THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF	
PROJECT ADMINISTRATION DATA SHEET	T REVISION NO
0.00 000	REVISION NO.
Project No. G-36-658 Raymond Miller	8/20/81
Project Director: Richard Demillo, Kimberly King, & School/Lab IC	S
Sponsor: National Science Foundation; Washington, D. C. 20550	
Type Agreement: Grant No. MCS-8103608	
Award Period: From 7/15/81 To 12/31/8283 (Performance)	(Reports
Sponsor Amount: \$86,986	Contracted through:
Cost Sharing: \$10,000 (G-36-345)	GTRI/GIT
Title: Models of Computation and Algorithms	
ADMINISTRATIVE DATA OCA CONTACT Leamon R. So	cott x4820
1) Sponsor Technical Contact: Ken K. Curtis, NSF Program Officer; Co	
Division of Mathematical and Computer Sciences; Directorate for	
Physical Sciences; NSF; Washington, D. C. 20550 202-357	
2) Sponsor Admin./Contractual Contact: Myra Galinn, Grants Official	
Branch; Division of Grants & Contracts; Directorate for Adminis	
	stration, Nor,
Washington, D. C. 20550 Tel. 202-357-9671	
Reports: See Deliverable Schedule Security Classification:	
Defense Priority Rating:	
RESTRICTIONS NOT	
See Attached NSF Supplemental Information Sheet f	or Additional Requires
Travel: Foreign travel must have prior approval - Contact OCA in e travel requires sponsor approval where total will exceed g 1253 of approved proposal budget category.	
Equipment: Title vests with GIT	
COMMENTS: * Includes the usual six (6) month unfunded flexibility	period.
Committee and the control of months and and the control of the con	
COPIES TO	

Administrative Coordinator Research Property Management V Reports Coordinator (OCA) Accounting Office

Research Security Services Legal Services (CCA)

EES Research Public Relat Project File (OCA)

FORM OCA 69.285

OFFICE OF CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION

SPONSORED PROJECT TERMINATION/CLOSEOUT SHEET 6-15-87 School/tak ncludes Subproject No.(s) N/A roject Director(s) R.A. DeMillo GTRC / GKT National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C. 20550 ponsor Models of Computation and Algorithms 12/31/84 3/31/85 (Performance) (Reports) **Effective Completion Date:** Frant/Contract Closeout Actions Remaining: None Final Invoice or Final Fiscal Report **Closing Documents** Final Report of Inventions Govt. Property Inventory & Related Certificate **Classified Material Certificate** Other Continued by Project No. Continues Project No. OPIES TO: Library roject Director GTRC lesearch Administrative Network lesearch Property Management Project File **\ccounting** Other Duane H. rocurement/GTRI Supply Services Angela DuBose Research Security Services Russ Embry leports Coordinator (OCA) MORK X MIXICES

	PROPOSAL TO T	THE NATION Cover Pa		FOUNDATION	J		
FOR CONSIDERATION BY N Undicate the most specific uni	t known, i.e. program, di	ivision, etc.)	IS THIS PROPORTION OF THE PROPERTY ACRONYM(S)	OSAL BEING SUBA GENCY? Yes	MITTED TO ANO	THER S, LIST	
	Computer Science	e					
PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT	/SOLICITATION NO.:	CLC	OSING DATE (IF A	ANYI: -			
NAME OF SUBMITTING ORG Georgia Tech ADDRESS OF ORGANIZATIO	Research Insti	itute	D BE MADE (INCL	UDE BRANCH/CA	MPUS/OTHER CO	OMPONENTS)	
Atlanta, Geo		=)					
TITLE OF PROPOSED PROJ		utation and	Algorithms	1910			
REQUESTED AMOUNT	PROPOSE	DOURATION		DESIRED STAR			
\$99,825	12	2 months		January	1, 1983		
PI/PD DEPARTMENT Information and Con	mputer Science		nstitute of		(404) 894-		
PI/PD NAME -		SOCIAL SECU		BEGREE AUGHES			
Richard A. DeMil	10	469-48-08	892	Ph.D. 1972	X		
ADDITIONAL PI/PD Kimberly N. King		297-48-66	607	Ph.D. 1980	х		
ADDITIONAL PI/PD Raymond E. Miller ADDITIONAL PI/PD		394-24-75	522	Ph.D. 1957	Х		
ADDITIONAL PI/PD	76-2						
FOR RENEWAL OR CONTINUE PREVIOUS AWARD NO.: MO	CS-8103608	СН	SUBMITTING ORC	e CFR Title 13, Part	121 for Definition		
* Submission of SSN and other an integral part of the NSF inf	r personal data is volunta formation system and assi	ist in processing pro	roposals. SSN solicité	ed under NSF Act of	f 1950, as amended	ey are	
CHECK APPROPRIATE BO	X(ES) IF THIS PROP	OSAL INCLUDE			4.44		
☐ Animal Welfare ☐ Endangered Species ☐ Historical Sites	☐ Human Subject ☐ Marine Mamma ☐ Pollution Cont	al Protection	☐ Research Molecules	Environmental Po Involving Recomb s ary and Privileged I	binant DNA	ī	
PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATE PROJECT DIRECTOR	ALITAI	THORIZED ORGANIZATIONAL REP.		ОТНЕ	R ENDORSEMEN (optional)	Т	
NAME NAME		. Hutchison		NAME Kimberly 1 King	11 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Raymond E. Miller	
S/GNATORE	SIGNAT			SIGNATURE		1	
Итсе	TITLE			TITLE Assistant		ofessor &	
Professor	Cont	tracting Off	licer	Professor	Dir	rector	
5-17-82	2 5-27-82		5-17-82	5-1	7-82		

