THE IMPACT OF COVID-19 ON OPIOID USE IN THOSE AWAITING HIP AND KNEE ARTHROPLASTY: A RETROSPECTIVE COHORT STUDY

Authors: L.Farrow^{1,2}, W.T.Gardner^{1,2}, C.Tang², R.Low¹, P.Forget^{1,2}, G.P.Ashcroft^{1,2}

- 1. University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, United Kingdom
- 2. Woodend Hospital, Aberdeen, United Kingdom

Conflict of Interest: Luke Farrow, William T Gardner, Chee Chee Tang, Rachel Low, Patrice Forget and George P. Ashcroft declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding: None

Keywords: Hip; Knee; Arthroplasty: Opioids; COVID-19; Wait

Corresponding author

Luke Farrow

Institute of Applied Health Sciences

University of Aberdeen

Foresterhill, Aberdeen, AB25 2ZD

Scotland, United Kingdom

Tel: +44 (0) 1224 437841

ORCID: 0000-0002-1443-5908

Luke.farrow@abdn.ac.uk

THE IMPACT OF COVID-19 ON OPIOID USE IN THOSE AWAITING HIP AND KNEE ARTHROPLASTY: A RETROSPECTIVE COHORT STUDY

Abstract

Background:

COVID-19 has had a detrimental impact on access to hip and knee arthroplasty surgery. We set out to examine whether this had a subsequent impact on pre-operative opioid prescribing rates for those awaiting surgery.

Materials and Methods:

Data regarding patient demographics and opioid utilisation were collected from the electronic health records of included patients at a large university teaching hospital. Patients on the outpatient waiting list for primary hip and knee arthroplasty as of September 2020 (COVID-19 group) were compared with historical controls (Controls) who had previously undergone surgery. A sample size calculation indicated 452 patients were required to detect a 15% difference in opioid prescription rates between groups.

Results:

A total of 548 patients (58.2% female) were included, 260 in the COVID-19 group, and 288 in the Controls. Baseline demographics were similar between the groups. For those with data available, the proportion of patients on any opioid at follow up in COVID-19 group was significantly higher: 55.0% (143/260), compared with 41.2% (112/272) in the Controls (p=0.002). This remained significant when adjusted for confounding (age, gender, Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation, procedure and wait time). The proportion of patients on a strong opioid was similar (4.2% [11/260] versus 4.8% [13/272]) for COVID-19 and Controls respectively. The median waiting time from referral to follow up was significantly longer in the COVID-19 group compared with the Controls (455 days vs 367 days; p<0.0001).

Conclusion:

The work provides evidence of potential for an emerging opioid problem associated with the influence of COVID-19 on elective arthroplasty services. Viable alternatives to opioid analgesia for those with end-stage arthritis should be explored, and prolonged waiting times for surgery ought to be avoided in the recovery from COVID-19 to prevent more widespread opioid use.

Introduction

Hospitals worldwide were forced to restructure when the Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic called for an immediate significant shift towards prioritisation of acute care¹. This led to widespread postponement of elective orthopaedic procedures in concordance with the need for resource reallocation, as well as concerns over risk of nosocomial infection transmission and the impact of COVID-19 on surgical risk².

Whilst these changes have served to "flatten the curve" and reduce some of the harm associated with COVID-19 infection, there has undoubtedly been an impact on patients whose elective procedures were postponed. Patients awaiting hip and knee arthroplasty, as one of the most common elective procedures, have been disproportionally affected ³. It has been estimated that over 6 million elective orthopaedic procedures were cancelled worldwide during the first peak of the pandemic ⁴. With continued delays in the provision of timely total hip and knee arthroplasty expected for some time due to the considerable backlog of patients awaiting surgery, patients will need to seek alternative treatment options to manage their symptoms.

Opioids are often used as a last line for symptomatic pain management in end-stage osteoarthritis⁵. However, there is increasing evidence for limited benefit⁶ and long-term detriment to health ⁷, especially in older adults ⁸. More specifically within the arthroplasty population long-term opioid use pre-operatively has been associated with increased risk of peri-operative complications ^{9, 10}, poorer functional outcome ^{11, 12}, and ongoing opioid dependence post-operatively ^{13, 14}.

