<b>F</b>	X ORIGINAL REVISION NO
Project NoG-35-681 (R6199-0A0)	GTRC/2017 DATE 9 / 11 / 86
Project Director: D.D. Davis & R.E.	Stickel School/katx Geo_Sci
Sponsor: <u>National Science Found</u>	lation
Type Agreement: Grant No. ATM -	8610236
Period: From <u>6/15/86</u>	To* (Performance)5/31/88 (Reports)
Denior Amount:	This Change Total to Date
Estimated: \$	\$ 286,931 **
Funded: \$	\$ 136,931
Cost Sharing Amount: \$	Cost Sharing No: <u>G-35-372</u>
Title: <u>A Vacuum - Ultraviolet Ph</u>	notofragmentation/Laser-induced Florescence Sensor For
Measurement of Atmospheric Tr	cace Gases
ADMINISTRATIVE DATA	OCA Contact John B. Schonk A-4020
Jarvis L. Moyers	Stanley C. Dobson
National Science Foundation	
AAEO/ATM	
Washington, D.C. 20550	Washington, D.C. 2000
	202/33/-9630
<u>}</u>	
Defense Priority Rating: <u>N/A</u>	Military Security Classification:N/A
RESTRICTIONS	torr company/meustral Proprietary.
See Attached NSF	Supplemental Information Sheet for Additional Requirements.
Fravel: Foreign travel must have prior app	proval – Contact OCA in each case. Domestic travel requires sponsor
approval where total will exceed g	reater of \$500 or 125% of approved proposal budget category.
quipment: Title vests with <u>GIT</u>	
COMMENTS:	ad flexibility period
COMMENTS: *Includes a six month unfunde Presward costs from 6/15/86 b	ed flexibility period.
COMMENTS: *Includes a six month unfunde Preaward costs from 6/15/86 h	ed flexibility period.
COMMENTS: *Includes a six month unfunde Preaward costs from 6/15/86 h **An additional one year of f	ed flexibility period.
COMMENTS: *Includes a six month unfunde Preaward costs from 6/15/86 h **An additional one year of f	ed flexibility period. have been approved. Funding is anticipated for this project
<u>COMMENTS:</u> *Includes a six month unfunder Preaward costs from 6/15/86 h **An additional one year of f COPIES TO:	ed flexibility period. have been approved. Funding is anticipated for this project SPONSOR'S I. D. NO. 02.107.000.86.07 29252 302

GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY OFFICE OF CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION NOTICE OF PROJECT CLOSEOUT Closeout Notice Date 06/17/91 教教包口主法 Project No. G-35-681\_ Center No. R6199-0A0\_ Project Director DAVIS D D\_\_\_\_\_ School/Lab E & A SCI Sponsor NATL SCIENCE FOUNDATION/GENERAL Contract/Grant No. ATM-8610236\_\_\_ ntity GTRC 104922 Prime Contract No. Title A VACUUM-ULTRAVIOLET PHOTOFRAG/LASER-INDUCED FLUORESCENCE SENOR FOR NEAS Effective Completion Date 890228 (Performance) 890531 (Reports) Mail Strate Black Caller Date 9. Y/N Submitted **Closeout Actions Required:** Sare . Final Invoice or Copy of Final Invoice Final Invoice or Copy of Final Invoice N Final Report of Inventions and/or Subcontracts Y 910610 Government Property Inventory & Related Certificate Classified Material Cartificate Release and Assignment Other Comments NAME OF THE OWNER OF Sec. Aster Subproject Under, Main Project No. Continues Project No. Distribution Required: HT100 CAN **Project Director** Administrative Network Representative GTRI Accounting/Brants and Contracts Procurement/Supply Services Research Property Menagment Research Security Services Reports Coordinator (OCA) OTRC Project File Other the state of the second s 

OTE: Final Patent Questionnaire sent & PDPA

# I. PROGRESS REPORT

### A. Introduction

Based on the successes achieved with both the TP-LIF NO and UV/PF-LIF NO<sub>2</sub> systems (Bradshaw et al. 1982; Bradshaw et al. 1985; Davis et al. 1987; Sandholm et al. 1987), two years ago the Georgia Tech group proposed to NSF yet a third detection scheme that fell under the heading of multi-photon LIF technology: Vacuum UV/Photofragmentation LIF (VUV/PF-LIF). As shown in Fig. 1 (for the case of the polyatomic species ABC), a key feature of this new methodology is that photofragmentation is achieved in the near vacuum UV. Critical to the tropospheric application of this new approach is the fact that even at wavelengths as short as 193 nm, laser beams can be propagated one to two meters in air without major losses in energy. This means that numerous atmospheric trace gases which either have low or non-existent absorption cross sections in the UV can potentially be detected in the near vacuum UV where typical cross sections are in the range of  $10^{-17}$ cm<sup>2</sup>.

However, even though the above cited absorption data provides one with good reason for optimism in the detection of polyatomic species, this new technique, unlike the UV/PF-LIF system, does not satisfy the condition of  $\lambda_3 < \lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_1$ . Thus, the white background fluorescence noise from the  $\lambda_1$  VUV laser must be dealt with. As shown in Fig. 1, this problem can be handled if the lifetime of the photofragment species, AB<sup>\*</sup>, is sufficiently long lived (as dictated by its natural lifetime, quenching by atmospheric gases or reaction with other species) to permit a time delay between the firing of the  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  lasers. By using appropriately adjusted delay times, the only remaining condition that must be met is that  $\lambda_3 < \lambda_2$ .

Two years ago we proposed that at least four molecules were likely to satisfy most of the conditions required of a VUV/PF-LIF system: NH<sub>3</sub>, CS<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>,



Fig. 1

AB\* REPRESENTS A METASTABLE PHOTOFRAGMENT AB\*\* REPRESENTS A HIGH LYING EXCITED STATE OF AB and  $H_2S$ . Of these possibilities, based on the availability of spectroscopic/photodynamic data as well as scientific need, we selected  $NH_3$  as our first test molecule for checking out the VUV/PF-LIF approach.

The detection scheme for  $NH_3$  is that given below:  $NH_3 + 2h\nu_1 (193 \text{ nm}) \rightarrow NH (b^1\Sigma^+) + H_2 (\text{or } 2H)$   $NH (b^1\Sigma, \mathbf{v}^{**}=0) + h\nu_2 (450 \text{ nm}) \rightarrow NH (c^1\Pi, \mathbf{v}^{**}=0)$  $NH (c^1\Pi, \mathbf{v}^{**}=0) \rightarrow NH (a^1\Delta, \mathbf{v}^{**}=0) + h\nu_3 (326 \text{ nm}).$ 

The final hardware configuration developed for the NH<sub>3</sub> system during the first two years of our program is shown in Fig. 2.

Suffice it to say, the progress made on the  $NH_3$  system during the first two years clearly demonstrated: (1) proof-of-concept in applying the VUV/PF-LIF technique to the atmospheric detection of  $NH_3$ ; (2) provided a sufficient data base from which one could readily conclude that the necessary sensitivity for routine measurement of  $NH_3$ , under virtually any set of environmental conditions, was available; (3) demonstrated that the technique was free of any major interferences such as those from organic amines; and (4), tests were performed that showed that one of the more difficult problems in making field measurements of  $NH_3$ , wall memory problems, was one that could be overcome in the VUV/PF-LIF system. The solution to the latter problem involved both the proper selection of materials for sampling lines and the fluorescence chamber as well as the use of exceptionally high sampling flow rates.

Thus, in going into the third year of this program, we had reason to feel optimistic. The major tasks before us were: (1) that of optimizing the system for making routine field measurements; (2) establishing an improved theoretical base for the system, especially as related to quenching cross-sections for the NH'b state; and (3) that of initiating the first of a series of tropospheric field measurements of NH<sub>3</sub> and related chemical variables. Each of these areas of activity has been further expanded on in the text that follows.



Fig. 2 - VUV/PF-LIF HARDWARE CONFIGURATION IV: CURRENT NH, DETECTION SYSTEM

(LP) Laser Pipe; (TD) Fast Timing Diode; (M) Mirror; (DM) Dichroic Mirror; (A) Aperture; (PD) Photodiode; (SC) Sample Cell; (FP) Filter Pack; (PMT) Photomultiplier Tube; (RC) Reference Chamber; (EM) Energy Monitor.

e

## B. Optimization Tasks

There are four areas in which extensive time was invested in the proto-type VUV/PF-LIF system during the 3rd year: (1) calibration exercises; (2) noise reduction tests; (3) sampling hysteresis; and (4) sensitivity analysis.

(1) Calibration Exercises

In earlier efforts to calibrate the  $NH_3$  system by our group, a concentration range of 1 ppb to 120 ppmv was covered, i.e. a dynamic range of over  $10^5$ . A least squares fit of these earlier results (e.g. log signal vs. log  $NH_3$ ) gave a slope of .85  $\pm$  .2; however, the scatter in these data was shown to be substantially greater than that needed for a reliable  $NH_3$  field sampling system. This was especially true for levels at or below 50 ppbv where for some individual data points the deviation from the best-fit least squares line approached nearly a factor of ten. In addition, the lowest  $NH_3$  concentration at which a calibration had been performed was - 1 ppbv.

Upon completing a thorough examination of this system, three problem areas were identified as being the potential cause of the large uncertainties in these earlier calibration curves: (a) the presence of extensive secondary chemistry in the NH<sub>3</sub> reference chamber; (b) the presence of teflon tubing in the calibration system; and (c) the need for long equilibration times in the dynamic-dilution calibration system when changing NH<sub>3</sub> concentration levels.

Concerning the first problem area, initially high levels of  $NH_3$  (100 ppmv) were used in the presence of air as the reference cell gas mixture. As a result, large amounts of  $O_3$ ,  $NH_2$  radicals, as well as other radical species were reacting very rapidly with the key species NH'b. Thus, the reference cell did not track the ambient cell as well as it should have with changes in the  $\lambda_1$  and

 $\lambda_2$  laser energy densities, as well as with changes in the  $\lambda_2$  delay time. The latter problem was corrected by reducing the concentration of NH<sub>3</sub> in the reference cell to - 2 ppmv and by diluting the NH<sub>3</sub> calibration mix with pure N<sub>2</sub> rather than air. The second problem area simply involved the removal of teflon tubing and replacing it wherever possible with passivated nickel tubing or some other non-porous tubing. The calibration system problem was resolved by maintaining a fixed output of NH<sub>3</sub> from the dynamic dilution system. Thus, rather than continually changing the concentration level of NH<sub>3</sub> generated within the calibration source, we now maintain a fixed NH<sub>3</sub> level. The fixed NH<sub>3</sub> concentration is then adjusted to the final calibration level in the ambient air sampling line by its addition to the sampling line through one of four different rotometers. Which rotometer is selected therefore determines what fraction of the total cal-gas mixture is injected into the sampled gas stream.

As a result of making the above changes, we can now generate calibration curves as shown in Figs. 3 and 4, covering the critical atmospheric concentration range of 63 pptv up to 12 ppbv. It will be noticed that a linear regression analysis of these data gives a log-log slope of .98  $\pm$  .05. The average deviation of the linear plot is  $\pm$  18%. It is thus apparent that a substantial improvement in both sensitivity and precision has been achieved over the proto-type NH<sub>3</sub> system.

(2) Noise Reduction Tests

One of the early disappointments in the proto-type VUV/PF-LIF NH<sub>3</sub> system was our discovering that the noise from the 193 excimer laser was much higher than our earlier theoretical calculations would have suggested. Thus, instead of being able to work at delay times of a 1µs or less, we were forced to work at delay times of nearly 5µs. The 5µs delay time not only results in the direct



٢.

log (counts/min)



÷

counts/min (Thousands) loss of signal due to quenching of the NH'c electronic state, but also can cause an even further decrease in signal in the presence of high concentrations of  $H_2O$  due to the quenching and/or reactions of the NH'b state by  $H_2O$ . Through the use of soft focusing techniques, in conjunction with the insertion of additional apperatures between the 193 excimer and the ambient fluorescence cell, we have been able to reduce the noise level by over a factor of five. This together with other electronic changes, now allow for the use of 2 to  $3\mu$ s delay times. Thus, a substantial enhancement has been gained in sensitivity for detection of NH<sub>3</sub> especially under high water conditions.

At this point we believe that still shorter times could be achieved in this system. One possibility proposed in our original document was the use of a microchannel plate PMT rather than a conventional dynode chain PMT. The major difference between these two systems is that the microchannel plate PMT is so constructed as to permit much faster gating "on" an "off" without the generation of electrical transients that can be picked up and amplified by pulse counting electronics. Tests now completed with this device did indeed show that gating of this PMT at times as short as .5µs was quite tractable; however, the noise profile, which should have been representative of only the white fluorescence noise from the 193 nm laser, was not appreciably different from that extrapolated from the currently employeed R331 dynode chain PMT. In the case of the microchannel plate PMT, we were monitoring the true fluorescence noise from the 193 laser and these results suggest that delay times as short as 1µs should be usable in the VUV/PF-LIF system in the near future. This should significantly improve the projected performance for the detection of  $SO_2$  and  $CS_2$ via the VUV-PF-LIF method but result in only modest improvements in the detection sensitivity for  $NH_3$  and  $H_2S$ .

## (3) Sampling Hysteresis

Although earlier sampling hysteresis tests in our lab using the proto-type NH3 system were encouraging, the conditions under which these tests were carried

out did not represent conditions that were directly amenable to field sampling conditions. In the earlier tests, the calibration system both before an  $NH_3$  injection and after terminating an  $NH_3$  injection was phyically disconnected from the main sampling line to the cell. Under normal field sampling conditions the calibration system would remain mechanically coupled to the main sampling line and would be isolated from the main line via a shut off valve.

Shown in Figs. 5 and 6 are injection tests involving 2.5 and - 10.5 ppbv, of NH<sub>3</sub>, respectively. In these tests the air flow was 150 L/min. At no time during these tests was the calibration system physically disconnected from the main sampling line, i.e. isolation was achieved by means of a rotometer shut off valve. In both cases it can be seen that the rise and fall time for 90% response was - 1 minute for changes in the NH3 level of 10 or greater. However, a further qualifier concerning the data set shown in Figs. 5 and 6 involves the fact that the length of the sampling line in the aforementioned tests was only a few inches in length at the point of injection. As will be noted later in the text, for the field measurement study on the Georgia Tech campus, we used 28' of 1 1/4" I.D. pyrex tubing as the sampling line. In the latter case, it will be seen that the 90% response times are closer to - 5 min. for a 10 fold change in concentration levels. (Normally for ground based or airborne sampling the sampling line length would be closer to 7 to 8', and we would predict an - 2 min. recovery time.) Overall, however, this modified NH<sub>3</sub> system appears to have minimal hysteresis problems.

# (4) Sensitivity Analysis

Based on the improvements made to the proto-type VUV-PF/LIF NH<sub>3</sub>system during the third year, we now believe we can predict the field performance characteristics of this system under a wide range of atmospheric conditions. These estimates are shown in Table I for conditions involving 10 mJ of 193 nm energy (currently on hand) as well as 35 mJ of 193 nm energy which is based on



۳

SIGNAL COUNTS (/min)



ŧ

SIGNAL COUNTS (/min)

the up-grading of our existing EXC-I laser (for ArF operation) to an EXC-II level performance. (This up-grading has already been done for the case of XeF gas operations.) Yet another critical system parameter upon which these estimates are based is the use of a  $\lambda_2$  delay time of no more than 3 µs. As noted earlier in the text, this operating mode now has been fully tested.

## Table I

Sampling Conditions	Integ. Time	NH <sub>3</sub> L.O.D.(2σ) E193 = 10 mJ (1 PMT)	NH <sub>3</sub> L.O.D.(2ơ) E193 = 35 mJ (2 PMT's)
12 Torr H <sub>2</sub> O (Urban Envir. Grd. Level)	5 min.	50 pptv	10 pptv
11	1 min.	110 pptv	21 pptv
12 Torr H <sub>2</sub> O (Clean Trop.) Sea Level	5 min.	18 pptv	4 pptv
n	1 min.	38 pptv	9 pptv
<1 Torr H <sub>2</sub> O (Clean Trop.) 6 km	5 min.	5 pptv	1.2 pptv
**	1 min.	12 pptv	3 pptv

Operational VUV/PF-LIF NH3 Detection System

From Table I, it can be seen that even with the existing 10 mJ (193 nm)/1 PMT  $NH_3$  detection system there is unlikely to be a set of tropospheric conditions in which the VUV/PF-LIF system would not be capable of making reliable  $NH_3$  measurements. With the upgrading of the excimer laser and the addition of one more PMT, 1 minute time resolution should become possible under virtually all conditions. Still further improvements in the L.O.D. for  $NH_3$  could be realized in the case of high levels of atmospheric water by moving the delay time back to

- 1µs.

# C. Quenching Studies

Because of the need to delay the  $\lambda_2$  laser pulse relative to the firing of the  $\lambda_1$  laser, the lifetime of the detected photofragment from NH<sub>3</sub>, NH'b, is of critical importance in determining the ultimate sensitivity of the VUV/PF-LIF sensor in detecting NH<sub>3</sub> under tropospheric conditions. Earlier, in our renewal proposal (June 1986) we reported results on the quenching of the NH'b species by  $O_2$  and  $N_2$  as well as from air mixtures. These results were in reasonably good agreement with those of Zetzach and Stuhl, 1976. These results therefore confirmed that the quenching of the NH'b state by air presented no difficulties in the detection of NH'b since delay times between the firing of the  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ lasers as long as 10µs in air could easily by tolerated without a significant loss in signal strength.

However, a potentially much more sensitive atmospheric species that could effect the lifetime of the NH'b state is  $H_2O$ . For example, using the only rate data available involving the interaction\* of  $H_2O$  with NH'b (i.e. Zetzsch and Stuhl, 1976),  $k_{H2O} = 4.9 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3$  molec<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), the lifetime of the NH'b species varies from 27µs for conditions of 0°C and 50% relative humidity to as short as 2µs at 30°C and 90% relative humidity. (The latter conditions would be those encountered in a south Georgia marshland during summer months.) Thus, based on this one reported set of H<sub>2</sub>O measurements it can be concluded that a significant signal increase could result in the VUV/PF-LIF NH<sub>3</sub> system if the  $\lambda_2$  delay time of 5µs could be reduced to 2µs. For this reason, we felt it quite important to independently examine the rate coefficient for the process H<sub>2</sub>O + NH'b + products. However, to increase our confidence level in any new quenching measurements for the process H<sub>2</sub>O + NH'b, it was thought prudent to also examine several other molecules that had been studied by Zetzsch and Stuhl (1976). The list, in this case, included H<sub>2</sub>O, H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, and C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>. The

<sup>\*</sup> It is still unknown whether this interaction involves H-atom abstraction or simply quenching of the NH'b state to NH'a.

gases H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, and C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> used in this investigation were UHP grade with a stated purity of 99.999%. The HPLC grade H<sub>2</sub>O was purchased from Burdick and Jackson. In our experiments, the quenching and/or reaction rate coefficient for the NH'b state was determined from a measurement of the NH'c fluorescence versus delay time. The delay time in this case was that between the firing of 193 nm  $\lambda_1$  photolysis laser and the 453 nm  $\lambda_2$  laser, used to excite the NH'b state to the NH'c state. Fluorescence was detected from the transition of NH'c to the NH'a state. Thus, from first order plots of ln (Fluor) versus delay time together with known concentration levels of the quenching and/or reactant gas, the desired rate coefficients could be directly calculated. Typical decay curves are shown in Figs. 7,8,9,and 10. In each of these experiments the diluent gas was ultra high purity N<sub>2</sub> at - 1 atm. pressure.

