

University of Groningen



Biaryl sulfonamides as cisoid azosteres for photopharmacology

Kobauri, Piermichele; Szymanski, Wiktor; Cao, Fangyuan; Thallmair, Sebastian; Marrink, Siewert J.; Witte, Martin D.; Dekker, Frank J.; Feringa, Ben L.

Published in: **Chemical Communications**

DOI: 10.1039/d1cc00950h

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2021

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA): Kobauri, P., Szymanski, W., Cao, F., Thallmair, S., Marrink, S. J., Witte, M. D., Dekker, F. J., & Feringa, B. L. (2021). Biaryl sulfonamides as cisoid azosteres for photopharmacology. *Chemical Communications*, 57(34), 4126-4129. https://doi.org/10.1039/d1cc00950h

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

ChemComm



COMMUNICATION

View Article Online View Journal | View Issue

Check for updates

Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2021, 57, 4126

Received 19th February 2021, Accepted 17th March 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1cc00950h

rsc.li/chemcomm

Biaryl sulfonamides as *cisoid* azosteres for photopharmacology[†]

Piermichele Kobauri, D^a Wiktor Szymanski, T^{*b} Fangyuan Cao, C^c Sebastian Thallmair, ^{d^{de}} Siewert J. Marrink, ^{d^d} Martin D. Witte, ^{f^r} Frank J. Dekker ^{**} and Ben L. Feringa ^{**}

Biaryl sulfonamides are excellent candidates for the azologization approach that yields photoswitchable drugs more active in their metastable *cis* state, compared to the stable *trans* state. Here we present the scope and limitations of this strategy for rational design in photopharmacology.

Photopharmacology employs light to control the bioactivity of drugs with exceptional bio-orthogonality and spatiotemporal precision, thus potentially increasing site- and organ-selectivity in pharmaceutical applications.^{1–4} The incorporation of molecular photoswitches⁵ into drugs enables this non-invasive control, since their irradiation induces reversible changes in the structure and properties of the drug.² Because of favorable photochemical properties and ease of synthesis, azobenzene is the most common switch in photopharmacology.⁶ Its photo-isomerization from the thermally stable *trans*-isomer to the metastable *cis*-isomer results in substantial changes in molecular shape (from planar to globular), end-to-end distance (from 9 Å to 6 Å) and dipole moment (from 0 D to ~3 D).⁶

The design of photoswitchable bioactive compounds often relies on trial-and-error approaches and the assumption that photoinduced changes will inherently result in different

^b Medical Imaging Center, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Hanzeplein 1, Groningen 9713 GZ, The Netherlands. E-mail: w.szymanski@umcg.nl

^c Chemical and Pharmaceutical Biology, Groningen Research Institute of Pharmacy, University of Groningen, A. Deusinglaan 1, Groningen, 9713 AV, The Netherlands. E-mail: f.j.dekker@rug.nl

^d Groningen Biomolecular Sciences and Biotechnology Institute & Zernike Institute for Advanced Materials, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 7,

Groningen 9747 AG, The Netherlands

- Frankfurt am Main 60438, Germany
- ^f Chemical Biology II, Stratingh Institute for Chemistry, University of Groningen, Groningen 9747 AG, The Netherlands

bioactivities. Nevertheless, two rational design strategies emerged in azobenzene-based photopharmacology: azo-extension and azologization. The former explores the structure-activity relationship (SAR) of existing drug scaffolds to identify suitable sites for the attachment of an azobenzene.⁴ In the latter approach, azobenzenes are introduced in the place of aromatic moieties that are sterically and electronically similar. Those privileged moieties were termed "azosteres".⁷ In most applications,⁸ photopharmacology aims to design photoswitchable drugs that are more active in the metastable state, *i.e.* "cis-on"⁹ in the case of classical azobenzenes. In particular, if this property is combined with a large difference in potency between the photoisomers, it ensures effective control of biological processes.^{1-3,8} However, most attempts at azologization resulted in "trans-on" ligands, especially for planar azosteres that adopt a transoid conformation (such as benzyl ether,⁷ benzanilide,¹⁰ and diphenylacetylene¹¹). An alternative strategy, named "sign inversion", uses cyclic diazocines¹² with thermally stable *cis* isomers,¹³ but their limited synthetic accessibility might hinder its applicability.14 Conversely, only a few examples of cisoid azosteres have been reported.^{9,15,16} Nevertheless, an explicit set of general criteria for the design of cis-on photoswitchable drugs is still missing, although they are expected to significantly expand the chemical space available for photopharmacology. Here we present the identification of the biaryl sulfonamide scaffold as a prevalent cisoid azostere, because of its structural and electronic similarity with cis-azobenzene.