Increased risk of opioid harm has been identified in unscheduled care clinical settings associated with the COVID-19 pandemic ^{15, 16}, but it is currently not understood how the associated increase in waiting times for surgery has influenced routine pre-operative opioid prescribing for patients awaiting elective operations.

We have therefore set out to address this important clinical question through a comparative study of opioid utilisation pre-surgery in a historical cohort versus patients on the waiting list for primary hip and knee arthroplasty whose surgery has been delayed secondary to COVID-19.

With evidence that surgical delay associated with the COVID-19 pandemic has led to a deterioration in arthritis severity, associated pain and reduced quality of life ¹⁷, we hypothesise that this will be associated with increasing use of opioid analgesia within the population awaiting surgery to manage this exacerbation of symptoms pre-operatively.

Methods

Study design, setting and participants

We undertook a retrospective cohort study of patients awaiting primary hip and knee arthroplasty at a large university teaching hospital in Scotland. Using a theatre management system (Centricity Opera, GE Healthcare, Chalfont St Giles, United Kingdom) two groups were identified, those on the outpatient waiting list for surgery (as of September 2020) who had their operation delayed due to COVID (COVID-19 group), and a historical comparison of patients that had previously been on the outpatient waiting list and had been operatively managed between 2016 and 2017 (Controls). Patients in the COVID-19 group had been added to the waiting list between May 2019 and April 2020, whereas patients in the Controls were added between December 2014 and August 2017. The timeframe for the Controls was chosen due to feasibility of data access and availability. Previous analysis of unpublished data had not identified a temporal trend in incidence regarding opioid prescription for arthroplasty patients prior to the COVID-19 pandemic (Supplementary Table 1). Exclusion criteria included: patients undergoing other types of arthroplasty operation (for example unicompartmental arthroplasty, revision arthroplasty, or arthroplasty at other anatomical sites), patients in the Controls who did not undergo operative management, and patients in the COVID-19 group that had been added then removed from the waiting list as of September 2020 (start of data collection period). No patient in the COVID-19 group had yet undergone surgery at the time when data collection was performed (September 2020 – October 2020).

Standard practice at the study centre pre-COVID was that patients would be referred from general practice and then reviewed by orthopaedic surgeons to determine their eligibility for arthroplasty surgery. Patients would then be added to the waiting list for surgery if eligible. A further pre-assessment clinic review was then performed 2-4 weeks prior to surgery. The timeframe from date of referral from general practice to orthopaedic review was typically 3-6 months. The timeline from addition to the waiting list to date of surgery was usually about a further 3-9 months dependent on individual surgeon waiting list length.

Data collection

A preformatted data collection proforma was utilised for data extraction. Data was obtained from the patient electronic health record, which included patient demographic data, waiting list details, clinical letters (including referral details), linked Primary Care prescribing records (Scottish national Prescribing Information System) and pre-assessment documentation (where applicable).

Variables included in the data collection proforma were:

Demographic: Age, gender, Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation (SIMD)

<u>Pharmacological:</u> On opioid at referral, on opioid at follow up, type of opioid (strong [morphine/diamorphine/fentanyl/oxycodone/buprenorphine/hydromorphone/tapendadol/methad one] vs weak [codeine/dihydrocodeine/tramadol]), as defined in the British National Formulary ¹⁸). All combination productions containing any of the above-described medications were also classified as opioids.

<u>Process of care:</u> Date of referral, date of follow-up (pre-surgery), time from referral to follow up <u>Medical:</u> history of anxiety or depression, site of operation (hip vs knee)

Sample size calculation

An a-priori sample size calculation was performed utilising a freely available online tool ¹⁹. A sample size calculation indicated a minimum of 452 patients were required to detect a 15% difference in opioid prescription between groups at p<0.05 and 90% power with a baseline expected outcome proportion of 50% in the Controls. The expected proportion of opioid prescription in the controls was extracted from the unpublished data outlined in Supplementary Table 1, where a mean proportion of 55% for any opioids was observed between 2012-2017. A slightly lower value was chosen to avoid overestimation given the opioid prescription proportion was lower in the latter half of this study period.

