

Hawking radiation as tunneling through the quantum horizon

To cite this article: Michele Arzano *et al* JHEP09(2005)037

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

Related content

- [Membrane paradigm of black holes in Chern-Simons modified gravity](#)
Tian-Yi Zhao and Towe Wang
- [Parikh-Wilczek tunneling from noncommutative higher dimensional black holes](#)
Kourosh Nozari and S. Hamid Mehdipour
- [Radiation spectrum and entropy correction of black holes in Horava-Lifshitz gravity](#)
Li-Chun Zhang, Huai-Fan Li and Ren Zhao

Recent citations

- [Research on the semiclassical theory and Hawking tunneling radiation of nonstationary Kerr black hole](#)
Tao Wang *et al*
- [The Nonequilibrium Back Reaction of Hawking Radiation to a Schwarzschild Black Hole](#)
He Wang and Jin Wang
- [Speed limit of quantum dynamics near the event horizon of black holes](#)
Yusef Maleki and Alireza Maleki

Hawking radiation as tunneling through the quantum horizon

Michele Arzano

*Institute of Field Physics, Department of Physics and Astronomy
University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-3255, U.S.A.
E-mail: arzano@physics.unc.edu*

A. Joseph M. Medved

*School of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science
Victoria University of Wellington, PO Box 600, Wellington, New Zealand
E-mail: joey.medved@mcs.vuw.ac.nz*

Elias C. Vagenas

*Nuclear and Particle Physics Section, Physics Department, University of Athens
GR-15771, Athens, Greece
E-mail: evagenas@phys.uoa.gr*

ABSTRACT: Planck-scale corrections to the black-hole radiation spectrum in the Parikh-Wilczek tunneling framework are calculated. The corrective terms arise from modifications in the expression of the surface gravity in terms of the mass-energy of the black hole-emitted particle system. The form of the new spectrum is discussed together with the possible consequences for the fate of black holes in the late stages of evaporation.

KEYWORDS: Black Holes.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Motion of a self-gravitating spherical shell in a Schwarzschild geometry	2
3. The KKW model in a nutshell	3
4. Quantum tunneling and non-thermal spectrum	4
5. The Parikh-Wilczek tunneling picture revisited	6
6. Tunneling in the presence of near-horizon Planck-scale effects	8
7. Conclusion	10

1. Introduction

It is widely believed that a final word on the so-called “black hole information paradox” would provide a key ingredient in the search for a yet to be formulated theory of Quantum Gravity. The paradox relies on the validity of the “no hair” theorem [1] and the fact that Hawking radiation exhibits a thermal spectrum, i.e. it is characterized by just one parameter: the black hole temperature [2]. Information carried by a physical system falling toward a black-hole singularity then has no way to be recovered. This would allow, for example, a pure quantum state to evolve into a mixed one, thus violating the unitarity of evolution in Quantum Mechanics [3]. The answer to the question of whether or not this violation occurs will deeply characterize the way in which Quantum Mechanics and General Relativity would emerge as limits of the Quantum Gravity theory in regimes far from the Planck scale.

Many proposals have been made in the attempt to save the basic principles of Quantum Mechanics in the presence of black holes (for reviews see [4–7]). Among these, the idea that information lost behind the horizon might re-emerge via the Hawking radiation seems particularly interesting. In fact in the late stages of evaporation the usual picture for the emission process will lose its validity and effects due to gravitational back-reaction should be taken into account. These “self-gravitation” effects were studied in [8, 9] and later in [10–12] (also see, e.g., [13–15]). In particular Parikh and Wilczek [10] showed how the inclusion of back-reaction effects, which ensures energy conservation during the emission of a particle via tunneling through the horizon, leads to non-thermal corrections to the black-hole radiation spectrum. However the form of the correction the authors find is such that no statistical correlation between quanta emitted with different energies appears [12].

In this letter we proceed a step further and modify the Parikh-Wilczek tunneling picture using a typical Planck-scale modification for the near-horizon emission process. The type of modification we consider is directly related to the logarithmic corrective term appearing in the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy-area relation from the direct count of black hole micro-states in String Theory and Loop Quantum Gravity [16–20]. Moreover, the logarithmic correction has been substantiated in a variety of different contexts (see [22] for a list of relevant citations). For instance, in [23] (also see [24]) it is showed how this corrective term can be also derived from a general form of deformed energy-momentum dispersion relation which emerges in various models of Planck scale departures from Lorentz symmetry.

It might have been hoped that, when back-reaction effects are combined with Planck-scale corrections, one would observe the appearance of correlations between the probability of emission of different modes in the black-hole radiation spectrum.