First, we queried the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) on the geometry of azobenzene and the most generic azostere, *i.e.*, two (hetero)aromatic rings linked by two atoms. Measurement of dihedral and centroid angles, ring distances and ring angles provided insights into the requirements for a *cisoid* geometry (see ESI,[†] Fig. S18), in particular the centroid angle being less than 100° and the ring distance being less than 5 Å. Biaryl sulfonamides (BAS) emerged as *cisoid* scaffolds (Fig. 1A and B), in accordance with their known preference for a staggered conformation.¹⁷ This geometrical bias¹⁸ has been employed for conformational control in drug design.¹⁹ In addition to structural similarity to *cis*-azobenzene, electronic

^a Stratingh Institute for Chemistry, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, Groningen, 9747 AG, The Netherlands. E-mail: b.l.feringa@rug.nl

^e Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Ruth-Moufang-Straße 1,

 $[\]dagger$ Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/ d1cc00950h



Fig. 1 (A). Biaryl sulfonamides as *cisoid* azosteres. (B). Structural similarity: distributions of centroid angles and ring distances in the CSD. (C). Electronic similarity: dipole moments and electrostatic potential surfaces, where red color represents max. negative potential and violet color represents max. positive potential.

similarity was explored by density functional theory (DFT) calculations (see ESI,† section S4.6 for details). As compared to *trans*-azobenzene, *cis*-azobenzene better approximates the dipole moment of BAS, as well as its electrostatic potential (ESP) surface (Fig. 1C). Finally, this moiety is widely used in medicinal chemistry²⁰ (see ESI,† section S4.3 for details).

We hypothesized that the azologization of BAS would constitute a general strategy for designing cis-on ligands. However, the replacement of two hydrogen-bond acceptors and one donor in the -SO₂NH-linker part with two acceptors of the -N=N- azo bond poses risks of loss in affinity to the target. To test our hypothesis and its limitations, two bioactive compounds containing the BAS moiety were identified and investigated by molecular modeling, photochemical evaluation and bioactivity studies. They were chosen because of their lownanomolar potency and the different roles of the sulfonamide moiety in binding to their biological targets. The first ligand (Sulf-1, Fig. 2A) is a nanomolar inhibitor of lipoproteinassociated phospholipase 2²¹ (Lp-PLA₂), which is a potential therapeutic target.²² Here, the BAS moiety is buried and interacts deeply in the binding pocket.²¹ The second ligand (Sulf-2, Fig. 2B) is belinostat,²³ an FDA-approved histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor used in chemotherapy. In this case, the biaryl sulfonamide acts as a partially solvent-exposed linker that ensures optimal contacts of the capping group with the enzyme surface.²⁴

The starting point for the azologization of Sulf-1 was the crystal structure of its complex with Lp-PLA2.25 The sulfonamide is involved in hydrogen bonds with Leu153, Ser273 and a water molecule occupying the oxyanion hole.²⁶ Ligand binding was first explored *in silico*, using induced fit docking²⁷ (IFD) to enable a certain degree of protein flexibility during the calculations. The docking poses suggested that *cis*-Azo-1 would be able to mimic the binding mode of the parent compound much better than its trans-isomer, albeit at the cost of the hydrogen bonding interactions (Fig. 2A). The stability of the docking poses over time was then evaluated through three replicas of 100 ns molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, which indicate that only trans-Azo-1 would unbind quickly from the protein during the simulation time, thus providing additional timedomain support for a preferred binding of the *cis* over the *trans* conformation. Moreover, the electronic similarity in terms of ESP surfaces and dipole moments found for the fragments was also confirmed for the entire ligands (see ESI,† Fig. S50 and S52).