Statistical analysis

Initial data scoping was performed to identify the presence and type of missing data extracted. Missing data was present in <0.5% fields with no evidence of missing data not at random therefore a decision was made that no formal data imputation techniques were required. Pairwise deletion was utilised to manage missing data fields, with no patient excluded due to missing data.

Baseline assessment of covariates was then performed using a Student t-test for normally distributed continuous data, a Mann-Whitney U test for non-normally distributed continuous data, and a Chi-squared test for categorical data.

Comparisons between waiting time from referral to follow up was also performed. For patients in the COVID-19 group this was the date of referral from the general practitioner to the time of individual patient data collection (September to October 2021). For patients in the Controls this was the date of referral from the general practitioner up to the date of surgery. Waiting times were identified as non-normally distributed from a Shapiro-Wilk test and therefore a Mann-Whitney U test was performed. Finally, comparative analysis between the number of patients on an opioid at referral and at followup was performed using a Chi-squared analysis. Multivariable logistic regression was used to assess the potential impact of pre-identified confounders (age, gender, SIMD, procedure, history of anxiety & depression and wait time) on the results.

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows (version 24.0, SPSS Inc.). In all analyses p<0.05 denoted statistical significance.

Ethics

Our study was conducted in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments. Given the nature of the study as a retrospective review of anonymised patient data, ethical approval was not required. Data storage and analysis was undertaken in alignment with the Caldicott principles – the data guardianship regulations governing the use of patient data in the United Kingdom. There was no external funding source for the study. The study has been reported according to the Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement.

Results

Patients Demographics

A total of 548 patients (58.2% female) was included in our study, 260 in the COVID-19 group, and 288 in the Controls. Of those individuals 295 were listed for primary hip arthroplasty (53.8%), and 253 primary knee arthroplasty (46.2%). The mean age was 69 years (range 22-95). The median SIMD was 7 [Interquartile range (IQR) 5-9} (indicating lower than average deprivation compared with national levels). 121 out of 548 patients (22.0%) presented with a previous diagnosis of anxiety/ depression or both.

The characteristics of studied patients are summarised in Table 1. Overall, both groups shared similar demographics characteristics, although there was a weak association for higher anxiety and depression in the Controls (p=0.05).

Table 1: Comparisons of demographics of COVID-19 and Controls groups. TKR: Total Knee Replacement; THR: Total Hip Replacement; SIMD: Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation; IQR: Interquartile Range. *Table created by authors*

COVID-19 (n=260) Controls (n=288) P value

Gender			
Female	142 (54.6%)	177 (61.5%)	0.11
Male	118 (45.3%)	111 (38.5%)	
Age, mean	69	68	0.39
Procedure			
TKR	130 (50.0%)	123 (42.7%)	0.10
THR	130 (50.0%)	165 (57.3%)	
SIMD, median	7 (IQR 6-9)	7 (IQR 5-9)	0.77
History of	48 (18.4%)	73 (25.3%)	0.05
anxiety/depression			

Median Waiting Time

There was a significant increase in the waiting time of patients being referred until pre-surgical follow up. Median time for follow-up from referral in the COVID-19 group was 455 days (IQR 368-626) versus 365 (IQR 238-519) days in the Controls, a 90-day difference (p<0.001).

Change in Opioid Use

Table 2 illustrates the volume of opioids used by patients in COVID-19 group and Controls at referral and at follow up. Formal statistical comparisons of the differences between weak and strong opioid groups were not performed due to low absolute numbers. The proportion of patients on a strong opioid at follow up was however similar (4.23% [11/260] vs 4.80% [13/272] for COVID-19 and Controls, respectively.

