



LJMU Research Online

Van Hout, MC, Fleißner, S and Stöver, H

“# Me Too”: Global progress in tackling continued custodial violence against women. The 10 year anniversary of the Bangkok Rules.

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/15300/>

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Van Hout, MC, Fleißner, S and Stöver, H “# Me Too”: Global progress in tackling continued custodial violence against women. The 10 year anniversary of the Bangkok Rules. Trauma, Violence and Abuse: a review journal. ISSN 1524-8380 (Accepted)

LJMU has developed [LJMU Research Online](http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk) for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

<http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/>

Abstract

On any given day, almost 11 million people globally are deprived of their liberty. In 2020, the global female population was estimated to be 741,000, an increase of 105,000 since 2010. In order to investigate progress in the adoption of the Bangkok Rules since 2010, we conducted a legal realist assessment based on a global scoping exercise of empirical research and United Nations reporting, using detailed MESH terms across university and UN databases. We found evidence in 91 documents which directly relate to violations of the Bangkok Rules in 55 countries. By developing a realist account we document the precarious situation of incarcerated women, and continued evidence of systemic failures to protect them from custodial violence and other gender sensitive human rights breaches worldwide. Despite prison violence constituting a complex and multifaceted phenomenon, very little research (from the US, Canada, Brazil, Mexico and Australia) has been conducted on custodial violence against women since 2010. Whilst standards of detention itself is a focus of UN universal periodic review, special procedures (violence against women) and concluding observations by the UN committees, very few explicitly mentioned women, and the implications of violence against them whilst incarcerated. We highlight three central aspects which hinder the full implementation of the Bangkok Rules; the past decade of a continued invisible nature of women as prisoners in the system, the continued legitimization, normalization and trivialization of violence under the pretext of security within their daily lives; and the unawareness and disregard of international (Bangkok and others) rules.

Key Words

Gender based violence against women; GBVAW; prisons; Bangkok Rules; custodial violence

Me Too: Global progress in tackling continued custodial violence against women. The 10 year anniversary of the Bangkok Rules.

Background

On any given day, almost 11 million people globally are detained in prisons or other closed settings (Penal Reform International, 2020a). In 2020, the global female population was estimated to be 741,000 and increasing (Penal Reform International, 2020a) with a growth of 105,000 observed in the past decade, particularly evident in Asia (an increase of 50%), Central and South America (an increase of 19%), and Africa (an increase of 24%) (Lenihan, 2020; Penal Reform International, 2020a). Women in custodial settings are a minority and generally imprisoned for less severe, non-violent crimes, often heavily underpinned by poverty (“*crimes of survival*”) (Penal Reform International, 2020a; 2021a). Their profiles, histories and pathways into crime and the criminal justice system are distinct from that of men. Many are from racial or ethnic minority backgrounds; they are disproportionately affected by lower socioeconomic status, trauma, histories of inter-personal violence (child, sexual, intimate partner, physical and emotional), mental illness; and suffer continued exposure to custodial violence from staff or fellow prisoners (Penal Reform International, 2017a; 2020a; 2021a; Lenihan 2020; United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2008; Karlsson & Zielinski, 2020; Ervin et al., 2020; Lynch, Fritch & Heath, 2012; Tripodi & Pettus-Davis, 2013; Jones, 2020; Wolff, Blitz & Shi, 2007). Identified vulnerable groups include those affected by trauma, trafficking and sexual abuse victims, women who use drugs, sexual minorities, young girls, and those with complex co-morbid psychiatric and learning disabilities (Penal Reform International, 2020a; United Nations Office of Drug and Crime, 2008; Tripodi & Pettus-Davis, 2013; Meyer et al., 2017; Bronson et al., 2017). Within the male dominated criminal justice system, women’s gendered and unique health needs are often neglected and ill-resourced; particularly regarding their sexual and reproductive health, mental health and the treatment of drug dependence (Gadama et al., 2020; Nakitanda et al., 2020; United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2008; Penal Reform International, 2020a).

