



The University of Manchester Research

## Artificial intelligence projects in healthcare

DOI: 10.1136/bmjhci-2021-100323

## **Document Version**

Final published version

### Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer

### Citation for published version (APA):

Wilson, A., Saeed, H., Pringle, C., Eleftheriou, I., Bromiley, P. A., & Brass, A. (2021). Artificial intelligence projects in healthcare: 10 practical tips for success in a clinical environment. *BMJ Health and Care Informatics*, 28(1), [e100323]. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjhci-2021-100323

Published in:

**BMJ Health and Care Informatics** 

#### Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

#### **Takedown policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.



**BMJ Health &** 

**Care Informatics** 

## Artificial intelligence projects in healthcare: 10 practical tips for success in a clinical environment Anthony Wilson <sup>()</sup>, <sup>1</sup> Haroon Saeed, <sup>2</sup> Catherine Pringle, <sup>3,4</sup> Iliada Eleftheriou.<sup>4</sup> Paul A Bromiley,<sup>4</sup> Andy Brass<sup>4</sup> ABSTRACT There is much discussion concerning 'digital transformation' in healthcare and the potential of artificial intelligence (AI) in healthcare systems. Yet it remains rare to find AI solutions deployed in routine healthcare settings. This is in part due to the numerous challenges inherent in delivering an AI project in a clinical environment. In this article, several UK healthcare professionals and academics reflect on the challenges they have faced in building AI solutions using routinely collected healthcare data.

These personal reflections are summarised as 10 practical tips. In our experience, these are essential considerations for an AI healthcare project to succeed. They are organised into four phases: conceptualisation, data management, AI application and clinical deployment. There is a focus on conceptualisation, reflecting our view that initial set-up is vital to success. We hope that our personal experiences will provide useful insights to others looking to improve patient care through optimal data use.

## INTRODUCTION

There is a growing need for digital transformation in healthcare,<sup>1</sup> utilising the increasing availability of data to improve patient outcomes. Artificial intelligence (AI) is essential to this transformation; it is impossible for healthcare professionals (HCPs) to interpret the wealth of data available to them otherwise. This is reflected in a recent explosion of AI research using routinely collected healthcare data. It is envisaged that every HCP will use AI technology in the future.<sup>2</sup>

Despite this potential, the majority of AI healthcare solutions are yet to make a tangible impact on the frontline.<sup>3 4</sup> This in part reflects the practical barriers that such projects must overcome if they are to be successful. The majority of these barriers are predictable<sup>5</sup> and often similar, regardless of the project. They are a consequence of data privacy and ethical concerns, legislation and a lack of training and of trust among HCPs.

This paper offers 10 tips (table 1) to help AI projects to succeed in a clinical environment. They are based on the experiences of three

clinical academics and three health informatics researchers who have experienced the practical barriers first-hand. The tips are categorised under the headings: conceptualisation, data management, application of AI and clinical deployment. This reflects the stages an AI healthcare project must progress through (figure 1) to go from early-stage, proof-of concept to a solution nearing clinical application. The tips range from generic, real-world strategies to those designed to highlight the complexities of using AI in healthcare. Brief biographies for each author are available in online supplemental appendix 1.

## PART 1. CONCEPTUALISATION 1. Build a collaborative science team

No individual has all the skills and resources needed to make an AI healthcare project succeed so a collaborative science team (CST) is essential. The team's composition may vary but includes HCPs, data scientists and possibly statisticians, project managers and software engineers. This is the most important tip; the shared knowledge of the CST overcomes many barriers presented to an AI healthcare project. Some barriers are obvious to a data scientist, some to a HCP and vice versa. We propose that such teams are the building blocks of what Cosgriff et al have described as the clinical AI departments of the future.<sup>6</sup>

It is also important to identify the project 'gatekeepers' from the outset; senior individuals who may be aware of organisational barriers to success. They could be heads of strategy, department leads or chief informatics officers. Early meetings with gatekeepers are essential; they may recommend additional team members and can access useful resources if a project proposes to change clinical pathways.

To cite: Wilson A, Saeed H, Pringle C, et al. Artificial intelligence projects in healthcare: 10 practical tips for success in a clinical environment. BMJ Health Care Inform 2021;28:e100323. doi:10.1136/ bmjhci-2021-100323

Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10. 1136/bmjhci-2021-100323).

