which instructional strategies are
32
33
34 most appropriate for an individual, (d) identifying potential reinforcers that will
35
36
37 motivate the individual, (e) presenting the instruction and measuring its effectiveness
38
39
40 through data collection, and (f) utilising the data to amend instruction as needed
41
42
43 recursively.
44
45
46
47
48
49

50
51
52 Engaging in activities does not always follow this systematic approach. That is why
53
54
55 individuals might not improve their skills and achieve independence despite years of
56
57
58 being supported to engage in various activities. The success of the support offered is
59
60

1
2
3 directly related to the way staff engage with the clients. Staff training is one of the
4
5
6
7 most important variables in terms of the effectiveness of our interventions, and that is
8
9
10 why this study is important. It demonstrates how a simple procedure can lead to
11
12
13 significant improvements in staff performance and subsequently, the service users'
14
15
16
17 independence.
18

19
20
21 So does this mean that we should continuously offer training to the individuals we
22
23
24 support? The answer to this question is no. We should aim to strike a balance
25
26
27
28 between offering instruction or training, and allowing people to enjoy other aspects of
29
30
31 their lives. Free time and engaging in social and recreational activities are also
32
33
34 essential domains of someone's overall quality of life (Schalock *et al.*, 2002). The
35
36
37
38 need for skills, however, is evident during those activities as well. One cannot enjoy
39
40
41 reading a book if they do not know how to read. Similarly, one cannot enjoy their
42
43
44 time with their family and friends if they are not supported to communicate in a way
45
46
47 that is relevant to them. That is why it is important we empower people to develop
48
49
50
51 their skills so that they can 'carry them' wherever they go and whatever activity they
52
53
54
55 engage in.
56
57
58
59
60

Staff Training

1
2
3
4 So, what is the solution? Invest in staff training in a way that will impact a broad
5
6
7 range of services. Although it would be great to have services staffed with
8
9
10 professionals thoroughly trained in evidence-based procedures, the truth is that such
11
12
13 a feat would be particularly resource-intensive. For example, thorough training in
14
15
16 applied behaviour analysis requires a Master's degree along with the necessary
17
18
19 supervised training. Are we able to deliver this on the scale necessary?
20
21
22

23
24 Unfortunately, not at the present moment. However, this fact does not mean that we
25
26
27 should give up on the people we are supporting. Although an ultimate solution might
28
29
30 not be achievable at this point, we can always aim for a series of smaller solutions
31
32
33 such as the one described in this study. Sometimes simple solutions can be
34
35
36 particularly useful. So, let us start there as our first step, and as the number of
37
38
39 professionals with thorough training in evidence-based practices increases, we could
40
41
42 start thinking about other approaches such as pyramidal models of training (Haberlin
43
44
45 *et al.*, 2012) or multi-tiered systems of support (Freeman *et al.*, 2017; Sugai and
46
47
48 Horner, 2006).
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 A holistic approach to supporting people 56 57 58 59 60

1
2
3
4 Evidence-based instructional practices are increasingly being applied to staff
5
6
7 members. We are moving away from the model where we only applied our
8
9
10 technology to our students. After all, a whole field has developed by applying
11
12
13 behaviour analytic principles to the workplace (Luke et al., 2018). Organisational
14
15
16 behavioural management colloquially known as OBM, has carved the path. Science
17
18
19 is now used to optimise not only our students' and clients' performance but our own
20
21
22
23
24 (Ludwig and Frazier, 2012).
25
26

27
28 Similarly, another subfield of applied behaviour analysis has started looking at the
29
30
31 broader picture. Positive behaviour support (PBS) emphasises the need for a
32
33
34 comprehensive approach to supporting people who exhibit behaviour described as
35
36
37 challenging. Three primary components are highlighted within a PBS framework,
38
39
40 namely, (a) values, (b) theory and evidence, and (c) process (Gore *et al.*, 2013). A
41
42
43 closer examination of the third component shows us that implementation support is
44
45
46 considered an integral part of the framework. Cohen and McGill's study operates on
47
48
49 the level of implementation support by offering training that could lead to
50
51
52
53
54
55
56 improvements in staff performance while being easily accessible to services. In other
57
58
59 words, this study demonstrates how low-cost applications of evidence-based
60

1
2
3
4 practices can produce meaningful and socially valid outcomes for service users in
5
6
7 line with recent developments in our field.
8
9

10 In conclusion, the study by Cohen and McGill (2020) has successfully
11
12 demonstrated an important next step. A comprehensive application of behaviour
13
14 analytic principles and tactics focused on all parties involved, and with the primary
15
16
17 aim of improving the quality of life of people with disabilities. Despite being small in
18
19
20
21 scope, the study's message is clear and particularly relevant.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- Becker, W.C. and Engelmann, S. (1976), *Analysis of achievement data on six cohorts of low-income children-from 20 school districts in the University of Oregon direct instruction follow through model*. (Technical report 78-1). Eugene, OR: University of Oregon.
- Bellini, S. and Akullian, J. (2007), "A meta-analysis of video modeling and video self-modeling interventions for children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorders", *Exceptional Children*, Vol. 73 No. 3, pp. 264–287.
- Catania, C.N., Almeida, D., Liu-Constant, B. and Reed, F.D.D. (2009), "Video modeling to train staff to implement discrete-trial instruction", *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, Vol. 42 No. 2, pp. 387–392.
- Charlop-Christy, M.H. and Daneshvar, S. (2003), "Using video modeling to teach perspective taking to children with autism", *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 12–21.
- D'Ateno, P., Mangiapanello, K. and Taylor, B.A. (2003), "Using video modeling to teach complex play sequences to a preschooler with autism", *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 5–11.
- Digennaro-Reed, F.D., Coddling, R., Catania, C.N. and Maguire, H. (2010), "Effects

of video modeling on treatment integrity of behavioral interventions”, *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, Vol. 43 No. 2, pp. 291–295.