Ligands Sulf-1 and Azo-1 were synthesized (see ESI,† section S1) and the photochemical properties of Azo-1 were investigated in Lp-PLA₂ assay aqueous buffer (Fig. 3A and B). Irradiation with λ = 365 nm light resulted in *trans-cis* photoisomerization (Fig. 3A), reaching a high photostationary state distribution (PSD) of 92% cis, typical of alkyl- and aryl-oxy substituted azobenzenes.28 Successive irradiation with $\lambda = 420$ nm light promoted *cis-trans* back-isomerization, reaching a PSD of 84% trans (see ESI,† Fig. S9). The photoswitch showed no signs of fatigue upon multiple cycles of photochemical isomerization in the presence of the reducing agent glutathione (Fig. 3B). Thermal cis-trans isomerization occurred on a time scale of days (see ESI,† Fig. S6 and S7), indicating high stability of cis isomer. Azo-1 showed good solubility in water (see ESI,† Fig. S11) up to the highest concentration used in the biological assay (100 µM).

To assess the inhibitory potency of Sulf-1 and Azo-1 on Lp-PLA₂, we performed fluorescence-based competition assays²⁹ (Fig. 3C).



Fig. 2 (A). Azologization of Sulf-1. Docking poses of *trans*-(cyan) and *cis*-Azo-1 (magenta) superposed with the complex Sulf-1-Lp-PLA2 (green-gray, PDB: 5YEA). Ligand RMSD from a representative replica of 100 ns MD simulation of the protein–ligand complexes. (B). Azologization of Sulf-2. Docking poses of *trans*-(cyan), *cis*-Azo-2 (magenta) and Sulf-2 (green) into HDAC2 (gray, PDB: 4LXZ). Ligand RMSD from a representative replica of 100 ns MD simulations, see ESI† (section S4.5).



Fig. 3 Photochemical and pharmacological evaluation of **Azo-1**. (A). UV-Vis spectra of **Azo-1** (20 μ M, 1% DMSO in Lp-PLA₂ assay buffer) at the thermal equilibrium (light blue), PSS^{365nm} (red) and PSS^{420nm} (dark blue). (B). Repeated photoisomerization of **Azo-1** with λ = 365 nm and 420 nm light (20 μ M, 1% DMSO in Lp-PLA₂ assay buffer, 10 mM glutathione). (C). Dose–response curves for inhibitors **Sulf-1/Azo-1** against Lp-PLA₂ and obtained IC₅₀ values. (D). Reversible control of Lp-PLA₂ activity with **Azo-1** (25 μ M).

The parent inhibitor **Sulf-1** maintained its high reported activity in the nanomolar range, whereas the thermally adapted sample of **Azo-1** showed very low activity. On the other hand, UV irradiation resulted in an > 10-fold activation of **Azo-1**. Cycles of irradiation (Fig. 3D), starting from the thermally adapted sample and then alternating between the solutions that represent PSD at $\lambda = 365$ nm and 420 nm light, showed reversible behavior, indicating that the change in potency is caused by photoisomerization of **Azo-1** and not by a light-induced irreversible process. Nevertheless, we observed an overall 100-fold decrease in activity of **Azo-1** as compared to the parent **Sulf-1**, which might be due to the loss of crucial hydrogen bonds with the oxyanion hole of Lp-PLA₂.²¹

