

http://www.ijmp.jor.br ISSN: 2236-269X

DOI: 10.14807/ijmp.v12i4.1350

v. 12, n. 4, May-June 2021

CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY IN TIMES OF CRISIS: BUSINESS ACTIONS DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

Luiz Henrique Vieira da Silva Pontifícia Universidade Católica de Campinas - PUC Campinas., Brazil E-mail: vieiraluiz77@gmail.com

Cibele Roberta Sugahara Pontifícia Universidade Católica de Campinas - São Paulo/Brasil., Brazil E-mail: cibelesu@puc-campinas.edu.br

Denise Helena Lombardo Ferreira Pontifícia Universidade Católica de Campinas - São Paulo/Brasil., Brazil E-mail: lombardo@puc-campinas.edu.br

> Submission: 5/23/2020 Revision: 7/3/2020 Accept: 7/29/2020

ABSTRACT

Between the years 2019 and 2020, humanity was affected by one of the most serious pandemics in recent history, caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). The socioeconomic tragedy required the complete mobilization of governments, companies, and other organizations to contain and combat the disease. Shedding light on the role of companies, this article set out to analyze, through descriptive, qualitative and documentary research, the various manifestations of Corporate Social Responsibility in Brazilian companies during the pandemic, with the Agenda 2030 as a backdrop for Sustainable Development and related initiatives. It became evident that, while on the one hand, organizations are strongly invited to act in the containment of world events harmful to human life, by extension, socially responsible companies must bear the commitment to work together to mitigate the impacts of climate change and to eradicating hunger and poverty, equally urgent and necessary demands.

Keywords: Corporate Social Responsibility; Management; Human Development; Sustainable Development; UN Global Compact.



http://www.ijmp.jor.br

v. 12, n. 4, May-June 2021

ISSN: 2236-269X

DOI: 10.14807/ijmp.v12i4.1350

1. INTRODUCTION

From the beginning of the 20th century, there was a significant internalization of

responsible practices for business management. These actions, greatly supported by the

environmental, social and economic pillars of sustainability, have expanded the performance

of companies, inviting them to transform a production model merely focused on economic

growth in a humanized administration and, at the same time, attentive to environmental issues.

Taking this context as a starting point, in the midst of global crises, such as the COVID-

19 pandemic, which profoundly altered human relations between 2019 and 2020, it is

understood that companies should assume a prominent role in initiatives that have a positive

impact on people's lives, as a way of exercising Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and an

expression of organizational sustainability. Companies linked to the Rede Brasil do Pacto

Global, an initiative of the United Nations Organization aimed at fostering the confluence

between companies and the achievement of sustainable development, as well as other private

sector organizations, act in different ways to contain the disease.

Consequently, companies are called upon to also address issues that are equally urgent

and harmful to life on Earth, such as hunger, inequality and climate change. Based on the 2030

Agenda for Sustainable Development, promulgated in 2015 by UNO member countries,

including Brazil, this premise is even truer.

Thus, this article aimed to present the actions of Brazilian companies to face the

challenges of the pandemic of COVID-19, with a view to Corporate Social Responsibility.

Subsequently, horizons of action were drawn up for a deepening of CSR aimed at the

challenges imposed on humanity in the coming decades.

2. CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY AND SUSTAINABLE

DEVELOPMENT

Firstly, we highlight the transformations that business management has undergone - and

continues to do - from the beginning of the 20th century to today, guided by the emerging break

with industrial logic, with the greed for profit and economic growth and marked by

incorporation, to the organizational context, human concepts and, more recently, socio-

environmental.

De Moraes et al. (2017) state that social responsibility in organizations has gradually

changed, and can be divided into three phases: the first, from 1900 to 1960, marked by concern

with personal ethics in the business environment; the second, between 1960 and 1980, when

<u>@0</u>90

91()

http://www.ijmp.jor.br v. 12, n. 4, May-June 2021

ISSN: 2236-269X

DOI: 10.14807/ijmp.v12i4.1350

companies began to be questioned by society about their activities and the obligations they

should carry, going beyond the mere maximization of profits; and, finally, the third, from 1980,

permeated by the global discussions about sustainable development, which improved the role

of companies before the planet, in order to cover environmental and social issues, in addition

to economic ones, which were already dealt with.

This metamorphosis improved the performance of companies, making them closer to

the people and the realities that surround them. With that, it was possible to endow them

responsibility with the planet and with human development.

One of the most widespread graphic representations of this relationship between

companies and the external environment is the pyramid of Corporate Social Responsibility,

proposed by Carroll (1991). The figure is based on economic responsibilities, that is, efficiency

and competitiveness; then there are legal responsibilities, which consist of obeying the laws;

subsequently, ethical responsibilities, which refer to the obligation to do what is right, fair and

honest; and, at the top, philanthropic responsibility, linked to improving the community's

quality of life, spontaneously fostered by the company.

From this set of attributions, it is possible to ratify that, currently, not relating

sustainability to the organizational context and to the business operation has become something

inconceivable. It should be noted that not considering sustainability in business operations can

create problems regarding the continuity of the company's activities and its performance in the

market, although this point is not the main one.

This set of ideas definitively broke with the "traditional posture" of business

administration, in which the only function of corporations would be to generate profits and

dividends for their shareholders, admitting that social responsibility oriented to human and

ecological demands "would constitute the greatest irresponsibility in terms business"

(Guimarães, 1984, p. 215).