	COVID-19 (n=260)	Controls (n=288)	P value
Percentage of patients			
on opioid at referral			
[95% Cl] (n)	38.6% [32-44] (98/254)	49.8% [44-56] (143/287)	
Weak Opioid	2.0% [0-4] (5/254)	2.8% [1-5] (8/287)	
Strong Opioid	40.6% [35-47] (103/254)	52.6% [47-58] (151/287)	0.005
Any Opioid			

Percentage of patients			
on opioid at follow-up			
[95% Cl] (n)	50.8% [45-57] (132/260)	36.4% [31-42] (99/272)	
Weak Opioid	4.2% [2-7] (11/260)	4.8% [2-7] (13/272)	
Strong Opioid	55.0% [49-61] (143/260)	41.2% [35-47] (112/272)	0.002
Any Opioid			

Table 2: Difference in opioid utilisation between the COVID-19 and Controls at referral and at followup. *Table created by authors. The denominator for numbers (n) of patients included at each stage reflect data availability for each specific sub-group.*

At referral, the percentage of patients on any opioid in the COVID-19 group was 12 percentage points lower than in the Controls (40.6% vs 52.6% respectively, p=0.005). This remained significant when adjusted for potential confounding (age, gender, SIMD, procedure, history of anxiety and depression and wait time) (Supplementary Table 2).

However, at follow up, the COVID-19 group demonstrated an upward trend in any opioid use while the Controls showed a downward trend (Table 2). Final percentages of opioid utilisation at follow-up were 13.8 percentage points higher in the COVID-19 group vs the Controls (55.0% vs 41.2% respectively, p=0.002). This again remained significant when adjusted for potential confounding (Supplementary Table 3).

Discussion

This study suggests a marked negative impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on patients awaiting joint replacement in relation to delay to surgery and opioid use. We identified that patients were required to wait longer for their surgery (88-day difference in median wait time from referral to follow-up), and a significantly larger proportion of patients were prescribed opioid based analgesia (14 percentage points more in the COVID-19 group compared with our historical Controls) pre-operatively, despite a lower frequency of opioid use at referral. Given the previously known associations between pre-operative opioid use and poorer peri-operative surgical outcomes ⁹⁻¹⁴, urgent attention is required to identify alternative effective non-operative treatment strategies or expedite operative intervention for those awaiting arthroplasty surgery. Increasing use of opioid medication routinely within this healthcare setting may also have larger long-term consequences for public health ²⁰.

The justification for the increasing use of opioid analgesia in those awaiting arthroplasty surgery is limited, although this may be related to the lack of strong evidence for other pharmacological solutions for arthritic pain, and/or a response to difficulties in alleviation of patient suffering. There is however some evidence that non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medication (NSAIDs) provide at least similar analgesic efficacy to opioids for osteoarthritis and have a better safety profile, particularly when considering topical formulations ²¹. Intra-articular injections are another alternative, with some evidence for short term pain relief from corticosteroid injection ²², hyaluronic acid ²³, protein-rich plasma ²⁴ and saline ²⁵. There are however concerns about the potential risks for side effects with these treatments, including deterioration in arthritis severity ²⁶, and post-operative infection ²⁷, that limit their use peri-operatively.

Non-pharmacological interventions such as physiotherapy ^{28, 29}, use of offloading braces in the knee setting ³⁰, and neurological ablation therapy ³¹ have also been identified as having a potential role to improve symptoms in end-stage osteoarthritis. High-quality evidence for benefit is however lacking, although the side-effect profile from such interventions is likely to different than any pharmacological alternatives.

Given the lack of viable alternative to joint replacement surgery it is imperative that the recent significant increase in the waiting list is addressed ³². There is substantial evidence available for the mental, physical and economic negative impact of extended surgical waiting times in arthroplasty patients, in both the short and long term ³³⁻³⁷. A recent paper by Scott et al. ¹⁷ has shown that a significant proportion of those patients awaiting hip and knee arthroplasty are now classified, according to their self-reported EuroQOL-5d scores, as in a health state "worse than death"; with the vast majority reporting symptom deterioration associated with surgical delays secondary to the COVID-19 pandemic. Whilst restarting elective services has to be taken in the context of overall hospital workflow, there is evidence for the relative safety of undertaking arthroplasty procedures with appropriate protocols in place ³⁸, and that the majority of patients wish to proceed with surgery in view of the relative benefit and risk involved ³⁹. Given the vast backlog of patients awaiting surgery accumulated secondary to the pandemic it will likely take several years of increased activity before a return to normality is realised. This once again therefore highlights the importance of reducing where possible the pre-operative opioid prescribing for these patients as evidenced by our research.