Received 07 February 2021 Accepted 23 May 2021

C Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use

Check for updates

permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Adult Critical Care, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust. Manchester, UK <sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatric Ear Nose and Throat Surgery, Royal Manchester Children's Hospital, Manchester, UK <sup>3</sup>Children's Brain Tumour Research Network, Royal Manchester Children's Hospital, Manchester, UK <sup>4</sup>Division of Informatics. Imaging and Data Sciences, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

#### **Correspondence to**

BMJ

Anthony Wilson; anthony.wilson@mft.nhs.uk



#### Table 1 10 tips to get started with artificial intelligence healthcare projects Phase 1: conceptualisation 1 Build a collaborative science team Recruit widely, select complementary expertise. Engage gatekeepers early. 2 Engage frequently with the end user What is the clinical problem to be addressed? End user engagement is a process, not an event. Develop digital transformation, not isolated algorithms. 3 Build collaboration agreements early Check for existing agreements. Use contracts and IG teams. Share your experiences with other CSTs. 4 Ethics: present a balanced view Present a balanced view of the challenges and benefits of AI projects. Seek out ethics review boards with prior experience. Ethical review may be protracted. Invest in data science training for healthcare professionals in your team 5 A common language is needed for the CST to work at its best. Phase 2: data acquisition and preparation 6 Do not underestimate the challenge of data extraction Understand what data you really need. Identify a data champion. Engage healthcare informatics teams early; it will take longer than you expect. Consider building or contributing to a meta-data catalogue at your institution. 7 Protect patients' data Give serious consideration to how you will anonymise and protect patient data from the outset of the project. 8 Remember that healthcare data varies in guality and reliability Healthcare data are influenced by a wide variety of factors. Clinicians can play a key role in interpreting and overcoming quality and reliability issues. Phase 3: Al application q Design AI that can be trusted with patient care Use the right algorithms for your data and your end user. Strive for generalisable, well-validated solutions. Phase 4: translation

**10 Be mindful of medical device regulation** Be mindful of the requirements for medical device regulation as your healthcare data science project progresses.

Al, artificial intelligence; CST, collaborative science team; IG, information governance

### 2. Engage frequently with the end user

HCPs often have little or no experience with AI. Many will be unfamiliar with the capabilities and limitations of  $AI^7$  which can lead to both unachievable expectations or unfair dismissal from the outset. In addition, HCPs may struggle to specify in advance how they want AI solutions to improve their workflow. Some may have never even mapped out or quantified their daily work. What they *say* they want may change as the project develops. There is a complex interaction of human factors at play which CSTs must not ignore.

Healthcare AI projects must therefore strive to offer digital transformation through 'organisational, service and social innovation' as suggested by Creswell *et al.*<sup>8</sup> The clinical AI departments of the future must be prepared to offer in-reach into the other clinical departments. Defining the problem to be solved may take considerable time and may require CSTs to study clinical workflows carefully, perhaps even shadowing HCPs. In addition to building the solution, consideration should be given to how it will be employed. For example: how it is presented in a human understandable way,<sup>9</sup> its impact on other workflows, on patient–caregiver interaction, the risks of

automation complacency  $^{10}$  and of biasing clinical decision making.  $^{\rm 4}$ 

End user engagement is not a single event. We encourage CSTs to adopt an agile approach, where continuous user engagement with rapid modifications creates healthcare tools of real value. There is a tension here between agile development and ensuring patient safety as an AI solution is implemented and refined.

#### 3. Build collaboration agreements early

Although healthcare organisations and universities frequently collaborate, overarching agreements for multisite working and secure data sharing are rare. Therefore, collaboration agreements need to be developed early to avoid major delays later. Conversely, generating new agreements for every project is inefficient. Creating communities of practice can facilitate dialogue between CSTs, supporting shared solutions or lobbying both organisations for high-level agreements.

Data-flow diagrams are an important part of any collaboration agreement (figure 2). They help design a transparent and detailed application for ethical review, and aid



in the formation of a data management plan, which is a prerequisite for collaboration.

Al algorithm

Mode

training

Model

evaluation

Many healthcare organisations and universities have specific teams to help create collaboration agreements. Such teams can also advise on project costs, intellectual property, publication rights, data-sharing agreements and information governance. While drafting agreements, it is important to identify the *data controller*, who designs and supervises the project, and *data processors*, who carry out processing tasks under instruction from the controller.

