



PUBLISHED FOR SISSA BY SPRINGER

RECEIVED: May 28, 2020
REVISED: October 14, 2020
ACCEPTED: March 17, 2021
PUBLISHED: May 7, 2021

Measurement of b jet shapes in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV



The CMS collaboration

E-mail: cms-publication-committee-chair@cern.ch

ABSTRACT: We present the first study of charged-hadron production associated with jets originating from b quarks in proton-proton collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 5.02 TeV. The data sample used in this study was collected with the CMS detector at the CERN LHC and corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 27.4 pb^{-1} . To characterize the jet substructure, the differential jet shapes, defined as the normalized transverse momentum distribution of charged hadrons as a function of angular distance from the jet axis, are measured for b jets. In addition to the jet shapes, the per-jet yields of charged particles associated with b jets are also quantified, again as a function of the angular distance with respect to the jet axis. Extracted jet shape and particle yield distributions for b jets are compared with results for inclusive jets, as well as with the predictions from the PYTHIA and HERWIG++ event generators.

KEYWORDS: B physics, Hadron-Hadron scattering (experiments), Jet physics

ARXIV EPRINT: [2005.14219](https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.14219)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The CMS detector	2
3	Event selection and simulated event samples	2
4	Jet and track reconstruction	3
5	Jet-track angular correlations	4
6	Systematic uncertainties	6
7	Results	8
8	Summary	10
	The CMS collaboration	15

1 Introduction

Jets, the collimated showers of particles produced by fragmentation and hadronization of hard-scattered quarks or gluons, are long established experimental probes for studies of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) [1]. The internal structure of the jet, defined by the energy, momentum, and spatial distribution of its constituents, is sensitive to the details of the evolution from an initial hard scattering through fragmentation and hadronization into observable hadrons in the final state. The angular distributions of constituent particle yields and jet shapes, studied in this work, are affected by parton fragmentation and hadronization processes. At high transverse momenta (p_T) with respect to the beam direction in the core of the jet, the dominant contribution to these distributions is set by the initial branching of the hard scattered parton which is calculable in perturbative QCD (pQCD). However, for lower p_T particles and those at larger radial distances from the jet direction, higher order corrections and nonperturbative processes become of major importance. Characterizing the effect of these additional contributions on the internal structure of jets remains challenging for theoretical calculations [2–4].

In this paper, the internal structure of jets is studied at the charged particle level using the data from proton-proton (pp) collisions at a center-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV. These data, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 27.4 pb^{-1} , were collected by the CMS experiment in 2015. For this study, b jets are defined by the presence of at least one b quark, which is inferred from the properties of b hadron decays. A b jet sample selected via a combined secondary vertex (CSV) discriminator [5], is composed of jets initiated by a single bottom quark, as well as of a contribution from $b\bar{b}$ pairs produced from gluon splitting. Jet-correlated charged particle transverse momentum distributions, referred to

as jet shapes, are measured as a function of radial distance $\Delta r = \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2}$ from the jet axis. Here $\Delta\eta = \eta^{\text{jet}} - \eta^{\text{trk}}$ and $\Delta\phi = \phi^{\text{jet}} - \phi^{\text{trk}}$ are the pseudorapidity and azimuthal differences between the jet axis and a given charged particle, respectively. To extend the jet shape measurements further into the region where nonperturbative effects dominate, we use a jet-track correlation technique [6, 7]. This method has been shown to reliably subtract the part of the event unrelated to the hard scattering (the underlying event), as well as the contribution of additional pp interactions in the same or nearby bunch crossings (pileup). We study the p_T -differential distributions of jet shapes and particle yields for b jets. By comparing these measurements with the results for inclusive jets and with HERWIG++ [8] and PYTHIA [9, 10] simulations for the b jet and inclusive jet shapes at large angles from the jet axis, this study provides new constraints on pQCD calculations, as well as on the nonperturbative contribution to jet shapes. This measurement also constitutes a baseline for future measurement of the same observable at the same per-nucleon center-of-mass energy in PbPb collisions, which will probe the parton flavor dependence of the interaction of jets with the quark-gluon plasma [11] that is created in high energy heavy-ion collisions.

2 The CMS detector

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL), and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter (HCAL), each composed of barrel and endcap sections. Two forward hadron (HF) steel and quartz-fiber calorimeters complement the barrel and endcap detectors, extending the calorimeter from the range $|\eta| < 3.0$ to $|\eta| < 5.2$. Events of interest are selected using a two-tiered trigger system [12].

In the region $|\eta| < 1.74$, the HCAL cells have widths of 0.087 in both pseudorapidity η and azimuth ϕ . Within the central barrel region of $|\eta| < 1.48$, the HCAL cells map onto 5×5 ECAL crystal arrays to form calorimeter towers projecting radially outwards from the nominal interaction point. Within each tower, the energy deposits in ECAL and HCAL cells are summed to define the calorimeter tower energies, which are subsequently used in the particle flow algorithm to reconstruct the jet energies and directions [13]. In this work, jets are reconstructed within the η range of $|\eta| < 1.6$.

The silicon tracker measures charged particles within $|\eta| < 2.5$. It consists of 1440 silicon pixel and 15 148 silicon strip detector modules. For nonisolated particles with $1 < p_T < 10$ GeV in the barrel region, the track resolutions are typically 1.5% in p_T and 25–90 (45–150) μm in the impact parameter direction transverse (longitudinal) to the colliding beams [14]. A detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in ref. [15].

3 Event selection and simulated event samples

The data used in this analysis were taken in a special low-luminosity running period in which there were reduced levels of pileup (approximately 1.5 events per bunch crossing

assuming a total inelastic cross section of 65 mb^{-1} [16]). The jet samples are collected with a calorimeter-based trigger that uses the anti- k_T jet clustering algorithm with a distance parameter of $R = 0.4$ [17]. This trigger requires events to contain at least one jet with $p_T > 80 \text{ GeV}$, and is fully efficient for events containing jets with reconstructed $p_T > 90 \text{ GeV}$. The data selected by this trigger are referred to as “jet-triggered” and are used to study the jet-related particle yields and for data-driven estimation of acceptance effects via an event mixing technique as described in section 5. To reduce contamination from non-collision events, such as calorimeter noise and beam-gas collisions, vertex and noise reduction selections are applied as described in refs. [18, 19]. These selections include a requirement for events to contain at least 3 GeV of energy in one of the calorimeter towers in the HF on each side of the interaction point, and to have a primary vertex (PV) with at least two tracks which are consistent with originating from the same vertex within 15 cm of the center of the nominal interaction region along the beam axis ($|v_z| < 15 \text{ cm}$).

Monte Carlo (MC) simulated event samples are used to evaluate the performance of the event reconstruction, particularly the track reconstruction efficiency, and the jet energy response and resolution. The MC samples of two different PYTHIA tunes (version 6.424 with the Z2 tune [20] and version 8.230 with the CP5 tune [21]) were used to simulate the hard scattering, the parton showering, and the hadronization of the partons. A sample of b jets in MC simulations is obtained from the inclusive simulated QCD jet sample by selecting the jets that are matching to a generator-level b quark within a cone of radius $\Delta R = 0.3$ [22]. The jets from gluon splitting to $b\bar{b}$ are considered as b jets based on this flavour definition. The GEANT4 (10.02p02) [23] toolkit is used to simulate the CMS detector response. An additional reweighting procedure is performed to match the simulated v_z distribution to that observed in data. Another QCD jet MC sample is generated using the HERWIG++ 2.7.1 with the EE5C tune [20] and is also used as a theoretical reference.

4 Jet and track reconstruction

Jets are reconstructed offline from the particle-flow (PF) candidates [24], clustered using the anti- k_T algorithm [17, 25] with a distance parameter of $R = 0.4$. The PF candidates are reconstructed by the PF algorithm, which aims to reconstruct and identify each particle in an event, with an optimized combination of information from the various elements of the CMS detector. Simulation-derived corrections have been applied to the reconstructed jets to correct the measured energy distortion arising from the limited detector resolution, to the particle level [13, 26]. Jets with $p_T > 120 \text{ GeV}$ and $|\eta| < 1.6$ are selected to be consistent with a previous study [7].

A widely used type of the jet axis, the anti- k_T E -Scheme jet axis, is calculated by merging all the jet candidates, as well as input particles to the jet clustering by simply adding the four-momenta during the clustering procedure in the anti- k_T algorithm [27]. However, the jet axis for this work is re-calculated by the winner-takes-all recombination scheme [28, 29], which is applied to the constituents found by the nominal anti- k_T E -Scheme algorithm for this jet.

The b jet candidates for this work are selected by the CSV discriminator [14, 22]. The CSV discriminator is a multivariate classifier that makes use of information about reconstructed secondary vertices (SV) as well as the impact parameters of the associated tracks with respect to the primary vertex, to discriminate b jets from charm-flavor and light-flavor jets. The working point selected for this analysis leads to a 65% b jet selection efficiency and 69% purity (the b jet fraction of all jets that passed the CSV selection criteria) from the multijet sample (referring to the background of charm jets and light jets). Possible differences in the purity between data and MC are assessed using a negative-tag technique [5]. This technique selects non-b jets using the same variables and techniques as the standard CSV algorithm both in data and the simulation to extract a scale factor, which indicates the data-to-MC difference. A correction for a bias resulting from the discriminator is discussed in section 5.

In both data and simulation, charged particles are reconstructed using an iterative tracking method [14] based on the hit information from both the pixel and silicon strip subdetectors, permitting the reconstruction of charged particles within $|\eta| < 2.4$. The tracking efficiency ranges from approximately 90% at $p_T = 1$ GeV to no less than 90% for $p_T > 10$ GeV. Tracks with $p_T > 1.0$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$ are used in this study.

5 Jet-track angular correlations

To study the distributions of the charged particles associated with jets, a two-dimensional (2D) array of the $\Delta\eta$ and $\Delta\phi$ values of the tracks relative to the jet axis were produced. This is computed for six bins of p_T^{trk} bounded by the values 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 12, and 300 GeV. Each of these 2D correlations is normalized by N_{jets} , the number of jets in the sample. This procedure, the same one as used in ref. [30], creates a per-jet averaged $\Delta\eta$ - $\Delta\phi$ distribution of raw charged particle densities for each p_T^{trk} :

$$RS(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{jets}}} \frac{d^2 N^{\text{same}}}{d\Delta\eta d\Delta\phi}, \quad (5.1)$$

where N^{same} represents the yield of jet-track pairs from the same event. For the jet shape measurements, the 2D correlations are weighted by p_T^{trk} on a per-track basis, producing a per-jet averaged $\Delta\eta$ - $\Delta\phi$ distribution of p_T^{trk} with respect to the jet axis direction.

An event mixing method [7] is applied following the construction of the raw 2D correlations $RS(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi)$ to account for the shape of single inclusive jet and track distributions and the effects of the detector acceptance for tracks. For this correction, a mixed-event pair distribution $ME(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi)$ is constructed by using the jets from one event and the tracks from a different event, matched in the vertex position along the beam axis in 1 cm bins:

$$ME(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{jets}}} \frac{d^2 N^{\text{mix}}}{d\Delta\eta d\Delta\phi}, \quad (5.2)$$

where N^{mix} represents the number of jet-track pairs from the mixed-event.