The final results from these experiments, as well as those from Zetzsch and Stuhl (1976) are summarized in Table II.

1.1



In(SIGNAL CNTS)





In(SIGNAL CNTS)



In(SIGNAL CNTS)

	Rate C	cooefficients fo with the Gases H	or the Loss of 1 <sub>2</sub> 0, H <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> ,	$C_{2}H_{6}$ , and $C_{3}H_{6}$	ision
Col: Gas	lisional Species	Concentration (Torr)	1 <i>/e</i> Decay Time (μs)	k value (this work)	k value reported by Zetzsch & Stuhl (1976
H <sub>2</sub> 0 H <sub>2</sub> 0	(latmN <sub>2</sub> )* (latmN <sub>2</sub> )* (Artif.Air)+ (Artif.Air)+ (Artif.Air)+ (Outside Air)+ (Outside Air)+ (Outside Air)+	1.97 3.57 3.80 1.82 2.50 6.10 11.9 7.9	16 8.8 8.6 15.6 10.8 5.52 2.46 3.56	9.8x10 <sup>-13</sup> 9.8x10 <sup>-13</sup> 9.2x10 <sup>-13</sup> 1.1x10 <sup>-12</sup> 1.1x10 <sup>-12</sup> 8.9x10 <sup>-13</sup> 1.02x10 <sup>-12</sup> 1.06x10 <sup>-12</sup>	
			Ave.	1.0± 08x10 <sup>-12</sup>	4.9x10 <sup>-13</sup>
$H_2$ (latmN <sub>2</sub> )* $H_2$ (latmN <sub>2</sub> )* $H_2$ (latmN <sub>2</sub> )*	24.3 57.0 12.1	3.1 1.0 5.8	4.1x10 <sup>-13</sup> 5.2x10 <sup>-13</sup> 4.3x10 <sup>-13</sup>		
<u></u>			Ave.	4.5x10 <sup>-13</sup>	8.6x10 <sup>-13</sup>
СН4	(latmN <sub>2</sub> )*	47.1 62.3	7.2 6.2	8.8x10 <sup>-14</sup> 7.8x10 <sup>-14</sup>	
			Ave.	8.3x10 <sup>-14</sup>	1.8x10 <sup>-13</sup>
с <sub>2</sub> н <sub>(</sub>	5 (latmN <sub>2</sub> )*	22.0 38.7 20.5	5.9 2.8 5.4	2.3x10 <sup>-13</sup> 2.8x10 <sup>-13</sup> 2.7x10 <sup>-13</sup>	
		•	Ave.	2.6x10 <sup>-13</sup>	
с <sub>3</sub> н	5 (latmN <sub>2</sub> )*	.615	25	1.9x10 <sup>-12</sup>	4.7x10 <sup>-13</sup>

TABLE II

.

+ Conditions: latm. of Air: 8 to 7 ppbv  $NH_3$ \* Conditions: latm. of N<sub>2</sub>: 8 to 17 ppbv  $NH_3$ 

•

20

٠.

# D. FIELD MEASUREMENTS

The  $NH_3$  field measurements reported here are those recorded on the Georgia Tech campus over the time period of May 4 to May 20, 1986. The location of the inlet system for these measurements was the Baker Building which is situated on the north central part of the Tech campus. The back side of the building, the side of the building through which we placed a 28' long, 1 1/4" I.D. pyrex sampling line, is adjacent to a lightly traveled campus road, State Street. The sampling line itself extended - 15' beyond the building wall and was - 20' above ground level. Both of the latter geometric considerations were designed to minimize the influence of the building and the ground as possible loss mechanisms for  $NH_3$ .

At the present time it is difficult to pin point all the possible sources of  $NH_3$  on the campus or even in the immediate vicinity of the Baker Building. Certainly sewage vent pipes on the top of the Baker Building (- 50' away and centered in the middle of the roof) as well as chemical fume hood exhausts (-70' away on the far corner of the roof) represent what we now believe are the most immediate potential sources of  $NH_3$  relative to the location of our sample intake pipe.

Typically, a flow rate of 6001/min. was maintained through the inlet line and ambient fluorescence cell, although variations in the flow rate ranging from 1501/min to as high as 12001/min were used in tests to establish that the sampling line and fluorescence cell were free of any memory problems. At 6001/min the residence time in the sampling network (includin the fluorescence cell) was - .6 sec.

Routine calibrations of the VUV/PF-LIF system were carried out using the standard addition technique. In our case, the primary  $NH_3$  standard consisted of a certified bottle of 100 ppmv of  $NH_3$  in  $N_2$  which was obtained from Scott

Environmental Labs. The primary standard was coupled to either a two or three stage dynamic dilution system as described earlier in the text. The injection of the calibration gas occurred 4" from the inlet of our ambient air sampling line. Injection of cal-gas into the sampling line was achieved by means of a solenoid activated valve that was positioned within a few inches of the point of injection of the cal-gas into the ambient sampling line.

As can be seen from Table III, in addition to  $NH_3$  measurements, several ancillary measurements were made during several of the longer  $NH_3$  sampling runs. These included: WD, WS, RH, DP, visible and UV flux,  $O_3$ ,  $NO_x$ ,  $SO_2$ ,  $HNO_3$ , aerosol composition, and rain analysis.

At this time, a detailed analysis of the urban Atlanta  $NH_3$  data set and the data from related chemical species has not been completed. However, from Table III, some trends seem noteworthy even at this early stage in the data analysis. Over an - two week period the range of  $NH_3$  levels was found to be .7 to 5.8 ppbv. However, in a run on 5/20/87, too new to have been incorporated into Table III, two separate  $NH_3$  spikes occurred during the day one of which exceeded 50 ppbv. Immediate observations made outside the building during this time period showed no unusual activity, and we can only surmise that there may have been a large release of  $NH_3$  from one of the Baker Buildings' fumehoods. There also appear to be no clear trends in the  $NH_3$  levels for day versus nighttime sampling nor are any clear cut trends observed in the  $NH_3$  level and Rel. Humid. or dew-point. Perhaps the most significant correlations, if any are to be found, will be those between  $NH_3$  and  $HNO_3$  and gas phase  $NH_3$  levels versus  $NH_4^+$  aerosol phase. As noted earlier these data are not yet available.

As one of several tests that were performed to determine if there were memory problems in the pyrex sampling line, we varied the flow-rate in the ambient sampling line over the range of 1501/min up to 12001/min, an 8 fold change. Within the photon statistics of these measurements as well as any natural variability in the outside NH<sub>3</sub> level, no significant change was observed in the NH, signal level. Thus, we believe that the NH<sub>3</sub> values reported here are representative of the levels of NH<sub>3</sub> that were present in ambient air during the time period of 5/4/87 to 5/20/87, not artifacts of wall memory effects.

In Figs. 11, 12, 13 and 14 are shown representative real time profiles of  $NH_3$  on days 5/5, 5/12, and 5/20. Also shown for 5/12 are the ancillary measurements, taken at the same time that  $NH_3$  was being sampled. (The  $NH_3$  data were recorded with 1 min. time resolution.) The gaps in some of these data (e.g. that on 5/12) represent time periods during which time tests were being performed or in somecases times during which the excimer laser was being refilled with ArF gas.

As noted earlier, there are, on the average, very few major changes that were observed in the level of  $NH_3$  over a several hour period. The most dramatic effect observed is that shown in Fig. 14, where two  $NH_3$  spikes occurred, separated by - 1 hr. in time. The first of these went from a base line level of - 3 ppbv to over 50 ppbv in a matter of 3 or 4 minutes and dropped back down to the base line level of 3 ppbv in approximately the same amount of time. No explanation has yet been found for this observation. There is currently no basis for believing it was an instrumental artifact.



Fig 12 AMBIENT AIR 5/12/87

۲.



) 1



.

Fig 14 AMBIENT AIR 5/20/87



DATE	WD	WS mph	RH	DP	[0 <sub>3</sub> ] ppb <b>v</b>	[NO <sub>X</sub> ] ppbv	[SO <sub>2</sub> ] ppbv	[NH3]	COMMENTS
05/04/87 00:00 to 02:32 GMT	NW	5	84\$	+14°C	NR	NR	NR	m 1.0 (±0.2) £ 0.7 h 1.7	* Rain Shower Afternoon
05/05/87 12:16 to 22:10 GMT	NE	10-15	83 <b>%</b>	+7.6°C	m=3 10 h7	NR	m 1.5 £ 1 h 2	m 1.5 (±0.25 £ 0.7 h 1.7	)* Clear no rain
05/06/87 17:25 to 23:16 GMT	SE	10	90%	+9.4°C	m 33 L 26 h 48	NR	m 16 g 4 h 27	m 2.6 (±0.4) £ 1.5 h 3.4	* Clear no rain
05/07/87 15:30 to 22:06 GMT	NW	3-15	54%	+11°C	m 36 l 20 h 54	NR	m 21 £ 8 h 46	m 3.3 (±0.5) £ 1.8 h 4.8	* Clear no rain
05/08/87 15:01 to 22:40 GMT	NE	10-16	26 <b>%</b>	+2°C	m 68 £ 63 h 93	NR	m 7 £ 4 h 7	m 2.8 (±0.4) £ 1.6 h 4.0	* Clear no rain Two HNO <sub>3</sub> Samples
05/11/87 18:14 to 21:07 GMT	E	5-13	96%	+13.5°C	m 17 L 3 h 28	NR	m 3.5 L 2 h 6	m 3.4 (±0.5) & 2.2 h 4.9	* Light Rain during most of time Two HNO <sub>3</sub> Samples

.

ŧ

.

# Table III Summary of $NH_3$ and Ancillary Measurements on the Georgia Tech Campus Over the Time Period of May 4 + May 14, 1987

.

05/12/87 15:03 to 04:00 GMT	ŚE to NE	5-13	47 <b>%</b> to 90 <b>%</b>	+12 to +15	m 30 l 1 h 58	m 30 & 14 h 46	m 3.5 m l 2 l h 6 h	2.8 1.3 1.8	(±0.3 to	Mostly sunny to 23:00 GMT Then rain showers Three HNO <sub>3</sub> Samples
05/13/87 17:00 to 03:30 GMT 5/14	NE to SE	5-10	58 <b>%</b> to 93 <b>%</b>	+14°C to +15°C	m 33 L 14 h 58	m 20 £ 10 h 40	Avm. 2.7 m low 2 l high 16 h	2.0 1.2 3.0	(±0.34)*	Partly sunny or no rain to 24:00 GMT then rain at ¢1:00 GMT 5/14/87 Three HNO <sub>3</sub> samples
Diurnal 05/14/87 to 05/15/87 16:53 GMT to 22:02 GMT	E to NE	6-13	56% to 100%	+13 to +15	m 18 £ 6 h 42	m 25 L 8 h 95	Avm. 1.4 m low 1 & high 2 h	3.5 1.5 5.8	(±0.5)*	Cloudy most all of time, Light Showers Four HNO <sub>3</sub> samples

1

1

•

NR = nor recorded; m = mean valve; L = minimum level; h = maximum value \* Uncertainty specified is the 1g uncertainty derived from photon statistics for a 1 min. integration time

Cur Printer 1

ONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION Washington, D.C. 20550	FINA	NSF FORM BBA	
PLEASE REA	DINSTRUC	TIONS ON REVERSE BEFORE COMPLET	TING
PAR	T I-PROJE	CT IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION	
titution and Address corgia Institute of Technolog	2V	2. NSF Program Atmospheric Sciences Div.	3. NSF Award Number ATM 8610236
arth and Atmospheric Sciences 21 Bobby Dodd Way, Atlanta, (	s Ga 30332	4. Award Period From 6/15/86 To 2/28/89	5. Cumulative Award Amount \$301,831
oject Title Vacuum-Ultraviolet Photofrag	gmentati	on/Laser-Induced Fluorescen	ce Sensor For Measurements

. Vacuum-Ultraviolet Photofragmentation/Laser-induced Fluorescence Sensor For Measurements f Atmospheric Trace Gases

## PART II-SUMMARY OF COMPLETED PROJECT (FOR PUBLIC USE)

The major objectives of this project were: (1) to develop a highly sensitive and selective method for detecting  $NH_3$  adaptable to atmospheric field sampling and (2) to demonstrate the general applicability of vacuum-UV photofragmentation, in combination with laser-induced fluorescence, as a technique for detecting non-fluorescing atmospheric trace gases.

The approach used in this study involved the two photon photofragmentation of NH<sub>3</sub> using 193 nm radiation from an Ar excimer laser (NH<sub>3</sub> + h ( $\lambda$ =193 nm)  $\rightarrow$  NH<sub>2</sub> ---> NH(b<sup>1</sup>\Sigma<sup>+</sup>)), followed by the excitation at 450 nm of meta stable NH(b<sup>1</sup>\Sigma<sup>+</sup>), i.e. NH(b'Σ<sup>+</sup>) + h<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$ NH(c' $\pi$ ). Fluorescence from the NH(c' $\pi$ ) species was then detected at 450 nm, e.g. NH(c' $\pi$ )  $\rightarrow$  NH(a' $\Delta$ ) + h<sub>3</sub>.

This system has been demonstrated to be a highly specific and sensitive method for the quantitative measurement of atmospheric ammonia in both independent field studies by the Georgia Tech group as well as by our participation in a large scale NSF/NCAR organized NH<sub>3</sub> instrument intercomparison. Limits of detection for the current instrument are 10 pptv and 4 pptv for 1 and 5 min. integration periods, respectively, for ambient sampling conditions. The technique is free from interferences and system performance does not significantly degrade in adverse sampling conditions (i.e. rain, fog, clouds, haze, etc.) Spectroscopic selectivity in the <sup>15</sup>NH(b'\Sigma<sup>+</sup>)  $\rightarrow$  <sup>15</sup>NH(c' $\pi$ ) transition is sufficient to resolve <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> and <sup>14</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> contributions for use in atmospheric tracer studies and for real-time calibrations of the VUV-photofragmentation LIF ammonia system.

PART III-TECHNICAL INF	ORMATION (FOR	PROGRAM MA	NAGEMENT US	ES)				
TEM (Check appropriate blocks)	NONE	ATTACHED	PREVIOUSLY	TO BE SEPARATE	FURNISHED Y TO PROGRAM			
			FUNNISHED	Check (~) Approx. Date				
s of Theses								
on Citations								
cientific Collaborators			V					
n on inventions					•			
Description of Project and Resulta		$\checkmark$						
:ily)				•				
			$\cap$					
tigator/Project Director Name (Typed)	3./Principai In	vestigator/Proj	ct Director Signi	ature	4. Date			
1		-			June 4, 1991			
persedes All Previous Editions				Form A	pproved OMB No. 2447			

# PART IV - SUMMARY DATA ON PROJECT PERSONNEL

NSF Division Atuse

Sciences

The data requested below will be used to develop a statistical profile on the personnel supported through NSF grants. The information on this part is solicited under the authority of the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended. All information provided will be treated as confidential and will be safeguarded in accordance with the provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974. NSF requires that a single copy of this part be submitted with each Final Project Report (NSF Form 98A); however, submission of the requested information is not mandatory and is not a precondition of future awards. If you do not wish to submit this information, please check this box.

Please enter the numbers of individuals supported under this NSF grant. Do not enter information for individuals working less than 40 hours in any calendar year.

	Pl's/	PD's	Po doct	orais	Grad Stud	luate Ients	Uni grad	der- uates	Preco Teac	ollege hers	Oth	ers
Permanent Visa	Maie	Fem.	Male	Fem.	Male	Fem.	Male	Fem.	Male	Fem.	Maie	Fem.
American Indian or Alaskan Native												
Asian or Pacific Islander												
Biack, Not of Hispanic Origin												
Hispanic			•									
White, Not of Hispanic Origin	4		1		1		ł					
Total U.S. Citizens	3		1									
Non U.S. Citizens	I										>	
Total U.S. & Non- U.S	4				1		1					
Number of individuals who have a handicap that limits a major life activity.	0		0		0		0					

\*Use the category that best describes person's ethnic/racial status. (If more than one category applies, use the one category that most closely reflects the person's recognition in the community.)

AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKAN NATIVE: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of North America, and who maintains cultural identification through tribal affiliation or community recognition.

ASIAN OR PACIFIC ISLANDER: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, the Indian subcontinent, or the Pacific Islands. This area includes, for example, China, India, Japan, Korea, the Philippine Islands and Samoa.

BLACK, NOT OF HISPANIC ORIGIN: A person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa.

HISPANIC: A person of Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Central or South American or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race.

WHITE, NOT OF HISPANIC ORIGIN: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, North Africa or the Middle East.

THIS PART WILL BE PHYSICALLY SEPARATED FROM THE FINAL PROJECT REPORT AND USED AS A COM-PUTER SOURCE DOCUMENT. DO NOT DUPLICATE IT ON THE REVERSE OF ANY OTHER PART OF THE FINAL REPORT.

# Technical Description of Project and Results

The major instrumental, laboratory, and field results derived from this highly successful project have been summarized in the following two publications:

- (1) NH (b' $\Sigma$ +) Deactivation/Reaction Rate Constants for the Collisional Gases H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, Ar, N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, and CO<sub>2</sub>; C.A. van Dijk, S.T. Sandholm, D.D. Davis, and J.D. Bradshaw, J. Phys. Chem., <u>93</u>, p. 6363, 1989.
- (2) Atmospheric Ammonia Measurement Using a VUV/Photofragmentation Laser Induced Fluorescence Technique; J.S. Schendel, R.E. Stickel, C.A. van Dijk, S.T. Sandholm, D.D. Davis and J.D. Bradshaw, Applied Optics, 29, p. 4924, 1990.

Still other major results directly related (i.e. derived from) this project but funded under another NSF grant are those involving the NSF/NCAR/NOAA sponsored NH<sub>3</sub> instrument intercomparison study in Boulder, Colorado, Feb/Mar 1989. Five different techniques, including the VUV/PF-LIF system, were compared under both ambient as well as controlled spiked sampling conditions using a common manifold. The VUV/PF-LIF clearly demonstrates superior performance to the other techniques during this intercomparison. These results were reported on at the Spring 1991 AGU meeting. These intercomparison results will appear very shortly in an AGU publication.

# Inventions/Patents

There were no inventions or patents developed/applied for during this project. All innovative ideas and technological break throughs achieved during the project have been reported on at conferences and/or provided to the public through publications, i.e.