## 4. Ethics: present a balanced view

Ethical oversight of AI-driven healthcare research can be problematic. There are numerous tension points that need to be resolved including the role of patient consent in the use of routinely collected healthcare data,<sup>11</sup> the potential for under-representation of certain patient groups in AI projects<sup>12</sup> and concerns about adaptive algorithms whose performance may change with new data. Most approval committees still have limited experience of AI and the review process may be ill-suited to AI-driven research.

The ethical review process can be improved if CSTs follow best practice such as the Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials-AI (SPIR-IT-AI) protocol guidelines.<sup>13</sup> A moral justification for transitioning to learning health systems supported by data science has been proposed,<sup>14</sup> which may also help to inform the review process. A balanced view of AI healthcare projects should be offered; while AI presents ethical challenges it also offers opportunities to enhance

the quality of existing medical evidence in areas where evidence is lacking or subject to bias.<sup>12</sup>

CSTs should be aware that ethical review may be protracted and should consider seeking review by committees with experience of similar projects

### 5. Invest in data science training for healthcare professionals in your team

There is currently a lack of data science training in healthcare education programmes. Where training programmes do exist, they are often optional. The knowledge demanded of HCPs focuses on interpretation of research evidence, screening tests and the output of randomised controlled trials. Although this is an excellent foundation for traditional medical research, it does not provide a common language for data-driven clinicians of the future. Online supplemental appendix 2 offers a glossary to help build this common language.

The Topol Review<sup>15</sup> emphasised the need for data science training if NHS staff are to reap the benefits of the digital revolution. There are a range of resources that HCPs can use to learn about the principles of tidy data,<sup>16</sup> how to categorise and address missingness in their data<sup>17</sup> and to gain an overview of AI techniques and their limitations.<sup>7</sup> There are communities of practice<sup>18</sup> who can offer support and growing opportunities for additional selfdirected learning.<sup>19</sup>

We recommend that HCPs pay attention to these learning needs and use the expertise of data scientists in their team to guide them. Such preparation can prevent



Figure 2 An example of a data flow diagram using the Gane and Sarson nomenclature. In this example, multiple disparate sources of data from individual patients in the ICU are aggregated into a single research database. In-built, role-based access controls allow the data to be accessed by multiple different users while meeting data privacy requirements. EPR, electronic patient record; HCP, healthcare professional; ICNARC, intensive care national audit and research centre; ICU, intensive care unit; SQL, structured query language.

errors of understanding and improve interactions throughout an AI healthcare project.

#### PART 2. DATA ACQUISITION AND PREPARATION 6. Don't underestimate the challenge of data extraction

Figure 2 illustrates a process of data extraction and linkage in critical care where significant effort was needed to link three disparate data sets before any AI solutions could be considered. Sharma *et al*<sup>20</sup> have highlighted how this lack of data integration is a major barrier to the success of clinical risk prediction tools. HCPs use a huge range of information technology (IT) systems which are not always integrated and are often supported by paper records. These systems were designed for healthcare delivery and manufacturers may offer limited support for research. Furthermore, there is no universal standard for coding and capture of healthcare data despite laudable efforts to promote this.<sup>21 22</sup> Coupled with local variation,<sup>23</sup> this makes it hard to aggregate or extend data sets between institutions. CSTs should anticipate the need for extensive data wrangling to align data sets from different systems and institutions for use in their research.

Faced with this complexity, help from healthcare IT teams is essential both to access the data, which is often stored on secure servers, and to navigate the data structure, which is complicated in most electronic health records. Unfortunately, many healthcare IT teams are overwhelmed by day-to-day operational work leaving little time to support healthcare AI projects.

CSTs should make allowances for these data encoding and extraction challenges. Accurately defining data required for the project may improve the interaction with hospital IT teams and avoid the need for time-consuming, repeated extraction. Consider promoting and contributing to a meta-data catalogue at your institution,<sup>24</sup> so that other CSTs can benefit from the extraction work you have done.

Identifying a 'data champion'; a gatekeeper (tip 1) who supports the proposed project and can leverage the IT team can be helpful. Even better is to make the healthcare IT team part of the CST. CSTs are often seen as 'service users' by healthcare IT teams. Try to move beyond this relationship by recognising and rewarding the contribution of the healthcare IT team in the research.

### 7. Protect patients' data

At present, most patients support the use of their data to improve healthcare,<sup>25</sup> but large-scale leaks of confidential information could easily damage trust. Patient data must be anonymised or pseudonymised prior to analysis or storage somewhere other than where it was collected. However, the proliferation of digital data increases the risk of inadvertent release of identifiable information, or identification through deductive disclosure.<sup>26</sup> Moreover, healthcare records often contain unexpected identifiers such as pixelated names in image files or names in narrative entries.