The per-jet associated yield is corrected for the jet-track pair efficiency via the following relation:

$$S(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{jets}}} \frac{d^2N}{d\Delta\eta d\Delta\phi} = \frac{ME(0,0)}{ME(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi)} RS(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi). \quad (5.3)$$

The ratio $ME(0,0)/ME(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi)$ is the normalized correction factor and $ME(0,0)$ is the mixed event yield for jet-track pairs that are approximately collinear and hence have the maximum pair acceptance.

The signal of b-tagged jets $S_{\text{tag}}(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi)$ is then corrected for residual light-flavor jet contamination. We use an approach partially relying on data for the decontamination procedure, expressed via the following equation:

$$S_{\text{decont}}(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi) = \frac{S_{\text{tag}}(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi) - (1 - c_{\text{purity}})S_{\text{mistagged}}(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi)}{c_{\text{purity}}}, \quad (5.4)$$

where the $S_{\text{decont}}(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi)$ and $S_{\text{mistagged}}(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi)$ are signals of the (decontaminated) b jets and the mistagged light-flavor jets, respectively. The $S_{\text{mistagged}}(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi)$ is approximated by the inclusive jet-track correlation signal $S_{\text{inclusive}}(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi)$ from the data, with a modification for simulating the jet multiplicity bias that is discussed later in this section. The purity c_{purity} is defined as the ratio of the number of tagged true jets to the number of jets tagged by the CSV discriminator in simulations.

The resulting decontaminated signal $S_{\text{decont}}(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi)$ has the residual underlying event contribution and uncorrelated backgrounds from tracks unrelated to selected jets. These backgrounds are then removed in a data-driven manner by using the measured charged-particle yields far from the jet axis in a large- $\Delta\eta$ region. The $\Delta\phi$ distribution averaged over $1.5 < |\Delta\eta| < 2.5$ is used to estimate the $\Delta\phi$ dependence of the background contribution to the correlations over the entire $|\Delta\eta| < 4.0$ region and is subtracted from the signal $S_{\text{decont}}(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi)$. After that, the background-subtracted signal pair distribution $S(\Delta r)$, as a function of radius Δr is obtained from the integration of the corrected signal pair $S(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi)$, over a ring area with radius Δr .

The discriminator used for b tagging relies on the properties of the SVs associated with the jet as input, therefore biasing the jet selection towards jets with a better SV or tracking resolution. This bias, though slight, is present in distributions for both true b jets selected by the tagger, and in the mistagged light-flavor jets contaminating the sample. We calculate corrections for the tagging bias as a function of Δr from MC simulation by constructing the following per-jet normalized ratios of radial distributions:

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\text{mis}}(\Delta r) &= S_{\text{inclusive}}(\Delta r)/S_{\text{mistagged}}(\Delta r), \\ B_{\text{b}}(\Delta r) &= S_{\text{all-b}}(\Delta r)/S_{\text{tagged-b}}(\Delta r), \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

where $S_{\text{mistagged}}(\Delta r)$, $S_{\text{inclusive}}(\Delta r)$, $S_{\text{all-b}}(\Delta r)$, and $S_{\text{tagged-b}}(\Delta r)$ represent the signal of tracks correlated with the mistagged jets, inclusive light-flavor jets, and b jets, and the tagged b jets, respectively. This bin-by-bin correction is applied to the background-subtracted signal S_{decont} to remove the tagging bias.

Finally, simulation-based corrections are applied to account for the jet axis resolution, tracking reconstruction efficiency, and the bias in the charged particle yield and jet shapes

that comes from the b-tagging discriminator. A large fraction of tracks associated with b jets originate from an SV and have a slightly different reconstruction efficiency from that of tracks originating from a PV. Therefore, we derive the efficiency corrections as a function of track p_T and radial distance Δr from the MC b jet simulation by taking the ratio of correlated signals built with reconstructed tracks over those with generated tracks. This bin-by-bin correction has been applied to the signal data distributions obtained in the previous step accordingly. All of these procedures correct the data to a particle level which can be compared with theoretical calculations directly.

The fully corrected 2D correlations are integrated over annular rings in the $\Delta\eta$ - $\Delta\phi$ plane (as illustrated in [31]) to study distributions of charged-particle yields $Y(\Delta r)$:

$$Y(\Delta r) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{jets}}} \frac{d^2 N_{\text{trk}}}{d\Delta r dp_T^{\text{trk}}} \quad (5.6)$$

with respect to the jet axis as a function of Δr for b and inclusive-jet samples and, where N_{trk} is the number of the charged particles from jets. The jet shape distributions $\rho(\Delta r)$, defined as:

$$\rho(\Delta r) = \frac{1}{\delta r} \frac{\sum_{\text{jets}} \sum_{\text{trk} \in (\Delta r_a, \Delta r_b)} p_T^{\text{trk}}}{\sum_{\text{jets}} \sum_{\text{trk}} p_T^{\text{trk}}}, \quad (5.7)$$

where Δr_a and Δr_b define the annular edges of Δr , $\delta r = \Delta r_b - \Delta r_a$, and p_T^{trk} stands for the p_T of the charged particles, are also examined.

6 Systematic uncertainties

A number of sources of systematic uncertainties are considered, including the tracking efficiency, tagging bias corrections, decontamination procedure, jet reconstruction, acceptance corrections, and background subtraction. The systematic uncertainties are summarized in table 1, and the evaluation of each source of uncertainty is discussed below.

The tracking reconstruction efficiencies for b jet and inclusive jet tracks have been compared to account for the uncertainty in reconstruction efficiency for displaced tracks, and a maximum difference of about 4% was observed. The full magnitude of the observed difference is assigned as a conservative estimation to cover the MC-based tracking reconstruction uncertainty. To study possible differences in track reconstruction between data and simulation, a study of D meson decays was used [32]. The D meson branching fraction ratio of 3-prong to 5-prong decays was calculated in data with MC-based efficiency corrections and compared with the world-average value [33]. The observed difference is used to derive a 4% systematic uncertainty for this source. For the full tracking-related uncertainty these two errors were added in quadrature.

The uncertainty for correcting the bias induced by the CSV discriminator is dominated by the uncertainties in the contributions from gluon-splitting and primary b quarks to the b jet sample. Jets originating from different mechanisms of b-quark production (i.e. flavor creation, flavor excitation, and gluon splitting) can be studied individually in PYTHIA simulations. We note that the fraction of b jets from the gluon splitting in PYTHIA simulation

is less than that indicated by data. The corresponding systematic uncertainty has been evaluated by varying this fraction by 20% (as estimated in refs. [5, 34]), and the observed 5% difference in the correction from this variation is propagated as an uncertainty.

The decontamination procedure is affected by the uncertainties in the purity estimation. Using the negative tagging method (described in section 4) we have derived the data-to-simulation scale factor, which amounted to about 7% difference in estimated contamination levels. We evaluate the related systematic uncertainty by comparing results obtained with and without the derived scale factor; less than 5% variation is observed in the correlation results. This 5% maximum variation is taken as a systematic uncertainty for the decontamination.

The overall jet energy scale (JES) is sensitive to the relative fraction of quark and gluon jets in the sample. The energy scale uncertainty is found to be 2% for jets in the study in ref. [26]. Therefore, we varied the energy threshold of selected jets by this amount in both directions and saw no statistically significant changes in the measured jet shapes. This is not unexpected since the in-jet multiplicity and the jet fragmentation function change slowly with the jet p_T . We also investigated the effects of a more conservative 5% jet energy scale uncertainty by varying the energy threshold of selected jets by 5% in both directions and repeating the analysis to uncover any possible differences with respect to the nominal result. The resulting variations in the correlated track yields are found to be below 2%. Thus, we assigned a 2% uncertainty for this source. The jet energy resolution (JER) data-to-MC difference is about 15% based on the γ +jet studies [35]. The corresponding uncertainty in the reported measurements was evaluated in a data-driven way by smearing the reconstructed jet p_T by 15% and repeating the study. The resulting variation in correlation distributions was found to be below 3.5%. In total, a systematic uncertainty of 4% is assigned for the JER- and JES-related effects.

The uncertainties from the mixed-event acceptance correction are estimated by looking for an asymmetry of the sideband regions, which is defined by the difference of the sideband value between the positive and negative $\Delta\eta$. Additionally, the sideband regions ($1.5 < |\Delta\eta| < 2.5$) that are far away from the jet axis are expected to have no short-range correlation contributions and, thus, to be independent of $\Delta\eta$. Any deviations from this expectation and the measured asymmetry are used to quantify the related systematic uncertainty, which was found to be between 1 and 2%.

Uncertainties associated with the background subtraction are evaluated by considering the average point-to-point difference between two sideband regions ($1.5 < |\Delta\eta| < 2.0$ and $2.0 < |\Delta\eta| < 2.5$) following the background subtraction. The background subtraction uncertainty is found to be roughly 3% for the lowest p_T^{trk} bin, where the signal-to-background ratio is the lowest, and decreases to negligible levels as functions of p_T^{trk} .

These systematic uncertainties are treated as uncorrelated, and the total systematic uncertainty is calculated by adding the individual sources in quadrature.

Source of systematic uncertainty	b jets	Inclusive jets
Tracking efficiency	5.7	4.1
Tagging bias corrections	5.0	—
Decontamination procedure	5.0	—
Residual JES and JER corrections	4.0	4.0
Pair-acceptance corrections	1.0–2.0	1.0–2.0
Background subtraction	0–3.0	0–3.0
Total	10.0–10.5	5.8–6.8

Table 1. Systematic uncertainties in percentage for the measurements of the jet-track correlations. Where an uncertainty range is given, the upper edge of the range corresponds to the bin with the smallest p_T^{trk} values. The sources from the decontamination and tagging bias are exclusive for b jets.

7 Results

Figure 1 presents the charged-particle yields for inclusive and b jets in proton-proton collisions as a function of the radial distance Δr from the jet axis. The results are shown with stacked histograms to indicate the intervals in p_T^{trk} , and dots to denote the total summed yields in the region $1 < p_T^{\text{trk}} < 12$ GeV. It illustrates that the high- p_T charged particles are mostly distributed around the small Δr region while the larger Δr region is dominated by the low- p_T charged particles. Figure 2 compares the radial distributions of the total charged-particle yields associated with the inclusive and b jets studied in data and in PYTHIA simulations. Total uncertainties of the measurement are dominated by the systematic source. Statistical uncertainties of the signal correlations and data-driven mixed-event acceptance correction contribute to the total statistical uncertainties of the data. The total statistical uncertainties are negligible for most data points, except at large Δr . Statistical uncertainties of the Monte Carlo samples are accounted for in the evaluation of relevant systematic sources and propagated as part of the assigned systematic errors. It is also worth noticing that the systematic uncertainties coming from the event mixing technique are important in the larger Δr region. Charged-particle yield distributions for both b and inclusive jets are found to be generally described by PYTHIA predictions, although PYTHIA 6.424 shows a better agreement with the data than that found using the PYTHIA 8.230 predictions. The HERWIG++ simulation predicts a smaller excess of hadron yields in b jets over inclusive jets compared to what is observed in the data and PYTHIA simulations. Larger charged-particle yields are observed to be associated with b jets as compared with inclusive jets, particularly in the low- Δr region (see figure 2, right). This larger contribution in soft tracks at small radial distance Δr implies the presence of different fragmentation patterns and decay kinematics between the b jets and inclusive jets.