In view of this context, organizations started to allocate resources and manpower to

issues related to sustainable development, understood as the macro objective achieved through

sustainable practices (Elkington, 2012). This took place through the creation of specific

departments, such as those of Corporate Social Responsibility, which represents "the

company's commitment to minimize or eliminate any harmful effects and maximize its long-

term beneficial impact" (Mohr et al., 2001, p 47), or spreading this set of ideas in sectors such

as Human Resources, Research and Development, Production and Strategy, for example.

<u>@080</u>

http://www.ijmp.jor.br v. 12, n. 4, May-June 2021

ISSN: 2236-269X

DOI: 10.14807/ijmp.v12i4.1350

It is known that, as they are inserted in a certain reality, organizations have a social

function to fulfill, acting in order to "reduce the negative impacts on the environment and

communities, preserving environmental and cultural resources, respecting diversity and

reducing social inequality" (Federal Board of Directors, 2014, s. p.).

Based on this, Savitz and Weber (2007) assertively argue that the sustainable company

is the one that, in addition to generating profit for shareholders, protects the environment and

improves the lives of people with whom it has interactions.

Complementing this statement, Sousa Filho et al. (2010, p. 307) highlight that there are

a number of variables that influence this behavior of companies, such as "the organizational

values, the relationship with stakeholders, the external environment and the competitive

context, internal resources, the ideologies of top management and community expectations".

In fact, the benefits for organizations that invest in social or environmental

responsibility strategies are striking. On this, Melo, Yaryd, Souza and Campos-Silva (2017, p.

129) reveal that "CSR has been incorporated into the business reality not only in a reactive way

to the laws, but as a source of business competitiveness".

In addition, high levels of attachment to a particular brand make consumers more

sensitive and less critical of their activities, including social responsibility practices (PARK et

al., 2010). In this sense, brands can obtain better results by directing efforts to sponsor CSR

actions to consumer segments that are attached to it and, at the same time, have a predilection

for the themes addressed in the campaigns (Kamiya et al., 2018).

As for corporate reputation, transparency in socially responsible actions emerges as an

important tool to smooth the path of good relationships between a company and its stakeholders

(Baraibar-Diez & Sotorrio, 2018).

The relationships between companies and their respective employees are also impacted

by socially responsible practices. Azim (2016, p. 57) argues that "employees are not only

concerned with their payment, they also seek meaning in their work". From this perspective, it

concludes that the engagement in responsible activities promoted by the organization offers

such a bond for employees, since there is a positive relationship between CSR and the

employee's organizational commitment, their dedication and the organizational citizenship

behavior related to the company (Azim, 2016).

Then comes the criticism pertinent to the so-called greenwashing, that is, to companies

that "paint green" their products and their institutional image so that they appear sustainable.



http://www.iimp.jor.br v. 12. n. 4. May-June 2021

ISSN: 2236-269X

DOI: 10.14807/ijmp.v12i4.1350

Also, it is necessary to take a critical look at organizational sustainability, since some

companies, despite having extensive knowledge of the principles of sustainability and areas

related to social responsibility, allow other interests to prevail, as in the case of mining

companies that risk themselves in construction of dams in places that present a risk of

catastrophe, but opt for the most economically viable option, or of tobacco companies, known

to be harmful to human health (Castro, Campos & Trevisan, 2018).

Even so, it is well known that many organizations effectively invest in a sustainable and

socially responsible culture, driven by a real commitment to social and environmental

guidelines, going beyond the simple marketing, purely superficial (Abramovay, 2012). In other

words, there are companies that "are responsible because they believe that they should be

responsible, not because others demand that they be" (Baraibar-Diez & Sotorrio, 2018, p. 15).

In the face of emergencies such as climate change and deep and growing inequalities across

the planet, since "the concentration of income and wealth on the planet has reached absolutely

obscene levels" (Dowbor, 2017, p. 29), the role of companies in together with other actors is

imperatively even more essential.

In the worst case scenario, socially responsible practices can act as "brakes" for

organizations to have positive impacts on society. "Citizen-consumers" oblige companies to

rethink their activities in the community. Even though governments are absolutely necessary

to solve most social problems and also to promote educational, public health and environmental

policies, it is impossible to detach people's political and consumption preferences. Therefore,

it is understood that a citizen who does not take global warming into consideration will not

prioritize the purchase of an electric car, for example (Kramer, 2007). For this reason, the

private sector can act in the construction of new paradigms, even if driven by new laws or

exogenous pressures.

In view of the above, the apparent contradiction between sustainability and the

corporate world soon gives way to an evident need for both to walk together towards the

construction of an egalitarian and, consequently, developed society, as well as to the generation

of opportunities, freedoms (Sen, 2010) and prosperity, "in the context of a decentralized

economy in which the markets play a decisive role, although, of course, not exclusive"

(Abramovay, 2012, p. 22), because the preponderance of public policies naturally belongs to

the State and social actions also fall to third sector institutions or social businesses.

© 0 8 0 BY NC 5A

http://www.ijmp.jor.br v. 12, n. 4, May-June 2021

ISSN: 2236-269X

DOI: 10.14807/ijmp.v12i4.1350

As described by De Moraes et al. (2017), the third phase of the evolution of business administration towards social responsibility is concomitant to the consolidation of sustainable development, conceptualized in 1987, in the Report "Our Common Future", as "one that meets the needs of the present without compromising the possibility for future generations to meet their own needs "(World Commission on Environment and Development, 1987, p. 43), initiating a series of other documents, discussions and worldwide meetings to debate the subject.