The United Nations (UN) Rules for the Treatment of Women Prisoners and Non-custodial Measures for Women Offenders (*Bangkok Rules*) (UN. Secretariat, 2010) were adopted by the UN General Assembly on 21 December 2010. They were developed to support and complement, as

appropriate, the 1955 Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (United Nations, 1955), the 1991 UN Basic Principles for the Treatment of Prisoners (UN General Assembly, 1991a), the 1991 United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for Non-custodial Measures (*Tokyo Rules*) (UN General Assembly, 1991b) and the updated 2016 UN Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (*Nelson Mandela Rules*) (UN General Assembly, 2016). Whilst the *Mandela Rules* do not specifically refer to women (with Rule 7 referring to self-perceived gender identity), the *Bangkok Rules* as soft law principles lay the foundation for intensified efforts to support women deprived of their liberty (Penal Reform International, 2020b; Huber, 2016; Barbaret, Jackson & Jay, 2017). Whilst essentially underpinned by inherent tensions in human rights for women; ‘*protection versus protectionism*’ (Berzano, n.d.), they are insufficiently broad regarding gender diversity by adopting a cis-normative stance, and excluding transwomen, who are at high risk of exposure to sexual violence when detained with males, and potential perpetrators of violence against women when placed alongside females (Van Hout & Crowley, 2021; UN Human Rights Office of the High Commissioner, 2016).

Since adoption of the *Bangkok Rules* in 2010, the criminal justice system and its institutions remain largely designed for the dominant male population, and the *Bangkok Rules* are largely implemented in a piecemeal manner, despite observed global increases of women in prison (Lenihan, 2020; Penal Reform International, 2020a). The UN Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW) has established that discrimination against women encompasses ill-treatment that affects women disproportionately, including detention conditions that do not respond to the specific needs of women (referring to the *Bangkok Rules*). The 2015 UN Subcommittee on Prevention of Torture and other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (SPT) has described concern regarding the situation of women in detention; ‘*the use of sexual violence as torture, including against transgender persons; lack of adequate attention to their right to health care, including sexual and reproductive health rights; the precarious situation of pregnant women and their children living with them; non-compliance with the rule of separation of women and men; shortage of women custody staff; the practice of invasive searches, including in intimate parts of the body, and the use of public nudity; discrimination in access to work, education and recreational activities; limitations on contact with relatives, including visits by intimates and contact with their children, as a*

form of punishment' (SPT, 2015). In addition, whilst great attention has been focused globally on tackling gender based violence against women (GBVAW) in the community, and the spotlight has been shone on torture and inhumane treatment in detention itself, very little has been dedicated to gender specific aspects of countering inter-personal custodial violence against women deprived of their liberty (Penal Reform International, 2017a; 2017b).

The prison system and its authorities have a general obligation to protect prisoners against any type of violence, including excessive use of force (Penal Reform International, 2020c). GBVAW is defined by the UN Declaration on the Elimination of Violence Against Women as, '*violence that is directed against a woman because she is a woman or that affects women disproportionately. It includes acts that inflict physical, mental or sexual harm or suffering, threats of such acts, coercion and other deprivations of liberty.*' (OHCHR, n.d.) GBVAW represents a human rights breach with States obligations to exercise due diligence to prevent, investigate and punish these acts, including if perpetrated by officials (see *Article 2 Universal Declaration of Human Rights; Article 1 and 4 c UN Declaration on the Elimination of Violence Against Women; Article 7 International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights; Article 1 CEDAW*), most particularly so when experienced as torture or cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment within the power imbalanced custodial setting. Under international law, rape constitutes torture when it is carried out by or at the instigation of or with the consent or acquiescence of public officials, with other forms of sexual abuse violating the prohibition on cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. Other identified forms of custodial GBVAW include, strip searches conducted by men or in the presence of men, virginity testing, verbal sexual harassment, use of restraints (including during labor), psychotropic drugs and solitary confinement to control prisoners, inappropriate surveillance by guards during undressing or showers, and the denial of access to medical care by non-medically trained officials (Amnesty International USA, 2011; UN. Secretary-General, 2006; McCulloch & George, 2009; Nowak, 2008; Penal Reform International, 2020c).

The identified threat of ongoing exposure to physical and sexual violence of women by fellow inmates and/or prison staff in custodial settings has continued since 2010 (Penal Reform International, 2021b). Hence, in order to investigate global progress in the adoption of the *Bangkok Rules* since

2010, with view on documenting and assessing the situation of women in prison, the elimination of custodial violence itself and responses to support those women affected, we conducted a legal realist assessment (Leiter, 2015) based on a global scoping review of extant published literature (empirical, humanitarian and United Nations Committee reporting). Firstly we identified all Rules of the Bangkok Rules which are directly related to violence. See Table One.