Measurements of the jet shapes $\rho(\Delta r)$ are presented in figures 3 and 4. The left and right panels of figure 3 show p_T -differential $\rho(\Delta r)$ distribution for inclusive and b jets, respectively. The comparison between data and simulations from both PYTHIA and HERWIG++ is presented in figure 4. We note that, while small- Δr trends are mostly well described by PYTHIA and HERWIG simulation for both jet selections, the distributions at




Figure 1. Charged particle yield distributions $Y(\Delta r)$ of inclusive (left) and b (right) jets with $p_T > 120$ GeV as functions of Δr are presented differentially for p_T^{trk} bins. The shadowed boxes represent the systematic uncertainties for $1 < p_T^{\text{trk}} < 12$ GeV, although they are generally too small to be visible.




Figure 2. Charged particle yield distributions $Y(\Delta r)$ of inclusive jets (left) and b jets (middle) with $1 < p_T^{\text{trk}} < 12$ GeV are presented as functions of Δr . Both types of jets with $p_T > 120$ GeV and charged particles with $1 < p_T^{\text{trk}} < 12$ GeV are used to construct the distributions as functions of Δr for data (red), PYTHIA 6.426 (blue line), PYTHIA 8.230 (green dashed line), and HERWIG++ (purple line) simulations. The right plot shows the particle yield difference of b jets and inclusive jets as functions of Δr for pp data, PYTHIA 6.426 (blue line), PYTHIA 8.230 (green dashed line) and HERWIG++ (purple line) simulations. The shadowed boxes represent the systematic uncertainties.

larger radial distances are only well-estimated by HERWIG++, indicating a shortage of soft radiative contributions. The right panel of figure 4 shows the ratio of b to inclusive jet shapes for data and simulation.

Observed variations in the ratio of jet shapes indicate a shift of transverse momentum from small to large Δr for the constituents of the b jets compared to that carried by the particles from inclusive jets. These differences may arise from the dead-cone effect, the suppression of radiation from a charged particles with mass m_q and energy E_q in the region with emission angle $\theta \lesssim m_q/E_q$ [36, 37], as this phenomenon is expected to be more apparent in b jets than in inclusive jets, which mostly originate from light partons.

PYTHIA and HERWIG simulations show very different jet shape predictions at large angular distances, where nonperturbative contributions are likely to dominate. HERWIG++




Figure 3. The jet shape distribution $\rho(\Delta r)$ of inclusive jets (left) and b jets (right) with $p_T > 120$ GeV as functions of Δr are presented differentially for all p_T^{trk} bins for data. The shadowed boxes represent the systematic uncertainties for $p_T^{\text{trk}} > 1$ GeV, although they are generally too small to be visible.

simulations have better performance capturing the details of jet shapes for both inclusive and b jets distributions in this region, comparing to PYTHIA simulations. Additionally, we observe that a higher fraction of transverse momentum is distributed towards the higher radial distances from the center of the jet for the b jets as compared to the inclusive jet sample.

A similar tendency, albeit insufficient to fully capture this trend, is seen in PYTHIA simulations. PYTHIA 8.230 simulations show a slightly better description than that from PYTHIA 6.426 in the larger Δr region. On the other hand, HERWIG++ 2.7.1 predictions capture this trend well, as illustrated in the right panel of figure 4. The observed data to PYTHIA discrepancy in the b-to-inclusive jet shape ratios at large radii may arise from the difference in the gluon splitting contributions between data and simulation, as mentioned earlier [38]. We note that Monte Carlo studies show that b and \bar{b} jets from gluon splitting result in significantly broader jet shapes than those of inclusive jets.

8 Summary

The first measurements of charged-particle yields and jet shapes for b jets in proton-proton collisions are presented, using data collected with the CMS detector at the LHC at a center-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV. The correlations of charged particles with jets are studied, using the particles with transverse momentum $p_T^{\text{trk}} > 1$ GeV and pseudorapidity $|\eta| < 2.4$, and the jets with $p_T > 120$ GeV and $|\eta| < 1.6$. Charged-particle yields associated with jets are presented as functions of the relative angular distance $\Delta r = \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2}$ from the jet axis. In these studies, a large number of associated charged particles at low Δr are found for b jets compared to those for inclusive jets, which are produced predominantly by gluons and light flavor quarks. The trends observed in pp data for particle yield distributions associated with both types of jets are reproduced by PYTHIA calculations (in versions 6.426 and 8.230).




Figure 4. The jet shape distribution $\rho(\Delta r)$ of inclusive jets (left) and b jets (middle), both with $p_T > 120$ GeV and $p_T^{\text{trk}} > 1$ GeV are presented as functions of Δr for data (red markers), the PYTHIA 6.426 (blue line) and the PYTHIA 8.230 (green dashed line) simulations. The right plot shows the b-to-inclusive jet shape ratio as functions of Δr for data, PYTHIA 6 (blue line) and PYTHIA 8.230 (green dashed line) simulations. The shadowed boxes represent the systematic uncertainty.

In addition to the charged-particle yields, we examine the jet transverse momentum profile variable $\rho(\Delta r)$, defined using the distribution of charged particles in annular rings around the jet axis, with each particle weighted by its p_T^{trk} value. The measured shapes of b jets are broader than those of inclusive jets. The shapes for both types of jets are reproduced by HERWIG and PYTHIA calculation in the small Δr region, with HERWIG++ 2.7.1 giving a better agreement. Moreover, measured transverse momenta distributions at larger Δr are consistent with the HERWIG simulations for b and inclusive jets, with at most 1.2σ data-to-simulation differences observed for b jets. However, this trend is generally underestimated by PYTHIA simulations.

This result provides new constraints on perturbative quantum chromodynamics calculations for flavor dependence in parton fragmentation and gluon radiation, as well as the relative contributions of different processes to b quark production. These measurements are also expected to offer an important reference for future studies of flavor dependence for parton interactions with the quark-gluon plasma formed in relativistic heavy-ion collisions.

Acknowledgments

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC IUT, PUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Fin-

land); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); NKFIA (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS, RFBR, and NRC KI (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI, CPAN, PCTI, and FEDER (Spain); MOSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR, and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, contract Nos. 675440, 752730, and 765710 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the A.P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l’Industrie et dans l’Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the F.R.S.-FNRS and FWO (Belgium) under the “Excellence of Science — EOS” — be.h project n. 30820817; the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission, No. Z191100007219010; the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) under Germany’s Excellence Strategy — EXC 2121 “Quantum Universe” — 390833306; the Lendület (“Momentum”) Program and the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the New National Excellence Program ÚNKP, the NKFIA research grants 123842, 123959, 124845, 124850, 125105, 128713, 128786, and 129058 (Hungary); the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the HOMING PLUS program of the Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced from European Union, Regional Development Fund, the Mobility Plus program of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education, the National Science Center (Poland), contracts Harmonia 2014/14/M/ST2/00428, Opus 2014/13/B/ST2/02543, 2014/15/B/ST2/03998, and 2015/19/B/ST2/02861, Sonata-bis 2012/07/E/ST2/01406; the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; the Ministry of Science and Higher Education, project no. 02.a03.21.0005 (Russia); the Tomsk Polytechnic University Competitiveness Enhancement Program and “Nauka” Project FSWW-2020-0008 (Russia); the Programa Estatal de Fomento de la Investigación Científica y Técnica de Excelencia María de Maeztu, grant MDM-2015-0509 and the Programa Severo Ochoa del Principado de Asturias; the Thalís and Aristeia programs cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; the Rachadapisek Sompot Fund for Postdoctoral Fellowship, Chulalongkorn University and the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project (Thailand); the Kavli Foundation; the Nvidia Corporation; the SuperMicro Corporation; the Welch Foundation, contract C-1845; and the Weston Havens Foundation (USA).