REQUEST FOR INCREMENTAL FUNDING FOR CONTINUING NSF GRANT No. MCS-81-03608

BRIEF SUMMARY OF SCIENTIFIC PROGRESS

Richard A. DeMillo, Co-Principal Investigator

Most of my work this year has concentrated on models of distributed and parallel computation. Of particular interest has been the exploration of distributed problems in which the underlying model of computation contains cryptographic transformations as explicit operations. The major results of this work have been reported in [1,2]. This grant has also supported a Ph.D. student, Michael J. Merritt, whose dissertation (expected completion: July, 1982) will deal with cryptographic protocols as distributed algorithms.

Byzantine Generals: The Byzantine Generals' Problem is a communications problem involving k processors, m of which may be faulty. Each processor P_i has a private value v_i to communicate. In sychronous rounds the processors send messages to obtain a vector of values V_i such that $V_i = v_i$ if P_i is a non-faulty processor. It was previously known that no solution is possible if k < 2m+1 provided that processors do not have the power to "sign" their messages. Signatures provide a sort of encryption capability and it was also known that with encryption available, there is a solution for any number of faulty processors. Upper and lower bounds on the number of communications rounds needed for a solution remained open for some time. In 1981, Mike Fischer, Nancy Lynch and Leslie Lamport proved that m+1 rounds are necessary and sufficient in the absence of encryption.

Our result resolves the lower bound problem in the model in which arbitrary encryption is available. In this model m+l rounds are required.

Protocol Modelling: As protocols become more complex, their correctness properties become more difficult to establish and there are no techniques available to prove the impossibility of solutions to certain protocol problems. Our progress in this area has been to isolate a general model of protocols and define a technique for establishing certain security properties. Security is defined with respect to a model of communication and inference. By using model-theoretic techniques, we can define a class of protocols with the following behavior. If an "enemy" tries to determine whether or not a set of properties S is true of the system, he is forced to test S against his own inferences and those messages he can derive from the set of messages he has received. Suppose there is a renaming of objects in the systems which causes (from the enemy's point of view) the protocol to behave in an entirely equivalent manner but which is undetectable to him. If in this renaming S is false, then the enemy cannot infer S from his current knowledge of the state of the system, i.e., S is logically independent of his knowledge. This method of hidden automorphisms has been applied to the analysis of several protocols and has been extended to the case of randomness. These results are reported in more detail in [2].

- 1. R. DeMillo, N. Lynch and M. Merritt, "The Design and Analysis of Cryptographic Protocols," Crypto 81, Santa Barbara, August, 1981.
- 2. R. DeMillo, N. Lynch and M. Merritt, "Cryptographic Protocols," Proceedings of the 14th ACM Symposium of the Theory of Computing, San Francisco, May, 1982.

Kimberly N. King, Co-Principal Investigator

Together with doctoral student Eric Allender, I have been surveying current research in automation-based complexity and concrete complexity. We have identified several interesting problems which we hope to pursue in the near future. Under my direction, Allender has written a paper on multihead finite automata, which he is using to satisfy a departmental requirement. In addition to surveying known results about multihead finite automata, his paper gives improvements of several previously-known theorems and new proofs of others.