As a second test for our proposed azologization strategy, we chose belinostat²⁴ (Sulf-2), an inhibitor of HDACs used in clinical practice. In this case, a p-alkoxyazobenzene was employed, as it is known to show very high PSDs²⁸ and appeared to be tolerated during previous SAR studies.²³ Because of the available biological assay, we selected HDAC2 as protein target. Therefore, we needed to dock Sulf-2 into HDAC2,³⁰ as the only crystal structure of the compound in the PDB is a complex with HDAC6.³¹ Although the two enzymes belong to different classes of HDACs,²⁴ the inhibitor binding site is highly conserved (see ESI,† Fig. S27). The obtained docking pose revealed that the hydroxamic acid chelates the zinc cation and engages in hydrogen bonds with His145 and Tyr308, while the sulfonamide moiety is not involved in specific interactions with the enzyme (Fig. 2B). These contacts predicted for HDAC2 are in agreement with the binding mode observed experimentally with HDAC6 (see ESI,† Fig. S32 and S33). IFD poses of Azo-2 indicated that the cis-isomer of the ligand would adopt a similar binding mode and keep the original bent geometry. However, subsequent MD simulations



Fig. 4 Photochemical and pharmacological evaluation of **Azo-2**. (A). UV-Vis spectra of **Azo-2** (20 μ M, 1% DMSO in HDAC2 assay buffer) at the thermal equilibrium (light blue), PSS^{365 nm} (red) and PSS^{420 nm} (dark blue). (B). Photoisomerization of **Azo-2** with λ = 365 nm and 420 nm light (20 μ M, 1% DMSO in HDAC2 assay buffer, 10 mM glutathione). (C). Dose-response curves for inhibitors **Sulf-2/Azo-2** against HDAC2 and obtained IC₅₀ values. (D). Solvent exposure of **Sulf-2** and *cis*-**Azo-2** docked into HDAC2 (gray surface).

revealed that all the ligands were more flexible and explored larger portions of the protein surface, as the active site is exposed to the solvent (see Fig. 4D and ESI,[†] Fig. S36, S41, S46, S47). This predicted behavior suggests that the differences in activity between the ligands could be less pronounced than in the case of the **Azo-1**/Lp-PLA₂ system.

Photoisomerization of **Azo-2** was achieved in HDAC2 assay buffer (Fig. 4A), reaching a high PSD of 95% *cis*. Furthermore, the compound showed no fatigue after multiple cycles of photoisomerization under reducing conditions (Fig. 4B). HDAC2 inhibition assay confirmed the high potency of **Sulf**-2,³² and much to our delight **Azo-2** also showed activity in the sub-micromolar range (Fig. 4C). We found that irradiation with $\lambda = 365$ nm light resulted in a 2-fold activation of **Azo-2** (Fig. 4C), thereby showing the desired *cis*-on behavior of the photoswitchable inhibitor. Moreover, in this case we observed a smaller, 12-fold decrease in activity compared to the parent ligand. In contrast to the previous example, the azologized BAS moiety has a less essential role in binding, mainly providing the bent geometry for conformational control and does not contribute specific interactions.^{19,24}

The inhibition data for **Azo-1** and **Azo-2** indicate that the pharmacophoric role of the BAS substructure influences the outcome of the azologization. When this moiety formed key interactions with buried residues of the oxyanion hole,²⁵ its azostere **Azo-1** suffered a larger loss of activity. Concurrently, a larger difference in activity between the photoisomers was achieved, suggesting that a *cisoid* geometry was strictly required for biological activity. However, when the sulfonamide moiety served only as a solvent-exposed linker to guarantee optimal contacts between the capping group and the target,²⁴ the azolog

showed a smaller loss of original activity. For **Azo-2**, the azologization involved a more peripheral region of the pharmacophore, while it did not affect the hydroxamic acid (*i.e.*, the zinc binding domain). This substitution resulted in a less distinct difference between photoisomers.