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] A. Ali and G. Kramer, *Jets and QCD: A historical review of the discovery of the quark and gluon jets and its impact on QCD*, *Eur. Phys. J. H* **36** (2011) 245 [[arXiv:1012.2288](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] CDF collaboration, *Measurement of b-jet Shapes in inclusive Jet production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 072005 [[arXiv:0806.1699](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] ATLAS collaboration, *Measurement of jet shapes in top-quark pair events at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV using the ATLAS detector*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 2676 [[arXiv:1307.5749](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] P. Cal, F. Ringer and W.J. Waalewijn, *The jet shape at NLL'*, *JHEP* **05** (2019) 143 [[arXiv:1901.06389](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] CMS collaboration, *Identification of heavy-flavour jets with the CMS detector in pp collisions at 13 TeV*, 2018 *JINST* **13** P05011 [[arXiv:1712.07158](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] CMS collaboration, *Correlations between jets and charged particles in PbPb and pp collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV*, *JHEP* **02** (2016) 156 [[arXiv:1601.00079](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] CMS collaboration, *Jet properties in PbPb and pp collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV*, *JHEP* **05** (2018) 006 [[arXiv:1803.00042](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] M. Bahr et al., *Herwig++ physics and manual*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **58** (2008) 639 [[arXiv:0803.0883](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna and P.Z. Skands, *PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual*, *JHEP* **05** (2006) 026 [[hep-ph/0603175](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna and P.Z. Skands, *A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **178** (2008) 852 [[arXiv:0710.3820](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] F. Karsch, *The phase transition to the quark gluon plasma: Recent results from lattice calculations*, *Nucl. Phys. A* **590** (1995) 367C [[hep-lat/9503010](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] CMS collaboration, *The CMS trigger system*, 2017 *JINST* **12** P01020 [[arXiv:1609.02366](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] CMS collaboration, *Determination of jet energy calibration and transverse momentum resolution in CMS*, 2011 *JINST* **6** P11002 [[arXiv:1107.4277](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] CMS collaboration, *Description and performance of track and primary-vertex reconstruction with the CMS tracker*, 2014 *JINST* **9** P10009 [[arXiv:1405.6569](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] CMS collaboration, *The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC*, 2008 *JINST* **3** S08004 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] CMS collaboration, *CMS luminosity calibration for the pp reference run at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV*, *CMS-PAS-LUM-16-001* (2016).
- [17] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam and G. Soyez, *The anti- k_T jet clustering algorithm*, *JHEP* **04** (2008) 063 [[arXiv:0802.1189](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] CMS collaboration, *Observation and studies of jet quenching in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV*, *Phys. Rev. C* **84** (2011) 024906 [[arXiv:1102.1957](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] CMS collaboration, *Jet momentum dependence of jet quenching in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **712** (2012) 176 [[arXiv:1202.5022](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [20] CMS collaboration, *Event generator tunes obtained from underlying event and multiparton scattering measurements*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 155 [[arXiv:1512.00815](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] CMS collaboration, *Extraction and validation of a new set of CMS PYTHIA8 tunes from underlying-event measurements*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) 4 [[arXiv:1903.12179](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] CMS collaboration, *Identification of b-quark jets with the CMS experiment*, *2013 JINST* **8** P04013 [[arXiv:1211.4462](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [23] GEANT4 collaboration, *GEANT4—a simulation toolkit*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] CMS collaboration, *Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector*, *2017 JINST* **12** P10003 [[arXiv:1706.04965](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam and G. Soyez, *FastJet user manual*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 1896 [[arXiv:1111.6097](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] CMS collaboration, *Jet energy scale and resolution in the CMS experiment in pp collisions at 8 TeV*, *2017 JINST* **12** P02014 [[arXiv:1607.03663](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] G.C. Blazey et al., *Run II jet physics*, in *Physics at Run II: QCD and Weak Boson Physics Workshop: Final General Meeting*, U. Baur, R. Ellis and D. Zeppenfeld, eds., (2000), p. 47, <https://lss.fnal.gov/archive/2000/conf/Conf-00-092-E.pdf> [[hep-ex/0005012](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] D. Bertolini, T. Chan and J. Thaler, *Jet observables without jet algorithms*, *JHEP* **04** (2014) 013 [[arXiv:1310.7584](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] A.J. Larkoski, D. Neill and J. Thaler, *Jet shapes with the broadening axis*, *JHEP* **04** (2014) 017 [[arXiv:1401.2158](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] ATLAS collaboration, *Measurement of inclusive jet charged-particle fragmentation functions in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Lett. B* **739** (2014) 320 [[arXiv:1406.2979](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] CMS collaboration, *Shape, transverse size, and charged hadron multiplicity of jets in pp collisions at 7 TeV*, *JHEP* **06** (2012) 160 [[arXiv:1204.3170](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] CMS collaboration, *Measurement of tracking efficiency*, *CMS-PAS-TRK-10-002* (2010).
- [33] PARTICLE DATA GROUP collaboration, *Review of particle physics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 030001 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [34] CMS collaboration, *Comparing transverse momentum balance of b jet pairs in pp and PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV*, *JHEP* **03** (2018) 181 [[arXiv:1802.00707](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] CMS collaboration, *Measurement of transverse momentum relative to dijet systems in PbPb and pp collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV*, *JHEP* **01** (2016) 006 [[arXiv:1509.09029](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [36] Y.L. Dokshitzer, V.A. Khoze and S.I. Troian, *Particle spectra in light and heavy quark jets*, *J. Phys. G* **17** (1991) 1481 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [37] Y.L. Dokshitzer, V.A. Khoze and S.I. Troian, *On specific QCD properties of heavy quark fragmentation ('dead cone')*, *J. Phys. G* **17** (1991) 1602 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [38] A. Banfi, G.P. Salam and G. Zanderighi, *Accurate QCD predictions for heavy-quark jets at the Tevatron and LHC*, *JHEP* **07** (2007) 026 [[arXiv:0704.2999](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

The CMS collaboration

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

A.M. Sirunyan[†], A. Tumasyan

Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Wien, Austria

W. Adam, F. Ambrogio, T. Bergauer, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, A. Escalante Del Valle, M. Flechl, R. Frühwirth¹, M. Jeitler¹, N. Krammer, I. Krätschmer, D. Liko, T. Madlener, I. Mikulec, N. Rad, J. Schieck¹, R. Schöfbeck, M. Spanring, W. Waltenberger, C.-E. Wulz¹, M. Zarucki

Institute for Nuclear Problems, Minsk, Belarus

V. Drugakov, V. Mossolov, J. Suarez Gonzalez

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

M.R. Darwish, E.A. De Wolf, D. Di Croce, X. Janssen, T. Kello², A. Lelek, M. Pieters, H. Rejeb Sfar, H. Van Haevermaet, P. Van Mechelen, S. Van Putte, N. Van Remortel

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

F. Blekman, E.S. Bols, S.S. Chhibra, J. D'Hondt, J. De Clercq, D. Lontkovskyi, S. Lowette, I. Marchesini, S. Moortgat, Q. Python, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck, P. Van Mulders

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

D. Beghin, B. Bilin, B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, H. Delannoy, B. Dorney, L. Favart, A. Grebenyuk, A.K. Kalsi, L. Moureaux, A. Popov, N. Postiau, E. Starling, L. Thomas, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, D. Vannerom

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

T. Cornelis, D. Dobur, I. Khvastunov³, M. Niedziela, C. Roskas, K. Skovpen, M. Tytgat, W. Verbeke, B. Vermassen, M. Vit

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

G. Bruno, C. Caputo, P. David, C. Delaere, M. Delcourt, A. Giammanco, V. Lemaitre, J. Prisciandaro, A. Saggio, P. Vischia, J. Zobec

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

G.A. Alves, G. Correia Silva, C. Hensel, A. Moraes

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

E. Belchior Batista Das Chagas, W. Carvalho, J. Chinellato⁴, E. Coelho, E.M. Da Costa, G.G. Da Silveira⁵, D. De Jesus Damiao, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, H. Malbouisson, J. Martins⁶, D. Matos Figueiredo, M. Medina Jaime⁷, M. Melo De Almeida, C. Mora Herrera, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, W.L. Prado Da Silva, P. Rebello Teles, L.J. Sanchez Rosas, A. Santoro, A. Sznajder, M. Thiel, E.J. Tonelli Manganote⁴, F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo, A. Vilela Pereira

Universidade Estadual Paulista^a, Universidade Federal do ABC^b, São Paulo, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes^a, L. Calligaris^a, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei^a, E.M. Gregores^b, D.S. Lemos, P.G. Mercadante^b, S.F. Novaes^a, SandraS. Padula^a

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Aleksandrov, G. Antchev, R. Hadjiiska, P. Iaydjiev, M. Misheva, M. Rodozov, M. Shopova, G. Sultanov

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

M. Bonchev, A. Dimitrov, T. Ivanov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov, A. Petrov

Beihang University, Beijing, China

W. Fang², X. Gao², L. Yuan

Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

M. Ahmad, Z. Hu, Y. Wang

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

G.M. Chen⁸, H.S. Chen⁸, M. Chen, C.H. Jiang, D. Leggat, H. Liao, Z. Liu, A. Spiezia, J. Tao, E. Yazgan, H. Zhang, S. Zhang⁸, J. Zhao

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

A. Agapitos, Y. Ban, G. Chen, A. Levin, J. Li, L. Li, Q. Li, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, D. Wang, Q. Wang

Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China

M. Xiao

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

C. Avila, A. Cabrera, C. Florez, C.F. González Hernández, M.A. Segura Delgado

Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia

J. Mejia Guisao, J.D. Ruiz Alvarez, C.A. Salazar González, N. Vanegas Arbelaez

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

D. Giljanović, N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, I. Puljak, T. Sculac

University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia

Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

V. Brigljevic, D. Ferencek, K. Kadija, D. Majumder, B. Mesic, M. Roguljic, A. Starodumov⁹, T. Susa

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

M.W. Ather, A. Attikis, E. Erodotou, A. Ioannou, M. Kolosova, S. Konstantinou, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis, H. Rykaczewski, H. Saka, D. Tsiakkouri

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

M. Finger¹⁰, M. Finger Jr.¹⁰, A. Kveton, J. Tomsa

Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador

E. Ayala

Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador

E. Carrera Jarrin

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt

A. Mohamed¹¹, E. Salama^{12,13}

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

S. Bhowmik, A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira, R.K. Dewanjee, K. Ehataht, M. Kadastik, M. Raidal, C. Veelken

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

P. Eerola, L. Forthomme, H. Kirschenmann, K. Osterberg, M. Voutilainen

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

E. Brücken, F. Garcia, J. Havukainen, J.K. Heikkilä, V. Karimäki, M.S. Kim, R. Kinnunen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Laurila, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, H. Siikonen, E. Tuominen, J. Tuominiemi

Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

P. Luukka, T. Tuuva

IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, B. Fabbro, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, C. Leloup, B. Lenzi, E. Locci, J. Malcles, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, M.Ö. Sahin, A. Savoy-Navarro¹⁴, M. Titov, G.B. Yu

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, France

S. Ahuja, C. Amendola, F. Beaudette, M. Bonanomi, P. Busson, C. Charlot, B. Diab, G. Falmagne, R. Granier de Cassagnac, I. Kucher, A. Lobanov, C. Martin Perez, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, P. Paganini, J. Rembser, R. Salerno, J.B. Sauvan, Y. Sirois, A. Zabi, A. Zghiche

Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France

J.-L. Agram¹⁵, J. Andrea, D. Bloch, G. Bourgatte, J.-M. Brom, E.C. Chabert, C. Collard, E. Conte¹⁵, J.-C. Fontaine¹⁵, D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, C. Grimault, A.-C. Le Bihan, N. Tonon, P. Van Hove

Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France

S. Gadrat

Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France

S. Beauceron, C. Bernet, G. Boudoul, C. Camen, A. Carle, N. Chanon, R. Chierici, D. Contardo, P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, B. Ille, Sa. Jain, I.B. Laktineh, H. Lattaud, A. Lesauvage, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, S. Perries, V. Sordini, L. Torterotot, G. Touquet, M. Vander Donckt, S. Viret

Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

T. Toriashvili¹⁶

Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

Z. Tsamalaidze¹⁰

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

C. Autermann, L. Feld, K. Klein, M. Lipinski, D. Meuser, A. Pauls, M. Preuten, M.P. Rauch, J. Schulz, M. Teroerde

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

M. Erdmann, B. Fischer, S. Ghosh, T. Hebbeker, K. Hoepfner, H. Keller, L. Mastrolorenzo, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, P. Millet, G. Mocellin, S. Mondal, S. Mukherjee, D. Noll, A. Novak, T. Pook, A. Pozdnyakov, T. Quast, M. Radziej, Y. Rath, H. Reithler, J. Roemer, A. Schmidt, S.C. Schuler, A. Sharma, S. Wiedenbeck, S. Zaleski

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

G. Flügge, W. Haj Ahmad¹⁷, O. Hlushchenko, T. Kress, T. Müller, A. Nowack, C. Pistone, O. Pooth, D. Roy, H. Sert, A. Stahl¹⁸

