Hollins University

Hollins Digital Commons

Ann B. Hopkins Papers

Manuscript Collections

1-19-1990

Letter from Lillian C. Parrish to Ann Hopkins, January 19, 1990

Lillian C. Parrish

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.hollins.edu/hopkins-papers



January 19, 1990

Ms. Ann Hopkins World Bank Group PBD/N-7069 801 19th Street NW Washington, DC 20433

Dear Ms. Hopkins:

Thank you for making it financially possible for us to print your picture in our journal article about your court case. Your picture really added a great deal to the article.

The two societies (American Woman's Society of Certified Public Accountants and American Society of Women Accountants) that sponsor The Woman CPA will hold their Joint Annual Meeting in Washington, DC on October 17-21, 1990. I have not received a schedule of events, but there will be luncheons and dinners on various days. I would very much like for you to be my guest at one of these times. I want to thank you publicly for you support of our journal, and I also want to give our members an opportunity to recognize you for the contribution that you have made for all women in accounting. I will be in touch with you about a specific time for lunch or dinner when the registration materials arrive in July or August.

I am enclosing the slide obtained from Time Magazine and the negatives and the black and white print we had made from the slide. The two copies of our journal will be mailed to you under separate cover.

I'm looking forward to the possibility of meeting you when our conference is in Washington, DC.

Sincerely, Lillian C. Parwih

Lillian C. Parrish, Ph.D., CPA

Editor, 1986-89

LCP:sq

Enclosures

101ST CONGRESS 2D SESSION

S.2104

To amend the Civil Rights Act of 1964 to restore and strengthen civil rights laws that ban discrimination in employment, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

FEBRUARY 7 (legislative day, JANUARY 23), 1990

Mr. Kennedy (for himself, Mr. Jeffords, Mr. Metzenbaum, Mr. Hatfield, Mr. Simon, Mr. Durenberger, Mr. Pell, Mr. Packwood, Mr. Gore, Ms. Mikulski, Mr. Adams, Mr. Biden, Mr. Bingaman, Mr. Bradley, Mr. Burdick, Mr. Cohen, Mr. Conrad, Mr. Cranston, Mr. Dodd, Mr. Fowler, Mr. Harkin, Mr. Inouye, Mr. Kerrey, Mr. Kerry, Mr. Kohl, Mr. Lautenberg, Mr. Leahy, Mr. Levin, Mr. Matsunaga, Mr. Mitchell, Mr. Moynihan, Mr. Riegle, Mr. Sarbanes, Mr. Specter, and Mr. Wirth) introduced the following bill: which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Labor and Human Resources

A BILL

To amend the Civil Rights Act of 1964 to restore and strengthen civil rights laws that ban discrimination in employment, and for other purposes.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
- This Act may be cited as the "Civil Rights Act of
- 5 1990".

1 SEC 2, FINDINGS AND PURPOSES.

burdens of production and persuasion.	¥,
"(m) The term 'demonstrates' means meets the	135
bring an action or proceeding under this title.	: 5
mission, the Attorney General, or a person who may	::3
"(I) The term 'complaining party' means the Com-	:5
new subsections:	<u>, 5</u>
2000c) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following	00
Section 701 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C.	3
SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.	<u>z</u>
for victims of discrimination.	=
more effective deterrence and adequate compensation	<u>=</u> .
available under Federal civil rights laws to provide	5
(2) to strengthen existing protections and remedies	=
dramatically limited by those decisions; and	==
sions by restoring the civil rights protections that were	13
(1) to respond to the Supreme (Yourt's recent deci-	Ξ
(b) Perroses. The purposes of this Act are—	5
tion or to compensate victims of such discrimination.	S
eral law are not adequate to deter unlawful discrimina-	æ
(2) existing protections and remedies under Fed-	-1
effectiveness of civil rights protections; and	
Supreme Court cut back dramatically on the scope and	יי
ployment discrimination claims under Federal law, the	-
(1) in a series of recent decisions addressing cut-	ئد
(a) PINDINGS.—Congress finds that	įS

necessity; or	<u>ب</u> در
strate that such practice is required by busines	: :3
national origin, and the respondent fails to demon	22
impact on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, o	9
an employment practice results in a disparat	90
"(A) a complaining party demonstrates tha	5
lished under this subsection when	- - 2
"(1) λ_{B} unlawful employment practice is estab	_1
IN DISPARATE IMPACT CASES	5.
"(k) Phoof of Unlawful Employment Practices	5
ing new subsection:	14
2000e-2) is amended by adding at the end thereof the follow-	<u></u>
Section 703 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C.	13
IMPACT CASES.	
SEC. 4. RESTORING THE BURDEN OF PROOF IN DISPARATE	<u> </u>
ject to the provisions of section 717.".	ဗ
management committee, or those Federal entities sub-	8
employment agency, labor organization, joint labor-	7
"(p) The term 'respondent' means an employer.	s
means essential to effective job performance.	೮
"(a) The term 'required by business necessity'	4
overall comployment process.	ಒ
means a combination of employment practices or an	19
"(n) The term 'group of employment practices'	_