I have also begun to develop a research interest in algorithms for solving computer graphics problems. I intend to develop this interest further during the summer.

Raymond E. Miller, Co-Principal Investigator

Work continued on comparing models of parallel computation that had been started prior to the grant. A revision of a paper "Homomorphisms Between Models of Parallel Computation" by T. Kasai and R.E. Miller was completed. This revision includes new work relating the concepts of "reduction" and "contractions" of other researchers, to the computation system approach developed in this paper. A new area of study has also been initiated investigating formal models for specifying and varifying network protocols. There appear to be many similarities of modelling protocols with our previous studies in parallel computation and synchronization.

ESTIMATE OF UNOBLIGATED FUNDS AT THE END OF THE PERIOD FOR WHICH NSF CURRENTLY IS PROVIDING FUNDS

The School of Information and Computer Science request permission to carry forward approximately \$3,500 of non-personal services monies plus associated overhead costs of \$1,925. The total carry forward amount of \$5,425 represents less than 10% of the current funding increment.

	P	ort (January 1, ROPOSAL BUDGE	T		The second second	OR NSF US	-	Υ	
ORGANIZATION Georgia	ETINGI PROPOSAL BUDGET INIZATION Georgia Institute of Technology PROPOSAL I				ALNO	L NO. DURAT			MONTHS
School of Information and Computer Science					Proposed		Granted		
RINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR			A	WARD	NO.				
Richard A. DeMillo	, Kimberly N. King, I	Raymond E. Miller							
	PD, Co-PI's, Faculty and Other Settle; A.6. show number in brackets			SON:MI		REQUEST:	SER	GRAI	FUNDS NTED BY N DIFFEREN
. Richard A. DeM	illo, Professor		1.2		5	6,33	34	5	
	ing, Assistant Profes	ssor			2.0	6,76			
Raymond E. Mil			1.8			11,58			
, () OTHERS (LIST INDI	IVIDUALLY ON BUDGET EXPL	ANATION PAGE							
. (3) TOTAL SENIC	OR PERSONNEL (1-5)		3.0	2	2.0	24,68	36		
OTHER PERSONNEL ISH	OW NUMBERS IN BRACKETS)								
. () POST DOCTORAL A	SSOCIATES								
TO OTHER PROFESSIO	NALS (TECHNICIAN, PROGRA	MMER, ETC.)							
. (2) GRADUATE STUDE						22,80	00		
. () UNDERGRADUATE									
. (1) SECRETARIAL CLE						4,82	29		
S. () OTHER									
TOTAL SALARIES AND	WAGES (A+B)					52,31			
. FRINGE BENEFITS (IF CH	ARGED AS DIRECT COSTS) 2	2% of applicable	salaries	& was	ges,	6,49			
	ES AND FRINGE BENEFITS (A					58,80)8		
TOTAL PERMANENT EC		SEESIONS)				3 00	00		
	QUIPMENT (INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POS	SESSIONS)				3,00	00		
E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC		SESSIONS)				3,00	00		
E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC 2. FOREIGN	(INCL, CANADA AND U.S. POS	SESSIONS)				3,00	00		
E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC 2. FOREIGN	(INCL, CANADA AND U.S. POS	SESSIONS)				3,00	00		
2. FOREIGN F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT C	(INCL, CANADA AND U.S. POS	SESSIONS)				3,00	00		
2. FOREIGN F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT C 1. STIPENDS 5.	(INCL, CANADA AND U.S. POS	SESSIONS)				3,00	00		
F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT CO. S. TRAVEL	(INCL, CANADA AND U.S. POS	SESSIONS)				3,00	00		
F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT CO. STIPENDS S. TRAVEL 3. SUBSISTENCE	(INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POS	SESSIONS)				3,00	00		