One of these meetings involved 191 countries and resulted in a document called the Millennium Declaration, drawn up in the year 2000. In it, eight Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) were defined and the deadline was set for reaching them by 2015. However, from the rapid transformations that society underwent at the beginning of the 21st Century, following the mandate emanating from the Rio + 20 Conference in 2012, in 2013, a series of negotiations began to debate a new way to promote sustainable development, involving governments, business and civil society.

In view of this scenario, negotiations were concluded in August 2015, culminating in the adoption, in September, of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), also known as Global Goals, on the occasion of the United Nations Summit for Sustainable Development, which brought together all UN member countries at its headquarters in New York. The SDGs should guide national policies and international cooperation activities in the following fifteen years (2015 to 2030, therefore, shaping the so-called Agenda 2030), succeeding and updating the Millennium Development Goals, which comprised Agenda 21.

In Figure 1, the SDG icons are shown.



Figure 1: Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) chart of official icons Source: United Nations (2015)



http://www.ijmp.jor.br v. 12, n. 4, May-June 2021

ISSN: 2236-269X

DOI: 10.14807/ijmp.v12i4.1350

In 2020, the world entered the so-called "Decade of Action", in order to intensify

actions based on the Sustainable Development Goals. For each of the 17 SDGs, there are a

series of goals to guide actions to be taken by governments, private organizations and civil

society, 169 in all. Through these guidelines, it is possible to guide policies that work, together,

the environmental, social and economic dimensions.

In these goals, the term "company" is cited numerous times, highlighting the need for

partnerships that involve different actors that share the same purposes, something that is very

encouraged by the document. Consequently, in many companies, social responsibility actions

started to be guided by the Sustainable Development Goals.

Addressing the context of the pandemic, from the point of view of public health, Freitas,

Napimoga and Donalsio (2020, p. 4) consider COVID-19 to be a highly dangerous disease,

both in terms of transmissibility and in terms of its clinical severity . For this reason, they warn

that, due to the lack of strategic plans ready to be applied to a coronavirus pandemic, it is

essential that the "scientific community and national and international epidemiological

surveillance teams be very careful when monitoring the trends of the epidemic, critically

analyzing the instruments available to understand the situation ".

The severe human tragedy, with thousands of lives lost, added to the global

destabilization of the economy, required governments, civil society and, also, the private sector,

a total focus on combating the new coronavirus. For the latter group, this performance could

be seen as an extension of the CSR practices already carried out.

In the case of Brazilian companies, the construction of collective alternatives to combat

the coronavirus outbreak (SARS-CoV-2), which causes COVID-19, is discussed below, taking

as a backdrop initiatives by the United Nations Organization (UNO), such as Agenda 2030 and

the corporate sustainability initiative Rede Brasil do Pacto Global, in addition to other actors

destined for the confluence between business operation and sustainable development.

3. METHODOLOGY

The research method adopted in this study is descriptive research. Richardson (2007)

highlights that the descriptive study aims to describe the characteristics of a phenomenon. As

for the approach, it is characterized by being a qualitative study. Silva and Menezes (2000)

report that the qualitative approach is characterized by the dynamic relationship between the

real world and the subject.

@080

http://www.ijmp.jor.br v. 12, n. 4, May-June 2021

ISSN: 2236-269X

DOI: 10.14807/ijmp.v12i4.1350

For data collection, we opted for the documentary methodological procedure, which, according to Severino (2016. p. 131), "has as its source documents in the broad sense, that is, not only of printed documents, but especially of other types of documents". In this context, the main source of data for this work was the special report "COVID-19 - How companies are facing the pandemic", prepared in 2020 by Rede Brasil do Pacto Global and by the global communication agency Edelman, with participants, in the majority, companies that are signatories to the UN Global Compact.

4. RESULTS

4.1. Brazilian companies operation in the Covi-19 pandemic

In the 2030 Agenda, some Sustainable Development Goals directly impacted by the pandemic and related to it, such as public health and economic growth, addressed by SDGs 3 and 8, respectively, stand out. Perrings, Levin and Daszak (2018) explore the intrinsic relationship between both, in the sense that productive activity itself is at the heart of the emergence and spread of zoonotic diseases, driven globally by the growth of trade and travel, and the negligence on accelerated measures for disease containment at an early stage, as seen in the spread of SARS-CoV-2.

However, other themes present in Agenda 2030 also permeate the pandemic. For example, empirical evidence was highlighted on the "COVID-19 Response" page of the Pan American Health Organization/World Health Organization (PAHO/WHO), revealing the latent correspondence between the potential for transmission of the SARS-CoV-2 virus and poverty: the lack of infrastructure, such as basic sanitation, can work to increase the number of disease cases, since hand washing is a first-rate basic defense (Nações Unidas Brasil, 2020a), this is related to SDGs 6 and 11; workers, usually informal ones, prevented from suspending their activities, are forced to submit to the pandemic (Nações Unidas Brasil, 2020b), therefore, people far from heteronomous education may be exposed to greater risks, due to the lack of means of transmission, in connection to SDG 4; and an increase in the numbers of gender-based violence is expected if confinement continues, as well as an increase in difficulties for poor women to access contraceptives (Nações Unidas Brasil, 2020c), referring to SDGs 5 and 10.