2	
:3	

4 5

8

10

13 14

12

15 16

19

17

20 24

22

"(B) a complaining party demonstrates that a
group of employment practices results in a dispar-
nte impact on the basis of race, color, religion,
sex, or national origin, and the respondent fails to
demonstrate that such practices are required by
business necessity, except that-

- "(i) if a complaining party demonstrates that a group of employment practices results in a disparate impact, such party shall not be required to demonstrate which specific practice or practices within the group results in such disparate impact; and
- "(ii) if the respondent demonstrates that a specific employment practice within such group of employment practices does not contribute to the disparate impact, the respondent shall not be required to demonstrate that such practice is required by business necessity.
- "(2) A demonstration that an employment practice is required by business necessity may be used as a detense only against a claim under this subsection.".

SEC. 5. CLARIFYING	PROHIBITION	AGAINST	IMPERMISSIBLE
--------------------	-------------	---------	---------------

2 CONSIDERATION OF RACE, COLOR, RELIGION,

3 SEX OR NATIONAL ORIGIN IN EMPLOYMENT

4 PRACTICES.

5 (a) IN GENERAL.—Secti n 703 of the Civil Rights Act

6 of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000e-2) (as amended by section 4) is

7 further amended by adding at the end thereof the following

8 new subsection:

9 "(I) DISCRIMINATORY PRACTICE NEED NOT BE SOLE

10 MOTIVATING FACTOR .- Except as otherwise provided in

11 this title, an unlawful employment practice is established

12 when the complaining party demonstrates that race, color,

13 religion, sex, or national origin was a motivating factor for

14 any employment practice, oven though such practice was also

15 motivated by other factors.".

16 (b) Enforcement Provisions.- Section 706(g) of

17 such Act (42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(g)) is amended by inserting

18 before the period in the last sentence the following: "or, in a

9 case where a violation is established under section 7030), if

20 the respondent establishes that it would have taken the same

21 action in the absence of any discrimination".

	**
SEC.	6. FACILITATING PROMPT AND ORDERLY RESOLUTION
<u>!</u>	OF CHALLENGES TO EMPLOYMENT PRACTICES
ł	IMPLEMENTING LITIGATED OR CONSENT JUDG-
l	MENTS OR ORDERS.
)	Section 703 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C.
6 2000	De (2) (as amended by sections 4 and 5) is further amend-
	y adding at the end thereof the following new subsection:
8	"(m) Finality of Litigated or Consent Judg-
9 ME1	ets or Orders.—
0	"(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law
1	and except as provided in paragraph (2), an employ
12	ment practice that implements a litigated or consen
13	judgment or order resolving a claim of employment dis
14	crimination under the United States Constitution of
15	Federal civil rights laws may not be challenged in
16	claim under the United States Constitution or Federa
17	civil rights laws
18	"(A) by a person who, prior to the entry
19	such judgment or order, had-
20	"(i) notice from any source of the pr
21	posed judgment or order sufficient to appri
92	such person that such judgment or ord
23	might affect the interests of such person; \imath
21	"(ii) a reasonable opportunity to prese

	IMPLEMENTING LITIGATED OR CONSENT JUDG-
	MENTS OR ORDERS.
	Section 703 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C.
	c 2) (as amended by sections 4 and 5) is further amend-
	adding at the end thereof the following new subsection:
ન	"(m) Finality of Litigated or Consent Judg-
) MEN	ts or Orders.—
()	"(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law,
1	and except as provided in paragraph (2), an employ-
2	ment practice that implements a litigated or consent
3	judgment or order resolving a claim of employment dis-
4	crimination under the United States Constitution or
5	Federal civil rights laws may not be challenged in a
6	claim under the United States Constitution or Federal
17	civil rights laws
18	"(A) by a person who, prior to the entry of
19	such judgment or order, had-
20	"(i) notice from any source of the pro-
21	posed judgment or order sufficient to apprise
92	such person that such judgment or order
23	might affect the interests of such person; and
21	"(ii) a reasonable opportunity to present
.	objections to such judgment or order;