Unfortunately, even with the inclusion of the Quantum Gravity corrections, no such correlations are conclusively in evidence. One does find, however, that (given a certain constraint to be discussed below) the probability of emission of a particle approaches zero when its energy becomes of the order of the mass of the emitting black hole. This is a novel feature of our analysis that was not present in the “semiclassical” treatment of [10–12].

In the next sections we briefly review how the motion of a classical spherical shell in a Schwarzschild geometry is modified in the presence of gravitational back-reaction and the way this is used to compute corrections to the black-hole emission spectrum. In section III we review how these effects were described by Parikh and Wilczek in terms of quantum tunneling through the black hole horizon. In section IV we discuss a possible role of the Quantum Gravity correction to the entropy-area law for the non-thermal spectrum and its consequences for the escape of information via Hawking radiation. In section V we propose a modified version of the tunneling picture in which Planck-scale corrections are introduced and obtain a black hole emission spectrum in which both back-reaction and Quantum Gravity effects are present. We then illustrate the formalism with a specific example (section VI), which is followed by some concluding remarks (section VII).

2. Motion of a self-gravitating spherical shell in a Schwarzschild geometry

We summarize here the results of [8] where the corrections to the geodesic motion of a spherical shell due to self-gravitation in a Schwarzschild geometry were calculated. We start by writing the metric for a general spherically symmetric system in ADM form

$$ds^2 = -N_t(t, r)^2 dt^2 + L(t, r)^2 [dr + N_r(t, r) dt]^2 + R(t, r)^2 d\Omega^2. \quad (2.1)$$

The action for the black hole plus the emitted shell system is

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{R} - m \int dt \sqrt{(\hat{N}^t)^2 - (\hat{L}^r)^2 (\dot{\hat{r}} + \hat{N}^r)^2} + \text{boundary terms}, \quad (2.2)$$

where \hat{r} is the shell radius and the other quantities under “ $\hat{}$ ” are evaluated at the shell through $\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}(\hat{t}, \hat{r})$. The above action can be written in hamiltonian form where all

the canonically conjugate momenta appear. Since the system has only one effective degree of freedom the idea is to solve the constraints of the theory in order to eliminate the dependence of the action from all the momenta but the one conjugate to the shell radius. This remaining degree of freedom can be expressed in terms of the total mass/energy of the system and it is obviously related to the position of the shell. In the approach followed in [8], the total (ADM) mass is allowed to vary with time while the mass of the hole is kept fixed. This time dependence accommodates the dynamics of the system and allows energy conservation to hold at any time of the process.

Once the constraints are solved and the expression for the conjugate momenta are substituted into the action one integrates over the gravitational degrees of freedom to obtain an effective action. Furthermore one specializes to the case of a massless particle ($m = 0$) and fixes the gauge appropriately ($L = 1$ $R = r$). This choice of the gauge corresponds to a particular set of coordinates for the line element (Painleve' coordinates) which is particularly useful to study across horizon phenomena being non-singular at the horizon and having euclidean constant time slices (for more details see [25]). The effective action for a massless gravitating spherical shell is then

$$S = \int dt \left(p_c \dot{\hat{r}} - M_+ \right), \tag{2.3}$$

where p_c is the momentum canonically conjugate to \hat{r} , the radial position of the shell, and M_+ is the total mass of the shell-hole system which plays the role of the hamiltonian. In terms of the black hole mass M and the shell energy E we have $M_+ = M + E$. Details of the lengthy derivation can be found in [8]. The trajectories which extremize this action are the null geodesics of the metric

$$ds^2 = -[N_t(r; M + E)dt]^2 + [dr + N_r(r; M + E)dt]^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2, \tag{2.4}$$

for which

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = N_t(r; M + E) - N_r(r; M + E). \tag{2.5}$$

3. The KKW model in a nutshell

In [8] and [9], Keski-Vakkuri, Kraus and Wilczek showed how corrections of the type considered above can affect the emission spectrum of a black hole. They consider the Bogoliubov coefficients, $\alpha_{kk'}$ and $\beta_{kk'}$, connecting the positive and negative frequency modes of an asymptotic observer and one freely falling through the horizon. The (semiclassical) WKB approximation is then used to express the mode solutions for the observer crossing the horizon. Such an approximation is valid since the black hole emission is dominated by modes that have very small wavelengths close to the horizon and undergo a large red-shift when propagating away from it. Once this approximation is taken into account one finds [9]

$$|\alpha_{kk'}| = e^{-Im \int_{r_+(0)}^{r_f} p_+(r) dr}; \quad |\beta_{kk'}| = e^{-Im \int_{r_-(0)}^{r_f} p_-(r) dr}, \tag{3.1}$$

where r_{\pm} and p_{\pm} are the trajectories and momenta of positive and negative energy modes propagating in and out of the horizon and r_f is located outside the horizon. From Hamilton's equation $\dot{r} = \partial H / \partial p = \frac{\partial E}{\partial p}$, with the hamiltonian given by $H = M + E$, one can express p_{\pm} using (2.5)

$$p_{\pm}(r) = \int_0^{\pm\omega_k} \frac{dE}{N_t(r; M + E) - N_r(r; M + E)}. \quad (3.2)$$