Insert Table One ‘Bangkok Rules relevant to GBVAW’ about here

We subsequently searched for literature using university data bases and scrutinized the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) system for all published domestic reporting to the UN; and the UN Committee against Torture (CAT) and CEDAW observations at the global level since 2010. 101 UN CAT reports and 158 CEDAW reports were scrutinized, with human rights violations pertinent to the identified Bangkok Rules found in 15 UN CAT, 32 UN CEDAW and 21 other domestic and UN Human Rights Council reports. Thirdly the academic literature was examined and we found 23 relevant records where breaches of the Bangkok Rules were evident. In total, 91 documents related directly to violations of the Bangkok Rules in 55 countries. Despite prison violence constituting a complex and multifaceted phenomenon, very little academic research (mostly from the US, Canada, Brazil, Mexico and Australia) has been conducted on GBVAW in custodial settings since 2010, with the bulk of the evidence centering on Special Rapporteur and UN (Committee Against Torture; CAT; Human Rights Council, and CEDAW) country level reporting. Whilst standards of detention itself is a focus of UN periodic reports, very few explicitly mentioned women, and the implications of violence against them whilst incarcerated. See Table Two.

Insert Table Two. ‘Critical Findings’ about here

Adopting the Bangkok Rules and progress in tackling GBVAW in prisons

Empirical studies from the United Kingdom (UK) and the United States (US) reveal that the female prison environment continues to be as emotionally suppressive, conflict laden and violent as in male settings, particularly relating to fighting and physical assaults, with inmate-on-inmate violence comparable across male and female facilities, including sexual assaults, transactional sex in return for protection, privilege or basic necessities, and intimate partner violence between prisoners (Kottler et

al., 2018; Laws, 2019; Thomson et al., 2019; Ervin et al., 2020). At the global level, women from sexual minorities (included transwomen) continue to be particularly at risk of sexual abuse including rape (Human Rights Watch, 2018; Amnesty International USA, 2011; Van Hout & Crowley, 2021).

In North America, in 2014, the UN CAT reports on violence against LGBTI people which included transwomen in US prisons (CAT, 2014a). Despite the 2003 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA), and the National Standards to Prevent, Detect and Respond to Prison Rape which came into effect in the US in 2012, academic literature since 2010 highlights systemic failures to protect women and provides continued evidence for official and inmate perpetrated violence against women (often abusive sexual context but including rape) in prisons (Fuentes, 2014; Kelly et al., 2014; Perez, 2014; Bureau of Justice Statistics, 2014; Seddiqui, 2015; Wolff & Shi, 2011). Three US sources report on violence of constitutional proportions and violation of women prisoners' (including transwomen) rights against cruel and unusual punishment, including a deluge of rape cases, the majority perpetrated by male guards since 2010 (Stern, 2018; Kubiak et al., 2017; Harrison, 2020). In other closed settings in the US, recent media outputs report on mass hysterectomies carried out on migrants in immigration detention centers, and with those women pleading for help on social media being detained in solitary confinement for several days (Lenzer, 2020; Bryant, 2020; Andrews & Hackman, 2020; Ghandakly & Fabi 2021). Penal Reform International reports on arbitrary detention and illegal detention methods in 2020, including compulsory drug treatment centers where women are detained in Mexico (Giacomello, 2020). Elsewhere, in Canada, one article reports that violent aspects of prison life continue to affect women; in the form of strip searches, administrative segregation, often for long periods, over-reliance on the use of force and control measures, restraints with devices such as with "*the wrap*" or duct tape, and forcible and illegal injection with tranquilizers, denial of medical care and support services (Chartrand, 2015). The 2016 CEDAW report on Canada criticizes the presence of male guards in female prisons in Canada. (CEDAW, 2016a)

In Central and South America, the CEDAW report of Brazil in 2012 reports limited access to justice and sexual violence against women in detention (CEDAW, 2012a). Three empirical studies in Brazil observe the presence of continued power dynamics in female prisons, viewed as sites of exclusion characterized by a multiplicity of hostile and violence acts (Scherer & Scherer, 2011; Gama-

Araujo et al., 2020; Batista et al., 2020). The UN CAT reports on femicide and GBVAW in detention in Argentina in 2017 (CAT, 2017a) and the CEDAW reports on ill-treatments and invasive body searches of women in detention in 2016 (CEDAW, 2016b). There are reports by the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights and the UN Human Rights Committee of prison policies in Argentina which group the ‘*worst*’ behaved women together in prisons, with reports of violence, vexatious body searches, solitary confinement, and denial of food (Cornell Law School, Defensoría General de la Nación University of Chicago Law School, 2013; United Nations Human Rights Committee, 2016). The UN CAT 2013 refers to non (sex) segregated prisons and the sexual victimization of women in Bolivian detention settings (CAT, 2013a), and the high risk of sexual violence facing trans-people in male prisons in Guatemala in 2018 (CAT, 2018). In Panama the CEDAW 2010 reports on overcrowding and violence in female prisons (CEDAW, 2010). The 2017 report on Paraguay documents GBVAW and especially the sexual abuse of transsexual people in detention settings (CEDAW, 2017a). The CEDAW is concerned about the conditions experienced by women in prison, particularly regarding behavior of male staff in Uruguay in 2016 (CEDAW, 2016c). In Venezuela the 2014 CEDAW reports on GBVAW in female prisons (CEDAW, 2014a).