In conclusion, we show here that BAS are promising *cisoid* azosteres for photopharmacology. While guidelines for transoid azosteres have been described and applied,^{4,33} the definition of criteria for the rational design of cis-on photoswitchable ligands is still lacking. To explore the requirements for molecular similarity with cis-azobenzene, we have analyzed the geometrical and electrostatic properties of two-atom-linked biarvl systems and selected the BAS motif based on its bent geometry and favorable dipole moment. We have demonstrated that biaryl sulfonamides are promising azosteres if they are considered for their preferred *cisoid* geometry, rather than being discarded for their poor similarity to trans-azobenzene.³³ Since biaryl sulfonamides are common motifs in numerous ligands (see ESI,† Table S3) for various biological targets (e.g., anti-apoptotic protein MCL-1³⁴ and bromodomain-containing protein 4^{35}), we believe that they may provide a rich source of inspiration for photopharmacology. Azologization of cisoid substructures has the potential to guide the rational design of cis-on photoswitchable ligands.

Financial support from the EU Horizon 2020 program (ALERT co-fund No. 713482 for B. L. F.) and the Dutch Scientific Organization (VIDI grant nr. 723.014.001 for W. S., VIDI grant nr. 723.015.004 for M. D. W.) is kindly acknowledged. P. K. acknowledges dr. Romain Costil and Isabel Sieders (University of Groningen) for fruitful discussions, and the Center for Information Technology of the University of Groningen for their support and for providing access to the Peregrine HPC cluster.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- 1 W. A. Velema, W. Szymanski and B. L. Feringa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 2178–2191.
- 2 M. M. Lerch, M. J. Hansen, G. M. van Dam, W. Szymanski and B. L. Feringa, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 10978–10999.
- 3 M. W. H. Hoorens and W. Szymanski, *Trends Biochem. Sci.*, 2018, **43**, 567–575.
- 4 J. Broichhagen, J. A. Frank and D. Trauner, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2015, 48, 1947–1960.
- 5 B. L. Feringa and W. R. Browne, *Molecular Switches*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 2011.
- 6 A. A. Beharry and G. A. Woolley, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 4422-4437.
- 7 M. Schoenberger, A. Damijonaitis, Z. Zhang, D. Nagel and D. Trauner, ACS Chem. Neurosci., 2014, 5, 514–518.
- 8 I. M. Welleman, M. W. H. Hoorens, B. L. Feringa, H. H. Boersma and W. Szymański, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 11672–11691.
- 9 C. Matera, A. M. J. Gomila, N. Camarero, M. Libergoli, C. Soler and P. Gorostiza, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 15764–15773.