It can be shown [9] that $\alpha_{kk'} = 1$. For $\beta_{kk'}$ one instead finds

$$\text{Im} \int_{r_-(0)}^{r_f} p_-(r) dr = -\pi \int_0^{-\omega_k} \frac{dE}{\kappa(M + E)}. \quad (3.3)$$

In order to calculate the amplitude for particle production in the KKW model, it is assumed that the emission in the low energy regime is uncorrelated so that the amplitude in terms of $|\beta_{kk'}/\alpha_{kk'}|^2$ reads

$$\rho(\omega_k) = \frac{|\beta_{kk'}/\alpha_{kk'}|^2}{1 - |\beta_{kk'}/\alpha_{kk'}|^2}. \quad (3.4)$$

Instead when ω_k is comparable with the mass of the black hole and at most one quantum can be emitted

$$\rho(\omega_k) \simeq \left| \frac{\beta_{kk'}}{\alpha_{kk'}} \right|^2. \quad (3.5)$$

Using the first law of black-hole thermodynamics $dM = \frac{\kappa(M)}{2\pi} dS$, one can evaluate (3.3). For the first case when ω_k is small compared to M , we obtain the usual emission amplitude governed by the Hawking temperature. For large ω_k instead one has

$$\rho(\omega_k) \simeq \exp[S(M - \omega_k) - S(M)]. \quad (3.6)$$

Substituting the standard Bekenstein-Hawking expression for the black hole entropy in the previous equation leads to a non-thermal correction, quadratic in ω_k , to the typical Boltzmann factor of the emission probability.

4. Quantum tunneling and non-thermal spectrum

The results of [8] and [9] can be recast in an elegant and simple form if one describes the emission of a particle as a tunneling process [10, 11]. This is done by considering the geometrical optics limit¹ so that one can treat the wave-packets near the horizon as effective particles. The emission of each of these particles is seen as a tunneling through a barrier set by the energy of the particles itself. This simple argument makes it possible to avoid the machinery of Bogoliubov coefficients and also shows how energy conservation is naturally preserved during the emission process [12].

¹This limit is valid for the same reason that allowed us to use the WKB approximation in the analysis of the previous section, i.e. the fact that the emitted wave packets are arbitrarily blue-shifted close to the horizon.

The authors consider now an explicit expression for the line element (2.4) obtained from the expressions of N_t and N_r given by the constraint equations [8]

$$N_t = \pm 1; \quad N_r = \pm \sqrt{\frac{2M_+}{r}}. \quad (4.1)$$

In the model proposed in [10] the total mass of the system is kept fixed while the hole mass is allowed to vary. This means that the mass parameter M_+ is now $M_+ = M - E$. One then has the following expression for a spherical shell moving along a radial null geodesic

$$\dot{r} = \pm 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2(M - E)}{r}}. \quad (4.2)$$

In the WKB approximation the tunneling probability is a function of the imaginary part of the particle's action

$$\Gamma \sim e^{-2\text{Im} S}. \quad (4.3)$$

If we consider the emission of a spherical shell we have

$$\text{Im} S = \text{Im} \int_{r_{\text{in}}}^{r_{\text{fin}}} p_r dr, \quad (4.4)$$

where r_{in} and r_{fin} are just inside and outside the barrier through which the particle is tunneling. We can now see the key point: the expression for $\text{Im} S$ is the same as the one for the Bogoliubov coefficient $\beta_{kk'}$ used in the KKW analysis. The same coefficient characterizes the emission probability in the field theoretical model. The advantage of considering the particle-tunneling picture is that the correction we get now is present at all energy regimes even though it becomes dominant only in the high energy regime. To calculate $\text{Im} S$ we use once again Hamilton's equation, $\dot{r} = \partial H / \partial p$,

$$\text{Im} S = \text{Im} \int_{r_{\text{in}}}^{r_{\text{fin}}} p_r dr = \text{Im} \int_{r_{\text{in}}}^{r_{\text{fin}}} \int_M^{M-E} \frac{dH'}{\dot{r}} dr. \quad (4.5)$$