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

M. Aldaya Martin, P. Asmuss, I. Babounikau, H. Bakhshiansohi, K. Beernaert, O. Behnke, A. Bermúdez Martínez, A.A. Bin Anuar, K. Borras¹⁹, V. Botta, A. Campbell, A. Cardini, P. Connor, S. Consuegra Rodríguez, C. Contreras-Campana, V. Danilov, A. De Wit, M.M. Defranchis, C. Diez Pardos, D. Domínguez Damiani, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, T. Eichhorn, A. Elwood, E. Eren, L.I. Estevez Banos, E. Gallo²⁰, A. Geiser, A. Grohsjean, M. Guthoff, M. Haranko, A. Harb, A. Jafari, N.Z. Jomhari, H. Jung, A. Kasem¹⁹, M. Kase-
mann, H. Kaveh, J. Keaveney, C. Kleinwort, J. Knolle, D. Krücker, W. Lange, T. Lenz, J. Lidrych, K. Lipka, W. Lohmann²¹, R. Mankel, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, A.B. Meyer, M. Meyer, M. Missiroli, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, V. Myronenko, D. Pérez Adán, S.K. Pflitsch, D. Pitzl, A. Raspereza, A. Saibel, M. Savitskyi, V. Scheurer, P. Schütze,

C. Schwanenberger, R. Shevchenko, A. Singh, R.E. Sosa Ricardo, H. Tholen, O. Turkot, A. Vagnerini, M. Van De Klundert, R. Walsh, Y. Wen, K. Wichmann, C. Wissing, O. Zenaiev, R. Zlebcik

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

R. Aggleton, S. Bein, L. Benato, A. Benecke, T. Dreyer, A. Ebrahimi, F. Feindt, A. Fröhlich, C. Garbers, E. Garutti, D. Gonzalez, P. Gunnellini, J. Haller, A. Hinzmann, A. Karavdina, G. Kasieczka, R. Klanner, R. Kogler, N. Kovalchuk, S. Kurz, V. Kutzner, J. Lange, T. Lange, A. Malara, J. Multhaupt, C.E.N. Niemeyer, A. Reimers, O. Rieger, P. Schleper, S. Schumann, J. Schwandt, J. Sonneveld, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, B. Vormwald, I. Zoi

Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany

M. Akbiyik, M. Baselga, S. Baur, T. Berger, E. Butz, R. Caspart, T. Chwalek, W. De Boer, A. Dierlamm, K. El Morabit, N. Faltermann, M. Giffels, A. Gottmann, F. Hartmann¹⁸, C. Heidecker, U. Husemann, M.A. Iqbal, S. Kudella, S. Maier, S. Mitra, M.U. Mozer, D. Müller, Th. Müller, M. Musich, A. Nürnberg, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, D. Savoie, D. Schäfer, M. Schnepf, M. Schröder, I. Shvetsov, H.J. Simonis, R. Ulrich, M. Wassmer, M. Weber, C. Wöhrmann, R. Wolf, S. Wozniewski

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

G. Anagnostou, P. Asenov, G. Daskalakis, T. Geralis, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, G. Paspalaki, A. Stakia

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

M. Diamantopoulou, G. Karathanasis, P. Kontaxakis, A. Manousakis-katsikakis, A. Panagiotou, I. Papavergou, N. Saoulidou, K. Theofilatos, K. Vellidis, E. Vourliotis

National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece

G. Bakas, K. Kousouris, I. Papakrivopoulos, G. Tsipolitis, A. Zacharopoulou

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

I. Evangelou, C. Foudas, P. Gianneios, P. Katsoulis, P. Kokkas, S. Mallios, K. Manitaras, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, J. Stroligas, F.A. Triantis, D. Tsitsonis

MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

M. Bartók²², R. Chudasama, M. Csanad, P. Major, K. Mandal, A. Mehta, G. Pasztor, O. Surányi, G.I. Veres

Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, D. Horvath²³, F. Sikler, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi[†]

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Karancsi²², J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi

Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

P. Raics, D. Teyssier, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

Eszterhazy Karoly University, Karoly Robert Campus, Gyongyos, Hungary

T. Csorgo, W.J. Metzger, F. Nemes, T. Novak

Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India

S. Choudhury, J.R. Komaragiri, P.C. Tiwari

National Institute of Science Education and Research, HBNI, Bhubaneswar, India

S. Bahinipati²⁵, C. Kar, G. Kole, P. Mal, V.K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu, A. Nayak²⁶, D.K. Sahoo²⁵, S.K. Swain

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

S. Bansal, S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, S. Chauhan, N. Dhingra²⁷, R. Gupta, A. Kaur, M. Kaur, S. Kaur, P. Kumari, M. Lohan, M. Meena, K. Sandeep, S. Sharma, J.B. Singh, A.K. Viridi, G. Walia

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, R.B. Garg, M. Gola, S. Keshri, Ashok Kumar, M. Naimuddin, P. Priyanka, K. Ranjan, Aashaq Shah, R. Sharma

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India

R. Bhardwaj²⁸, M. Bharti²⁸, R. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharya, U. Bhawandeep²⁸, D. Bhowmik, S. Dutta, S. Ghosh, B. Gomber²⁹, M. Maity³⁰, K. Mondal, S. Nandan, A. Purohit, P.K. Rout, G. Saha, S. Sarkar, M. Sharan, B. Singh²⁸, S. Thakur²⁸

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India

P.K. Behera, S.C. Behera, P. Kalbhor, A. Muhammad, R. Pradhan, P.R. Pujahari, A. Sharma, A.K. Sikdar

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India

D. Dutta, V. Jha, D.K. Mishra, P.K. Netrakanti, L.M. Pant, P. Shukla

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India

T. Aziz, M.A. Bhat, S. Dugad, G.B. Mohanty, N. Sur, RavindraKumar Verma

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India

S. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharya, S. Chatterjee, P. Das, M. Guchait, S. Karmakar, S. Kumar, G. Majumder, K. Mazumdar, N. Sahoo, S. Sawant

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India

S. Dube, B. Kansal, A. Kapoor, K. Kothekar, S. Pandey, A. Rane, A. Rastogi, S. Sharma

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

S. Chenarani, S.M. Etesami, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, M. Naseri, F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

M. Felcini, M. Grunewald

INFN Sezione di Bari^a, Università di Bari^b, Politecnico di Bari^c, Bari, Italy

M. Abbrescia^{a,b}, R. Aly^{a,b,31}, C. Calabria^{a,b}, A. Colaleo^a, D. Creanza^{a,c}, L. Cristella^{a,b}, N. De Filippis^{a,c}, M. De Palma^{a,b}, A. Di Florio^{a,b}, W. Elmetenawee^{a,b}, L. Fiore^a, A. Gelmi^{a,b}, G. Iaselli^{a,c}, M. Ince^{a,b}, S. Lezki^{a,b}, G. Maggi^{a,c}, M. Maggi^a, J.A. Merlin^a, G. Miniello^{a,b}, S. My^{a,b}, S. Nuzzo^{a,b}, A. Pompili^{a,b}, G. Pugliese^{a,c}, R. Radogna^a, A. Ranieri^a, G. Selvaggi^{a,b}, L. Silvestris^a, F.M. Simone^{a,b}, R. Venditti^a, P. Verwilligen^a

INFN Sezione di Bologna^a, Università di Bologna^b, Bologna, Italy

G. Abbiendi^a, C. Battilana^{a,b}, D. Bonacorsi^{a,b}, L. Borgonovi^{a,b}, S. Braibant-Giacomelli^{a,b}, R. Campanini^{a,b}, P. Capiluppi^{a,b}, A. Castro^{a,b}, F.R. Cavallo^a, C. Ciocca^a, G. Codispoti^{a,b}, M. Cuffiani^{a,b}, G.M. Dallavalle^a, F. Fabbri^a, A. Fanfani^{a,b}, E. Fontanesi^{a,b}, P. Giacomelli^a, C. Grandi^a, L. Guiducci^{a,b}, F. Iemmi^{a,b}, S. Lo Meo^{a,32}, S. Marcellini^a, G. Masetti^a, F.L. Navarria^{a,b}, A. Perrotta^a, F. Primavera^{a,b}, A.M. Rossi^{a,b}, T. Rovelli^{a,b}, G.P. Siroli^{a,b}, N. Tosi^a

INFN Sezione di Catania^a, Università di Catania^b, Catania, Italy

S. Albergo^{a,b,33}, S. Costa^{a,b}, A. Di Mattia^a, R. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Tricomi^{a,b,33}, C. Tuve^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Firenze^a, Università di Firenze^b, Firenze, Italy

G. Barbagli^a, A. Cassese^a, R. Ceccarelli^{a,b}, V. Ciulli^{a,b}, C. Civinini^a, R. D'Alessandro^{a,b}, F. Fiori^{a,c}, E. Focardi^{a,b}, G. Latino^{a,b}, P. Lenzi^{a,b}, M. Lizzo^{a,b}, M. Meschini^a, S. Paoletti^a, R. Seidita^{a,b}, G. Sguazzoni^a, L. Viliani^a

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

L. Benussi, S. Bianco, D. Piccolo

INFN Sezione di Genova^a, Università di Genova^b, Genova, Italy

M. Bozzo^{a,b}, F. Ferro^a, R. Mulargia^{a,b}, E. Robutti^a, S. Tosi^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca^a, Università di Milano-Bicocca^b, Milano, Italy

A. Benaglia^a, A. Beschi^{a,b}, F. Brivio^{a,b}, V. Ciriolo^{a,b,18}, M.E. Dinardo^{a,b}, P. Dini^a, S. Gennai^a, A. Ghezzi^{a,b}, P. Govoni^{a,b}, L. Guzzi^{a,b}, M. Malberti^a, S. Malvezzi^a, D. Menasce^a, F. Monti^{a,b}, L. Moroni^a, M. Paganoni^{a,b}, D. Pedrini^a, S. Ragazzi^{a,b}, T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{a,b}, D. Valsecchi^{a,b,18}, D. Zuolo^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Napoli^a, Università di Napoli 'Federico II'^b, Napoli, Italy, Università della Basilicata^c, Potenza, Italy, Università G. Marconi^d, Roma, Italy

S. Buontempo^a, N. Cavallo^{a,c}, A. De Iorio^{a,b}, A. Di Crescenzo^{a,b}, F. Fabozzi^{a,c}, F. Fienga^a, G. Galati^a, A.O.M. Iorio^{a,b}, L. Layer^{a,b}, L. Lista^{a,b}, S. Meola^{a,d,18}, P. Paolucci^{a,18}, B. Rossi^a, C. Sciacca^{a,b}, E. Voevodina^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Padova^a, Università di Padova^b, Padova, Italy, Università di Trento^c, Trento, Italy

P. Azzi^a, N. Bacchetta^a, D. Bisello^{a,b}, A. Boletti^{a,b}, A. Bragagnolo^{a,b}, R. Carlin^{a,b}, P. Checchia^a, P. De Castro Manzano^a, T. Dorigo^a, U. Dosselli^a, F. Gasparini^{a,b}, U. Gasparini^{a,b}, A. Gozzelino^a, S.Y. Hoh^{a,b}, M. Margoni^{a,b}, A.T. Meneguzzo^{a,b}

J. Pazzini^{a,b}, M. Presilla^b, P. Ronchese^{a,b}, R. Rossin^{a,b}, F. Simonetto^{a,b}, A. Tiko^a, M. Tosi^{a,b}, M. Zanetti^{a,b}, P. Zotto^{a,b}, A. Zucchetta^{a,b}, G. Zumerle^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Pavia^a, Università di Pavia^b, Pavia, Italy

