

The University of Maine

DigitalCommons@UMaine

Social Justice: Diversity, Equity, & Inclusion

Special Collections

2-12-1974

Senate 'libelously' labeled by Campus

George S. Pitarys

John B. Osolin

Bruce J. Leavitt

David B. Sherlock

Robert S. Thurlow

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.library.umaine.edu/social_justice



Part of the [Feminist, Gender, and Sexuality Studies Commons](#), [Higher Education Commons](#), and the [United States History Commons](#)

Repository Citation

Pitarys, George S.; Osolin, John B.; Leavitt, Bruce J.; Sherlock, David B.; Thurlow, Robert S.; Vantine, Richard Z.; and Cyr, Charles Z., "Senate 'libelously' labeled by Campus" (1974). *Social Justice: Diversity, Equity, & Inclusion*. 456.

https://digitalcommons.library.umaine.edu/social_justice/456

This Letter to the Editor is brought to you for free and open access by DigitalCommons@UMaine. It has been accepted for inclusion in Social Justice: Diversity, Equity, & Inclusion by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@UMaine. For more information, please contact um.library.technical.services@maine.edu.

Authors

George S. Pitarys, John B. Osolin, Bruce J. Leavitt, David B. Sherlock, Robert S. Thurlow, Richard Z. Vantine, and Charles Z. Cyr

Senate 'libelously' labeled by Campus

Dear Sir,

We feel it necessary to take issue with your recent editorial, entitled, "Elected Cowardice." Your libelous labeling of the senate as yellow, for their reference to their duty to their constituents is appalling. On what do you base that statement? If they are not responsible to the electorate, that's us, then to whom are they? As I recall we elected them to represent us. We wholeheartedly support their recent decisions concerning the Wilde-Stein group, and we do so for the following reasons:

- In giving funds to the Wilde-Stein club, they set a precedent not only for future requests from the Gay group, but any group which designs itself a club.

- The knowledge of a place such as UMO where acceptance, and funds are available, will inevitably attract more of the same breed.

- The name of the university

is seriously compromised by their existence. What would be the result if they got its support? Is this the kind of attraction which appeals to prospective students?

- The sexual relations of people are personal, and intimate. They are not akin to a ladies sewing circle, where one can congregate, and discuss technique, or whatever. Specifically this means, one does not need a club to enjoy sex.

- The resulting financial situation. Although it is doubtful that the legislature would be so vindictive as to cut us off without a cent, but it is not out of the realm of possibility that certain alumni may feel somewhat reluctant to support them.

The problem would seem to have a simple enough answer. There are some 8000 residents here. Let them decide. By this I do not mean to decide whether or not there should be a

Wilde-Stein Club. That is already a fact, and we have no right to decide that question. We do have a right to decide whether or not we shall support it. If the majority of the students approve, then fine. We shall become part of the silent minority. We do not believe that that will be the case though. I am sure that every student here would be willing to sacrifice a minute to put this to rest once, and for all, by making a simple yes or no vote in a referendum. By the way, if they successfully obtain travel funds, we intend to approach the senate with the idea of one of our classmates, and form a Happy Hetero Club, and asks for funds to travel prime chick locations.

George S. Pitarys
John B. Osolin
Bruce J. Leavitt
David B. Sherlock
Robert S. Thurlow
Richard Z. Vantine
Charles Z. Cyr