In fact, as pointed out by researchers from the University of São Paulo (USP) and the State University of Campinas (Unicamp), the COVID-19 pandemic would have had less social impact if Agenda 2030 had advanced in recent years, precisely because the Sustainable



http://www.ijmp.jor.br v. 12, n. 4, May-June 2021

ISSN: 2236-269X

DOI: 10.14807/ijmp.v12i4.1350

Development Goals are aimed at repairing the problems listed in the previous paragraph (Jornal da USP, 2020).

Observing this scenario, from the alignment of private sector organizations with the global agenda for sustainable development, it appears that, in the face of an emergency that puts people's health at risk on a global scale, enterprise acting is required, expanding and increasing the social responsibility already practiced by companies, in order to complement public policies conducted by government entities and, also, the participation of the third sector, through civil society organizations.

Therefore, in April 2020, the Rede Brasil do Pacto Global applied a questionnaire answered online by 86 organizations in the sectors of energy, fashion and beauty, health, chemical industry, legal services, third sector, infrastructure, food, financial services, education, agribusiness and consultancies, allowing the preparation of the special report "COVID-19 - How companies are facing the pandemic".

Through this instrument, some measures were adopted by companies in order to contain or limit the progress of COVID-19, such as the donation of equipment or supplies to hospitals, for example (Rede Brasil do Pacto Global, 2020a). Chart 1 highlights the organizations' perception of measures to deal with the COVID-19 pandemic, based on the report.

Chart 1: Perception of organizations in relation to measures to face the Covid-19 pandemic

CATEGORY	ANSWERS
Companies' point of view and the role of brands	 97% of respondents in companies agree that brands have an obligation to contribute to solving the challenges that come with the pandemic; 94% agree that companies have a responsibility to collaborate with the government in the crisis; 88% agree that not acting to help during the COVID-19 crisis can damage the company's reputation; 63% agree that consumers will reward the company that adopts measures to help the COVID-19 crisis by buying or promoting its products and / or services.
Collaborators first	 87% of respondents in companies agree that companies are responsible for the physical and financial well-being of their employees even if they face financial problems during the pandemic; 72% say they have policies to keep jobs; 42% say their company is conducting educational and social actions, such as financial and / or equipment donations; 9% say their company is working with government agencies.
Communication	• 37% believe that companies are at risk of being judged as opportunistic if they communicate their actions to help in the crisis.

Source: Rede Brasil do Pacto Global (2020b)



http://www.ijmp.jor.br v. 12, n. 4, May-June 2021

ISSN: 2236-269X

DOI: 10.14807/ijmp.v12i4.1350

As pointed out by the literature addressed in the previous topic and endorsed by the evolutionary trajectory of CSR, practically all the leaders who answered the questionnaire understand that companies have a responsibility to contribute to the solution of the challenges that come with the pandemic, and likewise they must help the government. in this endeavor. At the same time, they recognize that failure to do so can negatively impact the image of their brands, as well as the identification of brands with their consumers and shareholders.

On the other hand, the document also revealed some contradictions. Despite the preference for the home office (or telework) to contain the spread of the virus, in addition to the adoption of channels to clarify doubts and the development of ways to map symptoms among employees, who receive guidance on how to proceed in case of risk of contamination, less than half of the respondents stated that their respective companies are conducting educational and social actions, such as financial and / or equipment donations. In addition, only one in 10 state that the company is working with government agencies (Rede Brasil do Pacto Global, 2020b), which reinforces the pressing need to carry out efforts that can mitigate the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic.

The organization also developed a guide for business leaders, in order to "reinforce some of the essential care so that companies can overcome this difficult moment with the minimum of negative impacts on their teams or their operations" (Rede Brasil do Pacto Global, 2020a, s. p.), in line with the Ten Principles of the Global Compact.

Among the actions suggested by the Rede Brasil do Pacto Global (2020a, s. p.) There are:

- 1. Financial support: contributing funds such as the Strategic Preparedness and Response Plan (SPRP), which describes activities and resources needed by international health organizations worldwide, including the World Health Organization (WHO), to implement priority public health measures in support of countries' response to the outbreak.
- 2. Raise awareness: share information from the World Health Organization and the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) on precautions and other preventive measures.
- 3. Be flexible: exercise flexibility in terms of remote work arrangements for employees who want to limit their contact with others and work from home. Support companies and suppliers affected by the outbreak by taking a flexible and comprehensive approach to their business negotiations. Be flexible on delivery times and pay invoices immediately.
- 4. Be compassionate: get in touch with friends and colleagues to ask what they need and offer support.
- 5. Provide help and support: explore how the company can support, including leveraging its global supply chain, to increase the supply of



http://www.ijmp.jor.br v. 12. n. 4. May-June 2021

ISSN: 2236-269X

DOI: 10.14807/ijmp.v12i4.1350

essential items in affected areas and countries. Companies can also offer assets, resources and financial support to provide medical supplies and increase support for assistance efforts.

The document points out that "companies are at the center of these efforts - both because they are directly affected by the consequences of COVID-19, which have caused problems in the supply, distribution and consumption chains, and because of their responsibility in limiting contagion among their employees, customers and other contact people". But as it warns, "in addition, they are also vulnerable to the likely medium-term consequences that will be caused by the pandemic - such as a possible global economic recession" (Rede Brasil do Pacto Global, 2020c, p. 2).