A. Braghieri^a, D. Fiorina^{a,b}, P. Montagna^{a,b}, S.P. Ratti^{a,b}, V. Re^a, M. Ressegotti^{a,b}, C. Riccardi^{a,b}, P. Salvini^a, I. Vai^a, P. Vitulo^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Perugia^a, Università di Perugia^b, Perugia, Italy

M. Biasini^{a,b}, G.M. Bilei^a, D. Ciangottini^{a,b}, L. Fanò^{a,b}, P. Lariccia^{a,b}, R. Leonardi^{a,b}, E. Manoni^a, G. Mantovani^{a,b}, V. Mariani^{a,b}, M. Menichelli^a, A. Rossi^{a,b}, A. Santocchia^{a,b}, D. Spiga^a

INFN Sezione di Pisa^a, Università di Pisa^b, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa^c, Pisa, Italy

K. Androsov^a, P. Azzurri^a, G. Bagliesi^a, V. Bertacchi^{a,c}, L. Bianchini^a, T. Boccali^a, R. Castaldi^a, M.A. Ciocci^{a,b}, R. Dell'Orso^a, S. Donato^a, L. Giannini^{a,c}, A. Giassi^a, M.T. Grippo^a, F. Ligabue^{a,c}, E. Manca^{a,c}, G. Mandorli^{a,c}, A. Messineo^{a,b}, F. Palla^a, A. Rizzi^{a,b}, G. Rolandi^{a,c}, S. Roy Chowdhury^{a,c}, A. Scribano^a, P. Spagnolo^a, R. Tenchini^a, G. Tonelli^{a,b}, N. Turini^a, A. Venturi^a, P.G. Verdini^a

INFN Sezione di Roma^a, Sapienza Università di Roma^b, Rome, Italy

F. Cavallari^a, M. Cipriani^{a,b}, D. Del Re^{a,b}, E. Di Marco^a, M. Diemoz^a, E. Longo^{a,b}, P. Meridiani^a, G. Organtini^{a,b}, F. Pandolfi^a, R. Paramatti^{a,b}, C. Quaranta^{a,b}, S. Rahatlou^{a,b}, C. Rovelli^a, F. Santanastasio^{a,b}, L. Soffi^{a,b}, R. Tramontano^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Torino^a, Università di Torino^b, Torino, Italy, Università del Piemonte Orientale^c, Novara, Italy

N. Amapane^{a,b}, R. Arcidiacono^{a,c}, S. Argiro^{a,b}, M. Arneodo^{a,c}, N. Bartosik^a, R. Bellan^{a,b}, A. Bellora^{a,b}, C. Biino^a, A. Cappati^{a,b}, N. Cartiglia^a, S. Cometti^a, M. Costa^{a,b}, R. Covarelli^{a,b}, N. Demaria^a, J.R. González Fernández^a, B. Kiani^{a,b}, F. Legger^a, C. Mariotti^a, S. Maselli^a, E. Migliore^{a,b}, V. Monaco^{a,b}, E. Monteil^{a,b}, M. Monteno^a, M.M. Obertino^{a,b}, G. Ortona^a, L. Pacher^{a,b}, N. Pastrone^a, M. Pelliccioni^a, G.L. Pinna Angioni^{a,b}, A. Romero^{a,b}, M. Ruspa^{a,c}, R. Salvatico^{a,b}, V. Sola^a, A. Solano^{a,b}, D. Soldi^{a,b}, A. Staiano^a, D. Trocino^{a,b}

INFN Sezione di Trieste^a, Università di Trieste^b, Trieste, Italy

S. Belforte^a, V. Candelise^{a,b}, M. Casarsa^a, F. Cossutti^a, A. Da Rold^{a,b}, G. Della Ricca^{a,b}, F. Vazzoler^{a,b}, A. Zanetti^a

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

B. Kim, D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, J. Lee, S.W. Lee, C.S. Moon, Y.D. Oh, S.I. Pak, S. Sekmen, D.C. Son, Y.C. Yang

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea

H. Kim, D.H. Moon

Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea

B. Francois, T.J. Kim, J. Park

Korea University, Seoul, Korea

S. Cho, S. Choi, Y. Go, S. Ha, B. Hong, K. Lee, K.S. Lee, J. Lim, J. Park, S.K. Park, Y. Roh, J. Yoo

Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Republic of Korea

J. Goh

Sejong University, Seoul, Korea

H.S. Kim

Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

J. Almond, J.H. Bhyun, J. Choi, S. Jeon, J. Kim, J.S. Kim, H. Lee, K. Lee, S. Lee, K. Nam, M. Oh, S.B. Oh, B.C. Radburn-Smith, U.K. Yang, H.D. Yoo, I. Yoon

University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea

D. Jeon, J.H. Kim, J.S.H. Lee, I.C. Park, I.J. Watson

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea

Y. Choi, C. Hwang, Y. Jeong, J. Lee, Y. Lee, I. Yu

Riga Technical University, Riga, LatviaV. Veckalns³⁴**Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania**

V. Dudenas, A. Juodagalvis, A. Rinkevicius, G. Tamulaitis, J. Vaitkus

National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, MalaysiaF. Mohamad Idris³⁵, W.A.T. Wan Abdullah, M.N. Yusli, Z. Zolkapli**Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico**

J.F. Benitez, A. Castaneda Hernandez, J.A. Murillo Quijada, L. Valencia Palomo

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, MexicoH. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-De La Cruz³⁶, R. Lopez-Fernandez, A. Sanchez-Hernandez**Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico**

S. Carrillo Moreno, C. Oropeza Barrera, M. Ramirez-Garcia, F. Vazquez Valencia

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

J. Eysermans, I. Pedraza, H.A. Salazar Ibarguen, C. Uribe Estrada

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico

A. Morelos Pineda

University of Montenegro, Podgorica, MontenegroJ. Mijuskovic³, N. Raicevic

University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

D. Krofcheck

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

S. Bheesette, P.H. Butler, P. Lujan

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

A. Ahmad, M. Ahmad, M.I.M. Awan, Q. Hassan, H.R. Hoorani, W.A. Khan, M.A. Shah, M. Shoaib, M. Waqas

AGH University of Science and Technology Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Krakow, Poland

V. Avati, L. Grzanka, M. Malawski

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland

H. Bialkowska, M. Bluj, B. Boimska, M. Górski, M. Kazana, M. Szleper, P. Zalewski

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

K. Bunkowski, A. Byszuk³⁷, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski, M. Olszewski, M. Walczak

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal

M. Araujo, P. Bargassa, D. Bastos, A. Di Francesco, P. Faccioli, B. Galinhas, M. Gallinaro, J. Hollar, N. Leonardo, T. Niknejad, J. Seixas, K. Shchelina, G. Strong, O. Toldaiev, J. Varela

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

S. Afanasiev, Y. Ershov, A. Golunov, I. Golutvin, N. Gorbounov, I. Gorbunov, A. Kamenev, V. Karjavine, V. Korenkov, A. Lanev, A. Malakhov, V. Matveev^{38,39}, P. Moisenz, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, S. Shmatov, S. Shulha, V. Smirnov, N. Voytishin, A. Zarubin

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia

L. Chtchipounov, V. Golovtsov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim⁴⁰, E. Kuznetsova⁴¹, P. Levchenko, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, I. Smirnov, D. Sosnov, V. Sulimov, L. Uvarov, A. Vorobyev

Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, A. Karneyev, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, A. Pashenkov, D. Tlisov, A. Toropin

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics named by A.I. Alikhanov of NRC ‘Kurchatov Institute’, Moscow, Russia

V. Epshteyn, V. Gavrilov, N. Lychkovskaya, A. Nikitenko⁴², V. Popov, I. Pozdnyakov, G. Safronov, A. Spiridonov, A. Stepenov, M. Toms, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow, Russia

T. Aushev

National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia

O. Bychkova, R. Chistov⁴³, M. Danilov⁴³, S. Polikarpov⁴³, E. Tarkovskii

P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia

V. Andreev, M. Azarkin, I. Dremin, M. Kirakosyan, A. Terkulov

Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

A. Belyaev, E. Boos, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, A. Kaminskiy⁴⁴, O. Kodolova, V. Korotkikh, I. Lokhtin, S. Obraztsov, S. Petrushanko, V. Savrin, A. Snigirev, I. Vardanyan

Novosibirsk State University (NSU), Novosibirsk, Russia

A. Barnyakov⁴⁵, V. Blinov⁴⁵, T. Dimova⁴⁵, L. Kardapoltsev⁴⁵, Y. Skovpen⁴⁵

Institute for High Energy Physics of National Research Centre 'Kurchatov Institute', Protvino, Russia

I. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, S. Bitiukov, V. Kachanov, D. Konstantinov, P. Mandrik, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, S. Slabospitskii, A. Sobol, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk, Russia

A. Babaev, A. Iuzhakov, V. Okhotnikov

Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia

V. Borchsh, V. Ivanchenko, E. Tcherniaev

University of Belgrade: Faculty of Physics and VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Serbia

P. Adzic⁴⁶, P. Cirkovic, M. Dordevic, P. Milenovic, J. Milosevic, M. Stojanovic

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre, A. Álvarez Fernández, I. Bachiller, M. Barrio Luna, Cristina F. Bedoya, J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, C.A. Carrillo Montoya, M. Cepeda, M. Cerrada, N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, J.P. Fernández Ramos, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, D. Moran, Á. Navarro Tobar, A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo, J. Puerta Pelayo, I. Redondo, L. Romero, S. Sánchez Navas, M.S. Soares, A. Triossi, C. Willmott

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

C. Albajar, J.F. de Trocóniz, R. Reyes-Almanza

Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain

B. Alvarez Gonzalez, J. Cuevas, C. Erice, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero, E. Palencia Cortezon, C. Ramón Álvarez, V. Rodríguez Bouza, S. Sanchez Cruz

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, B. Chazin Quero, J. Duarte Campderros, M. Fernandez, P.J. Fernández Manteca, A. García Alonso, G. Gomez, C. Martinez Rivero, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, F. Matorras, J. Piedra Gomez, C. Prieels, F. Ricci-Tam, T. Rodrigo, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Russo⁴⁷, L. Scodellaro, I. Vila, J.M. Vizán García

