Using multi-word utterances more flexibly in non-fluent aphasia: Findings from a case series investigation

Claudia Bruns, Vitor Zimmerer, Carolyn Bruce, Rosemary Varley, & Suzanne Beeke Department of Language & Cognition, Division of Psychology and Language Sciences, University College London

Contact: c.bruns@ucl.ac.uk

@claudihei

www.cognitionandgrammar.net

INTRODUCTION

Usage-based theories of grammar^[1]

- Words ("*I*", "*like*", "*it*") **and** phrases ("*I like it*") are form-meaning pairings
- Importance of frequency of use (i.e. experience): more frequent word combinations are more likely to be accessed holistically

High-frequency word combinations

- High functional value
- Retained in non-fluent aphasia [2;3]
- From fixed to flexible:

e.g. I like it / I like coffee / [PERSON] like [THING]

Aim of this study

To **develop** and **pilot** an intervention for people with non-fluent aphasia aimed at increasing the productivity of constructions

METHODS

Participants

Five participants with chronic non-fluent aphasia (NFA) (MPO = 24 – 165; age range: 48-68 years; M = 59.80; SD = 7.36)

Design

	Pre-ir ass	Pre-intervention assessments			Intervention phase						Post intervention follow-up assessments						
Week	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
										\bigtriangleup						\bigtriangleup	
	Baseline 1		Baseline 2		in					Post itervention					м	Maintenance	

Intervention

- 6-week computerized intervention, three phases (Fig. 1)
- 12 constructional frames, e.g.:

Giving an opinion [REFERENT] like [THING] I like it → you like it → you like tea



Jutaama maaauraa



RESULTS

Is there evidence that after intervention participants with NFA demonstrate...



Primary	Connected speech measures							
outcome measures	Automated frequency-based analysis using the							
	Frequency in Language Analysis Tool (FLAT [5])							
	combination ratio $-\frac{number of 3-word combinations}{1}$							
	number of single words							
	Data:							
	Narratives							
	Spontaneous Speech Samples (e.g., Last Holiday)							
Secondary	Story Completion Test							
outcome measures	Probing all 12 constructions, e.g.:							
	I baked a cake. My friend asks: "Did you buy this cake?",							
	so I say? [Target: "I made it"]							
	Spoken sentence comprehension (TROG-2 [6])							
	Aphasia Impact Questionnaire-21 (https://www.aig-21.net/)							

A NEW TRIAL UTILISE (Unification Therapy Integrating LexIcon and Sentences)

3-year project: Mar 2019 - Feb 2022 Funded by the Stroke Association



AIMS

- To test a new usage-based aphasia therapy for facilitating understanding & producing everyday sentences;
- To explore the effect of behavioural therapy in combination with brain stimulation (tDCS).

WHAT THE STUDY INVOLVES

Computer therapy for aphasia + tDCS (N = 66 participants)
Immediate and deferred trial entry group, with allocation

SUMMARY & DISCUSSION

Using FLAT variables to evaluate sentence-level interventions

- Intervention enhanced ability to combine words into well-formed utterances for some participants
- Group means showed promising & sustained increase of combination ratio across participants

Story Completion Test

- Evaluating trained constructions is challenging
- Number of grammatically well-formed utterances (instead of expected answers score) might be more sensitive to communicative change following intervention?

to active- vs sham-tDCS





Trial registered with ISRCTN (study ID ISRCTN14466044)

More information can be found at: www.cognitionandgrammar.net/utilise

References

[1] Bybee (2010). *Language, Usage and Cognition.* 1st ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

[2] Beeke (2003). "I suppose" as a resource for the construction of turns at talk in agrammatic aphasia. *Clinical Linguistics & Phonetics, 17(4-5*), 291–298.

[3] Bruns et al. (2019). "I don't know": a usage-based approach to familiar collocations in non-fluent aphasia. *Aphasiology, 33(2),* 140–162.

[4] Whiteside et al. (2012). Error reduction therapy in reducing struggle and grope behaviours in apraxia of speech. *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation*, 22(2), 267–294.

[5] Zimmerer, Coleman, & Wibrow (2017). Frequency in Language Analysis Tool.
[6] Bishop (2003). Test for Reception of Grammar, Version 2 (TROG-2). London: Pearson.