One solution is to bring data scientists and data processing capabilities into the healthcare organisation. An alternative is a trusted research environment in which identifiable patient data are stored with the required analysis tools.<sup>27</sup> Such environments incorporate strict access controls that mitigate many anonymisation concerns. Figure 2 illustrates a hybrid approach in which role-based access control to a research database applies deidentification at source depending on a user's credentials.

Regardless of approach, the aim is to ensure that data are extracted in a manner that allows fluid access for analysis, while adhering to information governance regulations. Protecting patient data is a process, not a onetime event. Teams should develop a strategy to prevent the inadvertent leakage of patient information and consider how they will periodically test and monitor its effectiveness.

# 8. Remember that healthcare data varies in quality and reliability

Automatically captured observations, such as clinical images or continuous vital signs exemplify the 'cleanest' sources of healthcare data. At the other end of the spectrum are those that rely on manual input (eg, subjective examinations and medical history). As Sujan *et al*<sup>10</sup> note, not all events are captured in the medical record which may make it hard for AI algorithms to function optimally. Furthermore, an individual's healthcare record rarely describes the wider context of their care. Periods of bed pressure and emergency situations are examples of circumstances that may affect an individual's record, for example, by influencing the timing of hospital discharge. This wider context reduces the reliability of some seemingly definitive, time-specific events. It can help to try to identify 'anchors':<sup>28</sup> events in the healthcare record that are independent of these human factors.

Paying attention to data quality and reliability can pay huge dividends. It has been demonstrated that data cleaning and artefact removal can yield greater improvements in model prediction than applying more sophisticated AI algorithms to the original data.<sup>29</sup> CSTs should go to considerable lengths to address missing values and artefacts and to understand the clinical nuances of their variables.

## PART 3. AI APPLICATION

## 9. Design AI that can be trusted with patient care

AI healthcare projects must be trusted to enable safe patient care. Engaging frequently with the end user (tip 2) during the project and educating HCP in data science techniques (tip 5) can go a long way towards this aim. However, the CST should also ensure that they tailor their algorithm to the data available, promote transparency where possible and make it clear how the AI solution will support rather than replace HCPs. In meeting these aims, the UK government's code of conduct for data-driven health technology,<sup>30</sup> research standards such as CONSORT-AI<sup>31</sup> and clinician focussed checklists<sup>9</sup> are valuable resources.

CST's should tailor the algorithms they use towards characteristics of the data set available. For example, deep neural networks offer excellent performance with large data sets but are prone to overfitting when used for smaller, high dimensional data sets, which may be the only option in some clinical settings. A plethora of other techniques including random forests, linear regression, support vector machines and K nearest neighbours<sup>7</sup> can be utilised and ensembled to produce tangible results in these circumstances.

Choosing the correct algorithm also involves addressing the concerns of HCPs who may worry about the use of AI algorithms in clinical practice if they cannot explain them.<sup>7</sup> In this context, so-called black-box solutions<sup>32</sup> may need to be avoided. Alternatively, CSTs can use a variety of techniques such as graphical explanations, modelagnostic explainers, heuristic examples or counterfactual explanations that may offer insight into how a black-box model reached its conclusions.<sup>33</sup>

Degradation of algorithm performance must be mitigated to minimise error and patient safety issues. The CST must ensure that AI algorithms are as generalisable as possible, functioning equitably for all patient groups and across geographic regions. Algorithms should also demonstrate robustness to the introduction of new data (eg, not prone to 'catastrophic forgetting'<sup>34</sup>). In order to achieve this, it is paramount that there is expertise within the CST to internally validate AI algorithms. There should be a clear plan to externally validate the algorithm with unseen data, using an appropriately sized demographic and geographic cohort across an appropriate time frame.

#### PART 4. TRANSLATION

#### 10. Be mindful of medical device regulation

Many CSTs may be uncertain about the regulatory status of the AI solutions they develop. Existing US and EU guidelines are reasonably clear:<sup>35</sup> AI software is classed as a medical device if its purpose is specifically medical and its function goes beyond data curation and transmission. There are subtleties related to 'intended purpose' and what constitutes a 'medical purpose' that are beyond the scope of this paper; for an excellent summary see Ordish *et al.*<sup>35</sup>

#### **Open access**

Medical device regulation is unlikely to impede the initial phases of AI development, but has significant implications for marketing or clinical application. Regulatory challenges include the adaptive nature of some algorithms, where outputs change substantially as new data are processed and the aforementioned black-box dilemma. Initiatives such as the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) AI framework<sup>36</sup> and the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) evidence standards framework for digital health technologies<sup>37</sup> have shifted the emphasis to the quality of the algorithm development process, along with postimplementation quality assurance measures, rather than the need to meet medical device standards at a specific time.