The hamiltonian is $H' = M - E'$, so the imaginary part of the action reads

$$\text{Im} S = - \text{Im} \int_{r_{\text{in}}}^{r_{\text{fin}}} \int_0^E \frac{dE'}{\dot{r}} dr. \quad (4.6)$$

Using (4.2) and integrating first over r one easily obtains

$$\Gamma \sim \exp \left(-8\pi M E \left(1 - \frac{E}{2M} \right) \right), \quad (4.7)$$

which, provided the usual Bekenstein-Hawking formula $S_{BH} = A/4 = 4\pi M^2$ is valid, corresponds to the KKW result

$$\Gamma \sim \exp [S_{BH}(M - E) - S_{BH}(M)]. \quad (4.8)$$

If one integrates (4.6) first over the energies it is easily seen that in order to get (4.7) we must have $r_{\text{in}} = M$ and $r_{\text{out}} = M - E$. So according to what one would expect from energy conservation, the tunneling barrier is set by the shrinking of the black hole horizon with a change in the radius set by the energy of the emitted particle itself.

An interesting aspect to analyze is whether or not the non-thermal correction obtained leads to statistical correlations between the probabilities of emission of quanta with different energies. This would allow for information to be encoded in the emitted radiation. Consider for example the probability of emission of a quantum of energy $E = E_1 + E_2$ and two quanta of energies E_1 and E_2 . The function

$$\chi(E_1 + E_2; E_1, E_2) = \log(\Gamma(E_1 + E_2)) - \log(\Gamma(E_1)\Gamma(E_2)) \quad (4.9)$$

measures the statistical correlation between the two probabilities. It is zero when the probabilities are independent (or “uncorrelated”) as e.g. for a thermal emission spectrum like the one of a radiating black body. Using (4.7) it is easy to verify [12] that for the non-thermal correction due to back-reaction effects $\chi(E_1 + E_2; E_1, E_2) = 0$. One concludes that back-reaction effects alone do not provide a straightforward way in which information can emerge from the horizon. There might well be other processes that would allow the information of a pure quantum state to be recovered after its gravitational collapse but one would have to resort to other mechanisms.²

5. The Parikh-Wilczek tunneling picture revisited

In this section we discuss a modification of the above argument that takes into account the presence of Quantum Gravity-induced corrections. Let us first notice that the probability of emission of a shell with energy E , in the presence of back-reaction effects, put in the form (4.8) is highly suggestive. It is what one would expect from a quantum mechanical calculation of a transition rate where, up to a factor containing the square of the amplitude of the process,

$$\Gamma \sim \frac{e^{S_{\text{fin}}}}{e^{S_{\text{in}}}} = \exp(\Delta S). \quad (5.1)$$

In other words the emission probability is proportional to a phase space factor which depends on the initial and final entropy of the system. The entropy is directly related to the number of micro-states available to the system itself.

This observation calls for an immediate generalization. Derivations of the black hole entropy-area relation by direct micro-state counting in String Theory and Loop Quantum Gravity [16–20] besides reproducing the familiar Bekenstein-Hawking linear relation give a leading order correction with a logarithmic³ dependence on the area⁴

$$S_{QG} = \frac{A}{4L_p^2} + \alpha \ln \frac{A}{L_p^2} + O\left(\frac{L_p^2}{A}\right). \quad (5.2)$$

In the case of Loop Quantum Gravity α is a negative coefficient whose exact value was once an object of debate (see e.g. [26]) but has since been rigorously fixed at $\alpha = -1/2$ [27]. In

²As proposed in [12] correlations might appear when back-reaction effects are considered in transient phases of the black hole emission.

³A similar logarithmic term has also emerged in various other treatments (see [22] for a list); for instance, the calculation of one-loop quantum corrections to the entropy-area law in ordinary QFT [21].

⁴We now switch from $k = \hbar = c = G = 1$ units of the previous sections to $k = \hbar = c = 1$ to keep track of the Planck-scale suppressed terms.

String Theory the sign of α depends on the number of field species appearing in the low energy approximation [17].