F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT CONTINUES SOURCE SOUR	(INCL. CANADA AND U.S. POS	SESSIONS)				3,00	00		
F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTICIPANT OF THE PARTI	COSTS	SESSIONS)				-			
F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	COSTS OSTS	SESSIONS)				1,50	00		
F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTICIPANT OF THE PARTI	COSTS OSTS PLIES PAGE CHARGES	SESSIONS)				-	00		
E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC 2. FOREIGN 2. FOREIGN 4. STIPENDS S 2. TRAVEL 3. SUBSISTENCE 4. OTHER TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS 1. MATERIALS AND SUP 2. PUBLICATION COSTS/ 3. CONSULTANT SERVICE	COSTS OSTS PLIES PAGE CHARGES CES	SESSIONS)				1,50	00		
E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC 2. FOREIGN E. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT OF STANFOLD STANF	COSTS OSTS PLIES PAGE CHARGES CES	SESSIONS)				1,50	00		
2. FOREIGN 2. FOREIGN 2. FOREIGN 2. FOREIGN 3. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT OF THE PARTICIPANT	COSTS OSTS PLIES PAGE CHARGES CES RIVICES					1,50 1,50	00		
E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC 2. FOREIGN 2. FOREIGN 4. STIPENDS S 2. TRAVEL 3. SUBSISTENCE 4. OTHER TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS 1. MATERIALS AND SUP 2. PUBLICATION COSTS/ 3. CONSULTANT SERVIC 4. COMPUTER (ADPE) SE 5. SUBCONTRACTS 6. OTHER PTO-TATE	COSTS PLIES PAGE CHARGES CES PAVICES d COST of the ICS CO					1,50 1,50	00		
E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC 2. FOREIGN 2. FOREIGN 4. STIPENDS S 2. TRAVEL 3. SUBSISTENCE 4. OTHER TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS 1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLY 2. PUBLICATION COSTS/ 3. CONSULTANT SERVIC 4. COMPUTER (ADPE) SE 5. SUBCONTRACTS 6. OTHER Pro-rate TOTAL OTHER DIRECT	COSTS PLIES PAGE CHARGES CES RVICES d cost of the ICS Cost costs					1,50 1,50 2,83 5,83	00 00 70		
E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC 2. FOREIGN 2. FOREIGN 4. STIPENDS S 2. TRAVEL 3. SUBSISTENCE 4. OTHER TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS 1. MATERIALS AND SUP 2. PUBLICATION COSTS/ 3. CONSULTANT SERVIC 4. COMPUTER (ADPE) SE 5. SUBCONTRACTS 6. OTHER Pro-rate TOTAL OTHER DIRECT H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (A	COSTS PLIES PAGE CHARGES ES RVICES d cost of the ICS Cost Costs A THROUGH G)					1,50 1,50	00 00 70		
E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC 2. FOREIGN 2. FOREIGN 4. STIPENDS S 2. TRAVEL 3. SUBSISTENCE 4. OTHER TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS 1. MATERIALS AND SUP 2. PUBLICATION COSTS/ 3. CONSULTANT SERVIC 4. COMPUTER (ADPE) SE 5. SUBCONTRACTS 6. OTHER Pro-rate TOTAL OTHER DIRECT H. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (APECIAL INDIRECT COSTS (SPECIAL IN	COSTS PLIES PAGE CHARGES ES RVICES d cost of the ICS Cost Costs A THROUGH G)					1,50 1,50 2,83 5,83	00 00 70		
E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC 2. FOREIGN E. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT OF STANFEL 3. SUBSISTENCE 4. OTHER TOTAL PARTICIPANT OF STANFEL 3. CONSULTANT SERVICE 4. COMPUTER (ADPE) SE 5. SUBCONTRACTS 6. OTHER PRO-TATE TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (APPLICATION COSTS) 1. MATERIALS AND SUPPLY (ADPE) SE 5. SUBCONTRACTS 6. OTHER PRO-TATE TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS (APPLICATION COSTS) 1. INDIRECT COSTS (SPECIAL COSTS) 47.5% of H.	COSTS PLIES PAGE CHARGES CES RVICES d cost of the ICS Cost Costs A THROUGH G) FY)					1,50 1,50 2,8 5,8 67,6	00 00 70 70 78		