Gathering the actions passed over by the UNO to companies and the 2030 Agenda, the report "Shared Responsability, Global Solidarity: Responding to the socio-economic impacts of COVID-19" detailed actions and developments for each Sustainable Development Objective, fostering and reinforcing the need for partnerships between the most varied actors so that the impacts of the pandemic are mitigated, as highlighted in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Impacts of the pandemic on each of the Sustainable Development Goals Source: United Nations (2020)



http://www.ijmp.jor.br v. 12, n. 4, May-June 2021

ISSN: 2236-269X

DOI: 10.14807/ijmp.v12i4.1350

Including other actions of great depth and which received prominence, it is possible to

mention global companies linked to the sugar sector or products related to alcohol and its

derivatives, which voluntarily and free of charge manufactured alcohol gel for hand and surface

hygiene; giants from the cosmetics niche have also announced similar measures. Another

outstanding project was promoted by the Brazilian Association of the Machinery and

Equipment Industry (Abimaq), which made production lines available to the government to

increase the manufacture of mechanical respirators for the hospital network (Estadão, 2020).

In retail, large supermarkets and hypermarkets have limited the capacity of their stores,

establishing the minimum distance of one meter between people in the queues, limited the

number of products purchased so that there is no shortage, and acted in educating their

consumers and preventing the transmission of the virus in stores (Instituto Ethos, 2020).

There is also private social investment and philanthropy, practices that have emerged

in Brazil around two non-profit organizations based in São Paulo: the Group of Institutes,

Foundations and Companies (GIFE) and the Institute for the Development of Social Investment

(IDIS). For the first organization, private social investment consists of "voluntary transfer of

private resources in a planned, monitored and systematic way for social, environmental,

cultural and scientific projects of public interest" (Grupo, 2020a, s. p.).

In this way, it is understood that initiatives such as the GIFE, with the publication of

guidelines for action in the COVID-19 crisis, contribute to manage the impacts of the pandemic

(Grupo, 2020b).

That said, it is important to highlight the creation of the Emergency Health Fund, whose

donations were destined to Fiocruz, Hospital das Clínicas de São Paulo, Santa Casa de São

Paulo and Comunitas, an organization responsible for buying respirators to be donated to SUS

hospitals (Grupo, 2020b). In other words, the COVID-19 pandemic is based on the idea that

the support to institutions has taken a targeted and immediate form in view of the public health

benefits.

In addition, it is important to consider the creation of programs for donations and

transfers from companies, institutes and foundations to the organizations responsible for

combating the pandemic and the creation of lines of credit transferred by public banks with

interest rates and terms that meet to companies in paying their bills, in order to guarantee

business continuity and avoid unemployment in the "post-coronacrisis". However, so far, this

@ 080 BY NC SA

v. 12, n. 4, May-June 2021

http://www.ijmp.jor.br

ISSN: 2236-269X

DOI: 10.14807/ijmp.v12i4.1350

information is not quantifiable, given its spontaneity and continuity while this research was

carried out.

The collective construction of alternatives led by the private sector was also verified at

the Ethos Institute. As an example, it is possible to mention the partnership involving

organizations active in the most diverse sectors of the economy, having as a common point the

declared directioning towards sustainable development, which resulted in Covid Radar,

distributed on three fronts: Covid Radar Panel, containing anonymized data and information

on the pandemic; Connection Covid Radar, which establishes a market place between

companies that want to make donations - equipment or raw material to produce essential

supplies and the main demands for supplies and Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) - and

institutions that need help; and Health Covid Radar, a basis for health and mobility monitoring

applications (Instituto Ethos, 2020).

On a local scale, the partnerships proved to be fruitful. With the worsening of the crisis

and the lack of hygiene products in supermarkets and pharmacies, which are essential to fight

the disease, drug and cosmetic manufacturers obtained permission to produce and market

antiseptic preparations or sanitizers without prior authorization from the National Health

Agency. Health Surveillance (Anvisa). In this case, government coordination was essential to

streamline the production and sale of these items, proving the state's indispensability in

conducting the market.

Sectors not directly linked to the containment of the pandemic were also activated. In

the case of telecommunications, national and global companies operating in Brazil devoted

hundreds of hours to the production of journalistic content and the dissemination of digital

content to raise public awareness and encourage social isolation in the most critical period of

the disease.

4.2. Corporate social responsibility performance horizons

As evidenced by the literature, Corporate Social Responsibility often represents a way

for companies to obtain a competitive advantage. Therefore, it is natural that the doubt arises

about the genuineness of the aforementioned attitudes. Are these organizations looking for

visibility by investing a tiny part of their profits in containing the pandemic in Brazil?

Predicting the imminent economic crisis, would the disclosure of socially responsible actions

be an attempt to guarantee the continuity of business, betting on the identification and loyalty

of consumers with the brand?

<u>@080</u>

http://www.iimp.ior.br v. 12. n. 4. May-June 2021

ISSN: 2236-269X

DOI: 10.14807/ijmp.v12i4.1350

In fact, considering that the socially responsible behavior of companies does not challenge the essence of the capitalist system, the question is pertinent. On the other hand, the actions show the undeniable and indispensable potential of private companies to devote part of their energies in favor of a reorientation of the current production and consumption model, as well as for other issues that are presented to humanity. On this, Kamiya et al. (2018, p. 573) consider that, "as social problems become more complex, the participation of a greater number of people in CSR actions led by brands becomes more relevant".

