

## University of Kentucky **UKnowledge**

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII International Rangeland Congress

## Too Many People, Too Many Animals for Too Little Grass--a **Canadian Perspective**

G. Luciuk Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Canada

M. Boyle Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Canada

G. Brown Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Canada

B. Kirychuk Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Canada

B. Sonntag Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Canada

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc



Part of the Plant Sciences Commons, and the Soil Science Commons

This document is available at https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/21/20-1/7

The XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII International Rangeland Congress took place in Hohhot, China from June 29 through July 5, 2008.

Proceedings edited by Organizing Committee of 2008 IGC/IRC Conference Published by Guangdong People's Publishing House

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

## Too many people too many animals for too little grass-a Canadian perspective

 $G.Luciuk^{1}, M.Boyle^{2}, G.Brown^{2}, B.Kirychuk^{2}, and B.Sonntag^{1}$ AAFC-PFRA (retired); AAFC-PFRA, 1801 Rose Street, Regina, SK, Canada S4P4L2

Key words: range conservation, grassland, rangeland, BMP's, biodiversity, sustainable development

Abstract Canada's agricultural land base contains 15 .39 million hectares of rangeland defined as natural land for pasture . Some 87% of this range is in the three Canadian Prairie Provinces . This production region encompasses roughly 57 million hectares situated within the Mixed and Tall-grass Prairie, Aspen Parkland, and Boreal Transition eco-regions. The eco-regions overlie some of the most agriculturally productive land in North America and are one of the most highly altered and fragmented ecosystems in the world. Institutional participation by government and NGO's is a very significant in rangeland conservation and management. For example, in the highly fragile rangelands of Southwest Saskatchewan, institutional land control affects up to 46% of the total range . A national immigration policy in the early 20th century for settlement of western Canada had scant appreciation of the fragile nature of the landscape. The result was too many settlers who lacked the appropriate agricultural skills situated on land areas not suitable for cultivated agriculture with the available technology and knowledge. An economic, social and ecological disaster ensued within about two decades . The road to recovery was long and arduous

Canada's experience in balancing the needs of society, livestock production and range landscapes parallels the challenge in other parts of the world of too many people, too many animals for too little grass". Appropriate use of rangeland in the prairie region is one of the best expressions of sustainable agriculture in Canada today. Four phases characterize the evolution of sustainable rangeland development-initial development and settlement, social disruption precipitated by economic and environmental crises, period of reclamation followed by an emphasis on range and livestock productivity increases and, most recently, a broadened institutional approach based on technology and policy adaptations. A major response to the drought and economic impacts of the 1930's was the creation of the Prairie Farm Rehabilitation Administration (PFRA) Community Pastures . This program has evolved into an internationally acknowledged model of sustainable land management integral to the achievement of Canada's biodiversity objectives . The program rehabilitated and conserved nearly one million hectares of severely eroded and drought prone lands representing Canada's largest reclamation efforts of native prairie. Today native vegetation dominates about 85% of the PFRA rangelands that are uniquely valued as some of the largest remaining tracts of contiguous native grasslands.

The carrying capacity of western Canadian rangelands (private and public) continues to improve in response to institutional and technological innovations . At the same time, societal demands have increased with respect to the additional public goods arising from healthy rangelands such as biodiversity, research sites, ecosystem conservation, C sequestration, endangered species habitat , and recreation . Rangeland managers are challenged to accommodate such additional and competing societal goals while maintaining farm level profitability. Broadened demands on rangelands have fostered innovations in science technology, institutional partnerships and tools for improved education and awareness to enhance range productivity and biodiversity conservation. Recent institutional approaches and successes are outlined.

Canada's experience with people, livestock, grasslands and policy innovation has played a direct role in international development cooperation projects . The China-Canada Sustainable Agricultural Development Project Phase II seeks to support Global Environment Fund targets for land degradation reduction , biodiversity and climate change . However , in the context of too many people, too many animals for too little grass", significant institutional innovation will be required to replicate Canadian successes in land reclamation and adaptation of new knowledge. Particular focus will be required on policy and institutional arrangements affecting land tenure, independent farmer associations, effective rural financial services and public investment in extension and awareness systems at the local level . As in Canada , the ultimate success will lie in the ability of farmers and ranchers to realize the complementarities between social, economic and environmental goals for rangeland and biodiversity protection and conservation.