

PROJECT ADMINISTRATION DATA SHEET

ORIGINAL REVISION NO. _____

Project No. G-35-669 GTRI/~~XXX~~ DATE 1 / 3 / 84
Project Director: Dr. George Chimonas School/~~XXX~~ Geo. Sci.
Sponsor: National Science Foundation

Type Agreement: Grant No. ATM-8317367

Award Period: From 12/15/83 To 5/31/85* (Performance) 8/31/85 (Reports)

Sponsor Amount: This Change Total to Date
Estimated: \$ 42,700 \$ 42,700
Funded: \$ 42,700 \$ 42,700

Cost Sharing Amount: \$ 1,827 Cost Sharing No: G-35-317

Title: "Instabilities of Plane Parallel Flow with a Tilted Shear"

ADMINISTRATIVE DATA

1) Sponsor Technical Contact:

William Beasley
National Science Foundation
Atmospheric Sciences Meteorology
1800 G Street, NW
Washington, DC 20550
(202) 357-7624

OCA Contact

Lynn Boyd ext. 4820

2) Sponsor Admin/Contractual Matters:

Hugh Lee Lyon
National Science Foundation
Washington, D.C. 20550
(202) 357-9602

Defense Priority Rating: _____ Military Security Classification: _____

(or) Company/Industrial Proprietary: _____

RESTRICTIONS

See Attached NSF Supplemental Information Sheet for Additional Requirements.

Travel: Foreign travel must have prior approval - Contact OCA in each case. Domestic travel requires sponsor approval where total will exceed greater of \$500 or 125% of approved proposal budget category.

Equipment: Title vests with GIT

COMMENTS:

*Includes usual 6-month unfunded flexibility period.



COPIES TO:

Project Director
Research Administrative Network
Research Property Management
Accounting

Procurement/EES Supply Services
Research Security Services
Reports Coordinator (OCA)
Research Communications (2)

GTRI
Library
Project File
Other NEWTON

SPONSORED PROJECT TERMINATION/CLOSEOUT SHEET

N-8

Date 11/15/85

Project No. G-35-669 School GEO SCI

Includes Subproject No.(s) N/A

Project Director(s) George Chimonas GTRC /XGT

Sponsor National Science Foundation

Title Instabilities of Plane Parallel Flow with a Tilted Shear

Effective Completion Date: 5/31/85 (Performance) 8/31/85 (Reports)

Grant/Contract Closeout Actions Remaining:

- None
- Final Invoice or Final Fiscal Report
- Closing Documents
- Final Report of Inventions - For File
- Govt. Property Inventory & Related Certificate
- Classified Material Certificate
- Other _____

Continues Project No. None Indicated Continued by Project No. None Indicated

COPIES TO:

Project Director
 Research Administrative Network
 Research Property Management
 Accounting
 Procurement/GTRI Supply Services
 Research Security Services
 Reports Coordinator (OCA)
 Legal Services

Library
 GTRC
 Research Communications (2)
 Project File
 Other A. Jones; M. Heyser; R. Embry

PLEASE READ INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE BEFORE COMPLETING

PART I-PROJECT IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

1. Institution and Address Georgia Tech Research Institute Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, GA 30332	2. NSF Program Atmospheric Sci/Meteorology	3. NSF Award Number ATM-8317367
	4. Award Period From 12/15/83 To 4/31/85	5. Cumulative Award Amount \$42,700

6. Project Title
Instabilities of Plane Parallel Flow with a Tilted Shear

PART II-SUMMARY OF COMPLETED PROJECT (FOR PUBLIC USE)

Winds in the atmosphere change with height (vertical shear) and with the cross-wind horizontal direction (lateral shear). We postulated that small scale waves and turbulence could be generated more easily by the combination of these shears than by either of them separately. Most theoretical studies of the wind in the lower atmosphere have concentrated on the vertical shear alone. This leads to laws that set very severe limitations on what type of wind profile can become turbulent: limitations that seem to contradict observations and make modelling of such flows difficult.