Now consider the emission of a particle of energy E from the black hole. One might expect that a derivation of the emission probability in a Quantum Gravity framework in presence of back-reaction would lead to an expression analogous to (5.1) with the usual Bekenstein-Hawking entropy $S_{BH} = A/4L_p^2$ replaced by (5.2), i.e.

$$\Gamma \sim \exp(S_{QG}(M - E) - S_{QG}(M)). \quad (5.3)$$

The previous expression written in explicit form reads

$$\Gamma(E) \sim \exp(\Delta S_{QG}) = \left(1 - \frac{E}{M}\right)^{2\alpha} \exp\left(-8\pi GME \left(1 - \frac{E}{2M}\right)\right). \quad (5.4)$$

The exponential in this equation shows the same type of non-thermal deviation found in [10]. In this case, however, an additional factor depending on the ratio of the energy of the emitted quantum and the mass of the black hole is present.

We would now like to know whether or not, in our case, the emission probabilities for different modes are statistically correlated [28]. Using (5.4), we have, for a first emission of energy E_1 ,

$$\ln[\Gamma(E_1)] = -8\pi GME_1 \left(1 - \frac{E_1}{2M}\right) + 2\alpha \ln \left[\frac{M - E_1}{M}\right]. \quad (5.5)$$

Then a second emission of energy E_2 gives us

$$\ln[\Gamma(E_2)] = -8\pi G(M - E_1)E_2 \left(1 - \frac{E_2}{2(M - E_1)}\right) + 2\alpha \ln \left[\frac{M - E_1 - E_2}{M - E_1}\right]. \quad (5.6)$$

Alternatively, a single emission of the same total energy yields

$$\ln[\Gamma(E_1 + E_2)] = -8\pi GM(E_1 + E_2) \left(1 - \frac{E_1 + E_2}{2M}\right) + 2\alpha \ln \left[\frac{M - E_1 - E_2}{M}\right]. \quad (5.7)$$

It is now easily verified that the vanishing of the correlations, or

$$\chi(E_1 + E_2; E_1, E_2) = 0, \quad (5.8)$$

is still in effect at least to this logarithmic order. In fact, after just a few iterations, one should readily be convinced that this outcome will persist up to any perturbative order of the quantum-corrected entropy [cf, equation (5.2)]. Hence, it does not appear that the inclusion of such correlations can account for the mode correlations after all.

On the other hand, it is interesting to note that the emission of three or more quanta could still induce a non-zero correlation even for the tree-level calculation. By which we mean that, for the sequential emission of (e.g.) E_1 , E_2 and $E_1 + E_2$, then $S(M - E_1) - S(M) + S(M - E_1 - E_2) - S(M - E_1) \neq S(M - 2E_1 - 2E_2) - S(M - E_1 - E_2)$. And so there still appears to be viability, on some level, for the notion that information leaks out in the tunneling process.

Let us also notice how the appearance of the Quantum Gravity suppression term in (5.4) can cause $\Gamma(E) \rightarrow 0$ when the energy of the emitted quantum approaches the mass of the black hole. However, this suppression can only take place when $\alpha > 0$; whereas a negative value of α will, conversely, cause the probability to diverge as the same limit is approached!⁵

Considering that Loop Quantum Gravity predicts a negative value for α , one might have preferred the above pattern to be reversed. But our outcome is actually quite reasonable, inasmuch as an evaporating Schwarzschild black hole is (by virtue of a negative heat capacity) highly unstable when in isolation. This instability can, nevertheless, be resolved with the immersion of the black hole in a suitable heat bath. In this event, the system will equilibrate until the temperature of the bath reaches that of the Hawking temperature; at which point, stability ensues. Since a system in thermal equilibrium is most suitably described by a canonical ensemble, it now becomes necessary to account for the canonical corrections to the black hole entropy. It is therefore quite remarkable and, at the same time, reassuring that the inclusion of these canonical corrections appears to ensure a strictly non-negative value for α [29]. To elaborate, the canonical ensemble allows for thermal fluctuations in the horizon area, which translates into an increase in the black hole entropy. A rigorous calculation demonstrates that this increase is, at the very least, $\frac{1}{2} \ln A$ (e.g., [30–32]) and almost certainly larger once the fluctuations in the charge and spin are properly accounted for [29]. (The point being that even a classically neutral and static black hole would still experience fluctuations in these quantities.) Hence, the canonical correction is more than sufficient to compensate for the Loop Quantum Gravity prediction of $\alpha = -1/2$ [27].

6. Tunneling in the presence of near-horizon Planck-scale effects

We give now an explicit example of a modification of the Parikh-Wilczek derivation, in the presence of Planck-scale effects, which indeed gives rise to an emission spectrum of the type (5.4). In [23] one of the authors (M.A.), Amelino-Camelia and Procaccini showed how in the context of Loop Quantum Gravity a logarithmic corrective term to the entropy-area law of the type present in (5.2) can be related to a modification of the energy-momentum dispersion relation for a massless particle propagating in flat space-time

$$p^2 \simeq (1 + \eta L_p^2 E^2) E^2. \tag{6.1}$$

with $\eta = \frac{2\pi}{3}\alpha$.