In Africa, the African Commission’s Special Rapporteur on Prisons and Conditions of Detention in Africa in 2012 notes no special reference to women’s issues are made, and documents the unmet needs of women in the prison setting, risks of exposure to sexual abuse by prison guards, and that the Kampala Declaration ignores the plight of pregnant women (Special Rapporteur on Prisons and Conditions of Detention in Africa, 2012). A 2019 sub Saharan regional assessment highlights the continued vulnerabilities of women prisoners and their experiences of GBVAW, including rape by guards and fellow prisoners (South Africa, Malawi, Zambia, and Nigeria) (Van Hout & Mhlanga-Gunda, 2018). Two studies report on invasive searches and the denial of opiate substitution treatment (OST) for incarcerated women who use drugs in South Africa, despite the Special Rapporteur taking note that punitive denial of OST causing withdrawal (known as “*arosto*” in South Africa) constitutes inhumane and degrading punishment (Hopkins, 2017; SANPUD, Metzineres & Harm Reduction International, 2019) Studies by Agboola (and colleagues) report on consensual sex practices between incarcerated women (Agboola, 2015), and continued women to women rape in South African prisons

(Agboola, Kang'ethe & Mohapi, 2020). The CEDAW 2013 report on Benin documents non (sex) segregated prisons and the lack of access to justice for female prisoners (CEDAW, 2013a). In Burundi the 2016 UN CEDAW reported on overcrowding, poor rations and no sex separation in prisons (CEDAW, 2016d). The CEDAW also reports women being victims of GBVAW by other inmates and guards in Equatorial Guinea in 2012 (CEDAW, 2012b), and exposure of women prisoners to sexual violence in Eritrea in 2020 (CEDAW, 2020). In Ethiopia the 2019 CEDAW documents on conditions for women in detention settings which include ill-treatment, rape and torture (CEDAW, 2019a). The 2015 CEDAW report on Gambia documents violence and rape perpetrated against women by male prisoners and guards (CEDAW, 2015a). The 2014 UN CAT reports that male and female prisoners are not segregated in prisons in Guinea (CAT, 2014b). The CEDAW also reports on this lack of segregation of the sexes in Mali, and on GBVAW by police and prison staff in Mali in 2016 (CEDAW, 2016e). The 2019 CEDAW report on Mozambique documents sexual abuse against women and LGBTI people in detention (CEDAW, 2019b). In Zambia the 2011 CEDAW reports on GBVAW, including rape against imprisoned women (CEDAW, 2011), and in Zimbabwe in 2020, the CEDAW documents sexual violence and abuse against women prisoners (Zimbabwe & CEDAW, 2020).

In Europe, the 2017 UN CCEDAW report on Norway takes note of the continued risk of exposure of women in prison to sexual violence, and the lack of health care and drug treatment programs for women (CEDAW, 2017b). Building on a report in 2009, by the Special Rapporteur noting excessive use of solitary confinement in Denmark; allegations of women on women abuses, ill treatment of women in custody by males, and the approach not to segregate men and women in prisons (Nowak, 2009), a later investigation concludes in 2011, that given the mixed gender approach in Danish prisons there are continued needs for adequate protection measures (Denmark, 2011). The UN CAT documents the lack of guarantees of segregation in Swiss prisons (Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung (Bonn), 2015a). In France, the UN CEDAW 2016 reports that female prisons are overcrowded, with inadequate access to health care, and with high risk of suicide and forced psychiatric hospitalization (CEDAW, 2016f) The 2017 UN CAT report documents increased inter prisoner violence, including sexual violence among female prisoners, and violent assault of staff in Ireland (CAT, 2017b). GBVAW in police detention was also observed in the UK (Children's Rights Alliance for England,