University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka

D.U.J. Sonnadara

University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka

W.G.D. Dharmaratna, N. Wickramage

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

T.K. Aarrestad, D. Abbaneo, B. Akgun, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, J. Baechler, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball, D. Barney, J. Bendavid, M. Bianco, A. Bocci, P. Bortignon, E. Bossini, E. Brondolin, T. Camporesi, A. Caratelli, G. Cerminara, E. Chapon, G. Cucciati, D. d’Enterria, A. Dabrowski, N. Daci, V. Daponte, A. David, O. Davignon, A. De Roeck, M. Deile, R. Di Maria, M. Dobson, M. Dünser, N. Dupont, A. Elliott-Peisert, N. Emriskova, F. Fallavollita⁴⁸, D. Fasanella, S. Fiorendi, G. Franzoni, J. Fulcher, W. Funk, S. Giani, D. Gigi, K. Gill, F. Glege, L. Gouskos, M. Gruchala, M. Guilbaud, D. Gulhan, J. Hegeman, C. Heidegger, Y. Iiyama, V. Innocente, T. James, P. Janot, O. Karacheban²¹, J. Kaspar, J. Kieseler, M. Krammer¹, N. Kratochwil, C. Lange, P. Lecoq, K. Long, C. Lourenço, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, A. Massironi, F. Meijers, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, F. Moortgat, M. Mulders, J. Ngadiuba, J. Niedziela, S. Nourbakhsh, S. Orfanelli, L. Orsini, F. Pantaleo¹⁸, L. Pape, E. Perez, M. Peruzzi, A. Petrilli, G. Petrucciani, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pierini, F.M. Pitters, D. Rabadý, A. Racz, M. Rieger, M. Rovere, H. Sakulin, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, S. Scarfi, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, M. Selvaggi, A. Sharma, P. Silva, W. Snoeys, P. Sphicas⁴⁹, J. Steggemann, S. Summers, V.R. Tavolaro, D. Treille, A. Tsiros, G.P. Van Onsem, A. Vartak, M. Verzetti, K.A. Wozniak, W.D. Zeuner

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

L. Caminada⁵⁰, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, T. Rohe

ETH Zurich - Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland

M. Backhaus, P. Berger, A. Calandri, N. Chernyavskaya, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, C. Dorfer, T.A. Gómez Espinosa, C. Grab, D. Hits, W. Luster, M. Mann, R.A. Manzoni, M.T. Meinhard, F. Micheli, P. Musella, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, F. Pauss, V. Perovic, G. Perrin, L. Perrozzi, S. Pigazzini, M.G. Ratti, M. Reichmann, C. Reissel, T. Reitenspiess, B. Ristic, D. Ruini, D.A. Sanz Becerra, M. Schönenberger, L. Shchutskaya, M.L. Vesterbacka Olsson, R. Wallny, D.H. Zhu

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

C. Amsler⁵¹, C. Botta, D. Brzhechko, M.F. Canelli, A. De Cosa, R. Del Burgo, B. Kilminster, S. Leontsinis, V.M. Mikuni, I. Neutelings, G. Rauco, P. Robmann, K. Schweiger, Y. Takahashi, S. Wertz

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, A. Roy, T. Sarkar³⁰, S.S. Yu

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

P. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, P.H. Chen, W.-S. Hou, Y.y. Li, R.-S. Lu, E. Paganis, A. Psallidas, A. Steen

Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand

B. Asavapibhop, C. Asawatangtrakuldee, N. Srimanobhas, N. Suwonjandee

Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey

A. Bat, F. Boran, A. Celik⁵², S. Damarseckin⁵³, Z.S. Demiroglu, F. Dolek, C. Dozen⁵⁴, I. Dumanoglu⁵⁵, G. Gokbulut, EmineGurpinar Guler⁵⁶, Y. Guler, I. Hos⁵⁷, C. Isik, E.E. Kangal⁵⁸, O. Kara, A. Kayis Topaksu, U. Kiminsu, G. Onengut, K. Ozdemir⁵⁹, A.E. Simsek, U.G. Tok, S. Turkcapar, I.S. Zorbakir, C. Zorbilmez

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

B. Isildak⁶⁰, G. Karapinar⁶¹, M. Yalvac⁶²

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

I.O. Atakisi, E. Gülmez, M. Kaya⁶³, O. Kaya⁶⁴, Ö. Özçelik, S. Tekten⁶⁵, E.A. Yetkin⁶⁶

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

A. Cakir, K. Cankocak⁵⁵, Y. Komurcu, S. Sen⁶⁷

Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

S. Cerci⁶⁸, B. Kaynak, S. Ozkorucuklu, D. Sunar Cerci⁶⁸

Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine

B. Grynyov

National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine

L. Levchuk

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

E. Bhal, S. Bologna, J.J. Brooke, D. Burns⁶⁹, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, J. Goldstein, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, L. Kreczko, B. Krikler, S. Paramesvaran, T. Sakuma, S. Seif El Nasr-Storey, V.J. Smith, J. Taylor, A. Titterton

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev⁷⁰, C. Brew, R.M. Brown, D.J.A. Cockerill, J.A. Coughlan, K. Harder, S. Harper, J. Linacre, K. Manolopoulos, D.M. Newbold, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, T. Reis, T. Schuh, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, A. Thea, I.R. Tomalin, T. Williams

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

R. Bainbridge, P. Bloch, S. Bonomally, J. Borg, S. Breeze, O. Buchmuller, A. Bundock, GurpreetSingh CHAHAL⁷¹, D. Colling, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, M. Della Negra, P. Everaerts, G. Hall, G. Iles, M. Komm, J. Langford, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, S. Malik, A. Martelli, V. Milosevic, A. Morton, J. Nash⁷², V. Palladino, M. Pesaresi, D.M. Raymond, A. Richards, A. Rose, E. Scott, C. Seez, A. Shtipliyski, M. Stoye, T. Strebler, A. Tapper, K. Uchida, T. Virdee¹⁸, N. Wardle, S.N. Webb, D. Winterbottom, A.G. Zecchinelli, S.C. Zenz

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, C.K. Mackay, I.D. Reid, L. Teodorescu, S. Zahid

Baylor University, Waco, USA

A. Brinkerhoff, K. Call, B. Caraway, J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, C. Madrid, B. McMaster, N. Pastika, C. Smith

Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA

R. Bartek, A. Dominguez, R. Uniyal, A.M. Vargas Hernandez

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA

A. Buccilli, S.I. Cooper, S.V. Gleyzer, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio, C. West

Boston University, Boston, USA

A. Albert, D. Arcaro, Z. Demiragli, D. Gastler, C. Richardson, J. Rohlf, D. Sperka, D. Spitzbart, I. Suarez, L. Sulak, D. Zou

Brown University, Providence, USA

G. Benelli, B. Burkle, X. Coubez¹⁹, D. Cutts, Y.t. Duh, M. Hadley, U. Heintz, J.M. Hogan⁷³, K.H.M. Kwok, E. Laird, G. Landsberg, K.T. Lau, J. Lee, M. Narain, S. Sagir⁷⁴, R. Syarif, E. Usai, W.Y. Wong, D. Yu, W. Zhang

University of California, Davis, Davis, USA

R. Band, C. Brainerd, R. Breedon, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, M. Chertok, J. Conway, R. Conway, P.T. Cox, R. Erbacher, C. Flores, G. Funk, F. Jensen, W. Ko[†], O. Kukral, R. Lander, M. Mulhearn, D. Pellett, J. Pilot, M. Shi, D. Taylor, K. Tos, M. Tripathi, Z. Wang, F. Zhang

University of California, Los Angeles, USA

M. Bachtis, C. Bravo, R. Cousins, A. Dasgupta, A. Florent, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, N. Mccoll, W.A. Nash, S. Regnard, D. Saltzberg, C. Schnaible, B. Stone, V. Valuev

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA

K. Burt, Y. Chen, R. Clare, J.W. Gary, S.M.A. Ghiasi Shirazi, G. Hanson, G. Karapostoli, O.R. Long, N. Manganeli, M. Olmedo Negrete, M.I. Paneva, W. Si, S. Wimpenny, B.R. Yates, Y. Zhang

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA

J.G. Branson, P. Chang, S. Cittolin, S. Cooperstein, N. Deelen, M. Derdzinski, J. Duarte, R. Gerosa, D. Gilbert, B. Hashemi, D. Klein, V. Krutelyov, J. Letts, M. Masciovecchio, S. May, S. Padhi, M. Pieri, V. Sharma, M. Tadel, F. Würthwein, A. Yagil, G. Zevi Della Porta

University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, USA

N. Amin, R. Bhandari, C. Campagnari, M. Citron, V. Dutta, J. Incandela, B. Marsh, H. Mei, A. Ovcharova, H. Qu, J. Richman, U. Sarica, D. Stuart, S. Wang

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA

D. Anderson, A. Bornheim, O. Cerri, I. Dutta, J.M. Lawhorn, N. Lu, J. Mao, H.B. Newman, T.Q. Nguyen, J. Pata, M. Spiropulu, J.R. Vlimant, S. Xie, Z. Zhang, R.Y. Zhu

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA

J. Alison, M.B. Andrews, T. Ferguson, T. Mudholkar, M. Paulini, M. Sun, I. Vorobiev, M. Weinberg

University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, USA

J.P. Cumalat, W.T. Ford, E. MacDonald, T. Mulholland, R. Patel, A. Perloff, K. Stenson, K.A. Ulmer, S.R. Wagner

Cornell University, Ithaca, USA

J. Alexander, Y. Cheng, J. Chu, A. Datta, A. Frankenthal, K. Mcdermott, J.R. Patterson, D. Quach, A. Ryd, S.M. Tan, Z. Tao, J. Thom, P. Wittich, M. Zientek

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA

S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, M. Alyari, G. Apollinari, A. Apresyan, A. Apyan, S. Banerjee, L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, D. Berry, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, A. Canepa, G.B. Cerati, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, M. Cremonesi, V.D. Elvira, J. Freeman, Z. Gecse, E. Gottschalk, L. Gray, D. Green, S. Grünendahl, O. Gutsche, J. Hanlon, R.M. Harris, S. Hasegawa, R. Heller, J. Hirschauer, B. Jayatilaka, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, T. Klijsma, B. Klima, M.J. Kortelainen, B. Kreis, S. Lammel, J. Lewis, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, M. Liu, T. Liu, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, J.M. Marraffino, D. Mason, P. McBride, P. Merkel, S. Mrenna, S. Nahn, V. O'Dell, V. Papadimitriou, K. Pedro, C. Pena⁷⁵, F. Ravera, A. Reinsvold Hall, L. Ristori, B. Schneider, E. Sexton-Kennedy, N. Smith, A. Soha, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, S. Stoynev, J. Strait, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, R. Vidal, M. Wang, H.A. Weber, A. Woodard

University of Florida, Gainesville, USA

D. Acosta, P. Avery, D. Bourilkov, L. Cadamuro, V. Cherepanov, F. Errico, R.D. Field, D. Guerrero, B.M. Joshi, M. Kim, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, K.H. Lo, K. Matchev, N. Menendez, G. Mitselmakher, D. Rosenzweig, K. Shi, J. Wang, S. Wang, X. Zuo

Florida International University, Miami, USA

Y.R. Joshi

Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA

T. Adams, A. Askew, R. Habibullah, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, K.F. Johnson, R. Khurana, T. Kolberg, G. Martinez, T. Perry, H. Prosper, C. Schiber, R. Yohay, J. Zhang