As we already observed in the previous sections, the black hole radiation spectrum seen from an observer at infinity is dominated by modes that propagate from “near” the horizon where they have arbitrarily high frequencies and their wavelengths can easily go below the Planck length [33, 34]. It turns out then that a key assumption in all the derivations of the Hawking radiation is that the quantum state near the horizon looks, to a freely falling

⁵Let us, however, point out one possible loophole: higher-order corrections may conspire to induce a suppression that is stronger than this divergence.

observer, like the Minkowski vacuum. In other words Lorentz symmetry should hold up to extremely short scales or very large boosts. It is plausible then that the motion of our particle tunneling through the horizon might be affected by Planck scale corrections of the type (6.1). These type of modified dispersion relations have, in fact, been proposed as low-energy Quantum Gravity effects, which deform [35, 36] or break [37, 38] Lorentz symmetry (see also [39]).

One would expect that an analysis analogous to the ones of the previous sections with opportune modifications should lead to a result of the form (4.8) with S_{BH} replaced by S_{QG} . Here we provide an example of such an analysis within the tunneling framework of Parikh and Wilczek.

Once again we consider the emission of a spherical shell and compute the tunneling amplitude (4.3) through (4.4)

$$\text{Im } S = \text{Im} \int_{r_{\text{in}}}^{r_{\text{fin}}} p_r dr = \text{Im} \int_{r_{\text{in}}}^{r_{\text{fin}}} \int_0^H \frac{dH'}{\dot{r}} dr = - \text{Im} \int_{r_{\text{in}}}^{r_{\text{fin}}} \int_0^E \frac{dE'}{\dot{r}} dr. \quad (6.2)$$

where we used the fact that for the hamiltonian $H = M - E$. Now we proceed to evaluate the integral without using an explicit form for the null geodesic of the spherical shell in terms of its energy. In fact, near the horizon, where our integral is being evaluated, one has

$$N_t(r; M) - N_r(r; M) \simeq (r - R) \kappa(M) + O((r - R)^2) \quad (6.3)$$

where R is the Schwarzschild radius and $\kappa(M)$ is the horizon surface gravity. Taking into account self-gravitation effects, \dot{r} can be approximated by

$$\dot{r} \simeq (r - R) \kappa(M - E) + O((r - R)^2). \quad (6.4)$$

We can then write

$$\text{Im } S = - \text{Im} \int_{r_{\text{in}}}^{r_{\text{fin}}} \int_0^E \frac{dE'}{(r - R) \kappa(M - E')} dr. \quad (6.5)$$

Integrating over r , using the Feynman prescription⁶ for the pole on the real axis $r = R$, we get

$$\text{Im } S = -\pi \int_0^E \frac{dE'}{\kappa(M - E')}. \quad (6.6)$$

The surface gravity appearing in the above integral carries Quantum Gravity corrections coming from Planck-scale modifications of near horizon physics related to (6.1). As shown explicitly in [23] these modifications are such that they reproduce via the first law of black hole thermodynamics, $dE' = dM' = \frac{\kappa(M)}{2\pi} dS$, the Quantum Gravity corrected entropy-area law (5.2). Using the first law, (6.6) becomes

$$\text{Im } S = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{S_{QG}(M)}^{S_{QG}(M-E)} dS = \frac{1}{2} [S_{QG}(M) - S_{QG}(M - E)] \quad (6.7)$$

⁶The pole is moved in the lower half plane as in [10].

which leads to a probability of emission

$$\Gamma(E) \sim \exp(-2\text{Im} S) = \left(1 - \frac{E}{M}\right)^{2\alpha} \exp\left(-8\pi GME \left(1 - \frac{E}{2M}\right)\right) \quad (6.8)$$

which is analogous to (5.4).

7. Conclusion

We have discussed how Quantum Gravity and back-reaction effects combined might provide a way for information to be recovered from a black hole via Hawking radiation. Although this matter still remains unsettled, we would argue that our direction of study could have important implications for the fate of the unitarity of quantum evolution in Quantum Gravity. Our formal treatment gives an idea of how near horizon physics provides an excellent arena for studying the interplay of seemingly different aspects of Quantum Gravity as the number of microscopic degrees of freedom of a black hole and possible Planck-scale modifications of space-time symmetries.