Freeman, J., Sugai, G., Simonsen, B. and Everett, S. (2017), “MTSS coaching: Bridging knowing to doing”, *Theory Into Practice*, Vol. 56 No. 1, pp. 29–37.

Gore, N., McGill, P., Toogood, S., Allen, D., Hughes, J.C., Baker, P., Hastings, R., *et al.* (2013), “Definition and scope for positive behavioural support”, *International Journal of Positive Behavioural Support*, Vol. 3 No. 2, pp. 14–23.

Haberlin, A.T., Beauchamp, K., Agnew, J. and O’Brien, F. (2012), “A comparison of pyramidal staff training and direct staff training in community-based day programs”, *Journal of Organizational Behavior Management*, Vol. 32 No. 1, pp. 65–74.

Iwata, B.A., Wallace, M.D., Kahng, S., Lindberg, J.S., Roscoe, E.M., Connors, J., Hanley, G.P., *et al.* (2000), “Skill acquisition in the implementation of functional analysis methodology”, *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, Vol. 33 No. 2, pp. 181–194.

Kirkpatrick, M., Akers, J. and Rivera, G. (2019), “Use of behavioral skills training with teachers: A Systematic Review”, *Journal of Behavioral Education*, Vol. 28 No. 3,

1
2
3
4 pp. 344–361.
5
6

7 Lindsley, O.R. (1990a), “Precision teaching: By teachers for children”, *Teaching*
8
9
10 *Exceptional Children*, Teaching Exceptional Children, Vol. 22 No. 3, pp. 10–15.
11
12

13
14 Lindsley, O.R. (1990b), “Our aims, discoveries, failures and problem”, *Journal of*
15
16 *Precision Teaching*, Vol. 7 No. 2, pp. 7–17.
17
18
19

20
21 Ludwig, T.D. and Frazier, C.B. (2012), “Employee engagement and organizational
22
23 behavior management”, *Journal of Organizational Behavior Management*, Vol.
24
25 32 No. 1, pp. 75–82.
26
27
28
29

30
31 Mechling, L.C., Gast, D.L. and Gustafson, M.R. (2009), “Use of video modeling to
32
33 teach extinguishing of cooking related fires to individuals with moderate
34
35 intellectual disabilities”, *Education and Training in Developmental Disabilities*,
36
37
38 Vol. 44 No. 1, pp. 67–79.
39
40
41
42
43
44

45
46 Nikopoulos, C.K. and Keenan, M. (2003), “Promoting social initiation in children with
47
48 autism using video modeling”, *Behavioral Interventions*, Vol. 18 No. 2, pp. 87–
49
50
51 108.
52
53
54

55
56 Schalock, R.L., Brown, I., Brown, R., Cummins, R.A., Felce, D., Matikka, L., Keith,
57
58
59 K.D., *et al.* (2002), “Conceptualization, measurement, and application of quality
60

1
2
3 of life for persons with intellectual disabilities: Report of an international panel of
4
5
6
7 experts", *Mental Retardation*, Vol. 40 No. 6, pp. 457–470.
8
9

10 Shipley-Benamou, R., Lutzker, J.R. and Taubman, M. (2002), "Teaching daily living
11
12
13 skills to children with autism through instructional video modeling", *Journal of*
14
15
16
17 *Positive Behavior Interventions*, Vol. 4 No. 3, pp. 166–177.
18
19

20 Stockard, J., Wood, T.W., Coughlin, C. and Rasplica Khoury, C. (2018), "The
21
22
23 effectiveness of direct instruction curricula: A meta-analysis of a half century of
24
25
26
27 research", *Review of Educational Research*, Vol. 88 No. 4, pp. 479–507.
28
29

30 Sugai, G. and Horner, R.R. (2006), "A promising approach for expanding and
31
32
33 sustaining school-wide positive behavior support", *School Psychology Review*,
34
35
36
37 Vol. 35 No. 2, pp. 245–259.
38
39

40 Tyner, B.C. and Fienup, D.M. (2015), "A comparison of video modeling, text-based
41
42
43 instruction, and no instruction for creating multiple baseline graphs in Microsoft
44
45
46
47 Excel", *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, Vol. 48 No. 3, pp. 701–706.
48
49

50 Vladescu, J.C., Carroll, R., Paden, A. and Kodak, T.M. (2012), "The effects of video
51
52
53 modeling with voiceover instruction on accurate implementation of discrete-trial
54
55
56
57 instruction", *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, Vol. 45 No. 2, pp. 419–423.
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 Wilson, N.J., Lin, Z., Villarosa, A., Lewis, P., Philip, P., Sumar, B. and George, A.
5
6

7 (2019), "Countering the poor oral health of people with intellectual and
8
9
10 developmental disability: a scoping literature review", *BMC Public Health*, Vol.
11
12
13
14 19 No. 1, p. 1530.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Tizard Learning Disability Review