The strengths of our study include broadly similar cohorts from before and during the pandemic. We also provide adjustment for known confounders to attempt to ensure the associations seen are a true reflection of clinical practice. The results provided likely represent an underestimate of true

effect given that the proportion of patients on an opioid at referral was significantly higher in the Controls, and also the fact that no patients in the COVID-19 group had yet undergone surgery, indicating the potential for further individuals to be started on opioids associated with ongoing delays.

It is however possible that the analysis is skewed by unadjusted confounders and accuracy of clinical information recorded within the electronic health record, as well as the possible interference of the COVID-19 pandemic on psychological and social lives of patients. Historical data collection sources however retained accuracy due to electronic records' lack of susceptibility to recall bias. Use of a historical cohort may also add potential bias within treatment and group effects, although no previous temporal trends were identified in the supplementary data. No data was available regarding timing of opioid prescription in relation to waiting time which could have helped better define any temporal trends in a relationship between surgical delay and opioid use. It should also be noted that data from the COVID-19 group was truncated (as the patients had not yet undergone surgery) and therefore these results are an underestimate of the actual difference in median waiting time. We were also unable to examine the doses and strengths of medication prescribed to and consumed by patients to provide a more nuanced reflection on opioid consumption.

Overall, we feel that the sample provides a realistic reflection of current practice that is likely applicable to similar healthcare systems, particularly given that disruption to elective orthopaedic services was a widespread occurrence at a national level during the pandemic. It however must be emphasised that due to the nature of the study design no causality can be determined in the relation to the associations identified between increasing opioid use and the COVID-19 pandemic.

Further analyses utilising larger scale national data samples consisting of surgical and prescribing data will be of use to confirm the associations seen here and provide additional insights into the dosage, frequency and type of opioid prescriptions utilised that we were unable to elicit in our analysis.

Conclusion

Despite a suggested reduction in opioid use at referral, COVID-19 has been associated with a higher proportion of patients prescribed opioids that has potential to invoke short- and long-term patient harm. Viable alternatives to opioid prescription for those awaiting surgery must be urgently identified, with a widespread public health message to prescribers about the negative consequences of long-term opioid prescribing in arthroplasty patients. Prolonged waiting times for surgery should be avoided in in the recovery from COVID-19 to prevent more widespread opioid use in this population, particularly in sight of the documented lack of clinical efficacy, large side effect profiles, and potential for long term opioid dependence.

References

1 King LA, Hothi SS. Remodelling elective hospital services in the COVID-19 era – designing the new normal. *Future healthcare journal* 2020;7:fhj.2020-0079-0079 doi:10.7861/fhj.2020-0079.

2 Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang D, et al. A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. *The New England journal of medicine* 2020;382:727-33 doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2001017.

3 Kort NP, Barrena EG, Bedard M, et al. Resuming elective hip and knee arthroplasty after the first phase of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic: the European Hip Society and European Knee Associates recommendations. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2020;28:2730-46 doi:10.1007/s00167-020-06233-9 [doi].

4 COVIDSurg Collaborative. Elective surgery cancellations due to the COVID-19 pandemic: global predictive modelling to inform surgical recovery plans. *Br J Surg* 2020;107:1440-9 doi:10.1002/bjs.11746 [doi].

5 Wilder-Smith CH, Hill L, Spargo K, et al. Treatment of severe pain from osteoarthritis with slowrelease tramadol or dihydrocodeine in combination with NSAID's: a randomised study comparing analgesia, antinociception and gastrointestinal effects. *Pain* 2001;91:23-31 doi:S0304-3959(00)00414-0 [pii].

6 da Costa BR, Nuesch E, Kasteler R, et al. Oral or transdermal opioids for osteoarthritis of the knee or hip. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014;(9):CD003115. doi:CD003115 doi:10.1002/14651858.CD003115.pub4 [doi].

7 Gwam CU, Emara AK, Ogbonnaya IA, et al. Addressing National Opioid Prescribing Practices for Knee Osteoarthritis: An Analysis of an Estimated 41,389,332 Patients With Knee Arthritis. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2021 doi:10.5435/JAAOS-D-20-00924 [doi].