- (1) NH(b' $\Sigma$ +) Deactivation/Rate Constants for the Collisional Gases H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, Ar, N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, and CO<sub>2</sub> J. of Physical Chemistry, <u>93</u>, p. 6363, 1989.
- (2) Atmospheric Ammonia Measurement Using a VUV/Photofragmentation Laser Induced Fluorescence Technique,

Applied Optics, 29, p. 4924, 1990.

# Atmospheric ammonia measurement using a VUV/photofragmentation laser-induced fluorescence technique

John S. Schendel, Robert E. Stickel, Cornelis A. van Dijk, Scott T. Sandholm, Douglas D. Davis, and John D. Bradshaw

Vacuum ultraviolet/photofragmentation laser-induced fluorescence has been demonstrated to be a highly specific and sensitive method for the quantitative measurement of atmospheric ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>). The fluorescence detected in this approach results from the two 193-nm photon photofragmentation step NH<sub>3</sub>  $\Rightarrow$  NH<sub>2</sub>  $\Rightarrow$  NH( $b^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ ) followed by the excitation of the NH( $b^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ )  $\Rightarrow$  NH( $c^{1}\Pi$ ) transition via a 450-nm photon with final emission being observed from the NH( $c^{1}\Pi$ )  $\Rightarrow$  NH( $a^{1}\Delta$ ) transition at 325 nm. Limits of detection for the instrument presented here are <10 pptv and <4 pptv for 1- and 5-min integration periods, respectively, in ambient sampling conditions. The technique is free from interferences and system performance does not significantly degrade in adverse sampling conditions (i.e., rain, fog, clouds, haze, etc.). Spectroscopic selectivity in the NH( $b^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ )  $\Rightarrow$  NH( $c^{1}\Pi$ ) transition is sufficient to resolve <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> and <sup>14</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> contributions for use in atmospheric tracer studies. Average ammonia measurements at Stone Mountain, GA, ranged from  $\approx$ 110 pptv for air temperatures <5°C to  $\approx$ 240 pptv for air temperatures  $\geq$ 5°C over the period from Dec. 1987 to the end of Apr. 1988. Key words: Ammonia detection, photofragmentation, laser-induced fluorescence.

#### I. Introduction

Ammonia is the dominant basic species in the troposphere and therefore significantly impacts on the acidbase chemistry of the lower atmosphere.<sup>1-7</sup> Gas phase ammonia, representing the most abundant reduced form of atmospheric nitrogen, is surprisingly stable in an oxidative atmosphere, exhibiting a long lifetime with respect to oxidative attack (~45 days). Ammonia's fate in the atmosphere is primarily controlled through its equilibrium with aerosol acidic compounds [namely, NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, etc.] and aqueous droplets [e.g.,  $NH_3(g) \Leftrightarrow NH_3(aq) \Leftrightarrow (+NO_3^-)(aq) +$  $NH_4^+ \leftrightarrow NH_4NO_3$ , with eventual gas phase removal occurring through dry deposition and rainout/washout events. The equilibria of gas phase ammonia with aerosols and droplets is still not completely understood, especially at low concentrations and low temperatures in atmospheric conditions.<sup>8-15</sup> Sources of

Received 20 March 1990.

atmospheric ammonia are believed to be dominated by soil emission and varies significantly from region to region depending on land use practices, soil type, temperature, moisture content, and degree of animal husbandry. In addition, the role of the oceans with regard to being a net source or sink of atmospheric NH<sub>3</sub> is still unclear.<sup>1,6,7,16,17</sup>

Gas phase ammonia studies over continental regions (primarily in the northern hemisphere) show the range of ammonia concentrations generally varying between 1 and 20 parts-per-billion-by-volume (ppbv). There are few measurements of ammonia in remote regions and still fewer measurements of the vertical distribution of ammonia in the troposphere. Current estimates of the global ammonia budget have assumed globally averaged concentrations for remote regions ranging from 0.5 ppbv to 3 ppbv.<sup>6,7,16</sup> Measurements over the ocean, which are not significantly impacted by direct continental air masses, suggest this latter value is <0.2 ppbv.<sup>1,17,18</sup>

The cycling of gas phase ammonia and its effects on acid deposition, enhanced aqueous phase  $SO_2$  oxidation, aerosol formation, production of nitric oxide in the remote atmosphere, and redistribution of nutrient nitrogen in biospheric systems has been pointed out in numerous reviews.<sup>1,5-7</sup>

The need for further studies, especially in remote regions, is obvious. However, few methods have currently exhibited an ability to reliably measure gas

When this work was done all authors were with Georgia Institute of Technology, School of Earth & Atmospheric Sciences, Atlanta, Georgia 30332-0340; R. E. Stickel is now with Atlanta University Center, Atlanta, Georgia 30310.

<sup>0003-6935/90/334924-14\$02.00/0.</sup> 

<sup>© 1990</sup> Optical Society of America.

phase ammonia at concentration levels relevant to that anticipated in the remote troposphere (i.e.,  $[NH_3] < 200$  pptv). Direct spectroscopic techniques, involving either remote infrared differential absorption lidar<sup>19</sup> or *in situ* tunable diode laser absorption,<sup>20,21</sup> currently lack adequate sensitivity to be of use at concentration levels in the few to tens of pptv range. All other techniques, reported to date, indirectly determine ammonia through the use of preconcentration with subsequent analysis using chemical converters, ion chromatography, absorption or fluorescence of NH<sub>3</sub> derivatives, etc.

Acid impregnated filter collection techniques have been shown to possess interferences associated with either prefilter release of ammonia from ammonia containing aerosols or ammonia loss resulting from reaction with acidic deposits, or both. They can also exhibit a decrease in ammonia collection efficiency at low ambient relative humidities.<sup>22-26</sup> Sample collection time necessary at low concentrations is typically 3–12 h.

Acid coated diffusion denuder collection techniques have also been developed.<sup>23,27</sup> This method has been shown to work best when the denuder is used without a front end inlet system<sup>28</sup> and is limited with regard to the sample collection time necessary at low concentrations (typically 1–10 h).

Permeable membrane scrubber collection techniques have also been described recently.<sup>29,30</sup> The open air collection geometry<sup>30</sup> appears to suffer interferences from other concomitant trace gases (e.g., NO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub>). The annular diffusion denuder sampler<sup>29</sup> appears promising at high concentrations (i.e., [NH<sub>3</sub>] > 250 pptv). However, problems have been exhibited at low concentrations (i.e., nonlinear response). In addition, system response changes with relative humidity are not well understood, and the discrepancy observed between results taken with and without a prefilter might suggest that small aerosols are also being collected and measured in these systems.

Metal oxide denuder collection techniques, using either tungstic oxide coated cylindrical denuders or molybdenum oxide annular denuder designs, have also been developed.<sup>31-33</sup> These systems rely on high temperature desorption of the ammonia from the metal oxide surface with subsequent or simultaneous catalytic oxidation of NH<sub>3</sub> to form the detected species NO. Interferences from other organic amines and in some cases HNO<sub>3</sub> have been observed. Due to the elevated temperature used in the heated Teflon inlet system, that was found to be necessary for reducing inlet memory effects,<sup>33</sup> interferences are likely in this latter instrument from the decomposition of concomitant ambient aerosols. Time resolution of these systems for ambient NH<sub>3</sub> in the tens of pptv range is currently limited to 30 min or longer.

Atmospheric ammonia measurements reported to date have been made with one of the techniques described above or a slight variation in one of the techniques listed. In general, the databases for concentrations >1 ppbv should be reasonably reliable. However, interpretation of the reliability of measurements made significantly below 1 ppbv is difficult due to the potential positive and negative interferences, which may arise in ambient sampling conditions, that are difficult to test for in a quantitative manner. Ammonia measurements in the tens of pptv range are further complicated by the adsorption properties of NH<sub>3</sub> and the fact that humans emit substantial quantities of NH<sub>3</sub>, which may effect blank measurement repeatability in techniques that require human manipulation of the sample.

Reported here is a vacuum ultraviolet photofragmentation laser-induced fluorescence (VUV/PF-LIF) sensor that is capable of routine measurements of gas phase ammonia in the concentration range relevant to the remote troposphere with time resolution capabilities of 1 min or less. The VUV/PF-LIF sensor is spectroscopically selective and virtually free from inlet memory problems due to its unique high flow rate ambient sampling design. In addition, the VUV/PF-LIF NH<sub>3</sub> instrument has now participated in an NH<sub>3</sub> instrument critical intercomparison (Boulder, CO, Jan.-Mar. 1989).<sup>34</sup>

### II. Description of VUV/PF-LIF NH<sub>3</sub> Sensor

#### A. VUV/PF-LIF Technique

Like numerous other atmospherically important polyatomic trace gases that possess unbound upper electronic states (namely, HONO, HNO<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S, CS<sub>2</sub>, COS, etc.), ammonia does not fluoresce atrongly enough to allow a direct laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) sensor to be developed with adequate sensitivity for atmospheric monitoring purposes. The method of photofragmentation laser-induced fluorescence (PF-LIF), in which the parent molecule is photolyzed into smaller fragments that possess high fluorescence efficiency, has now been demonstrated as a selective and sensitive technique for detecting the molecules HONO<sup>35</sup> and NO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>36</sup>

The extension of the photofragmentation process into the near VUV makes possible the potential detection of numerous atmospherically important trace gases (namely, NH<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S, SO<sub>2</sub>, CS<sub>2</sub>, etc.). This is a result of the large absorption cross section of these gases in the VUV and the usually large quantum yields for production of simple diatomic photofragments (e.g., NH, SH, SO, CS). To assess the attributes of the VUV/PF-LIF technique, we chose ammonia as the first molecule for which to develop and test a field sensor.

The spectroscopy of the  $NH_3$  VUV-PF/LIF detection scheme is summarized below and in the energy level diagram depicted in Fig. 1:

$$NH_3 + 2h\nu_1 \xrightarrow{\lambda_1 = 193 \text{ nm}} NH(b^1 \Sigma^+) + \text{ products}, \qquad (1)$$

$$\mathrm{NH}(b^{1}\Sigma^{+},v''=0)+h\nu_{2} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{2}=452 \text{ nm}} \mathrm{NH}(c^{1}\Pi,v'=0), \qquad (2)$$

$$\mathrm{NH}(c^{1}\Pi,\nu'=0) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{3}=325 \text{ nm}} \mathrm{NH}(a^{1}\Delta,\nu''=0) + h\nu_{3}. \tag{3}$$

20 November 1990 / Vol. 29, No. 33 / APPLIED OPTICS 4925



Although prompt luminescence, following the photodissociation of NH<sub>3</sub>, has been observed from several excited states of the NH photofragment, the utilization of this luminescence for development of a sensitive and selective atmospheric sensor (as suggested by Halpern et  $al.^{37}$ ) is severely complicated by the large background fluorescence (also referred to as air glow) generated from concomitant gases and aerosols by the 193-nm VUV photolysis pulse. For this reason we chose to monitor the population formed photolytically in the metastable  $NH(b^{1}\Sigma^{+})$  state. In atmospheric pressure/composition conditions, the excited  $NH(b^{1}\Sigma^{+})$  state has been found to exhibit a long enough lifetime to allow virtually all the 193-nm generated background noise to decay to near negligible levels. Thus, after an appropriate delay time  $(1-10 \ \mu s)$ , the NH( $b^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ ) state population can be interrogated via step (2) using a probe laser ( $\lambda_2 = 452$  nm) that is capable of resolving individual rovibronic transitions in the NH( $b^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ )  $\rightarrow$  NH( $c^{1}\Pi$ ) manifold (Fig. 2). The fluorescence induced by the excitation to the NH( $c^{1}\Pi$ ) state is then monitored at 325 nm. In this scheme, the observed fluorescence is spectrally blue shifted from the probe laser wavelength. This combination allows the NH<sub>3</sub> VUV-PF/LIF sensor to spectrally eliminate background generated from the probe laser, and temporally minimize the photolysis laser generated background to nearly insignificant levels.

The detailed nature of the two-photon process that leads to the formation of the  $NH(b^1\Sigma^+)$  state is still not fully understood but is believed to involve initial formation of the  $NH_2(\tilde{A}^2A_1)$  state and/or highly vibrationally excited  $NH_2$  [e.g., reactions (4),(5) and/or (6),(7)] as shown below:

$$\mathrm{NH}_3 + h_{\nu_1} \xrightarrow{\lambda_1 = 193 \mathrm{nm}} \mathrm{NH}_2(\tilde{A}^2 A_1) + \mathrm{H}, \qquad (4)$$

$$\mathrm{NH}_{2}(\bar{A}^{2}A_{1}) + h\nu_{1} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{1} = 193 \mathrm{nm}} \mathrm{NH}(b^{1}\Sigma^{+}) + \mathrm{H},$$

$$NH_3 + h_{F_1} \xrightarrow{\lambda_1 = 193 \text{ nm}} NH_2^{F} + H, \qquad (6)$$

$$\mathrm{NH}_{2}^{\mu} + h_{\mu_{1}} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{1} = 193 \text{ nm}} \mathrm{NH}(\mathrm{b}^{1}\Sigma^{+}) + \mathrm{H}.$$
 (7)

4926 APPLIED OPTICS / Vol. 29, No. 33 / 20 November 1990

Fig. 1. Energy level diagram illustrating the VUV photofragmentation and laser-induced fluorescence steps.



Fig. 2. Plot of relative fluorescence signals vs excitation wavelength observed for several rotational lines of the P, Q, and R branches of the NH( $c^{1}\Pi, \nu' = 0 \rightarrow b^{1}\Sigma^{+}, \nu'' = 0$ ) transition.

Even though transient intermediate states are involved in this two-photon process, the overall photofragmentation process occurs with high efficiency within the short (8-ns) time period of the 193-nm photolysis laser pulse. More detailed discussions of this process are available in the literature.<sup>38-42</sup>

Following the formalism used previously for describing similar LIF and PF-LIF techniques,<sup>43-45</sup> the detection efficiency of the VUV/PF-LIF sensor can be described by

$$D_{\lambda_3} = E_{p_1} \times E_{\lambda_2} \times E_b \times E_f \times E_d \times V \times [\mathrm{NH}_3], \tag{8}$$

where  $D_{\lambda_3}$  represents the number of detected signal photons per laser pulse per molecule of NH<sub>3</sub>. The terms in Eq. (8) can further be defined as follows:

$$E_{p_1} = \text{photolysis efficiency at } \lambda_1 \text{ for producing NH}(b^1 \Sigma^+)$$
$$= \{1 - \exp\{(-p_1 \sigma_1)/a_1\} | \times |1 - \exp\{(-p_1 \sigma_1)/a_1\} | \times R.$$
(9)

 $E_{\lambda_n}$  = optical pumping efficiency at  $\lambda_2$ 

(5)

$$= \left[1 - \exp(-P_{\lambda_2}\sigma_{\lambda_2})/a_{\lambda_2}\right] \times f_i, \tag{10}$$

 $E_b$  = efficiency of preserving NH( $b^1\Sigma^+$ ) population

$$= \exp(-t_d k_{qb}[M]), \tag{11}$$

$$E_{f} = \text{fluorescence efficiency} = \frac{k_{f}}{(k_{f} + k_{qc}[M])}, \quad (12)$$

 $E_d$  = optical detection efficiency

$$= \gamma_{\lambda_3} \times Y_{\lambda_3} \times Z_{\lambda_3} \times \phi_{\lambda_3}, \qquad (13)$$

V = volume of the sampling region  $= a_{\lambda_1} \times l$  for  $a_1 < a_2$ . (14)

In these equations,  $[NH_3]$  is the concentration of ammonia in molecules/cm<sup>3</sup>;  $P_{\lambda_1}$ ,  $P_{\lambda_2}$  represent the laser photons per laser pulse at  $\lambda_1$  or  $\lambda_2$ ;  $\sigma_{\lambda_1}$ ,  $\sigma_{\lambda_1}$ , and  $\sigma_{\lambda_2}$ represent the absorption cross section for NH<sub>3</sub>, the intermediate NH<sub>2</sub>, and the NH( $b^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ ) transition of interest, respectively;  $a_{\lambda_1}$  and  $a_{\lambda_2}$  are the beam areas defined by the  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  lasers;  $f_i$  is the fractional population of  $NH(b^1\Sigma^+)$  in the quantum state that can be pumped at 452 nm; R is the fraction of NH<sub>3</sub> that has undergone step (4) or (6) and that is available to participate in step (5) or (7);  $t_d$  is the time delay between photolysis and the probe laser;  $k_{qb}$  and  $k_{qc}$  are the bimolecular quenching rate coefficients for the  $NH(b^{1}\Sigma^{+})$  and  $NH(c^{1}\Pi)$  states, respectively; [M] is the concentration of quenching species; and  $k_{f}$  is the rate of spontaneous emission. Equations (13) and (14) define the overall efficiency at which fluorescence is detected, where  $\gamma_{\lambda_3}$  is the fraction of the total fluorescence falling within the optical transmission window;  $Y_{\lambda_3}$  is the total optical collection efficiency f/1.4 lens system;  $Z_{\lambda_3}$ is the optical filter transmission factor;  $\phi_{\lambda_3}$  is the quantum efficiency of the photomultiplier tube (PMT) at  $\lambda_3$ ;  $a_{\lambda_1}$  is again the effective beam area; and l is the effective path length over which fluorescence can be monitored by a single PMT using f/1.4 lenses.

Equations (8)-(14) are useful in estimating order of magnitude detection efficiencies of a VUV/PF-LIF NH<sub>3</sub> sensor. However, more quantitative estimates of  $D_{\lambda_3}$  are limited by the large uncertainties in the photofragmentation process that forms the NH( $b^1\Sigma^+$ ) state. Nevertheless, these efficiency terms are useful in describing the various parameters that control the detection and operational characteristics of the VUV/PF-LIF sensor.

Equation (9), which describes the photolytic efficiency for production of  $NH(b^{1}\Sigma^{+})$  from  $NH_{3}$ , can be further simplified, in the absence of population depletion of the states being excited, to the form

$$E_{\rho} = (P_{\lambda_{\nu}}/a_{\lambda_{\nu}})^{2} \times (\sigma_{\lambda_{\nu}}\sigma'_{\lambda_{\nu}}) \times R.$$
(15)

Similarily, Eq. (10) can be simplified to the form

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{\lambda_2} = (\boldsymbol{P}_{\lambda_2}/\boldsymbol{a}_{\lambda_2}) \times (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\lambda_2} \boldsymbol{f}_i). \tag{16}$$

Thus, for laser pumping conditions that do not significantly deplete the population of the state being pumped, the detection efficiency is proportional to the square power of the photolysis laser photon flux and to the first power of the probe laser photon flux as shown below:

$$D_{\lambda_2} \propto (P_{\lambda_1}/a_{\lambda_1})^2 \times (P_{\lambda_2}/a_{\lambda_2}) \times [\mathrm{NH}_3]. \tag{17}$$

Confirmation of this relationship has demonstrated a

linear regression analysis for  $\log(D_{\lambda_3})$  vs log (photolysis laser pulse energy per unit area), with a slope of  $1.7 \pm 0.3(2\sigma)$ , over the range of 2-23 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>, and with no obvious signs of saturation affects. The deviation from true square power dependency (i.e., slope = 1.7) is believed to be due to the temporal waveform of the excimer laser pulse and the functionality of  $P_{\lambda_1}$ ,  $P_{\lambda_2}$ , and R with time which are not accounted for in the simple assumption of square root dependency. The dependence of  $D_{\lambda_3}$  on the 452-nm probe laser energy fluence exhibited a log-log slope of  $0.97 \pm 0.08(2\sigma)$  for laser energy fluences from 0.05 to 2.5 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>.