So, what lessons does this experience present for society? When considering companies capable of mobilizing in a pandemic situation, in search of the common good, would they be willing to act together to mitigate the impacts of climate change, for example, even if this does not imply short-term financial benefits? Can this behavior be considered illustrative of a social responsibility action?

Private investment in actions that provide health, not only to contain a pandemic, as explored in this article, but in the search for the physical and mental well-being of human beings, highlights that, in a reality of crisis and uncertainty, the effects on economies are challenging, demanding the participation of actors who, in essence, would not be willing to make such decisions.

Based on this, Marina Grossi (2020, s. p.), President of the Brazilian Business Council for Sustainable Development (CEBDS), addresses the need for a profound change in the actuation of companies when writing that

The moment points to a sense of urgency in changing the direction of business and the way we handle our business. An encouragement in this regard has been the capacity for rapid reaction shown by a significant part of the business sector, both with internal measures to adapt their work routines and in the development of voluntary actions to contain the disease in the country.

The discussion runs through the conflict between growth and development, present in the conception of sustainable development and in challenges to the dominant economic model, such as Ecological Economics (Daly, 2004), for example. About this, Cavalcanti (2010, p. 57) argues that

Ecological economists - appealing to the principles of physics and ecology - consider the size of the cargo to be fundamental. In the conception of a possible macroeconomics of the environment, the carrying capacity, therefore, plays a key role. It will define the scope of sustainable development.



http://www.iimp.jor.br v. 12. n. 4. May-June 2021

ISSN: 2236-269X

DOI: 10.14807/ijmp.v12i4.1350

As presented in the previous topic, the pandemic demanded that humanity emerge

values based on ethics, solidarity and care for people and the natural environment. Although

not being equally fought, problems such as climate change and social inequalities, which

generate hunger, violence and thousands of deaths annually, have been dormant for more than

fifty years and carry with them a destructive potential far more worrying than that of the

pandemic. However, actions to exterminate the causes and mitigate the consequences of these

problems have never effectively involved such a cohesive and widely disseminated global

cooperation network, as seen in the fight against COVID-19.

Even agendas for sustainable development, such as the Agenda 2030 and the Paris

Agreement, despite having the signatures of hundreds of leaders, financial resources and

legitimacy before society, were not enough to mobilize populations across the planet and,

mainly, change government and business policies previously oriented exclusively to profit and

human and environmental exploitation.

It appears, then, that the action horizons for the private sector interweave an

improvement on the social responsibility already exercised by the companies, with a focus on

the establishment of partnerships and through a solid reorientation in favor of sustainable

development, as advocated for more than three decades. Otherwise, the survival of

organizations and the human species itself will be irreversibly compromised.

5. CONCLUSIONS

At the end of 2019, people started to be infected by the severe acute respiratory

syndrome virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), so that in 2020 humanity would be affected by an

unprecedented pandemic, causing problems not only in health area, but also socioeconomic.

The serious situation prompted the actions of governments, companies and other organizations

to fight the disease.

Among the 169 goals that make up the 17 Sustainable Development Goals of the 2030

Agenda, to which all UN member countries are signatories, highlights the need for companies

to shoulder their responsibilities towards society and the planet, taking into account dimensions

such as environmental, social and economic, among others. For this reason, companies are

invited to play a relevant role in the preservation of human lives during the pandemic, by

implementing Corporate Social Responsibility actions.

In Brazil, companies linked to the Rede Brasil do Pacto Global and other organizations

in the private sector acted to contain the disease in several ways, for example, with the creation



http://www.ijmp.jor.br

ISSN: 2236-269X

DOI: 10.14807/ijmp.v12i4.1350

v. 12, n. 4, May-June 2021

of donation programs, credit lines and flexible working regimes, with the aim at reducing the displacement of workers and the consequent containment of the advance of the pandemic. In addition, private social investment and philanthropy were encouraged as alternative ways to help those most in need. All of these initiatives were based on the 2030 Agenda.

The situation faced by the pandemic showed that it is necessary to invest more in the sector of human health and well-being. A considerable part of these investments can be achieved through companies that practice CSR, which was evident in a reality of crisis and uncertainty. Also, the cooperation of companies in the elaboration and implementation of public policies proved to be fundamental and still little explored.

As horizons of action for the "post-coronacrisis", there are other issues equally important, imperative and with high destructive power, such as hunger, inequalities and climate change, which, in short, are not being taken seriously by the socially responsible companies. Therefore, the role of companies, together with governments and civil society, in the search for sustainable development is challenging.

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001.

REFERENCES

Abramovay, R. (2012). **Muito além da Economia Verde**. São Paulo: Ed. Abril.

Azim, M. T. (2016). Responsabilidade Social Corporativa e comportamento do funcionário: papel mediador do compromisso organizacional. **Revista Brasileira de Gestão e Negócios**, São Paulo, 18(60), 207-225. Retrieved from:

http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1806-

48922016000200207&lng=en&nrm=iso, on 15/03/2020. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.7819/rbgn.v18i60.2319

Baraibar-Diez, E., & Sotorrio, L. L. (2018). O efeito mediador da transparência na relação entre responsabilidade social corporativa e reputação corporativa. **Revista Brasileira de Gestão e Negócios**, São Paulo, 20(1), 5-21. Retrieved from:

http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1806-

48922018000100005&lng=en&nrm=iso, on 15/03/2020. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.7819/rbgn.v20i1.3600