	"(B) by a person with respect to whom the
	requirements of subparagraph (A) are not satis-
	fied, if the court determines that the interests of
	such person were adequately represented by an-
· •	other person who challenged such judgment or
;	order prior to or after the entry of such judgment
7	or order; or
н	"(C) if the court that entered the judgment
9	or order determines that reasonable efforts were
0	made to provide notice to interested persons.
i	A determination under subparagraph (C) shall be made
2	prior to the entry of the judgment or order, except that
3	if the judgment or order was entered prior to the date
4	of the enactment of this subsection, the determination
5	may be made at any reasonable time.
16	"(2) Nothing in this subsection shall be construed
17	to: -
18	$"(\Lambda)$ alter the standards for intervention
19	under rule 24 of the Federal Rules of Civil Proce-
20	dure;
21	"(B) apply to the rights of parties to the
22	action in which the litigated or consent judgment
23	or order was entered, or of members of a class
24	represented or sought to be represented in such
25	action, or of members of a group on whose behalf

5

G

В

9

10

11

12

1:3

14

22

relief	was	sought	in	such	action	by	the	Federal
gover	nmet	ıt; or						

- "(C) prevent challenges to a litigated or consent judgment or order on the ground that such judgment or order was obtained through collusion or fraud, or is transparently invalid or was entered by a court lacking subject matter jurisdiction.
- "(3) Any action, not precluded under this subsection, that challenges an employment practice that implements a litigated or consent judgment or order of the type referred to in paragraph (1) shall be brought in the court, and if possible before the judge, that entered such judgment or order.".

15 SEC. 7. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS; APPLICATION TO CHAL-16 LENGES TO SENIORITY SYSTEMS.

- 17 (a) STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS.—Section 706(e) of the 18 Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(e)) is 19 amended—
- 20 (1) by striking out "one hundred and eighty days" and inserting in lieu thereof "2 years";
 - (2) by inserting after "occurred" the first time it appears "or has been applied to affect adversely the person aggrieved, whichever is later,";

	· ·
i	(3) by striking out ", except that in" and inserting
2	in lieu thereof ". In"; and
3	(4) by striking out "such charge shall be filed"
4	and all that follows through "whichever is earlier,
5	and".
6	(b) Application to Challenges to Seniority
7	Systems Section 703(h) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 2000e-2)
8	is amended by inserting after the first sentence the following
9	new sentence: "Where a seniority system or seniority prac-
10	tice is part of a collective bargaining agreement and such
11	system or practice was included in such agreement with the
12	intent to discriminate on the basis of race, color, religion, sex,
13	or national origin, the application of such system or practice
14	during the period that such collective bargaining agreement
15	
10	DANAGES IN CASES OF INTENTIONAL
	THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH
17	Topic Calan Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42
18	to last
19	with respect to an
20) sentence the following new sentences:
2	
2	2 ployment practice established in accordance with section

"(A) compensatory damages may be awarded; and

23 703(k))-

24

"(3) In any action or proceeding in which any

1	"(B) if the respondent (other than a government,
2	government agency, or a political subdivision) engaged
3	in the unlawful employment practice with malice, or
4	with reckless or callous indifference to the federally
5	protected rights of others, punitive damages may be
6	awarded against such respondent;
7	in addition to the relief authorized by the preceding sentences
8	of this subsection, except that compensatory damages shall
9	not include backpay or any interest thereon. If compensatory
10	or punitive damages are sought with respect to a claim aris-
11	ing under this title, any party may demand a trial by jury.".
12	SEC. 9. CLARIFYING ATTORNEY'S FEES PROVISION.
13	Section 706(k) of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42
14	U.S.C. 2000e-5(k)) is amended—
15	(1) by inserting "(1)" after "(k)";
16	(2) by inserting "(including expert fees and other
17	litigation expenses) and" after "attorney's fee,";
18	(3) by striking out "as part of the"; and
19	(4) by adding at the end thereof the following new
20	paragraphs:
21	"(2) A court shall not enter a consent order or
22	judgment settling a claim under this title, unless the
23	parties and their counsel attest that a waiver of all or

substantially all attorneys' fees was not compelled as a

2	judgment or order granting relief under this title is
3	challenged, the court, in its discretion, may allow the
4	prevailing party in the original action (other than the
5	Commission or the United States) to recover from the
6	party against whom relief was granted in the original
7	action a reasonable attorney's fee (including expert fees
8	and other litigation expenses) and costs reasonably in-
9	curred in defending (as a party, intervenor or other-
10	wise) such judgment or order.".
11	SEC. 10. PROVIDING FOR INTEREST, AND EXTENDING THE
12	STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS, IN ACTIONS
13	AGAINST THE FEDERAL COVERNMENT.
14	Section 717 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C.
15	2000e-16) is amended
16	(1) in subsection (c), by striking out "thirty days"
17/	and inserting in licu thereof "ninety days"; and
18	(2) in subsection (d), by inserting before the period
19	", and the same interest to compensate for delay in
20	payment shall be available as in cases involving non-
21	public parties".
22	SEC. 11. CONSTRUCTION.
99	Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C.