Equation (11) defines the efficiency that the NH( $b^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ ) state population, formed from the photolysis of NH<sub>3</sub>, survives the delay time between photolysis and probe laser pulses. Detailed studies into the relaxation effects of the most atmospherically important collision partners (e.g.,  $M = H_2O$ ,  $O_2$ ,  $N_2$ ,  $CO_2$ ) have been carried out as previously reported.<sup>46</sup> Of these molecules, water vapor was found to be the most important component in terms of deactivating the NH( $b^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ ) state with  $k_{qb} = 1.0 \pm 0.07 \times 10^{-12}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. For a nominal probe laser delay time of  $t_d = 2 \,\mu$ s,  $E_b$  is found to vary from 0.94 to 0.37 over the range of water vapor concentration of 1–15 Torr that could be encountered over the altitude range of 10–0 km, respectively.

Tests were also carried out to determine the rotational population distribution, within the NH( $b^1\Sigma^+$ ) state, that defines  $f_i$ . The distribution of population was found to be thermalized into a Boltzmann distribution  $[T = 292 \pm 5 \text{ K}(2\sigma)]$ , within 2  $\mu$ s, by probing the Q-branch rovibronic transitions Q1-Q7 with either Ar or N<sub>2</sub> as the buffer gas. No pressure (i.e., altitude) dependency is anticipated in the collisional redistribution of the NH( $b^1\Sigma^+$ ) state over the ambient sampling pressure range of 200-1000 mbar (i.e., 10-0km altitude) for probe laser delays of  $t_d \ge 2 \mu s$ .

The NH( $c^{1}\Pi$ ) state fluorescence efficiency defined by Eq. (12) is controlled by the collisional quenching term  $k_{qc}[M]$ . The bimolecular rate constant for O<sub>2</sub> as a collision partner ( $k_{qc} = 1.9 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-10}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecules<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>47</sup> is found to dominate the relaxation process for the NH( $c^{1}\Pi$ ) state. As with other LIF techniques, the effect of pressure changes (i.e., altitude) on  $D_{\lambda_3}$  is negligible when the NH<sub>3</sub> concentration is expressed in terms of a mixing ratio (i.e., pptv) rather than as an absolute concentration (molecules/ cm<sup>3</sup>).<sup>43,44</sup>

The parameters effecting the optical detection efficiency  $E_d$  [Eq. (13)] and the observed fluorescence volume V [Eq. (14)] have been optimized to the extent possible where  $\gamma_{\lambda_3} \simeq 0.95$ ,  $Y_{\lambda_3} \simeq 0.01$  (over l = 2 cm);  $Z_{\lambda_3} \simeq 0.4$ ;  $\phi_{\lambda_3} \simeq 0.3$ ;  $a_{\lambda_1} \simeq 0.3$  cm<sup>2</sup>; and  $l \simeq 2$  cm. As defined,  $D_{\lambda_3}$  is the detection efficiency in terms of observed photons per laser pulse per photomultiplier.  $D_{\lambda_3}$  can be increased when expressed in per unit time by integrating the observed fluorescence over multiple laser pulses (i.e., integration time × pulse rate repetition rate).  $D_{\lambda_3}$  can also be increased be increasing the number of photomultiplier tube/collection optics sys-



Fig. 3. Schematic representation of the NH<sub>3</sub> sensor: SHGC, second harmonic generation crystal; SFMC, sum frequency mixing crystal; BS, beam splitter; BD, beam dump; TP, turning prism; CL, cylindrical lens; R, reflector; TD, trigger diode used to provide the gate timing signal; OSC, oscillator cell; AMP, amplifier cell; BE, beam expander; G, grating; OC, output coupler; M, mirror; BC, beam combiner; L, lens; A, aperture; PD, photodiode used to monitor laser pulse energy; SC, sample cell; RC, reference cell; FP, filter pack; SAM. PMT, sample cell photomultiplier; REF. PMT, reference cell photomultiplier; PP, additional photomultiplier port; EX, exhaust; CGI, calibration gas inlet to flow line.

tems viewing the fluorescence zone which effectively increases the observed volume V. In general, further optimization of  $Y_{\lambda_3}$  is limited by the degradation in the rejection properties of the bandpass filter for off-axis (i.e., noncollimated) light.

#### B. Experimental Hardware

Figure 3 depicts a schematic of the experimental apparatus. The 193-nm photolysis laser was an ArF excimer laser (Quanta Ray Exc-1) that produced a nominal output energy of 14 mJ/pulse with an 8-ns temporal width,  $1 - \times 1$ -cm beam area, and a 3-mrad beam divergence. To optimize the term  $E_{p_1}$  [ $E_{p_1} \propto$ (193-nm laser energy per unit area)<sup>1,7</sup>], the photolysis laser beam was softly focused, using a 1.5-m focal length lens, to  $\sim 0.3$  cm<sup>2</sup> at the center of the sample cell (where the energy was  $\sim 9 \text{ mJ/pulse}$ ). The probe laser consisted of a Nd:YAG pumped dye laser (International Laser System NT674 and Quanta Ray PDL-1, respectively). The laser dyes employed were coumarin 450 for the laser oscillator and coumarin 460 for the side pumped amplifier. The dyes were pumped by the third harmonic output of the Nd:YAG laser ( $\lambda = 355$ nm). Different oscillator/amplifier dyes were chosen to reduce spectrally broadband amplified spontaneous emission from the dye laser. The probe laser beam was spatially filtered and collinearly combined with the 193-nm photolysis laser and had an energy of  $\sim 2$  mJ/pulse, in an 0.8-cm<sup>2</sup> beam, at the sample cell. Pulse energies of both laser beams were monitored by silicon photodiodes. The larger probe laser beam area,  $a_{\lambda_2}$ , was chosen to minimize spatial overlap problems between  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  lasers in a field instrument.

Extensive tests were carried out, early in the sensor development program, to select materials that would both minimize the 193-nm generated background signal and memory effects caused by adsorption of NH<sub>3</sub>. The trend in the magnitude of the 193-nm generated background fluorescence for some of the materials tested was found to be vitreous carbon  $\ll$  stainless steel (316 or 324), passivated nickel, aluminum (6061) < brass, black Teflon coated aluminum, Pyrex, anodized aluminum < crown glass, S1-UV quartz < (G1, 12-IR, or CO) grade quartz, sapphire (where each < symbol represents approximately a factor of 4 lower fluorescence). Vitreous carbon would have been the ideal choice of cell materials but was abandoned due to the complexities and costs involved in fabricating a cell from this material. Passivated nickel (a material with low NH<sub>3</sub> memory),<sup>48</sup> having lower background fluorescence than Pyrex or S1-UV quartz, was chosen for the sample cell body and S1-UV quartz for the optical window materials (due to the transmission requirements needed at 193 and 325 nm).

The fluorescence light was collected using a pair of f/1.4 S1-UV lenses in a point-collimated-point geometry and imaged onto a photomultiplier tube (PMT, Hamamatsu model R331). A Schott UG-11 and a 50-Å bandpass filter (centered at 325 nm, Barr Associates) were placed in the collimated region of the collection optics package and used to reject background light not falling within the NH( $c^{1}\Pi$ )  $\Rightarrow$  NH( $a^{1}\Delta$ ) fluorescence band profile.

The R331 PMT was operated in a virtual grounded cathode configuration, to which a +450-V gating pulse could be applied. This pulse effectively gated off the PMT during the 193-nm photolysis laser pulse. The PMT on/off contrast ratio, using this configuration, was >100/1. Gating of the PMT in this manner was necessary to reduce PMT self-generated noise caused by the large background signal that was observed during the 193-nm photolysis laser pulse. Use of a high speed gated microchannel plate PMT (Hamamatsu model 1564) having >1000/1 contrast ratio showed no statistically significant change in the observed background over that observed with the less expensive gating scheme using the R331 PMT.

Time synchronization of the gate generator and laser pulses was provided by an in-house-constructed synchronization/timing system. Delay times between the photolysis and probe laser could be varied from 2  $\mu$ s to 10 ms. Photolysis to probe laser jitter was <0.2  $\mu$ s. The high speed gated photon counting electronics have been described previously.<sup>49</sup>

Ambient sample manifolds have been constructed of 5-cm i.d. heavy wall Pyrex tubing having lengths that vary from <1.5 m (for an aircraft sampling platform) to as long as 8 m (for a ground based system). As noted in Eq. (8), the VUV/PF-LIF signal is independent of sample volume flow rate. The use of high volume sampling flows that produce short residence times has been an effective means of minimizing memory problems associated with NH3 adsorption on the inlet sample manifold walls. Typical sample flow rates, used in this system, range from 600 to 2400 slpm with sample residence times of <1 s. The residence time within the optical cell was <0.1 s. To minimize aerosol decomposition effects, pressure drops within this nonrestricted sample manifold were kept below 5 mbar. In addition, the sample manifold and cell were thermally insulated and operated within  $\pm 3^{\circ}$ C of ambient air temperature. The sample inlet manifold and optical cell were routinely leak tested using 100 ppmv of <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> (see discussion in next section) as a tracer gas.

Calibration of the instrument has been accomplished in a three tier manner. Data normalization, using the VUV/PF-LIF signal measured from a referencing cell that contains a known mixture of  $NH_3$  and nitrogen, constituted the first tier. This reference cell system is instrumentally equivalent to an internal standard and was used to correct for degradations in laser performance that can occur between calibrations (namely, laser energy and wavelength drifts).<sup>49</sup> The signal from the reference system was continuously recorded by the data acquisition/computer system and

was used to normalize all data. The second tier involved calibrations using isotopic <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> as a working gas standard. The spectroscopic selectivity of the VUV/PF-LIF approach makes possible the resolution of  ${}^{15}\text{NH}(b^1\Sigma^+, v'' = 0) \rightarrow {}^{15}\text{NH}(c^1\Pi, v' = 0)$  individual rotational transitions from their <sup>14</sup>NH counterparts. Contrast ratios between signals from <sup>15</sup>NH and <sup>14</sup>NH. for the strongest Q(1), Q(2), and Q(3) lines, were typically 5:1, 18:1, and 30:1, respectively. Due to the lack of a laser line narrowing etalon in the dye laser used in these experiments, the observed contrast ratios were inadequate for the direct determination of ambient <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>3</sub>. Isotopic <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> has, however, been found to provide reliable standard addition calibrations at concentrations near that of ambient <sup>14</sup>NH<sub>3</sub>, even in conditions where the ambient ammonia concentration was highly variable. The third calibration tier involved direct calibration of the system by the method of standard addition using a primary <sup>14</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> certified gas standard. The standard addition calibration system consisted of a three-stage continuous flow serial (dynamic) dilution system, which has been previously described.<sup>49</sup> This system diluted 100-ppmv NH<sub>3</sub> certified standards (Scott Specialty gases) and provided a continuous flow of nominally from 100-ppbv to 1ppmv NH<sub>3</sub> to a solenoid valve mounted at the inlet of the ambient sampling manifold. Standard addition calibration, using either <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> or <sup>14</sup>NH<sub>3</sub>, covering the range of 0.1-10 ppbv was accommodated by varying the gas flow rate admitted to the sampling manifold from the preequilibrated calibration flow loop.

Dew point, within the sample manifold, was monitored using a two-stage dew-point hygrometer (General Eastern) and was used to correct for changes occurring in ambient water vapor between calibration points, as described earlier in Eq. (10).

Data acquisition computer systems were used to record the VUV/PF-LIF signal counts, laser energy monitor and reference signals, dew point, sample manifold and ambient temperature, calibration system and ambient flow measurements, as well as other system parameters and chemical/meteorological variables [namely,  $O_3$  (Dasibi photometer), windspeed and direction, pressure, etc.]. These systems were nominally operated in 1-5-min data formats representing the integrated value of fluorescence signal counts or the average value of support data over these time periods.

#### C. Operational/Performance Characteristics

As previously mentioned, the sensitivity of the instrument (i.e.,  $D_{\lambda_3}$ ) is strongly dependent (1.7 power) on the 193-nm photolysis laser energy. This energy can vary from 18 to 4 mJ/pulse as the performance of the excimer laser slowly degrades over a period of months between routine servicing intervals. In critical applications, where utmost sensitivity is required, the laser can be maintained at high output energies for operational periods in excess of 240 h between maintenance intervals. In these conditions (nominal laser output energy = 14 mJ/pulse) the instrument sensitivity for the configuration reported here has been found



to be 1.5  $\pm$  0.3-photons/pptv/min integration (at 10-Torr water vapor concentrations and  $t_d = 2 \ \mu$ s).

The detection limit (LOD) of the sensor has been found to be limited by the photon statistical fluctuations [i.e., (background counts)<sup>1/2</sup>] in the observed 193nm photolysis laser generated luminescence. The absolute magnitude of the observed 193-nm generated background component, which typically ranges between 1 and 20 photons/min (at  $t_d = 2 \mu s$ ) depending on the concomitant loading of aerosols and trace gases in the ambient air, is continuously monitored by a separate gated photon counting channel, whose aperture opens  $\sim$ 110 ns prior to (or after) the fluorescence induced by the probe laser pulse. The timing diagram depicting this sequence is shown in Fig. 4. For conditions of low relative humidity, longer photolysis to probe delay times can be used to further minimize the observed background, which has been found to decay with a nominal 1/e time of  $3^{+2}_{-1} \mu s$  (over the time range of 2  $\mu$ s <  $t_d$  < 20  $\mu$ s). As currently configured, this system, using one fluorescence detection PMT, has exhibited LODs (S/N = 2/1) of <10 pptv for a 1-min integration period and <4 pptv for a 5-min integration period (in adverse field sampling conditions). These detection limits are expected to be improved from threefold to fivefold for modest system improvements involving increases in the number of PMTs (4 vs 1) and the use of moderately higher photolysis (30-mJ/pulse) and probe laser (5-mJ/pulse) energies.

The VUV/PF-LIF technique is inherently linear over more than 5 orders of magnitude change in  $NH_3$ concentration. Optical pre/post filtering nonlinear effects have been shown, as expected, not to occur for concentrations below ppmv levels. However, as currently configured, the gated photon counting electron-

Fig. 4. Timing diagram of the NH<sub>3</sub> sensor. Just prior to the ArF (193-nm) laser pulse, the signal photomultiplier is gated off so that its response to white fluorescence produced by the ArF laser is minimized. From 2 to 5  $\mu$ s after the ArF laser pulse and 110 ns before the 450-nm laser pulse, the background gate is opened briefly ( $\Delta t = 15$  ns) to allow background photon counts to be recorded. When the dye laser pulse occurs, the signal + background gate is opened ( $\Delta t = 15$  ns) such that signal + background photon counts are recorded.

ics suffer from multiple photons per gate being counted as one pulse (also known as pulse pile-up).<sup>46</sup> At this time, diffusive optical attenuators, placed in front of the PMT, have been used to maintain electronic counting linearity into ranges that nominally cover hundredfold changes in NH<sub>3</sub> concentration. Figure 5 shows a typical calibration curve obtained over the range of 100–13,000 pptv. The correlation coefficient of the least-squares fit of this data set is 0.997. Gated

![](_page_41_Figure_7.jpeg)

Fig. 5. Calibration curve of the UV-PF-LIF ammonia sensor: O, count rates measured with signal attenuation with one diffuser;  $\diamond$ , count rates measured with three diffusers;  $\times$ , count rates of the three diffuser data multiplied by the change in increased attenuation (11.6 $\times$ ).

analog signal processing techniques are currently available that would eliminate the limited dynamic range of the gated photon counting system used in the present instrument.

For NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations significantly above the LOD, the measurement precision of the instrument is dominated by the photon statistical fluctuations in the observed signal count rate [e.g., (observed NH<sub>3</sub> signal counts)<sup>1/2</sup>]. For a nominal instrument sensitivity of 1.5 photons/pptv/min, the measurement uncertainties at the 95% confidence limit  $(2\sigma)$  for NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations of 30, 100, and 200 pptv, and integration times of 1 min, would be  $\pm 30\%$ ,  $\pm 16\%$ , and  $\pm 12\%$ , respectively. The uncertainty associated with normalizing laser performance via the observed reference cell signal would add  $\leq \pm 6\%$  (2 $\sigma$ ) uncertainty (for a factor of 2 change in instrument sensitivity). The uncertainty associated with the water vapor  $NH(b^{1}\Sigma^{+})$  deactivation correction would be  $<\pm 18\%$  (2 $\sigma$ ) (in worst-case conditions of 10-Torr change in  $[H_2O]$ ). For more typical changes in water vapor of  $\pm 2$  Torr, the uncertainty, from this effect, would be  $\leq \pm 6\%$  (2 $\sigma$ ).

The absolute calibration accuracy has been estimated to be  $\leq \pm 18\%$  (at the 95% confidence limit) based on intercomparison of numerous certified NH<sub>3</sub> standards and the combined uncertainties in the calibration and sample mass flow measurements.

Typical spike response times, using a high flow rate 8-m inlet manifold system, are shown in Figs. 6(a) and (b). A 4-m inlet system exhibited an average rise (10– 90%) time of 5 min and average fall time (90–10%) of 3 min. Similar tests on an 8-m inlet system demonstrated an average 90–10% decay time of 5 min. Of primary importance to remote atmospheric applications is the memory associated at low NH<sub>3</sub> concentration ([NH<sub>3</sub>] < 100 pptv). Observations using ambient air and <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> as a tracer gas showed decay of the observed signal from <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> approach baseline levels with a 1/e fall time constant of  $3^{+1}_{-1}$  min over a wide range of ambient temperatures and relative humidity for an 8-m inlet system at 1200 slpm (0.8-s residence time).