The combination of shears generally leads to a mathematical system that is so hard to solve that the output hardly merits the effort. However, we discovered a model that is very easy to deal with, and still contains the essence of the physical problem. On investigating it, we found that indeed the combined shears produced instabilities much more readily than the classical vertical shear models. We quote a reviewer of one paper submitted to Physics of Fluids: "I believe the problem the author addresses is scientifically interesting and original. I also find the numerical results quite believable and informative".

We feel the project has been extremely productive, both in results, publications and new ideas.

PART III-TECHNICAL INFORMATION (FOR PROGRAM MANAGEMENT USES)

1. ITEM (Check appropriate blocks)	NONE	ATTACHED	PREVIOUSLY FURNISHED	TO BE FURNISHED SEPARATELY TO PROGRAM	
				Check (✓)	Approx. Date
a. Abstracts of Theses	✓				
b. Publication Citations		✓			
c. Data on Scientific Collaborators		✓			
d. Information on Inventions					
e. Technical Description of Project and Results		✓			
f. Other (specify)					
2. Principal Investigator/Project Director Name (Typed) George Chimonas	3. Principal Investigator/Project Director Signature			4. Date 10/30/85	

(A) DATA ON SCIENTIFIC COLLABORATORS

- I. D. Fua Visiting Scientist (Post Doc.)
- II. J. R. Grant Graduate Student
- III. C. O. Hines Professor, York University, Toronto,
Canada

(B) PUBLICATION CITATIONS

1. Apparent counter-gradient heat fluxes generated by atmospheric waves. *Boundary-Layer Meteor.*, 31, 1-12, 1985.
2. Dispersion of small-scale shear instabilities. (Co-author D. Fua). *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 41, 1085-1091, 1985.
3. Shear excitation of gravity waves. Part I: Modes of a two scale atmosphere. (Co-author J.R. Grant). *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 41, 2269-2277, 1984.
4. Shear excitation of gravity waves. Part II: Upscale scattering of Kelvin-Helmholtz waves. (Co-author J.R. Grant). *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 41, 2278-2288, 1984.
5. Doppler ducting of atmospheric gravity waves. (Co-author C.O. Hines). Accepted for publication in *J. Geophys. Res.*
6. On the combined Rayleigh, Kelvin-Helmholtz problem. Submitted to *Physics of Fluids*.

(C) TECHNICAL SUMMARY OF ACTIVITIES

The project has been concerned with the stability of shear flows, and waves that such flows support. Throughout, we have examined how to get a better agreement between theory and observation by removing some of the idealizations that the theories usually contain.

We can claim considerable success. The six papers cited in (B) all offer improved understanding of observations because they are less restrictive in their theoretical outlook. To summarize:

- (a) The Richardson criterion $Ri < \frac{1}{4}$ for instability does not hold if we allow some lateral wind shear (paper 6).
- (b) The non-dispersive nature of Kelvin-Helmholtz waves disappears with more realistic atmospheric profiles. This is important for their non-linear interactions (paper 2).
- (c) Non-linear interactions between small scale instabilities can force the larger scale gravity wave modes. This removes the theoretical need for very strong (and unrealistic) wind shears to generate the gravity waves directly (papers 2 and 5).
- (d) Analysis of wave/turbulence fluxes in the boundary layer often assumes a stationary mean flow. If the mean is not so restricted the standard theory does not hold, and trying to apply it leads to inconsistencies such as counter-gradient fluxes (paper 1).
- (e) Modal analysis of the atmosphere usually ignores the wind fields, or makes them uniform in some way. If we include the natural maxima and minima of the winds, a whole spectrum of new ducted modes is obtained, and these provide a ready explanation of many observations (paper 5).

Work along such lines is continuing and is the subject of a new proposal "Theoretical Studies of Waves in the Atmospheric Boundary Layer", recently submitted to N.S.F.