24 2000h et seq.) is amended by adding at the end thereof the

25 following new section:

condition of the settlement.

24

25

EC. 1107. RULES OF CONSTRUCTION FOR CIVIL RIGHTS
LAWS.
"(a) Effectuation of Purpose.—All Federal laws
rotecting the civil rights of persons shall be broadly con-
trued to effectuate the purpose of such laws to climinate
iscrimination and provide effective remedies.
"(b) NONLIMITATION Except as expressly provided,
no Federal law protecting the civil rights of persons shall be
construed to restrict or limit the rights, procedures, or reme-
lies available under any other Federal law protecting such
eivit rights.".
SEC. 12. RESTORING PROHIBITION AGAINST ALL RACIAL DIS-
CRIMINATION IN THE MAKING AND ENFORCE-
MENT OF CONTRACTS.
Section 1977 of the Revised Statutes of the United
States (42 U.S.C. 1981) is amended—
(1) by inserting "(a)" before "All persons within";
and
(2) by adding at the end thereof the following new
subsection:
"(b) For purposes of this section, the right to 'make and
enforce contracts' shall include the making, performance
modification and termination of contracts, and the enjoymen
of all benefits, privileges, terms and conditions of the contract

1 SEC. 13. LAWFUL COURT-ORDERED REMEDIES, AFFIRMATIVE
2 ACTION AND CONCILIATION AGREEMENTS NOT
3 AFFECTED.
4 Nothing in the amendments made by this Act shall be
5 construed to affect court-ordered remedies, affirmative action,
6 or conciliation agreements that are otherwise in accordance
7 with the law.
8 SEC. 14. SEVERABILITY.
9 If any provision of this Act, or an amendment made by
10 this Act, or the application of such provision to any person or
II circumstances is held to be invalid, the remainder of this Act
12 and the amendments made by this Act, and the application of
13 such provision to other persons and circumstances, shall not
14 be affected thereby.
15 SEC. 15. APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS AND TRANSITION
16 RULES.
17 (a) Application of Amendments.—The amend-
18 ments made by—
19 (1) section 4 shall apply to all proceedings pend-
ing on or commenced after June 5, 1989;
21 (2) section 5 shall apply to all proceedings pend-
ing on or commenced after May 1, 1989;
23 (3) section 6 shall apply to all proceedings pend
24 ing on or commenced after June 12, 1989;

25 tual relationship.".

(4) sections 7(a)(1), 7(b), 8, 9, 10, and 11 shat	ı
apply to all proceedings pending on or commence	
after the date of enactment of this Act;	

14

- (5) paragraphs (2) through (4) of section 7(a) shall apply to all proceedings pending on or commenced after June 12, 1989; and
- (6) section 12 shall apply to all proceedings pending on or commenced after June 15, 1989.

(b) Transition Rules. -

3

3

-1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- (1) In GENERAL.—Any orders entered by a court between the effective dates described in subsection (a) and the date of concument of this Act that are inconsistent with the amendments made by sections 4, 5, 7(a)(2) through (4), or 12, shall be vacated if, not later than 1 year after such date of enactment, a request for such relief is made.
- June 12, 1989 and the date of enactment of this Act, that permit a challenge to an employment practice that implements a litigated or consent judgment or order and that is inconsistent with the amendment made by section 6, shall be vacated if, not later than 6 months after the date of enactment of this Act, a request for such relief is made. For the 1-year period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act, an individual whose

challenge to an employment practice that implements a 1 litigated or consent judgment or order is denied under 2 the amendment made by section 6, or whose order or :3 relief obtained under such challenge is vacated under 4 such section, shall have the same right of intervention 5 in the case in which the challenged litigated or consent 6 judgment or order was entered as that individual had 7 on June 12, 1989. н

9 (c) PERIOD OF LIMITATIONS.—The period of limita10 tions for the filing of a claim or charge shall be tolled from
11 the applicable effective date described in subsection (a) until
12 the date of enactment of this Act, on a showing that the
13 claim or charge was not tiled because of a rule or decision
14 altered by the amendments made by sections 4, 5, 7(a)(2)
15 through (4), or 12.

Ο