In the UV/PF-LIF method, no atmospheric compound can produce a positive signal unless the following three criteria are satisfied for the spectrally interfering species produced from the parent atmospheric compound: (1) it must be produced in air by 193-nm photolysis of the parent compound, (2) it must survive the delay between the photolysis and probe laser pulses, and (3) it must fluoresce within the 326-nm emission filter passband when excited by the narrowband probe laser tuned to a specific  $NH(b^1\Sigma^+ \rightarrow c^1\Pi)$ rovibronic absorption line at 452 nm. Because of these stringent requirements, it is believed that only those compounds which produce the  $NH(b^{1}\Sigma^{+})$  radical through 193-nm photolysis can be considered as potential interferences. In particular, the most likely interfering species might be the organic amines: monomethylamine  $(NH_2CH_3)$ , dimethylamine  $[NH(CH_3)_2]$ , and monoethylamine  $(NH_2C_2H_5)$ . The fluorescence signals produced by each of these amines were compared to that resulting from an equivalent  $NH_3$  con-

![](_page_42_Figure_5.jpeg)

Fig. 6. (a) Temporal response of 1800-pptv addition to ambient air.
(b) Low level step function additions of ammonia; representative error bars are shown for the 1σ measurement precision for each representative concentration.

centration in air. These results are summarized in Table I. Trimethylamine  $[N(CH_3)_3]$  was also included in these tests as a check of the consistency of the approach since no NH fragment was expected in its photolysis. Although hydrazine  $(N_2H_4)$  and  $HN_3$  are known to produce NH when photolyzed at 193 nm,<sup>50,51</sup> neither of these compounds is predicted to be present in the atmosphere at significant levels compared to NH<sub>3</sub> (Ref. 7) and therefore are not included in the test.

As is apparent from Table I, trimethylamine, as expected, produced no detectable signal, while the other amines tested all yielded a concentration normalized signal 6–10% as strong as that from ammonia. In the case of monomethylamine the result was consistent with estimates of the impurity level of  $NH_3$  in the sample. However, both dimethylamine and monoeth-

Table I.	<b>Relative Fluorescence Signal Strengths for Various Potentially</b>
	interfering Species

Compound	Relative signal <sup>1</sup>	
	100	
NH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	7 ± 2	
NH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	$10 \pm 10$	
$N(CH_3)_3$	$0 \pm 1$	
$NH_2C_2H_5$	$6 \pm 4$	

 $^{\rm I}$  Relative signal refers to signal level observed for a test compound relative to the signal observed for an equal concentration of NH\_3.

ylamine appeared to give signal levels in excess over what was anticipated using vendor specified levels of impurities. At this time, the resolution of the question as to the impact of these results on the selectivity of this method appears to be academic, since the atmospheric levels of amines are predicted to all be well below the level of  $NH_3$  in the troposphere. Hence, no significant interference by these compounds is anticipated.

Tests were also conducted to assess if any non-NH containing compounds produced a measurable spectral interference. In excess of 10 h of total measurement time was dedicated, in a variety of atmospheric conditions, to monitoring the net signal obtained when the probe laser is tuned-off of a  $NH(b^{1}\Sigma^{+}) \rightarrow NH(c^{1}\Pi)$  transition, and no net signal above the statistical uncertainty in the 193-nm generated background counts has ever been observed.

Laser decomposition of ammonia containing aerosols has been suggested as a possible interference mechanism for the VUV/PF-LIF technique. Direct assessment of this potential source of interference has been complicated by the associated high levels of gas phase NH<sub>3</sub> present in equilibrium with liquid or dry aerosols containing ammonia compounds. Based on recent investigations into the mechanisms for laser initiated aerosol decomposition<sup>52-55</sup> it is reasonably safe to assume that, for the laser irradiation conditions used in our system (i.e.,  $\Delta t = 8 \text{ ns}$ , 0.03 J/cm<sup>2</sup>,  $\lambda = 193$ nm,  $I = 3.8 \times 10^6 \, \text{W/cm}^2$ ), optical breakdown will not occur even in conditions of high aerosol loading. Furthermore, aerosol decomposition resulting in an observable signal [i.e., aerosol  $\rightarrow NH_3(gas) \rightarrow NH(b^1\Sigma^+)$ ] must occur during the 8-ns, 193-nm photolysis laser pulse, since the sample cell residence time is less than the time between laser pulses. For the laser conditions given above it should also be safe to assume that liquid aerosols (with or without a solid core) will not volatilize appreciable NH<sub>3</sub> (gas) during the 8-ns photolysis laser pulse duration, since the laser fluence is below the superheat limit for aqueous aerosols  $\geq 0.4$ µm diameter (even for highly absorbing liquid aerosols).

At this time it appears as though the only possible interference from laser decomposition of aerosols might occur from small dry aerosols with diameters  $\leq 0.4 \,\mu$ m for which the aerosol mass is sufficiently small to allow temperature rises of >25°C/ns. Worst-case

conditions can be described by (1) the first half of the laser pulse (4 ns) is effectively used to form  $NH_3$  in the gas phase [e.g.,  $NH_4NO_3$  (s)  $\rightarrow NH_3$  (gas) +  $HNO_3$ (gas)], (2) the second half of the laser pulse is used to form the NH( $b^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ ) state from the sublimated NH<sub>3</sub> (gas), and (3) sublimation/decomposition is instantaneous. In these conditions, which probably would not occur as sublimation/decomposition is most likely not instantaneous on the nanosecond time scale, the 193nm laser pulse could conceivably generate  $5 \times 10^7$  NH<sub>3</sub> molecules for total decomposition of the 0.2-µm  $NH_4NO_3$  aerosol. The second half of the 193-nm laser pulse could then photolyze this NH<sub>3</sub> component with the efficiency equal to that for ambient  $NH_3$ , thereby yielding an equivalent interference of  $2.5 \times 10^7$  NH<sub>3</sub> molecules per aerosol particle. However, even at sonic velocity, the expanding decomposition products, NH<sub>3</sub>  $(gas) + HNO_3 (gas)$ , will yield number densities of  $NH_3$ and HNO<sub>3</sub> within the localized area of the decomposed aerosol that ranges from  $\sim 10^{22}$  to  $\sim 10^{16}$  molecules/cm<sup>3</sup> over the time range from 10 ns to 1  $\mu$ s. These large localized number densities would greatly increase the quenching rate experienced by  $NH(b^{1}\Sigma^{+})$  radicals within the expanding vapor cloud, resulting in 1/equenching times ranging from 0.25 ns to 25  $\mu$ s as the vapor cloud expands. Therefore, very little of the  $NH(b^{1}\Sigma^{+})$  state population formed is expected to survive the time delay between the photolysis and probe laser pulses (i.e., 2-10  $\mu$ s) as  $\gg$ 10 (1/e) decay times should be experienced over this time range.

Interferences from laser decomposition of either aqueous or dry ammonia containing aerosols are estimated to yield  $\leq 100$ -pptv equivalent NH<sub>3</sub> ambient gas phase concentration for severe pollution episodes in which the ammonia aerosol concentration is as high as  $33-\mu g$  NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>/m<sup>3</sup> (i.e., 46 ppbv). In these conditions, however, the NH<sub>3</sub> ambient gas phase equilibrium concentration is expected to be >1 ppbv over the temperature range of 200–350 K.

The photolysis laser pulse will photodissociate not only NH<sub>3</sub> but also numerous other atmospheric compounds (namely, O<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, CS<sub>2</sub>, etc.), producing a number of highly reactive atomic and radical species (namely, O<sup>1</sup>D, OH, CS, etc.), as well as the H atoms formed from the photolysis of ammonia. Reactions involving these photolytically produced species are not viewed as a problem since even for near collision frequency limited reaction rates (i.e.,  $k \simeq 2 \times 10^{-10} \,\mathrm{cm}^3/$ molec s) and concentrations as high as  $1 \times 10^{14}$  molec/ cm<sup>3</sup>, no significant perturbation of the NH( $b^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ ) population is expected for  $2 \mu s < t_d < 10 \mu s$ . Photodissociation of water and oxygen, the most abundant atmospheric compounds dissociated at 193 nm, have exhibited little effect on this technique other than that expected for the quenching of the NH( $b^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ ) state by the parent molecules.<sup>46</sup> Mass transport out of the photolysis/sample cell eliminates any possible complications due to secondary chemistry (residence time <0.1 s) although the effect of secondary chemistry has been observed in slow flow or sealed systems.

As a final note, the VUV/PF-LIF sensor has recently

participated in an NH<sub>3</sub> instrument intercomparison (Jan.-Mar. 1989, Boulder, CO). The results of this intercomparison will be published elsewhere.<sup>34</sup> Due to the data/publication protocol, which has been established for intercomparison participants, details of the results cannot be provided as of this writing. However, a summary of several important test results conducted by our group during unofficial intercomparison time periods are worth noting at this time. During ambient sampling periods in which relative humidities varied from 10% to 90%, no statistically significant loss in observed VUV/PF-LIF signal strength was found (i.e.,  $\Delta D_{\lambda_3} \leq \pm 10\%$ ) using <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> as a tracer gas. The effects of inlet long term memory on the measurement of low ambient  $NH_3$  concentrations (i.e., <500 pptv) were examined through sample flow rate changes (300-2400 slpm), sample inlet line cleaning and heating tests, and <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>3</sub> tracer tests. Only the sample line heating test exhibited a statistically significant variation in the observed NH<sub>3</sub> signal, which is believed to be due to the decomposition of NH<sub>3</sub> containing aerosols.

#### III. Field Measurements and Observations

During the fall 1987 and winter/spring 1988, VUV/ PF-LIF field measurements of ammonia were conducted at Georgia Tech's Stone Mountain field sampling facility. This facility is located atop Stone Mountain, which is ~17 miles east of downtown Atlanta. The sampling facility occupies the sixth floor of a 7-  $\times$  7-  $\times$  30-m (high) tower that has an elevation of 520-m MSL, 250 m above the surrounding terrain, and 20 m above the surface of the mountaintop.

Unique aspects of the Stone Mountain field site include (1) its height above the surrounding terrain makes it reasonably insensitive to the effects of localized sources, even though it is located on the edge of suburban Atlanta; (2) the height of the mountain allows for frequent encounters with clouds when frontal systems pass through north central Georgia (this situation provided an opportunity to further assess the importance of aerosol scavenging in controlling NH<sub>3</sub> levels in a clear air mixed-cloud environment); and (3) the elevation of the sampling site is such that at night the top of the nocturnal boundary layer typically sets up at a height that is below the top of the mountain where in these conditions the levels of trace gases encountered are often more typical of rural lower free tropospheric air than they are of suburban or urban Atlanta air. Overall, the Stone Mountain field site has been found to be a very interesting one from a scientific point of view, offering a wide variety of atmospheric sampling conditions.

The program to study tropospheric  $NH_3$  at the Stone Mountain field site has centered around testing the sensor in a variety of ambient sampling conditions and examining the seasonal variation in the levels of ammonia. Toward these goals, the ammonia instrument was operated for ~3 weeks during the early fall of 1987, and again from the middle of Dec. 1987 through the end of Apr. 1988. Most of the present discussion focuses on this later grouping of experiments as the fall

![](_page_44_Figure_5.jpeg)

Fig. 7. Plot of the concentration of NH<sub>3</sub> vs calendar time for the period of Dec. 1987 through Apr. 1988. All the measurements were made at the Stone Mountain field site. Each data point represents the average [NH<sub>3</sub>] measured during the time periods (♥) 0:0-8:00 GMT, (●) 8:00-16:00 GMT, (■) 16:00-24:00 GMT, with arrows indicating the minimum and maximum values observed in the measurement period.

measurements primarily focused on system testing. During the period from Dec. 1987 through Apr. 1988 data were taken over the course of 24 separate days, during mostly daylight hours from late morning to early evening (e.g., 16:00–23:00 GMT). Several measurement periods of longer duration were also made to study the diurnal variation in ammonia. Meteorological parameters that were routinely measured and recorded during the periods of ammonia measurement included: temperature, pressure, dew point, wind speed, wind direction, UV and visible solar flux, and synoptic weather data for the southeastern U.S.A. received from the National Weather Service.

A summary of the data taken during the winterspring period is shown in Fig. 7. While limited, this data set does indicate a distinct, albeit slight, increase in the average ammonia levels observed as the winter changed into spring. Preliminary work performed at Stone Mountain in the early fall of 1987 exhibited NH<sub>3</sub> levels that ranged between 1000 and 3000 pptv (average 1500 ppty), well above the highest concentrations measured the following winter and spring. This suggests a trend in which NH<sub>3</sub> levels, observed at Stone Mountain, are lowest during the winter months and are highest during the hotter summer or early fall months. The high NH<sub>3</sub> levels of the fall previous to the winter and spring of 1988 also suggest that the slight upward trend observed in Fig. 7 should have increased as spring entered into summer. This hypothesis supports the observation of increasing NH<sub>3</sub> levels from spring to summer<sup>56,57</sup> that were attributed to elevated surface soil temperature. However, these observations appear to contradict the observation of a spring maximum with somewhat lower levels of NH<sub>3</sub> being observed during the summer months.<sup>58</sup> The spring maximum was believed to be due to the impact of heavy applications of ammonium nitrate fertilizer to nearby farmland. Any apparent discrepancy among

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### TIME (hours GMT)

Fig. 8. Plots of the concentration of NH<sub>3</sub> vs time for the measurements of [NH<sub>3</sub>] at the Stone Mountain field site. Each horizontal bar in the graphs indicates the average NH<sub>3</sub> level recorded within the period included and vertical bars represent minimum/maximum values over the period averaged. (a) Results of the measurements made from sunset 22 Mar. 1988 to noon 23 Mar. 1988. The weather was clear but with increasing cloudiness occurring on the morning of 23 Mar. The average temperature was 10.5°C with 56% relative humidity. (b) Results of the measurements made from ~4 h before sunrise to noon 17 Mar. 1988. The weather was clear. The average temperature was -1.5°C with 42% relative humidity.

these observations most likely reflects the differences in soil types, land utilization practices, and the extent of anthropogenic perturbations at the various field sites.

Significant diurnal variations in ambient ammonia concentration were also observed at the Stone Mountain sampling site as shown in Fig. 8. It is believed that this diurnal variation is due to a capping-off of the nocturnal boundary layer at an elevation below that of the sampling site as evidenced by boundary layer heights estimated from the inversion layer height viewed over nearby Atlanta. The approximate fourfold elevation in NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations shown in Fig. 8(b) closely followed visual observations of the breakup in the nocturnal boundary layer through the early morning hours.

Figures 8(a) and (b) are intended to show the differences in  $NH_3$  levels between nighttime and daytime for the winter season at Stone Mountain. They are also intended to illustrate the potential relationship between the localized measured concentration of  $NH_3$ atop Stone Mountain and observations of related parameters (such as nocturnal boundary layer). However, these data should not necessarily be considered as the norm for this season, as only four diurnal measurements were performed during the winter and spring of 1988. Certainly more data collection is necessary before definitive conclusions can be drawn.

To estimate the effect air temperature had on the levels of  $NH_3$  between days of cloud/rain events and days of relatively clear weather, the data were broken up into four categories according to both air temperature (AT) and relative humidity (RH) level: data at <5°C AT and <50% RH, data at <5°C AT and ≥50% RH, data ≥5°C AT and <50% RH, and data at ≥5°C AT and ≥50% RH. Within each category, the average concentration of ammonia was calculated as presented in Table II.

The results in Table II certainly cannot be considered conclusive since they represent a rather limited data set and no attempt has been made to decouple the seasonal trend in the data, which may be due to elevated temperatures and changes in fertilizer usage or both, from the results of Table II. However, some features of the results are worth noting. For example, comparing all the data of <5°C air temperature with the data of  $\geq$ 5°C air temperature, a marked temperature effect is seen: an  $\sim$ 2.5-fold increase in NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations on going from cold days to relatively warm days. Separating the data further, by relative humidity, within each of the two temperature ranges, provides some information on the effect relative humidity has on  $NH_3$  concentrations. For the data of  $<5^{\circ}C$  air temperature, on days when the relative humidity was  $\geq$  50%, the average NH<sub>3</sub> concentration was not statistically different from the day when the relative humidity was <50%. The difference in the average NH<sub>3</sub> concentration between the high and low relative humidity data sets for the data of  $\geq$ 5°C air temperature exhibits a similar trend in that the average NH<sub>3</sub> concentration for the high humidity days is not statistically different from the low humidity days. And, of the high humidity days, over half of the data represent measurements taken during periods when low lying stratus clouds were sampled. The highest concentration of gas phase ammonia measured during the winter/spring of 1988, 877 pptv, was measured while sampling dense clouds, near 100% relative humidity and intermittent rain or drizzle.

While soil moisture and temperature changes can certainly have significant impacts on ammonia emission rates, as will fertilizer application practices in suburban regions preceding frontal passages, it is believed that these measurements sharply contrast those made by Force *et al.*<sup>19</sup> In this latter study gas phase ammonia was observed to dramatically decrease as the relative humidity increased past 50% even though the deliquescence point for NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> aerosols lies at >60% relative humidity. The authors hypothesized that the decrease in observed NH<sub>3</sub> (gas) was due to liquid phase aerosol scavenging. An alternative explanation of Table II. Comparison of Daliy Average NH<sub>3</sub> Concentrations with Meteorological Conditions for Measurements Made at the Stone Mountain Field Site from Dec. 1987 to Apr. 1988 (Observed Between 16:00 and 20:00 GMT1)