Carroll, A. B. (1991). The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: Toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders. **Business Horizons**, 34(4), 39–48. Retrieved from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/000768139190005G, on 24/03/2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0007-6813(91).90005-G

Castro, A. E., Campos, S. A. P., & Trevisan, M. (2018). A Institucionalização (Ou Banalização). da Sustentabilidade Organizacional à Luz da Teoria Crítica. **Revista**



http://www.ijmp.jor.br

v. 12, n. 4, May-June 2021

ISSN: 2236-269X

DOI: 10.14807/ijmp.v12i4.1350

Pensamento Contemporâneo em Administração, 12(3), 110-123. Retrieved from: https://periodicos.uff.br/pca/article/view/12552, on 23/03/2020. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.12712/rpca.v12i3.12552

Cavalcanti, C. (2010). Concepções da economia ecológica: suas relações com a economia dominante e a economia ambiental. **Estudos Avançados**, 24(68), 53-67. Retrieved from: http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0103-40142010000100007, on 13/05/2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-40142010000100007

Conselho Federal de Administração. (2014). **Responsabilidade Social empresarial**. Retrieved from: http://www.cfa.org.br/acoes-cfa/artigos/usuar"os/responsabilidade-social-empresarial, on 30/05/2019.

Daly, H. E. (2004). Crescimento sustentável? Não, obrigado. **Ambiente & Sociedade**, Campinas, 7(2), 197-202. Retrieved from:

http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1414-

753X2004000200012&lng=en&nrm=iso, on 07/05/2020. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1590/S1414-753X2004000200012

De Moraes, N. R., Marques, A. R. Dos S., Hamada, C. Dos S., & Ruiz, S. C. M. (2017). Responsabilidade social empresarial, dever ou ética? Conceitos, evolução e abordagens. **Aturá - Revista Pan-Amazônica de Comunicação**, 1(3), 235-256. Retrieved from: https://sistemas.uft.edu.br/periodicos/index.php/atura/article/view/4517, on 22/03/2020.

Dowbor, L. (2017). A era do capital improdutivo. São Paulo: Autonomia Literária.

Elkington, J. (2012). Sustentabilidade – Canibais com garfo e faca. São Paulo: M.Books.

Estadão. (2020). **Em tempos de coronavírus o valor da solidariedade**. Retrieved from: https://marsemfim.com.br/em-tempos-de-coronavirus-o-valor-da-solidariedade/, on 01/04/2020.

Freitas, A. R. R., Napimoga, M., & Donalisio, M. R. (2020). Análise da gravidade da pandemia de Covid-19. **Epidemiologia e Serviços de Saúde**, Brasília, 29(2, e2020119. Retrieved from: https://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S2237-9622202000200900, on 13/05/2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5123/s1679-49742020000200008

Grossi, M. (2020). **Especial - COVID-19 e o futuro que queremos**. Retrieved from: https://www.plurale.com.br/site/noticias-

detalhes.php?cod=17483&codSecao=28&q=ESPECIAL+CORONAV%C3%8DRUS++COVID-19+e+o+futuro+que+queremos&bsc=ativar, on 01/04/2020.

Grupo de Institutos Fundações e Empresas. (2020a). **Investimento Social Privado**. Retrieved from: https://gife.org.br/investimento-social-privado/, on 31/01/2020.

Grupo de Institutos Fundações e Empresas. (2020b). **Coordenação de ações da filantropia e do investimento social em resposta à crise**. Retrieved from:

https://emergenciacovid 19.gife.org.br/?fbclid=IwAR3K22gLpEV01KD92tkYORzTfVqUZyZ61u3r1gfgksldIsLwFhc4cZN6ijs, on 01/04/2020.

Guimarães, H. W. M. (1984). Responsabilidade social da empresa: uma visão histórica de sua problemática. Revista de Administração de **Empresas**, São Paulo, 24(4), 211-219. Retrieved from: http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0034-

75901984000400031&lng=en&nrm=iso, on 13/05/2020. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1590/S0034-75901984000400031



http://www.ijmp.jor.br

ISSN: 2236-269X

DOI: 10.14807/ijmp.v12i4.1350

v. 12, n. 4, May-June 2021

Instituto Ethos. (2020). O Instituto. Retrieved from:

https://www.ethos.org.br/conteudo/posicionamentos/ethos-faz-apelo-a-reponsabilidade-social-

empresarial/?fbclid=IwAR3_1w8pLnPsXbDGjOYrALqhegf5Fj2RiFLzPzK4DW7NiybKW5Ye8AiHEe4, on 02/04/2020.

Jornal da USP. (2020). **COVID-19 teria tido menos impacto no Brasil se a Agenda 2030 tivesse avançado**. Retrieved from: https://jornal.usp.br/atualidades/covid-19-teria-tidomenos-impacto-no-brasil-se-a-agenda-2030-tivesse-avancado/, on 07/05/2020.

Kamiya, A. S. M., Hernandez, J. M. C., Xavier, A. K. S., & Ramos, D. B. (2018). A importância do apego à marca para o engajamento em causas de Responsabilidade Social Corporativa. **Revista de Administração de Empresas**, São Paulo, 58(6), 564-575. Retrieved from: http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0034-75902018000600564&lng=pt&nrm=iso, on 15/03/2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/s0034-759020180605

Kramer, M. R. (2007). Why Robert Reich Is Wrong About Corporate Social Responsibility. **Harvard Business Review**. Retrieved from: https://hbr.org/2007/09/why-robert-reich-is-wrong-abou, on 23/03/2020.

