Deception of pre-heating and body core temperature improves self-paced running of females in hot, humid conditions

> Neil Maxwell, Charlotte Woolf, Jess Mee, Tess Davison & Paul Castle

> > 2010 BASES Conference, Glasgow





Introduction: Deception & Pacing Studies

Distance Feedback Before Exercise

Distance Feedback During Exercise

	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3		480] 460]								12	The second
Long Distance (km)	30	36	30	(M	440- 420- 400-								.7	
Time (min)	61.5 ± 3.1	76.1 ± 2.9	53.3 ± 2.6	itput (V	380 - 360 -	Тт						- RAL	T	
Short Distance (km)	30	24	30	Power Ot	340- 320- 300-	Ī			III	III	Ī			
Time (min)	66.4 ± 3.4	53.5 ± 2.4	74.8 ± 2.1		280-									
Control Distance (km)	30	30	30		240 - 220 -		30	40	50	60	70	80	90	1
Time (min)	63.4 ± 3.4	62.3 ± 2.6	61.8 ± 2.0					% of	Tota	l Tim	e			

Paterson & Marino (2004). Percep Motor Skills 98: 1017-1026

Albertus et al (2005). MSSE 37(3): 461-468

Aim: to investigate whether providing incorrect information of the preheating and core temperature would alter self-paced, 5K running in the heat.

Methods: Participants and Experimental Design

N = 10 females	
3 main trials	

□ Main trials during follicular phase

Variable	Mean ± SD
Age (years)	22 ± 3
Weight (kg)	60 ± 8
Height (cm)	169 ± 9
VO ₂ max (ml·kg ^{-1.} min ⁻¹)	46 ± 3



Split time, Tr, HR, RPE, TS

Methods: Deception Procedure

Preheating Temperature

Verbal Cues Used:

- 34°C: "this is the coolest temperature so you shouldn't feel as hot today"
- 37°C: "today you will be in the middle water tank temperature"
- 40°C "today's bath is going to feel very hot" and "careful as you get in the water as it is the hottest temperature today"

Core Temperature Feedback

- □ 34°C: -0.3°C
- □ 37°C: actual temperature used
- □ 40°C +0.3°C

□ Reference temperature created

Perceived Temperature:	34°C	37°C	40°C
Actual Temperature:	37	.0 ± 0.5	°C



Results: Time Trial Performance

		Distance (km)							
		1	2	3	4 (n=6)	5 (n=5)			
34°C		352 ± 61	692 ± 109	1037 ± 139	1297 ± 137	$ ightharpoons1610\pm174$			
37°C	Seconds	357 ± 51	700 ± 93	1059 ± 118	1360 ± 90	1673 ± 140			
40°C	(s)	-371±63*	-721 ± 108*	-1087 ± 160*	$-$ 1374 \pm 151*	1731 ± 201*			



Results: Tc & HR During Preheating and Time Trial



Results: Perceptual Responses During Time Trial

	Distance (km)								
	1	2	3	4 (n=6)	5 (n=5)				
RPE									
34°C	13.0 ± 1.1	14.9 ± 1.4	16.1 ± 1.5	17.7 ± 0.5	19.2 ± 0.5				
37°C	13.1 ± 1.4	14.5 ± 1.5	15.6 ± 1.5	17.2 ± 1.5	18.6 ± 0.9				
40°C	13.4 ± 1.7	14.8 ± 1.9	16.4 ± 1.2	18.0 ± 1.1	18.6 ± 1.1				
TS									
34°C	6.0 ± 0.6	6.6 ± 0.4	6.9 ± 0.5	7.3 ± 0.4	7.4 ± 0.4				
37°C	5.7 ± 0.7	6.3 ± 0.6	6.7± 0.7	6.8 ± 0.9	7.1 ± 0.8				
40°C	6.0 ± 0.7	6.5 ± 0.7	6.8 ± 0.5	7.0 ± 0.8	7.4± 0.4				

Results: Voluntary & Evoked Muscle Properties



Baseline Post Time Trial

Conclusion

Pacing strategy was manipulated; in this study by incorrect perception of temperature.

	Distance (km)								
	1	2	3	4	5				
34-40°C (%)	5.1	4.0	4.6	5.6	7.0				
TEM as CV(%)	4.1	2.7	2.1	1.5	2.4				

Supports evidence that preheating reduces endurance performance in the heat

Incorrect perception of temperature may have resulted in a mismatch between the previously learnt conscious and subconscious pacing strategy.

Deception of preheating temperature before rather than core temperature during time trial responsible for the altered pacing strategy

Implication: Correct knowledge of internal and external temperature could be important for athletes, otherwise they may underperform if they perceive a greater heat load to exist.