

# University of Kentucky **UKnowledge**

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII International Rangeland Congress

## Is Rangeland Co-Management a Feasible Policy Option in China?

A. J. Wilkes The Mountain Institute, China

Y. Liang The Mountain Institute, China

Mandula The Mountain Institute, China

J. Z. Tan The Mountain Institute, China

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc



Part of the Plant Sciences Commons, and the Soil Science Commons

This document is available at https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/21/18-1/30

The XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII International Rangeland Congress took place in Hohhot, China from June 29 through July 5, 2008.

Proceedings edited by Organizing Committee of 2008 IGC/IRC Conference Published by Guangdong People's Publishing House

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

## Is Rangeland Co-management a Feasible Policy Option in China?

A.J. Wilkes, Y. Liang, Mandula, J.Z. Tan
The Mountain Institute, Room 1101, Building 3, Jianwai SOHO, Beijing, 100022, China, awilkes@mountain.org

Key words: rangeland management, co-management, China, feasibility

**Introduction** Rangeland co-management is increasingly recommended as a policy option in China (e.g. Li et al. 2007). In practice, rangeland co-management in China has been understood either as community-based management of rangelands (e.g. Banks et al. 2003) or as multi-stakeholder collaboration in the management of rangelands (e.g. Yan 2007). On the basis of two practical experiences this paper explores obstacles to wider application of co-management approaches in China.

#### **Experiences with co-management**

**Experience 1** In 2007, The Mountain Institute began to develop a pilot project In Hongyuan County, Sichuan. A site was selected on the basis that the community used their grasslands in common and expressed an interest in establishing a rotational grazing system. Carrying capacity of community grasslands was estimated. Summer pastures are not overgrazed, but winter pastures are grazed by about 3-4 times more than a sustainable stocking rate. Plans to implement rotational grazing were abandoned in favour of efforts to improve winter fodder supply.

**Experience 2** In 2006, Diqing Prefecture Veterinary Station in Yunnan began a process of collaborative management with three communities in Xianggelila County. The approach adopted was very effective in improving grassland productivity. Senior officials applaud these results, but say it would be impossible to replicate using government funds because the measures needed to address grassland management problems are integrated, whereas government funding lines are highly segregated.

Conclusions Experience 1 warns that although some communities manage grasslands collectively this does not mean they are managed sustainably. Experience 2 shows that while pilot initiatives can be successful, further constraints to replication arise from the characteristics of government funding management procedures. We suggest that grassland co-management as a whole is not a feasible policy recommendation for China, but that some elements of community-based and participatory approaches may be replicable within current government administrative practices.

### References

Banks , T . , Richard , C . , Li , P . Yan , Z . , 2003 . Community Based Grassland Management in Western China . Mountain Research and Development 23(2) , 132-140 .

Li , X ., Wilkes , A . , Yan , Z . (eds) , 2007 . Rangeland co-management : Proceedings of an international workshop held in Diqing , Yunnan , China . Beijing : China Agricultural Technology Press .

Yan, Z. 2007. Introduction to concepts and procedures of rangeland co-management. In Li, X. et al. (eds).