CSTs should be mindful of such regulations during project planning. The NHS X AI governance framework<sup>38</sup> is an example of best practice principles that may aid this process.

#### **SUMMARY**

There is a huge appetite for digital transformation in healthcare and significant potential for AI solutions. Launching an AI healthcare project can be difficult due to a range of organisational and regulatory hurdles coupled with complexities related to the use of AI in healthcare.

CSTs are critical to starting an AI healthcare project. They need a shared, common language to collaborate effectively. They should involve (and educate) the end user from the outset and be prepared for extensive data wrangling. There may be uncertainty concerning authorisations and regulations. Finally, they must build trust in AI techniques by developing solutions that are generalisable, interpretable and user focused.

Twitter Iliada Eleftheriou @lliadaEle

**Acknowledgements** The authors are grateful to Dr Michal Pruski and Dr Ang Davies for their reviews of the manuscript and their helpful suggestions.

Collaborators Michal Pruski, Ang Davies.

Contributors All authors have contributed equally to the manuscript.

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

**Competing interests** None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement No data are available to share.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is

properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

#### ORCID iD

Anthony Wilson http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7482-5337

#### REFERENCES

- 1 The future of healthcare: our vision for digital, data and technology in health and care - GOV.UK. Available: https://www.gov.uk/ government/publications/the-future-of-healthcare-our-vision-fordigital-data-and-technology-in-health-and-care/the-future-ofhealthcare-our-vision-for-digital-data-and-technology-in-health-andcare [Accessed 7 Feb 2020].
- 2 Topol EJ. High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and artificial intelligence. Nat Med 2019;25:44–56.
- 3 Panch T, Mattie H, Celi LA. The "inconvenient truth" about Al in healthcare. *NPJ Digit Med* 2019;2:1–3.
- 4 Lyell D, Coiera E, Chen J, et al. How machine learning is embedded to support clinician decision making: an analysis of FDA-approved medical devices. BMJ Health Care Inform 2021;28:100301.
- 5 Singh RP, Hom GL, Abramoff MD, et al. Current challenges and barriers to real-world artificial intelligence adoption for the healthcare system, provider, and the patient. *Transl Vis Sci Technol* 2020;9:45.
- 6 Cosgriff CV, Stone DJ, Weissman G, et al. The clinical artificial intelligence department: a prerequisite for success. BMJ Health Care Inform 2020;27:100183:e100183.
- 7 Rudin C, Carlson D. The secrets of machine learning: ten things you wish you had known earlier to be more effective at data analysis. Oper Res Manag Sci Age Anal 2019:44–72.
- 8 Cresswell K, Williams R, Carlile N, et al. Why digitally-enabled health system transformation needs different forms of innovation. BMJ Health Care Inform 2020;27:100173.
- 9 Scott I, Carter S, Coiera E. Clinician checklist for assessing suitability of machine learning applications in healthcare. *BMJ Health Care Inform* 2021;28:e100251.
- 10 Sujan M, Furniss D, Grundy K, et al. Human factors challenges for the safe use of artificial intelligence in patient care. *BMJ Health Care Inform* 2019;26:100081.
- 11 Heslop PA, Davies K, Sayer A, et al. Making consent for electronic health and social care data research fit for purpose in the 21st century. BMJ Health Care Inform 2020;27:100128.
- 12 McCoy LG, Banja JD, Ghassemi M, et al. Ensuring machine learning for healthcare works for all. BMJ Health Care Inform 2020;27:100237.
- 13 Cruz Rivera S, Liu X, Chan A-W, et al. Guidelines for clinical trial protocols for interventions involving artificial intelligence: the SPIRIT-Al extension. *Nat Med* 2020;26:1351–63.
- 14 Faden RR, Kass NE, Goodman SN, et al. An ethics framework for a learning health care system: a departure from traditional research ethics and clinical ethics. *Hastings Cent Rep* 2013;Spec No:S16-27:S16–27.
- 15 Topol E. Preparing the healthcare workforce to deliver the digital future the Topol review. an independent report on behalf of the Secretary of state for health and social care. *Nhs* 2019;102 https:// topol.hee.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/HEE-Topol-Review-2019.pdf
- 16 Wickham H. Tidy data. J Stat Softw 2014;59:1–23.
- 17 Little RJA, Rubin DB. Statistical analysis with missing data. 3rd Ed. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2019.
- 18 NHS-R Community Promoting the use of R in the NHS. Available: https://nhsrcommunity.com/ [Accessed 7 Feb 2020].
- 19 Al for Healthcare and Digital Transformation Course FutureLearn. Available: https://www.futurelearn.com/courses/artificial-intelligencein-healthcare [Accessed 14 Feb 2020].
- 20 Sharma V, Ali Ì, van der Veer S, *et al.* Adoption of clinical risk prediction tools is limited by a lack of integration with electronic health records. *BMJ Health Care Inform* 2021;28:100253.
- 21 SNOMED CT NHS Digital. Available: https://digital.nhs.uk/services/ terminology-and-classifications/snomed-ct [Accessed 7 Feb 2020].
- 22 OMOP common data model OHDSI. Available: https://www.ohdsi. org/data-standardization/the-common-data-model/ [Accessed 7 Feb 2020].
- 23 Kirkendall ES, Ni Y, Lingren T, et al. Data challenges with real-time safety event detection and clinical decision support. J Med Internet Res 2019;21:1–12.
- 24 Mauro data mapper / Oxford metadata catalogue. Available: https:// metadata-catalogue.org/ [Accessed 20 Apr 2021].
- 25 How do people feel about the use of data? | understanding patient data. Available: https://understandingpatientdata.org.uk/how-do-people-feel-about-use-data [Accessed 7 Feb 2020].