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Temperature Relative humidity conditions in pptw orditions of the second seco$				<u> </u>	
Date         °C         humidity         conditions         in pptv           Category one:         <5°C, <50% relative humidity         114         Avg:         114           3/17/88         -1.5         42         Clear, cold         114           Avg:         114         Avg:         114           Category two:         <5°C, ≥50% relative humidity         4vg:         114           Category two:         <5°C, ≥50% relative humidity         59         12/17/87         -1.0           12/17/87         -1.0         60         Clear, cold         94           1/13/88         0.0         78         Clear, getting cold         85           1/21/88         2.0         93         Cloudy, cold         116           2/26/88         -1.5         80         Clear, cold         160           Avg:         104 ± 34         (1o)         Avg:         104 ± 34         100           Category three:         ≥5°C, <50% relative humidity         12/31/87         15.2         35         Cloudy, getting         241           3/2/88         15.0         27         Clear         227         3/7/88         17.5         38         Clear/hazy, warm         138 <td< th=""><th></th><th>Temperature</th><th>Relative</th><th>Weather</th><th><math>[NH_{3}]</math></th></td<>		Temperature	Relative	Weather	$[NH_{3}]$
Category one: $<5^{\circ}C, <50^{\circ}$ relative humidity       114         Avg:       114         Avg:       114         Category two: $<5^{\circ}C, \geq 50^{\circ}$ relative humidity         12/17/87 $-1.0$ 60         Clear, cold       94         1/13/88       0.0       78       Clear, cold       160         2/26/88 $-1.5$ 80       Clear, cold       160         Avg:       104 ± 34       (1\sigma)       100       Avg:       104 ± 34         2/27/88       15.0       27       Clear       227         3/7/88       17.5       38       Clear/hazy, warm       124         3/30/88       18.0       37       Cloudy, warm       246         3/30/88       18.0       41       Clear, high winds       189         4/5/88       18.5       41       Clear, high winds       189         4/7/88       11.0       33       Clear, windy       127	Date	<u>•C</u>	humidity	conditions	in pptv
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Category on	e: <5°C, <50	% relative l	humidity	
Avg:       114         Category two:       <5°C, ≥50% relative humidity	3/17/88	-1.5	42	Clear, cold	114
Category two: $<5^{\circ}$ C, ≥50% relative humidity 12/16/87 -3.0 77 Clear, cold 59 12/17/87 -1.0 60 Clear, cold 94 1/13/88 0.0 78 Clear, getting cold 85 1/21/88 2.0 93 Cloudy, cold 116 2/26/88 -1.5 80 Clear, cold 160 Avg: 104 ± 34 (1σ) Category three: ≥5°C, <50% relative humidity 12/31/87 15.2 35 Cloudy, getting 241 colder 227 3/7/88 15.0 27 Clear 227 3/7/88 17.5 38 Clear/hazy, warm 138 3/8/88 18.0 37 Cloudy, warm 246 3/30/88 21.0 47 Cloudy, warm 246 3/30/88 21.0 47 Cloudy, warm 246 3/30/88 21.0 47 Cloudy, warm 246 4/5/88 26.5 25 Clear/hazy, hot 291 4/6/88 13.0 41 Clear, high winds 189 4/7/88 11.0 33 Clear, windy 127 4/8/88 18.5 41 Clear/hazy 340 4/25/88 20.0 42 Clear/hazy 340 4/25/88 20.0 42 Clear/hazy 340 4/25/88 13.0 100 LLSS, rain/ 877 drizzle, warm 236 warm 238 ± 60 (1σ) Category four: ≥5°C, ≥50% relative humidity 1/19/88 13.0 100 LLSS, rain/ 877 drizzle, warm 108 2/4/88 18.0 85 LLSS, warm 108 2/4/88 18.0 60 Cloudy, LLSS and 455 drizzle, warm 4/21/88 18.0 50 Clear 161 Avg: 333 ± 270 (1σ) Avg: 333 ± 270 (1σ)	0, 11, 00			Avg:	114
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Category two	o: <5°C,≥50	% relative	humidity	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12/16/87	-3.0	77	Clear, cold	5 <b>9</b>
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12/17/87	-1.0	60	Clear. cold	94
1/21/88       2.0       93       Cloudy, cold       116         2/26/88       -1.5       80       Clear, cold       160         Avg:       104 ± 34       (1 $\sigma$ )         Category three:       ≥5°C, <50% relative humidity	1/13/88	0.0	78	Clear, getting cold	85
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1/21/88	2.0	93	Cloudy, cold	116
Life       Life       Life       Life       Avg:       104 ± 34       Life         Avg:       104 ± 34       (1 $\sigma$ )       Avg:       104 ± 34       (1 $\sigma$ )         Category three:       ≥5°C, <50% relative humidity       241       colder       227         3/2/88       15.0       27       Clear       227       241         3/2/88       15.0       27       Clear       227         3/7/88       17.5       38       Clear/hazy, warm       138         3/8/88       18.0       37       Cloudy, warm       246         3/30/88       21.0       47       Clear/hazy, hot       291         4/6/88       13.0       41       Clear, high winds       189         4/7/88       11.0       33       Clear, windy       127         4/8/88       18.5       41       Clear/hazy, hot       291         4/7/88       11.0       33       Clear, windy       127         4/8/88       18.5       41       Clear/partly cloudy       310         Avg:       238 ± 60       (1 $\sigma$ )       Avg:       238 ± 60         2/2/88       13.0       100       LLSS, rain/       877         dr	2/26/88	-1.5	80	Clear cold	160
Category three:       ≥5°C, <50% relative humidity	2/20/00	1.0		Avg: 104 ± 34	$\frac{100}{(1\sigma)}$
Category three:       ≥5°C, <30% relative humidity					
12/31/87       15.2       35       Cloudy, getting colder       241         3/2/88       15.0       27       Clear       227         3/7/88       17.5       38       Clear/hazy, warm       138         3/8/88       18.0       37       Cloudy, warm       246         3/30/88       21.0       47       Cloudy, warm       246         3/30/88       21.0       47       Cloudy, warm       266         4/5/88       26.5       25       Clear/hazy, hot       291         4/6/88       13.0       41       Clear, high winds       189         4/7/88       11.0       33       Clear, windy       127         4/8/88       18.5       41       Clear/hazy       340         4/25/88       20.0       42       Clear/partly cloudy       310         Avg: 238 ± 60       (1 $\sigma$ )       Avg: 238 ± 60       (1 $\sigma$ )         Category four: $\geq 5^{\circ}$ C, $\geq 50\%$ relative humidity       1/10       Avg: 238 ± 60       (1 $\sigma$ )         2/2/88       13.0       100       LLSS, ° some rain, 236       236         warm       2/3/88       18.0       85       LLSS, varm       108         2/4/88       7.5 <td>Category thr</td> <td>ee: ≥5°C, &lt;5</td> <td>0% relative</td> <td>humidity</td> <td><b></b></td>	Category thr	ee: ≥5°C, <5	0% relative	humidity	<b></b>
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12/31/87	15.2	35	colder	241
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3/2/88	15.0	27	Clear	227
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3/7/88	17.5	38	Clear/hazy, warm	138
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3/8/88	18.0	37	Cloudy, warm	246
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3/30/88	21.0	47	Cloudy, warm	266
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4/5/88	26.5	25	Clear/hazy, hot	291
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4/6/88	13.0	41	Clear, high winds	189
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4/7/88	11.0	33	Clear, windy	127
4/25/88       20.0       42       Clear/partly cloudy Avg: 238 ± 60       310 (1 $\sigma$ )         Category four: $\geq 5^{\circ}C, \geq 50\%$ relative humidity       11.0       82       LLSS,° some rain, 236 warm $2/2/88$ 13.0       100       LLSS, ° some rain, 236 $2/2/88$ 13.0       100       LLSS, rain/ drizzle, warm       877 $2/3/88$ 18.0       85       LLSS, warm       108 $2/4/88$ 7.5       87       Partly cloudy, 163       clearing, getting colder $3/3/88$ 18.0       60       Cloudy, LLSS and 455       455 $4/21/88$ 18.0       50       Clear       161         Avg:       333 ± 270       (1 $\sigma$ )       Avg(w/o 2/2/88) 224 ± 122       (1 $\sigma$ )	4/8/88	18.5	41	Clear/hazy	340
Avg: $238 \pm 60$ $\overline{(1\sigma)}$ Avg: $238 \pm 60$ $\overline{(1\sigma)}$ Category four: $\geq 5^{\circ}C, \geq 50\%$ relative humidity $1/19/88$ $11.0$ $82$ LLSS,° some rain, 236         warm $2/2/88$ $13.0$ $100$ LLSS, rain/ $877$ drizzle, warm $2/3/88$ $18.0$ $85$ LLSS, warm $108$ $2/4/88$ $7.5$ $87$ Partly cloudy, 163       clearing, getting $3/3/88$ $18.0$ $60$ Cloudy, LLSS and 455 $4/21/88$ $18.0$ $50$ Clear $161$ Avg: $333 \pm 270$ $(1\sigma)$ $Avg(w/o 2/2/88)$ $224 \pm 122$ $(1\sigma)$	4/25/88	20.0	42	Clear/partly cloudy	310
Category four:       ≥5°C, ≥50% relative humidity         1/19/88       11.0       82       LLSS,° some rain, 236         warm       2/2/88       13.0       100       LLSS,° some rain, 877         2/3/88       13.0       100       LLSS, rain/877         2/3/88       18.0       85       LLSS, warm       108         2/4/88       7.5       87       Partly cloudy, 163       clearing, getting colder         3/3/88       18.0       60       Cloudy, LLSS and 455       drizzle, warm         4/21/88       18.0       50       Clear       161         Avg: 333 ± 270       110       Avg(w/o 2/2/88) 224 ± 122       110	.,,			Avg: 238 ± 60	$\overline{(1\sigma)}$
1/19/88       11.0       82       LLSS,° some rain, 236         2/2/88       13.0       100       LLSS, rain/       877         2/3/88       18.0       85       LLSS, warm       108         2/4/88       7.5       87       Partly cloudy, 163       clearing, getting colder         3/3/88       18.0       60       Cloudy, LLSS and 455       455         4/21/88       18.0       50       Clear       161         Avg: 333 ± 270       110       Avg(w/o 2/2/88) 224 ± 122       110	Catagory fou		% relative	humidity	
2/2/88       13.0       100       LLSS, rain/       877         2/3/88       18.0       85       LLSS, warm       108         2/4/88       7.5       87       Partly cloudy, 163         2/4/88       18.0       60       Cloudy, LLSS and 455         3/3/88       18.0       60       Cloudy, LLSS and 455         4/21/88       18.0       50       Clear         161       Avg: 333 ± 270       (10)         Avg(w/o 2/2/88)       224 ± 122       (10)	1/19/99	110	82	LLSS <sup>o</sup> some rain	236
2/2/88       13.0       100       LLSS, rain/       877         2/3/88       18.0       85       LLSS, warm       108         2/4/88       7.5       87       Partly cloudy,       163         2/4/88       7.5       87       Partly cloudy,       163         3/3/88       18.0       60       Cloudy, LLSS and       455         drizzle, warm       4/21/88       18.0       50       Clear       161         Avg:       333 ± 270       (110)       Avg(w/o 2/2/88) 224 ± 122       (10)	1/15/60	11.0	04	warm	200
2/3/88       18.0       85       LLSS, warm       108         2/3/88       18.0       85       LLSS, warm       108         2/4/88       7.5       87       Partly cloudy,       163         clearing, getting       colder       colder       163         3/3/88       18.0       60       Cloudy, LLSS and       455         drizzle, warm       4/21/88       18.0       50       Clear       161         Avg:       333 ± 270       (11)       Avg(w/o 2/2/88) 224 ± 122       (10)	0/0/98	13.0	100	IISS min/	877
2/3/88       18.0       85       LLSS, warm       108         2/4/88       7.5       87       Partly cloudy,       163	2/2/00	10.0	100	drianle warm	011
2/3/88       18.0       30       113.53, waith       100         2/4/88       7.5       87       Partly cloudy, 163       163         clearing, getting       colder       3/3/88       18.0       60       Cloudy, LLSS and 455         3/3/88       18.0       60       Cloudy, LLSS and 455       455         4/21/88       18.0       50       Clear       161         Avg: 333 ± 270       (1σ)       Avg(w/o 2/2/88) 224 ± 122       (1σ)	0/0/00	19.0	95	IISS warm	108
2/4/88       7.3       60       1 atty cloudy, clearing, getting colder         3/3/88       18.0       60       Cloudy, LLSS and 455 drizzle, warm         4/21/88       18.0       50       Clear drizzle, warm         4/21/88       18.0       161       170	2/3/00	75	87	Darthy aloudy	163
$colder$ $3/3/88$ 18.0       60       Cloudy, LLSS and 455 $drizzle$ , warm $4/21/88$ 18.0       50       Clear $4/21/88$ 18.0       50       Clear       161         Avg: $333 \pm 270$ (1 $\sigma$ )       Avg(w/o 2/2/88) 224 ± 122       (1 $\sigma$ )	2/4/00	1.0	01	raity cloudy,	103
3/3/88     18.0     60     Cloudy, LLSS and 455       drizzle, warm       4/21/88     18.0     50     Clear 161       Avg: 333 ± 270     (1σ)       Avg(w/o 2/2/88) 224 ± 122     (1σ)				colder	
drizzle, warm 4/21/88 18.0 50 Clear <u>161</u> Avg: 333 ± 270 (10) Avg(w/o 2/2/88) 224 ± 122 (10)	3/3/88	18.0	60	Cloudy, LLSS and	455
4/21/88 18.0 50 Clear $\frac{161}{\text{Avg: } 333 \pm 270} \frac{161}{(1\sigma)}$ Avg(w/o 2/2/88) 224 $\pm 122$ (1 $\sigma$ )				drizzle, warm	
Avg: $333 \pm 270$ (1 $\sigma$ ) Avg(w/o 2/2/88) 224 ± 122 (1 $\sigma$ )	4/21/88	18.0	50	Clear	161
$Avg(w/o 2/2/88) 224 \pm 122$ (1 $\sigma$ )				Avg: 333 ± 270	$(1\sigma)$
			Avg(w	/o 2/2/88) 224 ± 122	$(1\sigma)$

<sup>a</sup> LLSS refers to sampling of low lying stratus clouds.

their data set is that the surface emission rate increased substantially over the 10-15.5°C change in ambient air temperature. This coupled with stronger vertical mixing velocities that should have been experienced later in the day (10:30-12:00 EDT vs 14:00-16:00 EDT) could account for the observed change in NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations. However, neither the above explanation nor the one offered by Force *et al.*<sup>19</sup> lends support to the accusation made by Langford *et al.*<sup>33</sup> that hydration of ammonia may further limit the usefulness of highly specific spectroscopic techniques.

It is also interesting to note that on both days shown in Fig. 8 the relative humdity was >50% before sunrise and <50% as the boundary layer height (and subsequent temperature) rose through the morning. On the surface this appears as the same trend seen by Force *et al.*<sup>19</sup> However, we believe that the increase in ammonia as the RH dropped (i.e., temperature increased) is due to changes in boundary layer height (and the associated increased coupling with soil emissions) rather than to a lowering of the relative humidity.

To date, significant depletion of gas phase  $NH_3$  by aerosols, fog, or cloud processes has only been observed by our group on one occasion that involved sampling of ice particle laden air during the winter 1989 Boulder Intercomparison Field Program (detailed results to be reported elsewhere). Artifact gas phase ammonia produced by aerosol decomposition on the sample inlet line cannot be absolutely ruled out as a source of the observed ammonia signals, in cloud sampling conditions. Artifact ammonia is, however, not believed to significantly contribute to the observed signal due to the short residence time (<1 s) and near ambient pressure/temperature conditions within the sample manifold system. Obviously, more detailed studies of the particle and aqueous phase equilibrium of ambient NH<sub>3</sub> containing aerosols and droplets and subsequent heterogeneous removal via rain/washout are needed, especially in view of the large uncertainty associated with NH<sub>3</sub> sticking coefficients on naturally occurring atmospheric aerosol surfaces over the temperature range of 230-315 K.

## IV. Conclusions

A VUV/PF-LIF sensor has been developed and tested that is capable of making routine measurements of gas phase atmospheric ammonia in the few parts-pertrillion-by-volume range (i.e., 10 pptv =  $2.5 \times 10^8$ molec/cm<sup>3</sup> at 1 atm pressure). Current limits of detection (LOD) (for a S/N = 2/1) are <10 pptv for a 1minute signal integration period, and <4 pptv for a 5min signal integration period in moderate aerosol loadings and high ambient water vapor conditions. For clean air sampling, more typical of the remote troposphere, the instrument LOD is expected to improve slightly to <5 and <2 pptv for 1- and 5-min integration times, respectively. While they have not proved necessary to date, modest instrument improvements should easily result in limits of detection of <2and <1 pptv for 1- and 5-min integration periods in clean remote free tropospheric sampling conditions.

The spectral selectivity of the technique allows for calibration and tracer studies using either  $^{15}NH_3$  or  $^{14}NH_3$  as the specifically monitored trace gas. This aspect should provide unique opportunities over other currently available techniques in the study of  $NH_3$  phase equilibria with atmospheric aerosols and droplets.

As yet no interferences, either gas phase or aerosol phase, have been identified as being significant in atmospheric sampling conditions. In addition, the instrument performance is not degraded in adverse sampling conditions (i.e., rain, fog, clouds, haze, etc.).

The VUV/PF-LIF instrument can be configured for operation on an aircraft platform, as has been demonstrated with similar LIF systems developed by our group that have now logged over 500 h of total airborne measurement time for the measurement of the molecules OH,<sup>59</sup> NO,<sup>49</sup> and NO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>36</sup> There are no inherent

- 36. S. T. Sandholm, J. D. Bradshaw, K. S. Doris, M. O. Rodgers, and D. D. Davis, "An Airborne Compatible Photofragmentation Two-Photon Laser Induced Fluorescence Instrument for Measurements of Atmospheric NO/NO<sub>4</sub>/NO<sub>2</sub>," J. Geophys. Res. J. Geophys. Res. 95, 10,155–10,162 (1990).
- J. B. Halpern, W. M. Jackson, and V. McCrary, "Multiphoton Sequential Photodissociative Excitation: a New Method of Remote Atmospheric Sensing," Appl. Opt. 18, 590-592 (1979).
- 38. T. Ni, S. Yu, X. Ma, and F. Kang, " $NH(A^{3}\Pi \rightarrow X^{3}\Sigma^{-})$  Emission from 193 nm Two-Photon Photolysis of  $NH_{3}$ ," Chem. Phys. Lett. 126, 413-416 (1986).
- 39. V. M. Donnelly, A. P. Baronavski, and J. R. McDonald, "ArF Laser Photodissociation of NH<sub>3</sub> at 193 nm: Internal Energy Distributions in NH<sub>2</sub>X<sup>2</sup>B, Å<sup>2</sup>A<sub>1</sub>, and Two-Photon Generation of NH A<sup>3</sup>Π and b<sup>1</sup>Σ<sup>+</sup>," Chem. Phys. 43, 271–281 (1979).
- H. K. Haak and F. Stuhl, "ArF Excimer Laser Photolysis of Ammonia. Formation of NH and ND in the A<sup>3</sup>II State," J. Phys. Chem. 88, 2201-2204 (1984).
- R. D. Kenner, F. Rohrer, and F. Stuhl, "Generation of NH(a<sup>1</sup>Δ) in the 193 nm Photolysis of Ammonia," J. Chem. Phys. 86, 2036– 2043 (1987).
- R. D. Kenner, F. Rohrer, R. K. Browaryik, A. Kaes, and F. Stuhl, "Two-Photon Formation of NH/ND(A<sup>3</sup>II) in the 193 nm Photolysis of Ammonia. I. Mechanism and Identification of the Intermediate Species," Chem. Phys. 118, 141-152 (1987).
- J. D. Bradshaw, M. O. Rodgers, and D. D. Davis, "Single Photon Laser-Induced Fluorescence Detection of NO and SO<sub>2</sub> for Atmospheric Conditions of Composition and Pressure," Appl. Opt. 14, 2493-2500 (1982).
- 44. J. D. Bradshaw, M. O. Rodgers, and D. D. Davis, "Sequential Two-Photon Laser-Induced Fluorescence: a New Technique for Detecting Hydroxyl Radicals," Appl. Opt. 23, 2134-2145 (1984).
- M. O. Rodgers, K. Asai, and D. D. Davis, "Photofragmentation-Laser Induced Fluorescence: a New Method for Detecting Atmospheric Trace Gases," Appl. Opt. 19, 3597-3605 (1980).
- C. A. van Dijk, S. T. Sandholm, D. D. Davis, and J. D. Bradshaw, "NH(b<sup>1</sup>Σ<sup>+</sup>) Deactivation/Reaction Rate Constants for the Collisional Gases H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, Ar, N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub>," J. Phys. Chem. **93**, 6363–6367 (1989).
- 47. F. Rohrer and F. Stuhl, "Collision-Induced Intersystem Crossing NH(c'Π) → NH(A<sup>3</sup>Π)," J. Chem. Phys. 86, 226–233 (1987).