E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC 2. FOREIGN E. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT OF STANDER 1. STIPENDS STANDER 2. TRAVEL 3. SUBSISTENCE 4. OTHER TOTAL PARTICIPANT OF STANDER OF STAND	COSTS PLIES PAGE CHARGES ENVICES d cost of the ICS Cost Costs A THROUGH G) FY)					1,50 1,50 2,8 5,8 67,6	00 00 70 70 78		
2. FOREIGN 2. FOREIGN 2. FOREIGN 4. STIPENDS S 2. TRAVEL 3. SUBSISTENCE 4. OTHER TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS 1. MATERIALS AND SUP 2. PUBLICATION COSTS 3. CONSULTANT SERVIC 4. COMPUTER (ADPE) SE 5. SUBCONTRACTS 6. OTHER PTO-TATE TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (APECIA 47.5% of H. TOTAL DIRECT AND IND	COSTS PLIES PAGE CHARGES CES RVICES d cost of the ICS Contracts A THROUGH G) FY)	mp. Lab (\$7,000)	52 AND 253)	(N)		1,50 1,50 2,8 5,8 67,6	00 00 70 70 78		
E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC 2. FOREIGN 2. FOREIGN 3. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT OF SUBSISTENCE 4. OTHER TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS 1. MATERIALS AND SUPPORT OF SUBSISTENCE 4. COMPUTER (ADPE) SEED OF SUBCONTRACTS 6. OTHER PROPERTY OF SU	COSTS PLIES PAGE CHARGES ES RVICES d cost of the ICS Cost Costs A THROUGH G) FY) DIRECT COSTS (H + 1) DR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CU	mp. Lab (\$7,000)	52 AND 253)	(NA	1)	1,50 1,50 2,83 5,83 67,63 32,14 99,83	00 00 70 70 78		
E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC 2. FOREIGN 2. FOREIGN 4. STIPENDS 3. SUBSISTENCE 4. OTHER TOTAL PARTICIPANT COSTS 1. MATERIALS AND SUP 2. PUBLICATION COSTS/ 3. CONSULTANT SERVIC 4. COMPUTER (ADPE) SE 5. SUBCONTRACTS 6. OTHER PRO-rate TOTAL DIRECT COSTS (APECIL 47.5% of H. TOTAL INDIRECT AND IND K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOLL) L. AMOUNT OF THIS REQUIRATION 2. PUBLICATION COSTS/ 47.5% of H. TOTAL DIRECT AND IND K. RESIDUAL FUNDS (IF FOLL)	COSTS PLIES PAGE CHARGES ENVICES d cost of the ICS Control Costs A THROUGH G) FY) DIRECT COSTS (H + I) DR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CU	mp. Lab (\$7,000)	257 AND 253)			1,50 1,50 2,8 5,8 67,6 32,1 99,8	00 00 70 70 78	S S	
E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC 2. FOREIGN 2. FOREIGN F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT OF TRAVEL 1. STIPENOS S 2. TRAVEL 3. SUBSISTENCE 4. OTHER TOTAL PARTICIPANT OF TOTAL OTHER DIRECT OF TOTAL OTHER DIRECT OTAL OTHER DIRECT OTAL OTHER DIRECT OF TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS OF H. TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS J. TOTAL DIRECT AND INDIRECT AND INDI	COSTS PLIES PAGE CHARGES ENVICES d cost of the ICS Control Costs A THROUGH G) FY) DIRECT COSTS (H + I) DR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CU	mp. Lab (\$7,000)			FOR	1,50 1,50 2,8 5,8 67,6 32,1 99,8 \$ 99,8	00 00 70 70 78 47 25 NLY		
E. TRAVEL 1. DOMESTIC 2. FOREIGN F. PARTICIPANT SUPPORT OF STANDER 1. STIPENDS SERVICE 3. SUBSISTENCE 4. OTHER TOTAL PARTICIPANT OF STANDER SUPPORT OF STANDER SUBCONTRACTS 6. OTHER PROFITE SUPPORT OF STANDERS SUBCONTRACTS 6. OTHER PROFITE SUPPORT OF STANDERS SUPPORT	COSTS PLIES PAGE CHARGES CES PAVICES d COST of the ICS COST COSTS A THROUGH G) FY) DIRECT COSTS (H + I) DR FURTHER SUPPORT OF CUST COST (H + II) EST (J) OR (J MINUS K) ATURE	mp. Lab (\$7,000)		DIRECT	FOR N	1,50 1,50 2,8 5,8 67,6 32,1 99,8	00 00 70 70 78 47 25 NLY	ATION	