## 

- 26 Kaiser K. Protecting respondent confidentiality in qualitative research. *Qual Health Res* 2009;19:1632–41.
- 27 Ainsworth J, Buchan I. Combining health data uses to Ignite health system learning. *Methods Inf Med* 2015;54:479–87.
- 28 Halpern Y, Choi Y, Horng S, et al. Using anchors to estimate clinical state without labeled data. AMIA Annu Symp Proc 2014;2014:606–15.
- 29 Johnson AE, Kramer AA CG. Data preprocessing and mortality prediction: The Physionet/CinC 2012 challenge revisited IEEE Conference Publication. *Comput Cardiol* 2014:157–60 https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7043003
- 30 Code of conduct for data-driven health and care technology GOV. UK. Available: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/code-ofconduct-for-data-driven-health-and-care-technology/initial-code-ofconduct-for-data-driven-health-and-care-technology [Accessed 30 Dec 2019].
- 31 Liu X, Cruz Rivera S, Moher D, et al. Reporting guidelines for clinical trial reports for interventions involving artificial intelligence: the CONSORT-AI extension. *Nat Med* 2020;26:1364–74.
- 32 Black-box vs. white-box models Towards Data Science. Available: https://towardsdatascience.com/machine-learning-interpretabilitytechniques-662c723454f3 [Accessed 7 Feb 2020].

- 33 Molnar C. Interpretable machine learning. A Guide for Making Black Box Models Explainable, 2019. Available: https://christophm.github. io/interpretable-ml-book/
- 34 McCloskey M, Cohen NJ. Catastrophic interference in Connectionist networks: the sequential learning problem, 1989: 109–65.
- 35 Ordish J, Murfet H, Hall A. Algorithms as medical devices. Cambridge, UK; 2019. https://www.phgfoundation.org/report/ algorithms-as-medical-devices [Accessed 07 Feb 2021].
- 36 US Food and Drug Administration. Artificial intelligence and machine learning in software as a medical device FDA; 2019: 1–20. https:// www.fda.gov/medical-devices/software-medical-device-samd/ artificial-intelligence-and-machine-learning-software-medical-device [Accessed 07 Feb 2021].
- 37 Evidence standards framework for digital health technologies | our programmes | what we do | about | NICE. Available: https:// www.nice.org.uk/about/what-we-do/our-programmes/evidencestandards-framework-for-digital-health-technologies [Accessed 20 Jan 2020].
- 38 Joshi I, Morley J. Artificial intelligence: how to get it right. putting policy into practice for safe data-driven innovation in health and care. London, United Kingdom; 2019. https://www.nhsx.nhs.uk/media/ documents/NHSX\_AI\_report.pdf [Accessed 07 Feb 2021].