Acknowledgments

M.A. would like to thank Giovanni Amelino-Camelia for discussions and valuable suggestions and Jack Ng for useful comments. M.A. also thanks the Department of Physics of the University of Rome “La Sapienza” for hospitality during December 2004. Research for A.J.M.M. is supported by the Marsden Fund (c/o the New Zealand Royal Society) and by the University Research Fund (c/o Victoria University). The work of E.C.V. is supported by EPEAEK II in the framework of the grant PYTHAGORAS II - University Research Groups Support (co-financed 75% by EU funds and 25% by National funds).

References

- [1] J.D. Bekenstein, *Nonexistence of baryon number for static black holes*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 5** (1972) 1239.
- [2] S.W. Hawking, *Particle creation by black holes*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43** (1975) 199.
- [3] S.W. Hawking, *Breakdown of predictability in gravitational collapse*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 14** (1976) 2460.
- [4] J. Preskill, *Do black holes destroy information?*, [hep-th/9209058](#).
- [5] D.N. Page, *Black hole information*, [hep-th/9305040](#).
- [6] U.H. Danielsson and M. Schiffer, *Quantum mechanics, common sense and the black hole information paradox*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 48** (1993) 4779 [[gr-qc/9305012](#)].
- [7] J.G. Russo, *The information problem in black hole evaporation: old and recent results*, [hep-th/0501132](#).
- [8] P. Kraus and F. Wilczek, *Selfinteraction correction to black hole radiance*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 433** (1995) 403 [[gr-qc/9408003](#)].

- [9] E. Keski-Vakkuri and P. Kraus, *Microcanonical D-branes and back reaction*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **491** (1997) 249 [[hep-th/9610045](#)].
- [10] M.K. Parikh and F. Wilczek, *Hawking radiation as tunneling*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85** (2000) 5042 [[hep-th/9907001](#)].
- [11] M.K. Parikh, *A secret tunnel through the horizon*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **13** (2004) 2351–2354 [[hep-th/0405160](#)].
- [12] M.K. Parikh, *Energy conservation and Hawking radiation*, [hep-th/0402166](#).
- [13] K. Srinivasan and T. Padmanabhan, *Particle production and complex path analysis*, *Phys. Rev. D* **60** (1999) 024007 [[gr-qc/9812028](#)];
S. Shankaranarayanan, K. Srinivasan and T. Padmanabhan, *Method of complex paths and general covariance of Hawking radiation*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **16** (2001) 571 [[gr-qc/0007022](#)];
Hawking radiation in different coordinate settings: complex paths approach, *Class. and Quant. Grav.* **19** (2002) 2671 [[gr-qc/0010042](#)].
- [14] E.C. Vagenas, *Are extremal 2-d black holes really frozen?*, *Phys. Lett. B* **503** (2001) 399 [[hep-th/0012134](#)]; *Two-dimensional dilatonic black holes and Hawking radiation*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **17** (2002) 609 [[hep-th/0108147](#)]; *Semiclassical corrections to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the BTZ black holes via self-gravitation*, *Phys. Lett. B* **533** (2002) 302 [[hep-th/0109108](#)]; *Generalization of the KKW analysis for the black hole radiation*, *Phys. Lett. B* **559** (2003) 65 [[hep-th/0209185](#)];
M.R. Setare and E.C. Vagenas, *Self-gravitational corrections to the Cardy-Verlinde formula of Achucarro-Ortiz black hole*, *Phys. Lett. B* **584** (2004) 127 [[hep-th/0309092](#)];
Self-gravitational corrections to the Cardy-Verlinde formula and the FRW brane cosmology in the SdS_5 bulk, [hep-th/0405186](#), to appear in *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*.
- [15] A.J.M. Medved, *Radiation via tunneling in the charged BTZ black hole*, *Class. and Quant. Grav.* **19** (2002) 589 [[hep-th/0110289](#)];
A.J.M. Medved, *Radiation via tunneling from a de Sitter cosmological horizon*, *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002) 124009 [[hep-th/0207247](#)];
A.J.M. Medved and E.C. Vagenas, *On Hawking radiation as tunneling with back-reaction*, [gr-qc/0504113](#), to appear in *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*.
- [16] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, *Microscopic origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy*, *Phys. Lett. B* **379** (1996) 99 [[hep-th/9601029](#)].
- [17] S.N. Solodukhin, *Entropy of schwarzschild black hole and string-black hole correspondence*, *Phys. Rev. D* **57** (1998) 2410 [[hep-th/9701106](#)].
- [18] C. Rovelli, *Black hole entropy from loop quantum gravity*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77** (1996) 3288 [[gr-qc/9603063](#)].
- [19] A. Ashtekar, J. Baez, A. Corichi and K. Krasnov, *Quantum geometry and black hole entropy*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** (1998) 904 [[gr-qc/9710007](#)].
- [20] R.K. Kaul and P. Majumdar, *Logarithmic correction to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84** (2000) 5255 [[gr-qc/0002040](#)].
- [21] D.V. Fursaev, *Temperature and entropy of a quantum black hole and conformal anomaly*, *Phys. Rev. D* **51** (1995) 5352 [[hep-th/9412161](#)].
- [22] D.N. Page, *Hawking radiation and black hole thermodynamics*, [hep-th/0409024](#).