- S. Pittolo, X. Gómez-Santacana, K. Eckelt, X. Rovira, J. Dalton, C. Goudet, J. P. Pin, A. Llobet, J. Giraldo, A. Llebaria and P. Gorostiza, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2014, **10**, 813–815.
- 11 N. J. Hauwert, T. A. M. Mocking, D. Da Costa Pereira, K. Lion, Y. Huppelschoten, H. F. Vischer, I. J. P. De Esch, M. Wijtmans and R. Leurs, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 4531–4535.
- 12 R. Siewertsen, H. Neumann, B. Buchheim-Stehn, R. Herges, C. Näther, F. Renth and F. Temps, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 15594–15595.
- 13 J. B. Trads, K. Hüll, B. S. Matsuura, L. Laprell, T. Fehrentz, N. Görldt, K. A. Kozek, C. D. Weaver, N. Klöcker, D. M. Barber and D. Trauner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, 58, 15421–15428.
- 14 S. Li, N. Eleya and A. Staubitz, Org. Lett., 2020, 22, 1624-1627.
- 15 M. Borowiak, W. Nahaboo, M. Reynders, K. Nekolla, P. Jalinot, J. Hasserodt, M. Rehberg, M. Delattre, S. Zahler, A. Vollmar, D. Trauner and O. Thorn-Seshold, *Cell*, 2015, **162**, 403–411.
- 16 M. W. H. Hoorens, M. E. Ourailidou, T. Rodat, P. E. van der Wouden, P. Kobauri, M. Kriegs, C. Peifer, B. L. Feringa, F. J. Dekker and W. Szymanski, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2019, **179**, 133–146.
- 17 K. A. Brameld, B. Kuhn, D. C. Reuter and M. Stahl, J. Chem. Inf. Model., 2008, 48, 1-24.
- 18 G. Schwertz, M. S. Frei, M. C. Witschel, M. Rottmann, U. Leartsakulpanich, P. Chitnumsub, A. Jaruwat, W. Ittarat, A. Schäfer, R. A. Aponte, N. Trapp, K. Mark, P. Chaiyen and F. Diederich, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2017, 23, 14345–14357.
- 19 Y. Zheng, C. M. Tice and S. B. Singh, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2017, 27, 2825–2837.
- 20 E. A. Ilardi, E. Vitaku and J. T. Njardarson, J. Med. Chem., 2014, 57, 2832-2842.
- 21 Q. Liu, F. Huang, X. Yuan, K. Wang, Y. Zou, J. Shen and Y. Xu, J. Med. Chem., 2017, 60, 10231–10244.
- 22 F. Huang, K. Wang and J. Shen, Med. Res. Rev., 2020, 40, 79-134.
- 23 P. W. Finn, M. Bandara, C. Butcher, A. Finn, R. Hollinshead, N. Khan, N. Law, S. Murthy, R. Romero, C. Watkins, V. Andrianov, R. M. Bokaldere, K. Dikovska, V. Gailite, E. Loza, I. Piskunova, I. Starchenkov, M. Vorona and I. Kalvinsh, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 2005, 88, 1630–1657.
- 24 M. Mottamal, S. Zheng, T. L. Huang and G. Wang, *Molecules*, 2015, 20, 3898–3941.
- 25 P. Canning, B. A. Kenny, V. Prise, J. Glenn, M. H. Sarker, N. Hudson, M. Brandt, F. J. Lopez, D. Gale, P. J. Luthert, P. Adamson, P. Turowski and A. W. Stitt, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2016, 113, 7213–7218.
- 26 U. Samanta and B. J. Bahnson, J. Biol. Chem., 2008, 283, 31617-31624.
- 27 W. Sherman, T. Day, M. P. Jacobson, R. A. Friesner and R. Farid, J. Med. Chem., 2006, 49, 534–553.
- 28 W. Szymanski, M. E. Ourailidou, W. A. Velema, F. J. Dekker and B. L. Feringa, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 16517–16524.
- 29 F. Huang, H. Hu, K. Wang, C. Peng, W. Xu, Y. Zhang, J. Gao, Y. Liu, H. Zhou, R. Huang, M. Li, J. Shen and Y. Xu, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2020, 63, 7052–7065.
- 30 B. E. L. Lauffer, R. Mintzer, R. Fong, S. Mukund, C. Tam, I. Zilberleyb, B. Flicke, A. Ritscher, G. Fedorowicz, R. Vallero, D. F. Ortwine, J. Gunzner, Z. Modrusan, L. Neumann, C. M. Koth, J. S. Kaminker, C. E. Heise and P. Steiner, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2013, 288, 26926–26943.
- 31 Y. Hai and D. W. Christianson, Nat. Chem. Biol., 2016, 12, 741-747.
- 32 H. Y. Lee, C. Y. Chang, C. J. Su, H. L. Huang, S. Mehndiratta, Y. H. Chao, C. M. Hsu, S. Kumar, T. Y. Sung, Y. Z. Huang, Y. H. Li, C. R. Yang and J. P. Liou, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2016, **122**, 92–101.
- 33 J. Morstein, M. Awale, J. L. Reymond and D. Trauner, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2019, 5, 607–618.
- 34 B. Follows, S. Fessler, T. Baumeister, A. M. Campbell, M. M. Zablocki, H. Li, D. Gotur, Z. Wang, X. Zheng, L. Molz, C. Nguyen, T. Herbertz, L. Wang and K. Bair, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2019, 29, 2375–2382.
- 35 B. K. Allen, S. Mehta, S. W. J. Ember, J. Y. Zhu, E. Schönbrunn, N. G. Ayad and S. C. Schürer, ACS Omega, 2017, 2, 4760–4771.