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA

M.M. Baarmand, M. Hohlmann, D. Noonan, M. Rahmani, M. Saunders, F. Yumiceva

University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA

M.R. Adams, L. Apanasevich, R.R. Betts, R. Cavanaugh, X. Chen, S. Dittmer, O. Evdokimov, C.E. Gerber, D.A. Hangal, D.J. Hofman, V. Kumar, C. Mills, G. Oh, T. Roy, M.B. Tonjes, N. Varelas, J. Viinikainen, H. Wang, X. Wang, Z. Wu

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA

M. Alhusseini, B. Bilki⁵⁶, K. Dilsiz⁷⁶, S. Durgut, R.P. Gandrajula, M. Haytmyradov, V. Khristenko, O.K. Köseyan, J.-P. Merlo, A. Mestvirishvili⁷⁷, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, H. Ogul⁷⁸, Y. Onel, F. Ozok⁷⁹, A. Penzo, C. Snyder, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel, K. Yi⁸⁰

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA

B. Blumenfeld, A. Cocoros, N. Eminizer, A.V. Gritsan, W.T. Hung, S. Kyriacou, P. Maksimovic, C. Mantilla, J. Roskes, M. Swartz, T.Á. Vami

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA

C. Baldenegro Barrera, P. Baringer, A. Bean, S. Boren, A. Bylinkin, T. Isidori, S. Khalil, J. King, G. Krintiras, A. Kropivnitskaya, C. Lindsey, W. Mcbrayer, N. Minafra, M. Murray, C. Rogan, C. Royon, S. Sanders, E. Schmitz, J.D. Tapia Takaki, Q. Wang, J. Williams, G. Wilson

Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA

S. Duric, A. Ivanov, K. Kaadze, D. Kim, Y. Maravin, D.R. Mendis, T. Mitchell, A. Modak, A. Mohammadi

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA

F. Rebassoo, D. Wright

University of Maryland, College Park, USA

A. Baden, O. Baron, A. Belloni, S.C. Eno, Y. Feng, N.J. Hadley, S. Jabeen, G.Y. Jeng, R.G. Kellogg, A.C. Mignerey, S. Nabili, M. Seidel, A. Skuja, S.C. Tonwar, L. Wang, K. Wong

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA

D. Abercrombie, B. Allen, R. Bi, S. Brandt, W. Busza, I.A. Cali, M. D'Alfonso, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, P. Harris, D. Hsu, M. Hu, M. Klute, D. Kovalskyi, Y.-J. Lee, P.D. Luckey, B. Maier, A.C. Marini, C. McGinn, C. Mironov, S. Narayanan, X. Niu, C. Paus, D. Rankin, C. Roland, G. Roland, Z. Shi, G.S.F. Stephans, K. Sumorok, K. Tatar, D. Velicanu, J. Wang, T.W. Wang, B. Wyslouch

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA

R.M. Chatterjee, A. Evans, S. Guts[†], P. Hansen, J. Hiltbrand, Sh. Jain, Y. Kubota, Z. Lesko, J. Mans, M. Revering, R. Rusack, R. Saradhy, N. Schroeder, N. Strobbe, M.A. Wadud

University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA

J.G. Acosta, S. Oliveros

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA

K. Bloom, S. Chauhan, D.R. Claes, C. Fangmeier, L. Finco, F. Golf, R. Kamalieddin, I. Kravchenko, J.E. Siado, G.R. Snow[†], B. Stieger, W. Tabb

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA

G. Agarwal, C. Harrington, I. Iashvili, A. Kharchilava, C. McLean, D. Nguyen, A. Parker, J. Pekkanen, S. Rappoccio, B. Roozbahani

Northeastern University, Boston, USA

G. Alverson, E. Barberis, C. Freer, Y. Haddad, A. Hortiangtham, G. Madigan, B. Marzocchi, D.M. Morse, V. Nguyen, T. Orimoto, L. Skinnari, A. Tishelman-Charny, T. Wamorkar, B. Wang, A. Wisecarver, D. Wood

Northwestern University, Evanston, USA

S. Bhattacharya, J. Bueghly, G. Fedi, A. Gilbert, T. Gunter, K.A. Hahn, N. Odell, M.H. Schmitt, K. Sung, M. Velasco

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA

R. Bucci, N. Dev, R. Goldouzian, M. Hildreth, K. Hurtado Anampa, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, K. Lannon, W. Li, N. Loukas, N. Marinelli, I. Mcalister, F. Meng, Y. Musienko³⁸, R. Ruchti, P. Siddireddy, G. Smith, S. Taroni, M. Wayne, A. Wightman, M. Wolf

The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA

J. Alimena, B. Bylsma, B. Cardwell, L.S. Durkin, B. Francis, C. Hill, W. Ji, A. Lefeld, T.Y. Ling, B.L. Winer

Princeton University, Princeton, USA

G. Dezoort, P. Elmer, J. Hardenbrook, N. Haubrich, S. Higginbotham, A. Kalogeropoulos, S. Kwan, D. Lange, M.T. Lucchini, J. Luo, D. Marlow, K. Mei, I. Ojalvo, J. Olsen, C. Palmer, P. Piroué, D. Stickland, C. Tully

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA

S. Malik, S. Norberg

Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA

A. Barker, V.E. Barnes, R. Chawla, S. Das, L. Gutay, M. Jones, A.W. Jung, B. Mahakud, D.H. Miller, G. Negro, N. Neumeister, C.C. Peng, S. Piperov, H. Qiu, J.F. Schulte, N. Trevisani, F. Wang, R. Xiao, W. Xie

Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, USA

T. Cheng, J. Dolen, N. Parashar

Rice University, Houston, USA

A. Baty, U. Behrens, S. Dildick, K.M. Ecklund, S. Freed, F.J.M. Geurts, M. Kilpatrick, Arun Kumar, W. Li, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts, J. Rorie, W. Shi, A.G. Stahl Leiton, Z. Tu, A. Zhang

University of Rochester, Rochester, USA

A. Bodek, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, J.L. Dulemba, C. Fallon, T. Ferbel, M. Galanti, A. Garcia-Bellido, O. Hindrichs, A. Khukhunaishvili, E. Ranken, R. Taus

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA

B. Chiarito, J.P. Chou, A. Gandrakota, Y. Gershtein, E. Halkiadakis, A. Hart, M. Heindl, E. Hughes, S. Kaplan, I. Laflotte, A. Lath, R. Montalvo, K. Nash, M. Osherson, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA

H. Acharya, A.G. Delannoy, S. Spanier

Texas A&M University, College Station, USA

O. Bouhali⁸¹, M. Dalchenko, A. Delgado, R. Eusebi, J. Gilmore, T. Huang, T. Kamon⁸², H. Kim, S. Luo, S. Malhotra, D. Marley, R. Mueller, D. Overton, L. Perniè, D. Rathjens, A. Safonov

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA

N. Akchurin, J. Damgov, F. De Guio, V. Hegde, S. Kunori, K. Lamichhane, S.W. Lee, T. Mengke, S. Muthumuni, T. Peltola, S. Undleeb, I. Volobouev, Z. Wang, A. Whitbeck

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA

S. Greene, A. Gurrola, R. Janjam, W. Johns, C. Maguire, A. Melo, H. Ni, K. Padeken, F. Romeo, P. Sheldon, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska, M. Verweij

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA

M.W. Arenton, P. Barria, B. Cox, G. Cummings, J. Hakala, R. Hirosky, M. Joyce, A. Ledovskoy, C. Neu, B. Tannenwald, Y. Wang, E. Wolfe, F. Xia

Wayne State University, Detroit, USA

R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, N. Poudyal, J. Sturdy, P. Thapa

University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, WI, USA

K. Black, T. Bose, J. Buchanan, C. Caillol, D. Carlsmith, S. Dasu, I. De Bruyn, L. Dodd, C. Galloni, H. He, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, U. Hussain, A. Lanaro, A. Loeliger, R. Loveless,

J. Madhusudanan Sreekala, A. Mallampalli, D. Pinna, T. Ruggles, A. Savin, V. Sharma,
W.H. Smith, D. Teague, S. Trembath-reichert

†: Deceased

- 1: Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria
- 2: Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium
- 3: Also at IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
- 4: Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil
- 5: Also at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil
- 6: Also at UFMS, Nova Andradina, Brazil
- 7: Also at Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil
- 8: Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
- 9: Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics named by A.I. Alikhanov of NRC ‘Kurchatov Institute’, Moscow, Russia
- 10: Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
- 11: Also at Zewail City of Science and Technology, Zewail, Egypt
- 12: Also at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt
- 13: Now at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt
- 14: Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA
- 15: Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France
- 16: Also at Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia
- 17: Also at Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey
- 18: Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
- 19: Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany
- 20: Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany
- 21: Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany
- 22: Also at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary, Debrecen, Hungary
- 23: Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
- 24: Also at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary, Budapest, Hungary
- 25: Also at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India, Bhubaneswar, India
- 26: Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India
- 27: Also at G.H.G. Khalsa College, Punjab, India
- 28: Also at Shoolini University, Solan, India
- 29: Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India
- 30: Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India
- 31: Now at INFN Sezione di Bari^a, Università di Bari^b, Politecnico di Bari^c, Bari, Italy
- 32: Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy
- 33: Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy
- 34: Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia, Riga, Latvia
- 35: Also at Malaysian Nuclear Agency, MOSTI, Kajang, Malaysia
- 36: Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico
- 37: Also at Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Electronic Systems, Warsaw, Poland
- 38: Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia
- 39: Now at National Research Nuclear University ‘Moscow Engineering Physics Institute’ (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia
- 40: Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia

- 41: Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, USA
- 42: Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom
- 43: Also at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia
- 44: Also at INFN Sezione di Padova^a, Università di Padova^b, Padova, Italy, Università di Trento^c, Trento, Italy, Padova, Italy
- 45: Also at Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia
- 46: Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
- 47: Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy
- 48: Also at INFN Sezione di Pavia^a, Università di Pavia^b, Pavia, Italy, Pavia, Italy
- 49: Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- 50: Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland
- 51: Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria, Vienna, Austria
- 52: Also at Burdur Mehmet Akif Ersoy University, BURDUR, Turkey
- 53: Also at Şırnak University, Sırnak, Turkey
- 54: Also at Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, Beijing, China
- 55: Also at Near East University, Research Center of Experimental Health Science, Nicosia, Turkey
- 56: Also at Beykent University, Istanbul, Turkey, Istanbul, Turkey
- 57: Also at Istanbul Aydin University, Application and Research Center for Advanced Studies (App. & Res. Cent. for Advanced Studies), Istanbul, Turkey
- 58: Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey
- 59: Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 60: Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 61: Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey
- 62: Also at Bozok Universititesi Rektörlüğü, Yozgat, Turkey
- 63: Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 64: Also at Milli Savunma University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 65: Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
- 66: Also at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey
- 67: Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey
- 68: Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey
- 69: Also at Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium
- 70: Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom
- 71: Also at IPPP Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom
- 72: Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia
- 73: Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minneapolis, USA, St. Paul, USA
- 74: Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey
- 75: Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA
- 76: Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey
- 77: Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia
- 78: Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey
- 79: Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey
- 80: Also at Nanjing Normal University Department of Physics, Nanjing, China
- 81: Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar
- 82: Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea, Daegu, Korea