- G. L. Hutchinson, U.S.D.A. Agricultural Research Service, Fort Collins, CO 80552; private communication.
- J. D. Bradshaw, M. O. Rodgers, S. T. Sandholm, S. KeShang, and D. D. Davis, "A Two-Photon Laser-Induced Fluorescence Field Instrument for Ground-Based and Airborne Measurements of Atmospheric NO," J. Geophys. Res. 90, 12861-12873 (1985).
- H. K. Haak and F. Stuhl, "ArF (193 nm) Laser Photolysis of HN<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>: Formation of Excited NH Radicals," J. Phys. Chem. 88, 3627-3633 (1984).
- H. Okabe, "Photodissociation of HN<sub>3</sub> in the Vacuum-Ultraviolet Production and Reactivity of Electronically Excited NH," J. Chem. Phys. 49, 2726-2733 (1968).
- R. G. Pinnick, A. Biswas, R. L. Armstrong, S. G. Jennings, J. D. Pendleton, and G. Fernández, "Micron-Sized Droplets Irradiated with a Pulsed CO<sub>2</sub> Laser: Measurements of Explosion and Breakdown Thresholds," Appl. Opt. 29, 910-925 (1990).
- W.-F. Hsieh, J.-B. Zheng, C. F. Wood, B. T. Chu, and R. K. Chang, "Propagation Velocity of Laser-Induced Plasma Inside and Outside a Transparent Droplet," Opt. Lett. 12, 576-578 (1987).
- B.-S. Park and R. L. Armstrong, "Laser Heating of Droplets with Absorbing Core," Appl. Opt. 29, 334-336 (1990).
- B.-S. Park, A. Biswas, R. L. Armstrong, and R. G. Pinnick, "Delay of Explosive Vaporization in Pulsed Laser-Heated Droplets," Opt. Lett. 15, 206-208 (1990).
- H. W. Georgii and W. J. Müller, "On the Distribution of Ammonia in the Middle and Lower Troposphere," Tellus 26, 180–184 (1974).
- N. Yamamoto, N. Kabeya, M. Onodera, S. Takahahi, Y. Komori, E. Nakazuka, and T. Shirah, "Seasonal Variation of Atmospheric Ammonia and Particulate Ammonia Concentrations in the Urban Atmosphere of Yokohama over a 5-Year Period," Atmos. Environ. 22, 2621-2623 (1988).
- J. S. Levine, T. R. Augustsson, and J. M. Hoell, "The Vertical Distribution of Tropospheric Ammonia," Geophys. Res. Lett. 7, 317-320 (1980).
- M. O. Rodgers, J. D. Bradshaw, S. T. Sandholm, S. KeSheng, and D. D. Davis, "A 2-λ Laser-Induced Fluorescence Field Instrument for Ground-Based and Airborne Measurements of Atmospheric OH," J. Geophys. Res. 90, 12819-12824 (1985).

# NH(b<sup>1</sup> $\Sigma^+$ ) Deactivation/Reaction Rate Constants for the Collisional Gases H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, $C_2H_6$ , Ar, N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, and CO<sub>2</sub>

#### C. A. van Dijk, S. T. Sandholm, D. D. Davis, and J. D. Bradshaw\*

School of Geophysical Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332 (Received: August 2, 1988; In Final Form: January 20, 1989)

The NH( $b^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ ) radical was produced via two-photon photodissociation of NH<sub>3</sub> by use of an ArF excimer laser. Detection of this radical species was achieved by laser pumping the transition  $NH(b^{1}\Sigma^{+}) \rightarrow NH(c^{1}\Pi)$  at 452 nm followed by fluorescence monitoring of the NH( $c^{1}\Pi$ )  $\rightarrow$  NH( $a^{1}\Delta$ ) transition at 326 nm. Deactivation/reaction rate constants for the process NH( $b^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ ) + M → products were measured for the following collisional gases: Ar, N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>. The measured rate constants ranged from 7.1  $\times$  10<sup>-17</sup> to 1.0  $\times$  10<sup>-12</sup> cm<sup>3</sup>/(molecule-s) for deactivation/reaction by Ar and H<sub>2</sub>O, respectively.

#### Introduction

As one of the prominent trace gas species in the atmosphere, there has been an increasing interest in the atmospheric chemistry of ammonia. Much of this interest has been the result of the major role this species plays in controlling the acidity of precipitation.<sup>1-5</sup> For this reason, considerable effort has been expended over the past decade to develop reliable methods for detecting NH<sub>3</sub> under atmospheric conditions. One of these new detection methodologies has involved the photofragmentation of the NH3 species by using 193-nm radiation from an ArF excimer laser.<sup>6</sup> This new method involves the monitoring of the NH<sub>3</sub> photolysis product, NH( $b^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ ). The latter radical species, which has been shown to arise from a two-photon-dissociation process in NH3,7 has the advantage of being metastable. Its reported radiative lifetime is 53 ms.<sup>4</sup> Thus, laser pumping the NH( $b^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ ) species to the NH( $c^{1}\Pi$ ) level can be sufficiently delayed (e.g.,  $2 \mu s$ ) as to permit several 1/e decay times of the background fluorescence produced from the 193-nm photolysis laser. However, since the  $NH(b^{1}\Sigma^{+})$  species may also be deactivated via collision with atmospheric gases, critical to estimating the sensitivity of the latter NH<sub>3</sub> detection method are the deactivation/reaction rate coefficients for NH( $b^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ ) with representative atmospheric gases.

Presented here are the results from a study that has examined the rate coefficients for the deactivation/reaction of the NH( $b^{\dagger}\Sigma^{+}$ ) radical in the presence of various possible atmospheric gases, including both major constituents as well as several of the more prominent minor gases.

#### **Experimental Section**

As described by Schendel et al.,6 the photolytic scheme used in this study to generate and detect the NH( $b^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ ) species is summarized below and in the energy level diagram depicted in Figure 1.

$$NH_3 + 2h\nu_1 \xrightarrow{\lambda_1 = 193 \text{ am}} NH(b^{\dagger}\Sigma^{+}) + \text{ products} \qquad (1)$$

$$\mathrm{NH}(\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{I}}\Sigma^{+}) + h\nu_{2} \xrightarrow{\cdot} \mathrm{NH}(\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{I}}\Pi)$$
 (2)

$$\mathrm{NH}(\mathrm{c}^{1}\Pi) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{3} = 326 \text{ nm}} \mathrm{NH}(\mathrm{a}^{1}\Delta) + h\nu_{3} \qquad (3)$$

\*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

The detailed nature of the two-photon-generated  $NH(b^{1}\Sigma^{+})$ species from NH<sub>3</sub> is still not fully understood but is believed to involve the initial formation of the NH2(A) state and/or highly vibrationally excited NH<sub>2</sub>, e.g., reactions 4 and 5 and/or 6 and 7. Energetically, the formation of the NH( $b^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ ) radical requires

$$NH_3 + h\nu_1 \xrightarrow{\lambda = 193 \text{ nm}} NH_2(A) + H \qquad (4)$$

$$\mathrm{NH}_{2}(\mathbf{A}) + h\nu_{1} \xrightarrow{\lambda = 193 \text{ nm}} \mathrm{NH}(\mathbf{b}^{1}\Sigma^{+}) + \mathrm{H} \qquad (5)$$

$$NH_3 + h\nu_1 \xrightarrow{\lambda = 193 \text{ am}} NH_2^* + H \tag{6}$$

$$\mathrm{NH}_{2}^{\bullet} + h\nu_{1} \xrightarrow{\lambda = 193 \text{ nm}} \mathrm{NH}(\mathrm{b}^{1}\Sigma^{+}) + \mathrm{H}$$
 (7)

the absorption of two 193-nm photons, a conclusion that was verified in this work with the observation of an approximate square power dependence in the production of the NH( $b^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ ) species as a function of the 193-nm laser fluence (i.e., plot of log fluorescence vs log 193-nm energy yielded a slope of  $1.7 \pm 0.3$  over the energy range of 3-30 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>).<sup>6</sup> A plot of the rotational level distribution versus energy, using the appropriate Honl-London expression<sup>9</sup> and level spacing and rotational constant<sup>10</sup> yielded a NH( $b^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ )

(1) National Research Council. Sulfur Oxides; National Academy of Sciences Press: Washington, DC, 1978.

- (7) Donnelly, V. M.; Baronavski, A. P.; McDonald, J. R. Chem. Phys. 1979, 43, 271.
- (8) Blumenstein, U.; Rohrer, F.; Stuhl, F. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1984, 107, 347.

(9) Herzberg, G. Spectra of Diatomic Molecules; Van Nostrand Reinhold: New York, 1970.

<sup>(2)</sup> National Research Council. Acid Deposition; National Academy of

 <sup>(2)</sup> National Research Council. Joint Exposition, Chemistry, National Academy of Sciences Press: Washington, DC, 1983.
 (3) National Research Council. Global Tropospheric Chemistry, National Academy of Sciences Press: Washington, DC, 1984.
 (4) Charlson, R. J.; Chameides, W. L.; Kley, D. The Biogeochemical Academy of Sciences Press.

Cycling of Sulfur and Nitrogen in the Remote Atmosphere; Galloway, J. N., Charlson, M. O., Andreae, M. O., Rodhe, H., Eds.; Reidel: Boston, 1985.

 <sup>(5)</sup> Calvert, J. G.; Stockwell, W. R. Environ. Sci. Technol. 1983, 17, 428A.
 (6) Schendel, J.; Stickel, R.; van Dijk, C.; Sandholm, S.; Bradshaw, J.;

Davis, D. J. Atmos. Chem. To be submitted for publication.

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 1. Energy level diagram depicting the photolysis of NH<sub>3</sub> +  $hr_1$   $\rightarrow$  NH<sub>2</sub> +  $hr_1$   $\rightarrow$  NH( $b^1\Sigma^+$ ) at  $\lambda_1$  = 193 nm followed by laser-induced probing of the NH( $b^1\Sigma^+$ , p''=0) state at  $\lambda_2$  = 452 nm via the NH( $b^1\Sigma^+$ ) +  $hr_2$   $\rightarrow$  NH( $c^1\Pi$ ) transition with the resultant fluorescence monitored near  $\lambda_3$  = 326 nm corresponding to the NH( $c^1\Pi$ )  $\rightarrow$  NH( $a^1\Delta$ ) +  $hr_3$ transition.

![](_page_49_Figure_3.jpeg)

Figure 2. Schematic figure of the PF-LIF NH<sub>3</sub> detection system: A, aperture; BS, beam stop; DM dichroic mirror; DP, dew-point hygrometer; EX, ArF excimer laser; FP, band-pass filter; L, lens; M, mirror; PD, photodiode; PDL, pulsed dye laser; PMT, photomultiplier tube; RC, reference cell; SC, main sampling cell; TD, trigger diode; TH, third-harmonic generator; YAG, Nd:YAG laser.

average temperature of 293 ( $\pm$ 5) K. There were no significant deviations of any levels from a Boltzmann distribution when monitored 2  $\mu$ s after the photoflash at a total pressure of 760 Torr of Ar or 760 Torr of synthetic air.

Figure 2 shows a schematic representation of the photofragmentation laser-induced fluorescence (PF-LIF) system used in this study. As noted already, the photolysis laser consisted of an ArF excimer system having an average energy output of  $\sim 12$ mJ/pulse, which when apertured to a 5-mm diameter produced an  $\sim 2.4$  mJ/pulse photolysis beam with a pulse width of  $\sim 8$  ns.

The tunable 452-nm laser beam used to pump the NH( $b^1\Sigma^+$ ) species into the NH( $c^1\Pi$ ) state was generated by using the third harmonic from a Nd:YAG laser to drive an oscillator/amplifier dye laser. This system as well as the excimer laser were nominally operated at 10 Hz. The dye laser output wavelength was typically centered near 452 nm having an output energy of ~1 mJ/pulse with a line width of ~0.2 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The 452-nm probe laser beam was expanded to ~1.5-cm diameter and then apertured to a 10-mm diameter. This configuration allowed the (~0.4 mJ) 10-mm-diameter probe beam to encompass the 5-mm-diameter excimer photolysis beam. To obtain deactivation/reaction rate decay data for the process

$$NH(b^{1}\Sigma^{+}) + M \rightarrow products \qquad (8)$$

a time delay was imposed between the photolysis laser and the 452-nm probe laser. The minimum time delay utilized in these experiments (i.e., 2  $\mu$ s) was sufficient to allow for not only the room temperature thermalization within the NH(b<sup>1</sup>Σ<sup>+</sup>) state but also the complete decay of any nascent NH(c<sup>1</sup>Π) population that might be formed via the 193-nm photolysis laser. The available time delays typically allowed for the observation of 2-3 1/e decay times in the concentration level of the NH(b<sup>1</sup>Σ<sup>+</sup>) species. Other experimental details involving the photofragmentation/laser-in-

duced fluorescence  $NH_3/NH(b^1\Sigma^+)$  detection system will be given elsewhere;<sup>6</sup> thus, only a brief overview of these details is provided below.

The laser initiated fluorescence (i.e.,  $\lambda = 326$  nm) from the NH(c<sup>1</sup>II)  $\rightarrow$  NH(a<sup>1</sup> $\Delta$ ) transition was detected with a Hamamatsu R331 photomultiplier tube after passage through a 326-nm narrow band-pass optical filter. Collection of the 326-nm fluorescence was achieved by using f 1.4 collection optics. The pulse counting electronics used in conjunction with the fluorescence monitoring PMT have been described previously.<sup>11</sup> The observed signal counts were corrected for the probability of multiple photons being observed by the photomultiplier, yet only being counted as a single event by the gated photon counter according to the expression

$$C_{\text{cor}} = C_{\text{max}} \left[ \ln \left( 1 - \frac{C_{\text{obsd}}}{C_{\text{max}}} \right) \right]$$
(9)

where  $C_{\text{max}}$  is the maximum possible count (i.e., number of gate events per integration period) and  $C_{\text{obad}}$  is the observed signal count per integration period. This correction to the observed signal count has been shown to be valid for  $C_{\text{obad}}/C_{\text{max}} \leq 0.67$ , a value that was not exceeded in these experiments.<sup>6,12</sup>

In addition, it was necessary to gate off the photomultiplier photocathode for a period of  $\sim 1 \ \mu s$  surrounding the 193-nm photolysis laser pulse in order to keep the photomultiplier tube from becoming saturated by scatter and/or fluorescence produced by the 193-nm photolysis laser.

As shown in Figure 2, a unique feature of the PF-LIF detection system used in this study involved the use of a  $NH_3/NH(b^1\Sigma^+)$ reference fluorescence cell. The signal from this cell was used to establish the optimum wavelength for pumping the  $NH(b^1\Sigma^+)$ radical and for monitoring both the photolysis and probe laser performance throughout a given set of kinetic experiments.

Because of the elevated signal level, the output from the reference cell was sampled in the analogue mode using a gatedcharge-integrator. Linearity in the 326-nm fluorescence signal  $NH(c^{\dagger}\Pi) \rightarrow NH(a^{\dagger}\Delta)$  was demonstrated for  $NH_3$  concentration levels ranging over 5 orders of magnitude.

During a given data run involving the deactivation/reaction of the NH( $b^1\Sigma^+$ ) species, the performance of the PF-LIF system typically changed less than 20%. As a further precaution in minimizing any systematic errors resulting from changes in laser performance, for each delay time selected three to five independent runs were carried out. These independent runs were staggered in time such that short delay time runs were alternated with long delay time runs, a run being defined as the average value obtained from three to five 1- or 2-min-integration periods. Data was normalized for laser drift by linearly extrapolating the performance characteristics to a designated time delay (e.g., 2  $\mu$ s), a delay time that was measured several times in each data run. This method of normalization was chosen since the reference cell normalization can not be extrapolated to other time delays owing to its individual NH( $b^1\Sigma^+$ ) deactivation environment.

Throughout this study experimental conditions were maintained such that the decay in the concentration level of the NH( $b^1\Sigma^+$ ) species occurred under pseudo-first-order conditions (i.e., for the process NH( $b^1\Sigma^+$ ) + M  $\rightarrow$  products (3), [M]  $\gg$  [NH( $b^1\Sigma^+$ )]). Considering the short 1/e decay times measured for all gases investigated in this study (i.e., <1 ms), diffusion losses of the NH species were small compared to the deactivation/reaction process. Corrections to the measured first-order rate coefficients due to diffusion effects should be <1% for the probe/photolysis laser beam geometries used in this experiment (i.e., the probe laser beam has 2-fold larger diameter than the photolysis laser).

To minimize chamber wall memory problems as well as the possibility of secondary reactions in this study, both involving  $NH_3$  as well as added deactivation/reactant gases or products, all experiments reported in this study were performed under con-

 <sup>(11)</sup> Bradshaw, J.; Rodgers, M. O.; Sandholm, S.; KeSheng, S.; Davis, D.
 D. J. Geophys. Res. 1985, 90, 12, 861.

<sup>(12)</sup> Crannell, H.; Danforth, D. Nucl. Instrum. Methods 1967, 55, 372.

TABLE I:	Rate (	C <b>oefficients</b> fo	or the l	Deactivation/Reaction	of NH(	ЫΣт,	r' =	0)
----------	--------	-------------------------	----------	-----------------------	--------	------	------	----

collisional gas species	k value, cm <sup>3</sup> /(molecule-s)			
	this work*	ref 14"	ref 16 <sup>d</sup>	
H <sub>2</sub> O	$(1.0 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-12}$	$(4.9 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-13}$		
H	$(4.5 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-13}$	$(8.6 \pm 2.6) \times 10^{-13}$	$(1.0 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-12}$	
CH.	$(8.5 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-14}$	$(1.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-13}$		
C.H.	$(2.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-13}$			
CO,	$(1.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-14}$	<1 × 10 <sup>-14</sup>		
N,	$(5.3 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-16}$	$(6.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-16}$	$(4.48 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-16}$	
0,	$(2.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-15}$	$(2.4 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-15}$	$(2.44 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-16}$	
År	$(7.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-17}$	$1.8 \times 10^{-16}$	$(1.27 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-16}$	
	(		$(1.61 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-16}$	

"All uncertainties given at 99% confidence level  $(3\sigma)$  based on stated measured precisions. <sup>b</sup> Total estimated uncertainty  $\leq \pm 37\%$  for gases H<sub>2</sub>O, N<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub> and  $\leq \pm 60\%$  for the gases Ar, H<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, and CO<sub>2</sub> (all at the 99% confidence limit). <sup>c</sup> Total estimated uncertainty stated to be  $\leq \pm 30\%$  for the gases H<sub>2</sub>O, H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, and O<sub>2</sub> and  $\geq \pm 30\%$  for Ar (all at the 99% confidence limit). <sup>c</sup> Uncertainties taken from 65% confidence limit uncertainties reported; no statement as to estimated total uncertainty was given.

tinuous-flow conditions. Typical flow rates in these experiments ranged from 70 to 200 L/min, yielding residence times from 1.1 to 0.4 s, respectively. To achieve the desired concentrations of NH<sub>3</sub>, diluent gas, and deactivating gas, a continuous flow serial dilution system using calibrated mass-flow meters was employed. The addition of deactivation/reactant gases, [M], was accomplished via dilution with an appropriate diluent gas. The concentration of these gases was expressed as a ratio of mass flow rates. This ratio of mass-flow rates had a maximum uncertainty of  $<\pm 5\%$ .