PPENDIX VII

G-36-658

	A section			4	76 000		
ATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION Washington, D.C. 20550 FINA	AL PROJECT NSF FORM 98	REPORT					
PLEASE READ INSTRU				1620			
	CT IDENTIFICAT			LUKS SINGLE	2.3		
Institution and Address eorgia Institute of Technology	Mathematics and Computer Science MCS			SF Award Number S=8103608			
chool of Information and Computer tlanta, Georgia 30332 Science Project Title		5/81 To 12/		5. Cumulative Award Amount \$204,694			
Models of Computation and Alg	gorithms						
PART II-SUMMARY O	F COMPLETED PI	ROJECT (FOR P	UBLIC USE)				
This grant supported wo and R. E. Miller as well as s professors.	everal PhD	students wo	orking with				
For further information	see the fi	nal report.	•				
				- 1			
					1) m		
					4-1		
The State of the S				١.			
and the second second		L No.			100		
PART III-TECHNICAL INFO	DRMATION (FOR	PROGRAM MAN	AGEMENT USE		FURNISHED		
ITEM (Check appropriate blocks)	NONE	ATTACHED	PREVIOUSLY FURNISHED		LY TO PROGRAM		
. Abstracts of Theses	4			Check (√)	Approx. Date		
Publication Citations					Tri. Sec.		
Data on Scientific Collaborators							
Information on Inventions					Province of		
Technical Description of Project and Results							
Other (specify)							
(-0.500)/							

Principal Investigator/Project Director Name (Typed) ichard A. DeMillo imberly N. King aymond E. Miller
Form 98A (3-83) Supersedes All Previous Editions

Form Approved OMB No. 3145-005

4. Date

3. Principal Investigator/Project Director Signature

FINAL REPORT NSF MCS-8103608

This grant supported work by Professors R. A. DeMillo, K. N. King, and R. E. Miller as well as several PhD students working with the three professors. This final report provides a summary of work done by each professor.

A. R. DeMillo's work on this grant concentrated mainly on defining and applying models of distributed and parallel computation to problems arising in multi-level computer security and cryptography. One major product of this work was a book-length research monograph published by The American Mathematical Society.

This work falls into broad categories. The first is the modeling of cryptographic protocols. This work, conducted jointly with PhD student M. Merritt, led to a new model of security — the hidden automorphism model—and to a new lower bound on the complexity of a variant of the Byzantine Agreement problem. Hidden automorphisms were used subsequently to prove the security of a number of important cryptographic protocols. The second area is the use of secure protocols to define operating system priorities to guarantee multi-level secure functions in distributed environments. The third area consisted of a number of cryptoanalyses of major public key systems that had been proposed by 1983. Included among these was a simplified and generalized version of the "chosen signature" attack on public key systems that are defined by automorphisms on $\mathbf{Z_n}$.

Publications

- G. Davida, R. DeMillo, and R. J. Lipton, "Achieving Security Computers through Distributed Computing, Proc. 3rd International Conference on Distributed Computing, 1981.
- R. A. DeMillo, N. A. Lynch, and M. J. Merritt, "Cryptographic Protocols", Proc. 14th ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing, May 1982, pp. 383-400.
- R. A. DeMillo, and M. J. Merritt, "Chosen Signature Cryptoanalysis of Public Key Cryptosystems", Technical Memorandum, Georgia Institute of Technology, October 1982.
- R. A. DeMillo and M. J. Merritt, "Protocols for Data Security", IEEE Computer, Vol. 16, No. 2 (February 1983), pp. 39-54.
- M. J. Merritt, "Cryptographic Protocols", PhD Thesis, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia, GIT-ICS-83/06, February 1983.
- R. A. DeMillo, et.al. Applied Cryptology, Cryptographic Protocols, and Computer Security, American Mathematical Society (PSAM 19), 1984.
- B. The research with K. N. King covered several different areas. One study conducted with the support of this grant explored the question of how a complex function must be to compute for its inverse to be difficult. We have been able to show that, if a function is easy enough to compute, then

its inverse is easy to compute, in the sense that it can be computed in polynomial time. In fact, we were able to prove the stronger result that the inverses of such functions can be computed extremely quickly on a parallel computer that has a feasible number of processors. In order to make that notion precise, we defined a new complexity class, PUNC, that models the notion of "feasible parallelism" more naturally than classes that have been studied previously. We discovered several equivalent characterizations of PUNC and explored relationships between PUNC and other complexity classes.