8 Chau DL, Walker V, Pai L, et al. Opiates and elderly: use and side effects. *Clin Interv Aging* 2008;3:273-8 doi:10.2147/cia.s1847 [doi].

9 Jain N, Brock JL, Malik AT, et al. Prediction of Complications, Readmission, and Revision Surgery Based on Duration of Preoperative Opioid Use: Analysis of Major Joint Replacement and Lumbar Fusion. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2019;101:384-91 doi:10.2106/JBJS.18.00502 [doi].

10 Blevins Peratikos M, Weeks HL, Pisansky AJB, et al. Effect of Preoperative Opioid Use on Adverse Outcomes, Medical Spending, and Persistent Opioid Use Following Elective Total Joint Arthroplasty in the United States: A Large Retrospective Cohort Study of Administrative Claims Data. *Pain Med* 2020;21:521-31 doi:10.1093/pm/pnz083 [doi].

11 Zywiel MG, Stroh DA, Lee SY, et al. Chronic opioid use prior to total knee arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2011;93:1988-93 doi:10.2106/JBJS.J.01473 [doi].

12 Pivec R, Issa K, Naziri Q, et al. Opioid use prior to total hip arthroplasty leads to worse clinical outcomes. *Int Orthop* 2014;38:1159-65 doi:10.1007/s00264-014-2298-x [doi].

13 Lavoie-Gagne O, Nwachukwu BU, Allen AA, et al. Factors Predictive of Prolonged Postoperative Narcotic Usage Following Orthopaedic Surgery. *JBJS Rev* 2020;8:e0154 doi:10.2106/JBJS.RVW.19.00154 [doi].

14 Sheth DS, Ho N, Pio JR, et al. Prolonged Opioid Use After Primary Total Knee and Total Hip Arthroplasty: Prospective Evaluation of Risk Factors and Psychological Profile for Depression, Pain Catastrophizing, and Aberrant Drug-Related Behavior. *J Arthroplasty* 2020;35:3535-44 doi:S0883-5403(20)30764-6 [pii].

15 Wainwright JJ, Mikre M, Whitley P, et al. Analysis of Drug Test Results Before and After the US Declaration of a National Emergency Concerning the COVID-19 Outbreak. *JAMA* 2020;324:1674-7 doi:10.1001/jama.2020.17694 [doi].

16 Soares WE, Melnick ER, Nath B, et al. Emergency Department Visits for Nonfatal Opioid Overdose During the COVID-19 Pandemic Across Six US Health Care Systems. *Ann Emerg Med* 2021 doi:S0196-0644(21)00226-2 [pii].

17 Clement ND, Scott CEH, Murray JRD, et al. The number of patients "worse than death" while waiting for a hip or knee arthroplasty has nearly doubled during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Bone Joint J* 2021:1-9 doi:10.1302/0301-620X.103B.BJJ-2021-0104.R1 [doi].

18 British National Formulary. Available at: <u>https://bnf.nice.org.uk/</u>. Accessed 30/06/, 2021.

19 ClinCalc.com. Available at: https://clincalc.com/stats/samplesize.aspx. Accessed 01/08/, 2021.

20 Madras BK. The President's Commission on Combating Drug Addiction and the Opioid Crisis: Origins and Recommendations. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2018;103:943-5 doi:10.1002/cpt.1050 [doi].

21 Machado GC, Abdel-Shaheed C, Underwood M, et al. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for musculoskeletal pain. *BMJ* 2021;372:n104 doi:10.1136/bmj.n104 [doi].

22 Khan M, Bhandari M. Cochrane in CORR(R): Intra-articular Corticosteroid For Knee Osteoarthritis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2018;476:1391-2 doi:10.1097/CORR.000000000000358 [doi].

23 O'Hanlon CE, Newberry SJ, Booth M, et al. Hyaluronic acid injection therapy for osteoarthritis of the knee: concordant efficacy and conflicting serious adverse events in two systematic reviews. *Syst Rev* 2016;5:186-9 doi:10.1186/s13643-016-0363-9 [doi].