The NH<sub>3</sub> gas employed in this study was Scott Specialty made up as a certified standard in N<sub>2</sub> at a concentration level of 100 ppmv ( $2.5 \times 10^{15}$  molecules/cm<sup>3</sup> at 1 atm total pressure). Distilled H<sub>2</sub>O was purchased from Burdick and Jackson. The latter gas was introduced into the main gas flow line by diverting a fraction of the total flow through an H<sub>2</sub>O bubbler. The concentration of H<sub>2</sub>O in the final gas stream was determined by UV absorption measurements and by using a General Eastern two-stage dew-point hygrometer. The latter device was also employed in determining the H<sub>2</sub>O level in ambient air samples, an alternate approach that was employed to assess the deactivation of the NH(b<sup>1</sup>\Sigma<sup>+</sup>) species via collision with H<sub>2</sub>O. The uncertainty in [H<sub>2</sub>O] is estimated to be  $\leq \pm 10\%$  over the range of water vapor used in these experiments.

The gases  $H_2$ ,  $CH_4$ ,  $C_2H_6$ , and  $CO_2$  were ultra-high-purity grade and used without further purification, whereas,  $O_2$ ,  $N_2$ , and Ar were obtained from the boil-off of their respective liquids. All gases were used without further purification.

An assessment of secondary chemical effects in this study involving H atoms, HN2, NH2\*, NH2(A), or H2 from the photolysis of NH<sub>1</sub> has shown that at the estimated concentration levels for the above species (i.e., for  $[NH_3] \le 5 \times 10^{11}$ /cm<sup>3</sup> and  $[H_xN_y]$  $\leq 10^{11}$  /cm<sup>3</sup>) their influence on the measured k values for process 8 should have been negligibly small. For example, even with the assumption of unit collision efficiency, the 1/e reaction time of  $NH(b^{1}\Sigma^{+})$  with the above species is calculted to be nearly 2 orders of magnitude larger than that for process 8. Similarly, deactivation of NH( $b^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ ) arising from secondary reactions initiated by products of the primary processes being studied (e.g., CH<sub>3</sub> in the case of  $M = CH_4$ , OH in the case of  $M = H_2O$ ) should have also been negligible owing to the low NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations used in this study. The concentration of these radical species was less than  $[NH(b^{1}\Sigma^{+})]$  (i.e.  $\leq 10^{11}/\text{cm}^{3}$ ). The possibility of secondary reactions arising from species formed from the photolysis of added atmospheric gases is discussed later in the text. Tests involving variations in the 193-nm laser fluence by factors of 3-5 further confirmed the absence of any major kinetic complications when M was N<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, or Ar. In addition, tests in which the laser repetition rate was varied from 2 to 10 pps also confirmed a lack of product buildup within the flow system when M was H<sub>2</sub>O. In all cases straight-line plots of In fluorescence vs time were observed.

A final consideration in assessing the reliability of these data involved possible kinetic complications resulting from our monitoring the NH( $c^{1}\Pi$ ) species rather than NH( $b^{1}\Sigma^{+}$ ). In this case since the deactivation/reaction times for the NH( $c^{1}\Pi$ ) species

![](_page_50_Figure_10.jpeg)

Figure 3. Ln fluorescence signal (counts) versus time (probe laser delay time) for the process  $NH(b^1\Sigma) + H_2O \rightarrow products$ .  $[H_2O] = 8$  Torr in ambient air at 760 Torr;  $[NH_3] = 2.3 \times 10^{11}$  molecules/cm<sup>3</sup>.

under the conditions employed in our experiments were in the range of nanoseconds to subnanoseconds,<sup>13</sup> compared to the microsecond to millisecond times for NH( $b^1\Sigma^+$ ), no deconvolution of the measured decay curves arising from this effect was required.

#### **Results and Discussion**

The first-order-decay times for each gas were obtained from a least-squares fit of the data, i.e., natural logarithm of the fluorescence intensity versus probe laser delay time for a constant collision partner mixture. In this manner deactivation of the NH(c<sup>1</sup>II) state from which fluorescence was observed occurred on time scales  $\ll 0.1 \,\mu$ s for the 1 atm buffer gases used here. Thus the effects of NH(c<sup>1</sup>II) quenching on the analysis of NH(b<sup>1</sup>\Sigma<sup>+</sup>) lifetime measurements were inconsequential, affecting only the magnitude of the observed NH(c<sup>1</sup>II)  $\rightarrow$  NH(a<sup>1</sup> $\Delta$ ) fluorescence and not the probed NH(b<sup>1</sup>\Sigma<sup>+</sup>) lifetime.

Bimolecular rate coefficients were obtained from the slope of first-order decay plots (In fluorescence intensity versus probe laser delay time) and the concentration of deactivation/reactant gas. These values were corrected for the decay rate contributed by the buffer gas used. The stated uncertainties in these bimolecular rate coefficients were calculated from the probable error in the slope taken from a linear regression analysis. The values reported correspond to the mean values obtained from the various partial pressures utilized where the uncertainty is taken from the combined uncertainty in the independent measurements (i.e.,  $\sigma^2_{total} = \sum \sigma^2_i/(n-1)$ ) and are expressed at the 65% confidence limit (i.e.,  $1\sigma$ ). The associated uncertainty in deconvoluting the buffer gas contribution is taken into account quadratically.

Representative first-order-decay plots for Ar,  $N_2$ ,  $N_2O$ ,  $H_2$ , CH<sub>4</sub>, and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> are shown in Figures 3-5. Modified Stern-Volmer plots for the collision gas partners H<sub>2</sub>O and O<sub>2</sub> are shown in Figures 6 and 7. These results are listed in Table I along with

<sup>(13)</sup> Rohrer, F.; Stuhl, F. J. Chem. Phys. 1987, 86, 226.

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 4. Ln fluorescence signal (counts) versus time (probe laser delay time) for the processes  $NH(b^{1}\Sigma) + N_{2}$ ,  $Ar \rightarrow products$ . [Ar] = 760Torr;  $[N_{2}] = 760$  Torr;  $[NH_{3}] = (0.8-1.6) \times 10^{11}$  molecules/cm<sup>3</sup>.

![](_page_51_Figure_3.jpeg)

Figure 5. Ln fluorescence signal (counts) versus time (probe laser delay time) for the processes  $NH(b^{1}\Sigma) + H_{2}$ ,  $CH_{3}$ , and  $C_{2}H_{6} \rightarrow$  products. (D)  $[H_{2}] = 12$  Torr in 760 Torr of  $N_{2}$ ; (O)  $[CH_{4}] = 62$  Torr in 760 Torr of  $N_{2}$ ; ( $\Delta$ )  $[C_{2}H_{6}] = 20.5$  Torr in 760 Torr of  $N_{2}$ ;  $[NH_{3}] = (2.0-4.3) \times 10^{11}$  molecules/cm<sup>3</sup>.

![](_page_51_Figure_5.jpeg)

Figure 6. Reciprocal lifetime  $(s^{-1})$  versus partial pressure of  $O_2$  in 760 Torr mixtures of Ar (O) and  $N_2$  ( $\blacksquare$ ).

those of other investigators whose data are taken at low total pressure.

For the gases  $N_2$  and Ar, only the deactivation process (10) should occur:

$$NH(b^{1}\Sigma^{+}) + N_{2}, Ar \rightarrow NH(a^{1}\Delta) and/or NH(X^{3}\Sigma)$$
 (10)

The measured deactivation rates for these two gases were  $(5.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-16}$  and  $(7.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-17}$  cm<sup>3</sup>/(molecules-s), respectively. Rate constants were measured for collider partial pressures of 760 Torr in the case of Ar and at 198, 274, and 760

![](_page_51_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_12.jpeg)

Figure 7. Reciprocal lifetime  $(s^{-1})$  versus partial pressure of H<sub>2</sub>O in 760 Torr mixtures of N<sub>2</sub> (**B**), artificial air (O), and ambient air (+).

Torr in the case of  $N_2$  with Ar as a buffer gas.

The latter k values are the smallest values measured of all collisional gases examined and very likely reflect a combination of factors such as the lower polarizability of these two gases and the absence of chemical reaction channels. The difference between  $N_2$  and Ar may reflect both the higher polarizability of  $N_2$  vs Ar as well as the availability of internal energy levels in the case of  $N_2$ .

A comparison of our results with other reported values (see Table I) shows excellent agreement for the case of  $N_2$  and, within the stated uncertainties, acceptable agreement for the gas Ar.

 $CO_2$  and  $O_2$ . For the gases  $CO_2$  and  $O_2$  in addition to the deactivation channel (10), the possibility exists for chemical reactions to occur. One of these chemical reaction channels might involve the formation of the HNO species as a product, e.g.

$$NH(b^{t}\Sigma^{+}) + CO_{2} \rightarrow HNO + CO$$
  $\Delta H_{r_{1}} = -52 \text{ kcal/mol}$ 
(11)

$$NH(b^{1}\Sigma^{+}) + O_{2} \rightarrow HNO + O \qquad \Delta H_{r_{x}} = -60 \text{ kcal/mol}$$
(12)

The exothermicity of both (11) and (12) would suggest the potential importance of these reaction pathways.

The k values measured for CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> were  $(1.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-14}$ and  $(2.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-15}$  cm<sup>3</sup>/(molecule-s), respectively. These k values are approximately 1-2 orders of magnitude greater than those measured for N<sub>2</sub> and Ar where only the deactivation channel was available. Collider partial pressures were 105 Torr for CO<sub>2</sub> and ranged between 76 and 448 Torr for O<sub>2</sub> as shown in Figure 6. The buffer gas was N<sub>2</sub> for the CO<sub>2</sub> case and N<sub>2</sub> or Ar for the O<sub>2</sub> case. In addition, our measured rate constant for a 25% O<sub>2</sub> artificial air mixture of  $(9.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-16}$  cm<sup>3</sup>/(molecule-s) is in good agreement with that calculated from our individual rate constants for N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> (i.e.,  $(9.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-16}$  cm<sup>3</sup>/(molecule-s)).

A comparison of our k values for CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> with other reported values shows reasonable agreement for both species within the specified uncertainties for each set of measurements.

Kinetic complications in our measurements of the k values for CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> appear to be minimal to nonexistent. In the case of CO<sub>2</sub>, the direct photolysis of CO<sub>2</sub> by the 193-nm excimer would have produced  $<1.4 \times 10^{13}$  O atoms/cm<sup>3</sup> at the highest CO<sub>2</sub> concentration utilized assuming a quantum yield of unity and taking the absorption cross section from Okabe.<sup>13</sup> Thus, even with the assumption that O atoms reacted with NH(b<sup>1</sup>Σ<sup>+</sup>) at gas kinetic collision efficiency (i.e.,  $k \sim 4 \times 10^{-10}$  cm<sup>3</sup>/molecule) the calculated 1/e decay time would have been 180 µs. This can be compared to our measured value of 20 µs. Similarly for O<sub>2</sub>, the estimated yield of O atoms from the 193-nm photolysis of O<sub>2</sub> would have produced  $<4 \times 10^{15}$  O atoms/cm<sup>3</sup> (for O<sub>2</sub> at 448 Torr

<sup>(15)</sup> Okabe, H. Photochemistry of Small Molecules, Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1978.

<sup>(16)</sup> Gelernt, B.; Filseth, S.; Carrington, T. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1975, 36, 238; J. Chem. Phys. 1976, 65, 4940.

and unit quantum efficiency). Under the experimental conditions of our system, however, these O atoms would have reacted with  $O_2$  to form  $O_1$ 

$$O + O_2 + M \rightarrow O_3 + O_2 \tag{13}$$

with a 1/e time of  $\sim 4 \ \mu s$ . Thus, the more important concern might be reaction of O<sub>3</sub> with the NH(b<sup>1</sup> $\Sigma^+$ ) species. Assuming a rate coefficient of  $10^{-12} \ cm^3/(molecule \cdot s)$ , which is the largest k value measured for any species in this study (e.g., H<sub>2</sub>O), the estimated 1/e time for deactivation/reaction with O<sub>3</sub> is 280  $\mu s$ . This can be compared to a measured 1/e time of 31  $\mu s$ . It is to be noted also that no statistically significant change in the value of  $k_2$  was observed with a variation in the O<sub>2</sub> concentration of approximately a factor of 6.

 $H_2$ ,  $CH_4$ ,  $C_2H_6$ , and  $H_2O$ . As in the case of  $CO_2$  and  $O_2$ , in addition to the deactivation channel (10), the possibility exists for reaction of the above species with  $NH(b^1\Sigma^+)$ . One possible reaction channel for each of these species is

$$NH(b^{1}\Sigma^{+}) + H_{2} \rightarrow NH_{2} + H \qquad \Delta H_{t_{x}} = -51 \text{ kcal/mot}$$
(14)

 $NH(b^{1}\Sigma^{+}) + CH_{4} \rightarrow NH_{2} + CH_{3}$   $\Delta H_{r_{4}} = -51 \text{ kcal/mol}$ (15)

$$NH(b^{\dagger}\Sigma^{+}) + C_{2}H_{6} \rightarrow NH_{2} + C_{2}H_{5} \qquad \Delta H_{r_{*}} = -50 \text{ kcal/mol (16)}$$

$$NH(b^{1}\Sigma^{+}) + H_{2}O \rightarrow NH_{2} + OH \qquad \Delta H_{r_{x}} = -35 \text{ kcal/mol}$$
(17)

As noted above, energetically, each of the above reactions could occur as well as possible others.

The k values measured for the gases  $H_2$ ,  $CH_4$ ,  $C_2H_6$ , and  $H_2O$ were  $(4.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-13}$ ,  $(8.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-14}$ ,  $(2.6 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-13}$ , and  $(1.00 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-12}$  cm<sup>3</sup>/(molecule-s), respectively. Rate constants were measured at collider partial pressures of 12.1, 24.3, and 57.0 Torr in the case of  $H_2$ , at 46.7 and 62.3 Torr in the case of CH<sub>4</sub>, and at 20.7, 21.9, and 38.6 Torr in the case of  $C_2H_6$ , all of which utilized N<sub>2</sub> as a buffer gas. Rate constants were measured for H<sub>2</sub>O vapor ranging from 1.95 to 11.9 Torr using both N<sub>2</sub> and air as a buffer gas (see Figure 7). Again, it can be seen that the k values for these gases, which may both react with or deactivate NH(b<sup>1</sup>\Sigma<sup>+</sup>) upon collision, are 2-3 orders of magnitude greater than those for N<sub>2</sub> and Ar, where only channel 10 is possible. The authors note also that, in the case of H<sub>2</sub>O, similar  $k_{H_2O}$  values were obtained for the diluent gases, N<sub>2</sub>, synthetic air, and ambient air.

A comparison of our results with other reported values shows only fair agreement, within the stated error limits of each study, for the gases  $H_2$  and  $CH_4$  and  $H_2O$ . In the case of  $H_2O$ , our value is approximately a factor of 2 greater than that reported by Zetzsch and Stuhl, a difference that exceeds the stated uncertainties of each study. One possible explanation for this difference may involve the reliability of the measured  $H_2O$  level used in Zetzsch and Stuhl's experiment. In their study a static gas fill system was employed. Thus, considering the high degree of wall loss of  $H_2O$ , the actual  $H_2O$  concentration may have been lower than what they estimated from their static pressure measurements. As discussed earlier in the text, the present study used a dynamic flow configuration which allowed for the rapid equilibration of  $H_2O$  between the gas phase and the walls. Still an alternative explanation might involve a possible third-body effect.<sup>13</sup> In the Zetzsch and Stuhl study very low pressures (few Torr) were used, whereas in this study near atmospheric pressures were employed. In the case of  $H_2$  and  $CH_4$  our values are approximately 2-fold lower than those reported by Gelernt et al. and Zetzsch and Stuhl. One possible explanation could be secondary reactions involving either  $CH_3$  radicals or H atoms which may have built up in the static systems used in the latter study.'

Kinetic complications in the measurement of the k values for H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> are estimated to be negligibly small due to the minimal absorption by these gases at 193 nm. In the case of H<sub>2</sub>O, the maximum production of OH (<10<sup>14</sup> molecules/cm<sup>3</sup> assuming an absorption cross section  $\leq 2.5 \times 10^{-20}$  cm<sup>2</sup> and unit quantum yield) would have resulted in an estimated 1/e decay time of ~25 µs, assuming the reaction with NH(b<sup>1</sup>Σ<sup>+</sup>) occurred on every collision (i.e.,  $k \sim 4 \times 10^{-10}$  cm<sup>3</sup>/molecule). Our measured 1/e time was ~2.5 µs.

As stated previously, the uncertainties listed in the text correspond to the measurement precision at the 65% confidence level (i.e.,  $1\sigma$ ). The uncertainty in these measurements did not exceed  $\pm 22\%$  at the 99% confidence limit ( $3\sigma$ ) for the colliders H<sub>2</sub>O, N<sub>2</sub>, air, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub>. In addition, our estimated uncertainty in collision partner concentration, time base, and other experimental factors should be  $<\pm 15\%$ . Our estimated combined total uncertainty for the values reported here is  $\le\pm 37\%$  at the 99% ( $3\sigma$ ) confidence limit for these gases. For the colliders Ar, H<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, and CO<sub>2</sub> this estimate is somewhat higher and should be taken at  $\le\pm 60\%$ .

Although the absolute sensitivity of the vacuum-UV/photofragmentation laser-induced fluorescence (vacuum-UV/PF-LIF) NH<sub>3</sub> detection methodology depends inversely on the quenching of the upper excited (c<sup>1</sup>II) state, the sensitivity of this method, as well as other LIF methods, has been shown to be independent of pressure when given in terms of signal strength per unit concentration when the concentration is expressed as a mixing ratio (i.e., parts per trillion by volume, pptv).<sup>611</sup> This is true in the case of fluorescence arising from the NH(c<sup>1</sup>II) state as O<sub>2</sub> dominates quenching of this state compared to N<sub>2</sub> or H<sub>2</sub>O. For photolysis to probe laser delay times greater than 1  $\mu$ s and from the above set of measurements, it now appears as though water vapor will be the most important gas that will impact on the detection limit/sensitivity of the vacuum-UV/PF-LIF method owing to water vapor's highly varying atmospheric mixing ratio and its rapid deactivation/reaction rate coefficient for the NH(b<sup>1</sup>\Sigma<sup>+</sup>) state.

Acknowledgment. We acknowledge the support of this research by the National Science Foundation under Grant ATM8610236.

**Registry No.** NH, 13774-92-0; H<sub>2</sub>O, 7732-18-5; H<sub>3</sub>, 1333-74-0; CH<sub>4</sub>, 74-82-8; C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, 74-84-0; CO<sub>2</sub>, 124-38-9; N<sub>2</sub>, 7727-37-9; O<sub>2</sub>, 7782-44-7; Ar, 7440-37-1.