

University of Kentucky **UKnowledge**

International Grassland Congress Proceedings

XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII International Rangeland Congress

The Studies of Grasslands Puna and Sweetheart Chicory (Cichorium intybus L.) Silages

Q. F. Xu Shanxi Agricultural University, China

Z. W. Cui Shanxi Agricultural University, China

X. Zhang Shanxi Agricultural University, China

H. Liu Shanxi Agricultural University, China

J. G. Han China Agricultural University, China

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc



Part of the Plant Sciences Commons, and the Soil Science Commons

This document is available at https://uknowledge.uky.edu/igc/21/15-3/15

The XXI International Grassland Congress / VIII International Rangeland Congress took place in Hohhot, China from June 29 through July 5, 2008.

Proceedings edited by Organizing Committee of 2008 IGC/IRC Conference Published by Guangdong People's Publishing House

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant and Soil Sciences at UKnowledge. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Grassland Congress Proceedings by an authorized administrator of UKnowledge. For more information, please contact UKnowledge@lsv.uky.edu.

Presenter Information Q. F. Xu, Z. W. Cui, X. Zhang, H.	Liu, J. G. Han, Z	″. Yu, and Z. Q. L	i	

The studies of grasslands Puna and Sweetheart chicory (Cichorium intybus L .) silages

 $Q.F.XU^{I}$, $Z.W.CUI^{I}$, $X.Zhang^{I}$, $H.LIU^{I}$, $J.G.HAN^{2}$, $Z.YU^{2}$, $Z.Q.LI^{2}$ I College of Animal Science and Technology, Shanxi Agricultural University, Taigu, 030801, Shanxi, China, E-mail: xqfsx@sohu.com, I College of Animal Science and Technology, China Agricultural University, 100094, Beijing, China

Key words: chicory, silage, nutritive value, fermentative quality, variety

Introduction As a perennial herb, chicory or endive (*Cichorium intybus* L.) has been used for forage in many parts of the world. Forage chicory produces a large quantity of high quality feed in the warm season under favorable conditions (Li *et al*, 2005). Chicory has good drought and cold tolerance, and high digestibility and a low fiber concentration (Sanderson *et al*, 2003), which are favorable for grazing. Intensive harvest was useful for maintaining high quality of chicory (Holden *et al*, 2000).

Materials and Methods The grassland Puna and Sweetheart chicory were harvested by hand in stage of rosette of foliage leaves, then chopped to approximately 2 cm length . Immediately after chopped, the chicory were bagged and sealed with vacuum . The three silage bags of each variety were opened after storage 3 months and analyzed for pH , buffering capacity and DM , NH_3-N , CP, NDF, ADF, ADF,

Table 1	The fermentative	quality and	nutritive	$value\ of$	grasslands	Puna and	Sweetheart	chicory	(Cichorium	intybus L	<u>.</u> .)
silages											

strages.					
Items	Sweetheart	Puna	SE	P > F	
DM , %	9 .70	9 45	0 .13	0.49	
CP , $\%$ of DM	13 .42	13 .56	0.72	0.93	
NDF , $\%$ of DM	45 .83	43 .02	0 .87	0.06	
ADF , $\%$ of DM	29 .34	30 .58	1 .06	0.62	
Ash , $\%$ of MD	15 .66	17 29	0.51	0.11	
рН	3 .87	4 .59	0 .31	0 29	
$NH_3\text{-}N$, $\%$ of TN	9 .30	11 .15	0 .85	0.33	
BC , mE/kg DM	540 .71	678 .51	53 .22	0 23	

Results The lower pH of Sweetheart chicory silage than that of Puna meant that the variety affected the fermentative quality of fresh cut chicory silage . The higher pH of Puna chicory silage was according with the higher BC value . Although the low DM content resulted in more effluent , the pH of 4 23 and NH3-N content of 10.22% indicated chicory silage were better enough for accepted . There were not significantly differences of CP , NDF , AbF , Ash content about two variety chicory silages . The NDF content of Sweetheart chicory silage were higher than that of Puna . The ADF and ash content of Puna chicory silage were higher than that of Sweetheart .

Conclusions The fresh grassland Puna and Sweetheart chicory are favorable for silage, with lower pH and NH_3 -N content. There were not significantly difference of fermentative quality and nutritive value between the grassland Puna and Sweetheart chicory silage.

References

Li GD , Peter DK (2005) Forage chicory (Cichorium intybus L.): a review of its agronomy and animal production . Advances in Agronomy , 88 , 187-222.

Sanderson MA, Maria L, Marvin HH, Gerald FE (2003) Forage wield and persistence of chicory and English plantain. *Crop Science*, 43, 995-1000.

Holden LA, Gabriella AV, Gerry AJ, John AS (2000) Comparison of Grassland Punå chicory and orchardgrass for multiple harvests at different management levels. Agronomy Journal, 92, 191-194.