24 Belk JW, Kraeutler MJ, Houck DA, et al. Platelet-Rich Plasma Versus Hyaluronic Acid for Knee Osteoarthritis: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Am J Sports Med* 2021;49:249-60 doi:10.1177/0363546520909397 [doi].

25 Gazendam A, Ekhtiari S, Bozzo A, et al. Intra-articular saline injection is as effective as corticosteroids, platelet-rich plasma and hyaluronic acid for hip osteoarthritis pain: a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Br J Sports Med* 2021;55:256-61 doi:10.1136/bjsports-2020-102179 [doi].

26 Orchard JW. Is there a place for intra-articular corticosteroid injections in the treatment of knee osteoarthritis?. *BMJ* 2020;368:I6923 doi:10.1136/bmj.I6923 [doi].

27 Roecker Z, Quinlan ND, Browne JA, et al. Risk of Periprosthetic Infection Following Intra-Articular Corticosteroid Injections After Total Knee Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty* 2020;35:1090-4 doi:S0883-5403(19)31089-7 [pii].

28 Deyle GD, Allen CS, Allison SC, et al. Physical Therapy versus Glucocorticoid Injection for Osteoarthritis of the Knee. *N Engl J Med* 2020;382:1420-9 doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1905877 [doi].

29 Vasta S, Papalia R, Torre G, et al. The Influence of Preoperative Physical Activity on Postoperative Outcomes of Knee and Hip Arthroplasty Surgery in the Elderly: A Systematic Review. *J Clin Med* 2020;9:10.3390/jcm9040969 doi:E969 [pii].

30 Gohal C, Shanmugaraj A, Tate P, et al. Effectiveness of Valgus Offloading Knee Braces in the Treatment of Medial Compartment Knee Osteoarthritis: A Systematic Review. *Sports Health* 2018;10:500-14 doi:10.1177/1941738118763913 [doi].

31 Davis T, Loudermilk E, DePalma M, et al. Prospective, Multicenter, Randomized, Crossover Clinical Trial Comparing the Safety and Effectiveness of Cooled Radiofrequency Ablation With Corticosteroid Injection in the Management of Knee Pain From Osteoarthritis. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2018;43:84-91 doi:10.1097/AAP.0000000000000690 [doi].

32 Carr A, Smith JA, Camaradou J, et al. Growing backlog of planned surgery due to covid-19. *BMJ* 2021;372:n339 doi:10.1136/bmj.n339 [doi].

33 Ding D, Lawson KD, Kolbe-Alexander TL, et al. The economic burden of physical inactivity: a global analysis of major non-communicable diseases. *Lancet* 2016;388:1311-24 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30383-X [doi].

34 Nikolova S, Harrison M, Sutton M. The Impact of Waiting Time on Health Gains from Surgery: Evidence from a National Patient-reported Outcome Dataset. *Health Econ* 2016;25:955-68 doi:10.1002/hec.3195 [doi].

35 Scott CEH, MacDonald DJ, Howie CR. 'Worse than death' and waiting for a joint arthroplasty. *Bone Joint J* 2019;101-B:941-50 doi:10.1302/0301-620X.101B8.BJJ-2019-0116.R1 [doi].

36 Ackerman IN, Graves SE, Wicks IP, et al. Severely compromised quality of life in women and those of lower socioeconomic status waiting for joint replacement surgery. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;53:653-8 doi:10.1002/art.21439 [doi].

37 Ostendorf M, Buskens E, van Stel H, et al. Waiting for total hip arthroplasty: avoidable loss in quality time and preventable deterioration. *J Arthroplasty* 2004;19:302-9 doi:S0883540303006107 [pii].

38 Kader N, Clement ND, Patel VR, et al. The theoretical mortality risk of an asymptomatic patient with a negative SARS-CoV-2 test developing COVID-19 following elective orthopaedic surgery. *Bone Joint J* 2020;102-B:1256-60 doi:10.1302/0301-620X.102B9.BJJ-2020-1147.R1 [doi].

39 Clement ND, Oussedik S, Raza KI, et al. The rate of patient deferral and barriers to going forward with elective orthopaedic surgery during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Bone Jt Open* 2020;1:663-8 doi:10.1302/2633-1462.110.BJO-2020-0135.R1 [doi].