Melo, M. F. S., Yaryd, R. T., Souza, R. C., & Campos-Silva, W. L. (2017). Responsabilidade Social Corporativa e Competitividade: uma análise bibliométrica da evolução do tema. **Revista Metropolitana de Sustentabilidade**, 7(2), 115-133. Retrieved from: http://www.revistaseletronicas.fmu.br/index.php/rms/article/view/1239, on 01/04/2020.

Mohr, L., Webb, D., & Harris, K. (2001). Do consumers expect companies to be socially responsible? The impact of corporate social responsibility on buying behavior. **Journal of Consumer Affairs**, 35(1), 45-72, 2001. Retrieved from: https://www.jstor.org/stable/23860071?seq=1, on 22/03/2020.

Nações Unidas Brasil. (2015). **Transformando Nosso Mundo**: A Agenda 2030 para o Desenvolvimento Sustentável. Retrieved from: https://nacoesunidas.org/pos2015/agenda2030/, on 07/04/2020.

Nações Unidas Brasil. (2020a). **Pacto Global sugere respostas das empresas à COVID-19**. Retrieved from: https://nacoesunidas.org/pacto-global-sugere-respostas-das-empresas-a-covid-19/?fbclid=IwAR11nXVOv7Oiy-jICWEc5HyJ98lS5S-Tmwevyq883AT5bpbdUeLigCpSbaE, on 31/03/2020.

Nações Unidas Brasil. (2020b). **Pacto Global discute importância do saneamento básico na luta contra o novo coronavírus**. Retrieved from: https://nacoesunidas.org/pacto-global-discute-importancia-do-saneamento-basico-na-luta-contra-o-novo-coronavirus/, on 29/04/2020.

Nações Unidas Brasil. (2020c). **Pandemia pode prejudicar acesso de mulheres a contraceptivos, alerta UNFPA**. Retrieved from: https://nacoesunidas.org/pandemia-pode-prejudicar-acesso-de-mulheres-a-contraceptivos-alerta-unfpa/, on 29/04/2020.

Park, W., Macinnis, D., Priester, J., Eisingerich, A., & Iacobucci, D. (2010). Brand Attachment and Brand Attitude Strength: Conceptual and Empirical Differentiation of Two Critical Brand Equity Drivers. **Journal of Marketing**, (74), 1-17. Retrieved from: http://www2.owen.vanderbilt.edu/dawn.iacobucci/articles/JM2010-brands-w-CW-Joe.pdf, on 22/03/2019.



http://www.ijmp.jor.br

v. 12, n. 4, May-June 2021

ISSN: 2236-269X

DOI: 10.14807/ijmp.v12i4.1350

Perrings, C., Levin, S., & Daszak, P. (2018). The Economics of Infectious Disease, Trade and Pandemic Risk. **EcoHealth**, 15, 241–243. Retrieved from:

 $https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007\% 2Fs10393-018-1347-0\# citeas, on 23/03/2020. \ DOI: \\ https://doi.org/10.1007/s10393-018-1347-0$

Rede Brasil do Pacto Global. (2020a). **Pacto contra a COVID-19**. Retrieved from: https://www.pactoglobal.org.br/pg/pacto-contra-covid-19, on 21/03/2020.

Rede Brasil do Pacto Global. (2020b). **COVID-19 – Como as empresas estão enfrentando a pandemia**. Retrieved from: http://materiais.pactoglobal.org.br/guia-paraceos?fbclid=IwAR192Jf863bY0dQ-

TtFYjG0B3IJiUZam42PBKx_4t0XBFXEc7lDcyESDurc, on 03/05/2020.

Rede Brasil do Pacto Global. (2020c). **Guia para CEOs - Como liderar na crise da Covid-19**. Retrieved from: http://pactoglobal.rds.land/guia-para-ceos, on 31/03/2020.

Richardson, R. J. (2007). **Pesquisa social**: métodos e técnicas. São Paulo: Atlas.

Savitz, A., & Weber, K. (2007). **The Triple Bottom Line**: how today's best-run companies are achieving economic, social and environmental success. San Francisco: John Wiley.

Sen, A. (2010). **Desenvolvimento como liberdade**. São Paulo: Companhia de Bolso.

Severino, A. J. (2016). Metodologia do Trabalho Científico. São Paulo: Cortez.

Silva, E. L., & Menezes, E. M. (2000). **Metodologia da pesquisa e elaboração de dissertação. Florianópolis**. Laboratório de ensino a distância da UFSC.

Sousa Filho, J. M., Wanderley, L. S. O., Gómez, C. P., & Farache, F. (2010). Strategic corporate social responsibility management for competitive advantage. **BAR, Brazilian Administration Review**, Curitiba, 7(3), 294-309. Retrieved from: http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1807-76922010000300006&lng=en&nrm=iso, on 13/05/2020. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1590/S1807-76922010000300006

United Nations. (2015). **Sustainable Development Goals**. 2015. Retrieved from: https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/sdgs, on 20/05/2020.

United Nations. (2020). **Shared responsibility, global solidarity**: Responding to the socioeconomic impacts of COVID-19. 2020. Retrieved from:

https://www.un.org/sites/un2.un.org/files/sg_report_socio-economic_impact_of_covid19.pdf, on 20/05/2020.

World Comission on Environment and Development. (1987). **Our Common Future**: The World Commission on Environment and Development. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