Other work focused on systolic tree automata (STA's). In this model, which was inspired by VLSI circuits, a number of processors are connected to form a tree. An input string is fed to the processors at one level of the tree. Information is then processed in parallel, bottom-up toward the root, one level at a time. Results include a characterization of k-ary STA acceptable languages over a one-letter alphabet, a nonacceptibility lemma for 2-ary STA's, and proofs of decidability of superstability for k-ary STA's and emptiness for arbitrary STA's.

Other studies include improved lower bounds for the cycle detection problem and an analysis of the number of cycles possible in directed graphs with no short cycles (digraphs with large girth).

Publications

- E. W. Allender, "Invertible Functions", Technical Report GIT-ICS-85/20, School of Information and Computer Science, Georgia Institute of Technology, September 1985.
- E. W. Allender, "P-Uniformity, Parallelism, and Precomputation", Technical Report GIT-ICS-85/11, School of Information and Computer Science, Georgia Institute of Technology, April 1985.
- E. W. Allender, "Invertible Functions", PhD Thesis, School of Information and Computer Science, Georgia Institute of Technology, 1985.
- A. F. Foufa, "Some Results on Systolic Tree Automata as Acceptors", M. S. Thesis, School of Information and Computer Science, Georgia Institute of Technology, 1985.
- E. W. Allender and M. Klawe, "Improved Lower Bounds for the Cycle Detection Problem", Theoretical Computer Science, 36, (1985), pp. 231-237.
- K. N. King, "Alternating Multihead Finite Automata", submitted to Theoretical Computer Science.
- E. W. Allender, "Solutions for Problems P90 and P91", EATCS Bulletin #26, (June 1985), pp. 243-244.
- E. W. Allender, "On the Number of Cycles Possible in Digraphs with Large Girth", Discrete Applied Mathematics, 10, (1985), pp. 211-225.
- E. W. Allender and M. Klawe, "Improved Lower Bounds for the Cycle Detection Problem", IBM Research Report RJ 4078 (45456), October 1983.

- K. N. King, "Alternating Multihead Finite Automata", Technical Report GIT-ICS-83/26, School of Information and Computer Science, Georgia Institute of Technology, September 1983.
- E. W. Allender, "On the Complexity of Acceptance Problems for Multihead Automata", Technical Report GIT-ICS-83/22, School of Information and Computer Science, Georgia Institute of Technology, September 1983.
- E. W. Allender, "On the Number of Cycles Possible in Digraphs with Large Girth", Technical Report GIT-ICS-83/10, School of Information and Computer Science, Georgia Institute of Technology, June 1983.
- E. W. Allender, "An Improved Lower Bound for the Cycle Detection Problem", Technical Report GIT-ICS-83/04, School of Information and Computer Science, Georgia Institute of Technology, February 1983.
- C. The work of R. E. Miller supported by this grant involved two area of work; models of parallel computation and modeling communication protocols. In the first area relationships between various models of parallel computation were studied in a formal manner. To do this a new computation system formulation was devised that enabled one to represent other models of parallelism such as vector addition systems, vector replacement systems, Petri nets and generalized Petri nets. Isomorphisms and homomorphisms were shown within this model that demonstrated what properties of these models were carried over from one model to the next. This work appeared as reference [1] below.

Within the communication protocol area a finite state communicating machine model was used for representing protocols. Working with a PhD student, T. Y. Choi, a structured partition decomposition technique was discovered that provides a substantial simplification for the analysis and synthesis of protocols. This work was published in references [2-5] below.

Publications

- T. Kasai and R. E. Miller, "Homomorphisms between Models of Parallel Computation", JCSS, Vol. 25, No. 3, December 1982, pp. 285-331.
- T. Y. Choi, "A Structured Approach to the Analysis and Design of Finite State Protocols", PhD Thesis, Georgia Institute of Technology, August 1983.
- T. Y. Choi and R. E. Miller, "Network Protocol: A Structured Approach", Proceedings of the ACM 1983 Annual Conference, October 1983, pp. 155-162.
- T. Y. Choi and R. E. Miller, "A Decomposition Method for the Analysis and Design of Finite State Protocols", <u>IEEE Proceedings of the Eighth Data</u> Communications Symposium", October 1983, pp. 167-176.
- T. Y. Choi and R. E. Miller, "Protocol Analysis and Synthesis by Structured Partitions", Computer Networks and ISDN Systems, Vol. 11, No. 5, May 1986, pp. 367-381.