

University of Kentucky
UKnowledge

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII International Rangeland Congress

Producing Biofuels with Alternative Fertilizers: A Comparison of Two Promising Species

Mari-Vaughn V. Johnson *U.S. Department of Agriculture*

James R. Kiniry U.S. Department of Agriculture

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc

Part of the Plant Sciences Commons, and the Soil Science Commons

This document is available at https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/21/21-1/3

The XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII International Rangeland Congress took place in Hohhot, China from June 29 through July 5, 2008.

Proceedings edited by Organizing Committee of 2008 IGC/IRC Conference

Published by Guangdong People's Publishing House

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Producing biofuels with alternative fertilizers : a comparison of two promising species

Mari-Vaughn V. Johnson James R. Kiniry USDA-ARS; Grassland, Soil, and Water Research Laboratory; 808 East Blackland Road; Temple, Texas 76502 Mari-Vaughn. Johnson@ars.usda.gov

Key words : cellulosic ethanol , sustainable energy , Miscanthus , switchgrass , manure fertilizer

Introduction Globally , human systems need economically feasible , ecologically sound energy sources . Under rising energy costs associated with fossil fuels and concern over global climate change , countries are considering alternative energy sources , including renewable biomass development . Warm season perennial bunchgrasses are some of our best prospects for developing sustainable cropping systems for biomass and lignocellulosic energy production . These grasses are harvested on an annual or intra annual basis . Farmers cannot hope to continuously reap profits and production from a system without returning nutrients to the system to assure continued production . Unfortunately , most conventional nitrogen fertilizer is currently derived from fossil fuel driven Haber-Bosch process , which is deleterious to the Carbon balance and to the financial benefits gained from producing the grasses . However , bunchgrasses readily respond to manure-based fertilizers and show promise as filter strips in fields in which manure is applied . There is much interest in whether the North American native *Panicum virgatum* or the Asian native *Miscanthus* \times *giganteus* is the most productive and sustainable potential biomass/lignocellulosic stock species . The comparative productivity of these two species is especially important as land managers decide which grass to plant for optimal economic and ecological return . We anticipate continued global interest in these species as the global community develops and expands the renewable energy sector .

Methods and materials We present a side-by side comparison of these species during the establishment year of a planned long-term study on a dairy operation in Comanche County, Central Texas. We used a completely randomized design, with five repetitions of two treatments (1. dairy lagoon effluent application and 2. no manure or irrigation). We measured leaf area index (LAI) on biweekly intervals (n=9) between 13 June—27 August 2007. We also sampled biomass data in July and August (n=2).

Results and discussion Over the growing season, the average *Miscanthus* LAI (2.0) under irrigated conditions was only 87% of the *Panicum* LAI (2.3). Under un-irrigated conditions *Miscanthus* LAI (1.2) was only 63% of the *Panicum* LAI (1.9). Unirrigated *Miscanthus* suffered a more severe decrease in LAI (42%) than did un-irrigated *Panicum* (20%) as compared to LAI values produced under irrigation. In June 2007, under effluent treatment, *Miscanthus* produced 45% more biomass (408 ± 117 g/m²) than did *Panicum* (223 ± 171 g/m²), while under non-irrigated conditions *Miscanthus* produced 15% less biomass (228 ± 148 g/m²) than *Panicum* (263 ± 117 g/m²). By August 2007, irrigated *Miscanthus* had lost its advantage, producing only 82% as much biomass as *Panicum* (901 ± 84 g/m² vs. 1094 ± 141 g/m²), while under un-irrigated conditions *Miscanthus* had lost its advantage, producing only 82% as much biomass (407 ± 97 g/m²) as *Panicum* (851 ± 138 g/m²). Similar to LAI results, lack of irrigation had a far more deleterious effect on *Miscanthus* biomass (55% less) than on *Panicum* biomass (32% less) as compared to irrigated plants. These findings are in keeping with assessments of mature, un-irrigated, nutrient deprived, 5 year old stands at Temple, Texas. The *Panicum* and *Miscanthus* produced 44% less biomass than *Panicum* (2907 ± 612 g/m² vs. 5175 ± 1974 g/m²).

Conclusions Based on these observations in both first-year and mature stands in Central Texas, we conclude that Miscanthus is a promising biomass/lignocellulosic stock species under irrigated, high nutrient conditions, while Panicum will outperform Miscanthus under less favorable conditions and is more suitable in drier, more nutrient deficient areas.