### Measuring the Development of ICT Skills for Personalized Learning

#### Citation for published version (APA):

Ackermans, K., Bakker, M., Gorissen, P., van Loon, A-M., Camp, G., & Kral, M. (2021). *Measuring the Development of ICT Skills for Personalized Learning: Developing an Instrument for Dutch Primary Education*. 251. Poster session presented at EARLI 2021, Gothenburg, Sweden. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.14161.04964

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.14161.04964

Document status and date: Published: 26/08/2021

**Document license:** CC BY-NC-ND

#### Please check the document version of this publication:

• A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.

• The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.

• The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

#### Link to publication

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
  You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

#### https://www.ou.nl/taverne-agreement

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

#### pure-support@ou.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Downloaded from https://research.ou.nl/ on date: 12 Dec. 2021



Authors

Measuring the Development of ICT Skills for Personalized Learning: **Developing an Instrument for Dutch Primary Education** 

Kevin Ackermans<sup>1</sup>, Marjoke Bakker<sup>2</sup>, Pierre Gorissen<sup>2</sup>, Gino Camp<sup>1</sup>, Anne-Marieke van Loon<sup>2</sup>, Marijke Kral<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Open University of the Netherlands <sup>2</sup>HAN University of Applied Sciences

## **Research problem**

Our study investigates the development and validation of a questionnaire for competencies learners need to learn in a personalized way using ICT.

**Background**: 9 Dutch schools for primary education collaborate to make personalized learning with ICT evidence-informed. At these nine iXperium schools, multidisciplinary design teams (consisting of primary school teachers and principals, teachers and students of the teacher-training program of a Dutch university of applied science, researchers from a Dutch university, and external ICT experts) design and research integrated interventions for PL with ICT. However, we had no way of measuring if ICT competences related to PL benefit from our interventions



## Method

We defined personalized learning conditions before filtering the twentyfour learning objectives needed to measure development in personalized learning using ICT. The final questionnaire consists of thirty-three questions to cover the learning objectives. The validity and reliability of our questionary are analyzed multiple steps. Cognitive validity is reported based on a pilot with three iterative rounds of interviews (*n*=25). Confirmatory factor analysis, coefficient Alpha and Omega (5) are reported after the first run of the questionnaire (n=800), and a test-retest alpha is reported after the second run of the questionnaire (*n*=800).



Al scores on the questionnaire have been coded as ordered catagorical values 0 (wrong answer) or 1 (right answer) in SPSS version. The questionnaire scores are analyzed with a confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) using the "lavaan" package (version 0.6-8) in Rstudio (version 1.4.1717). We ran the CFA using the diagonally weighed least squared estimator because our values are categorical (0,1). One question showed a high variance and a high covariance with other questions (search on bol.com) and was omitted from all three clusterings. The CFA output from Lavaan is then used to calculate reliability using the "semtools" package (version 0.5-4) in Rstudio.

![](_page_1_Figure_13.jpeg)

Clustering 1 (effective use of ICT, collaborative use of ICT and creative use of ICT) resulted in a very close fit. The Comparative Fit Index and Tucker Lewis Index (CFI, **TLI) scored 0.991**. The Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (**RMSEA**) scored 0.015. Hu and Bentler (1999) suggested that an RMSEA smaller than .06 and a CFI and TLI larger than .95 indicate relatively good model-data fit in general. Chi square indicates a good fit at 0.01, as greater than 2 indicates poor fit (Byrne, 1989). SRMR is a goodness of fit measure used to avoid misspecification

# **Composite cluster-reliability**

Using alpha to determine the reliability of our clusters shows a good (>0.9, effective and collaborative use of ICT) to best (0.84, creative use of ICT) score. Coefficient omega scores range from **0.63** (creative use of ICT) to **.8** (effective and collaborative use of ICT). This means that between 63% and 81% of the total score variance is can be explained from these clusters.

**Reliability results in coefficient alpha and coefficient omega.** 

![](_page_1_Figure_18.jpeg)

and scored 0.75. A SRMR score below 0.08 is considered a good fit (Henseler et al. 2014). Clustering 2 scored worse compared to clustering 1on the goodness of fit value SRMR (0.141) and does not qualify to be a good fit as it is above 0.1 (Henseler et al. 2014). Clustering 3 did not meet the requirements of having a positive definite covariance matrix of latent variables and did not return reliable results in Lavaan.

H, O	AN_U F APPI	NIVERS LIED SC	ITY IENCES		E RIU NTRE OF EX	J MA PERTISE	Open U	niversite www.ou	eit I.nl	
evar	0.4100301	0.4909205	0.4662705	•						
omega3	0.8184511	0.8014423	0.6498158							
omega2	0.8125980	0.7961479	0.6325452							
omega	0.8125980	0.7961479	0.6325452		Indudududu					
alpha	0.9089847	0.9017492	0.8413378							
	use of ICT	use of ICT	use of ICT							
	Effective	Collaborative	Creactive	•	0.49 0.52 0.63 0.62 0.44	0.59 0.52 0.27 0.54 0.47 0.78	-0.45 - 0.45*** 0.37 0.39 0.58 0.63			