- [23] G. Amelino-Camelia, M. Arzano and A. Procaccini, *Severe constraints on loop-quantum-gravity energy-momentum dispersion relation from black-hole area-entropy law*, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 107501 [[gr-qc/0405084](#)];
 G. Amelino-Camelia, M. Arzano and A. Procaccini, *A glimpse at the flat-spacetime limit of quantum gravity using the Bekenstein argument in reverse*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **13** (2004) 2337 [[hep-th/0506182](#)];
 G. Amelino-Camelia, M. Arzano, Y. Ling and G. Mandanici, *Black-hole thermodynamics with modified dispersion relations and generalized uncertainty principles*, [gr-qc/0506110](#).
- [24] A.J.M. Medved and E.C. Vagenas, *When conceptual worlds collide: the gap and the bh entropy*, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 124021 [[hep-th/0411022](#)].
- [25] P. Kraus and F. Wilczek, *A simple stationary line element for the schwarzschild geometry and some applications*, [gr-qc/9406042](#).
- [26] A. Ghosh and P. Mitra, *A bound on the log correction to the black hole area law*, *Phys. Rev. D* **71** (2005) 027502 [[gr-qc/0401070](#)].
- [27] K.A. Meissner, *Black hole entropy in loop quantum gravity*, *Class. and Quant. Grav.* **21** (2004) 5245 [[gr-qc/0407052](#)].
- [28] A.J.M. Medved and E.C. Vagenas, *On Hawking radiation as tunneling with logarithmic corrections*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **20** (2005) 1723 [[gr-qc/0505015](#)].
- [29] A.J.M. Medved, *A comment on black hole entropy or why nature abhors a logarithm*, *Class. and Quant. Grav.* **22** (2005) 133 [[gr-qc/0406044](#)]; *A follow-up to ‘Does nature abhor a logarithm?’ (and apparently she doesn’t)*, [gr-qc/0411065](#).
- [30] H.A. Kastrup, *Canonical quantum statistics of an isolated schwarzschild black hole with a spectrum $E_n = \sigma\sqrt{n^{(1/2)}}E_p$* , *Phys. Lett. B* **413** (1997) 267 [[gr-qc/9707009](#)].
- [31] G. Gour and A.J.M. Medved, *Thermal fluctuations and black hole entropy*, *Class. and Quant. Grav.* **20** (2003) 3307 [[gr-qc/0305018](#)].
- [32] A. Chatterjee and P. Majumdar, *Universal canonical black hole entropy*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92** (2004) 141301 [[gr-qc/0309026](#)]; *Universal canonical entropy for gravitating systems*, *Pramana* **63** (2004) 851–858 [[gr-qc/0402061](#)].
- [33] T. Jacobson, *Black hole evaporation and ultrashort distances*, *Phys. Rev. D* **44** (1991) 1731.
- [34] T. Jacobson, *Black hole radiation in the presence of a short distance cutoff*, *Phys. Rev. D* **48** (1993) 728 [[hep-th/9303103](#)].
- [35] G. Amelino-Camelia, *Testable scenario for relativity with minimum-length*, *Phys. Lett. B* **510** (2001) 255 [[hep-th/0012238](#)].
- [36] G. Amelino-Camelia, *Relativity in space-times with short-distance structure governed by an observer-independent (planckian) length scale*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **11** (2002) 35–60 [[gr-qc/0012051](#)].
- [37] G. Amelino-Camelia, J.R. Ellis, N.E. Mavromatos, D.V. Nanopoulos and S. Sarkar, *Potential sensitivity of gamma-ray burster observations to wave dispersion in vacuo*, *Nature* **393** (1998) 763–765 [[astro-ph/9712103](#)].
- [38] R. Gambini and J. Pullin, *Nonstandard optics from quantum spacetime*, *Phys. Rev. D* **59** (1999) 124021 [[gr-qc/9809038](#)].
- [39] Y.J. Ng, *Selected topics in planck-scale physics*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **18** (2003) 1073 [[gr-qc/0305019](#)].