2013). In Italy (CEDAW, 2017c) and in Montenegro (CEDAW, 2017d) in 2017, CEDAW comments on the lack of access to health services (including OST) and reports of sexual harassment by male guards for women in detention. The 2017 UN CAT reports on the excessive use of force by police against women on arrest and when in pre-trial detention in Bulgaria (CAT, 2017c). Further, in 2019 the Special Rapporteur on Violence against Women reports on inadequate access to gender specific medical care for women in Bulgarian prisons (Šimonović, 2019). The 2019 UN CAT report on Cyprus describes overcrowding and lack of privacy/health concerns in women's prisons (CAT, 2019). The UN CEDAW in 2016 documents GBVAW in the form of sexual violence and torture in Turkish prisons (CEDAW, 2016g). In 2016, there was one case against the Ukraine at the European Court of Human Rights regarding the use of restraints of women during medical examination in 2016 (see *Korneykova and Korneykov v. Ukraine*) (European Court of Human Rights, 2020). The UN CEDAW reports on conditions and potential risks for exposure to violence experienced by refugee, migrant and asylum seeking women held in Greek reception centers (CEDAW, 2013b). Two 2020 regional European reviews reveal GBVAW in immigration detention settings (Van Hout, Lungu Byrne & Germain, 2020; Lungu Byrne et al., 2020), with sources from Spanish prisons and Swedish/UK pre-removal settings referring to the denial of medical and mental health care; verbal abuse, random checks by male guards and lack of privacy reported by women (Ruiz-Garcia & Castillo-Algarra, 2014; Smith, 2017; Arshad, Haith & Palloti, 2018; Puthooppambil, Ahlberg & Bjerneld, 2015). The 2015 the Report of the Working Group on the issue of discrimination against women in law and in practice on Spain refers to the situation of women in prison (UN. Human Rights Council. Working Group on Discrimination against Women in Law and in Practice, 2015). Invasive searches are reported in female prisons in Spain alongside excessive prescription of psychotropic drugs as control measure by authorities (SANPUD, Metzineres & Harm Reduction International, 2019). In Central Asia, the 2010 Working Group on Arbitrary Detention documents its concern around proportionality of sentences for women in Armenia (UN. Human Rights Council. Working Group on Arbitrary Detention, 2010). Poor conditions are reported in Tajikistan female prisons (UN. Human Rights Committee, 2019). In 2017 the UN CAT documents violence, physical and psychological pressures and abuse (including rape) against women in prison in Turkmenistan (CAT, 2017d). The UN CEDAW reports in 2015 on

concerning conditions for women in detention in Uzbekistan and the lack of conducive environment for lodging complaints about their treatment, underpinned by the intersectionality of discrimination, sexual humiliation, threats of sexual violence by public officials when in custody, forced sterilization, ill-treatment and abuse of women human rights defenders in detention (CEDAW, 2015b).

Australia reports comparable rates of violence against male and female prisoners (Schneider et al., 2011), but with a continued process to adapt male policies and programs in prisons (Easteal et al., 2015) and a significant reduction in strip searching of women since 2014 (Wachirs et al., 2014). However, the UN CAT reports on sexual violence perpetrated by male prison officers and practices of strip searches, as well as high rates of mental health disorders and insufficient access to care in Australian prisons in 2018 (CEDAW, 2018). In 2017, in Thailand the CEDAW committee documents the overcrowded and ill resourced prison settings for women and the practice of invasive body searches conducted on women in prison (CEDAW, 2017e). The UN CAT report on Cambodia, in 2011 reports on violent abuses by prison management committees, the housing of male and female detainees together, and the use of male prison guards to guard female detainees due to limited staff (CAT, 2011) and in 2019 documents very poor congested conditions for women, including the detention of women in pre-trial detention mixed with convicted offenders (CEDAW, 2019c). The 2012 CEDAW report on Indonesia, notes a concerning lack of protection to women in custody, reports of sexual abuse of women in police detention, and challenges in the disclosure by women of such abuses (CEDAW, 2012c). The Special Rapporteur on torture reports substandard conditions and abuses against detained women in Papua New Guinea in 2011. The report describes how women are often not separated from men in police custody, not protected from male inmates (at risk of collective rapes); are in danger of sexual and other abuses in exchange for favours or release from police custody, forced to perform domestic work for officers, including the collecting of male detainees bags and bottles filled with urine and excrement; and with severe lack of access to medical care and basic needs (UN. Human Rights Council. Special Rapporteur on Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, 2011). In South Asia, the 2014 Special Rapporteur on Violence against Women notes a significant lack of adequate protection measures to ensure safety of female inmates, including from gender related killings, and lack of access to essential medical care in India

(Manjoo & UN. Human Rights Council. Special Rapporteur on Violence against Women, 2014). In East Asia, the 2014 CEDAW report on China documents the increase of women in detention, overcrowding contributing to risk of violence and presence of extra-legal detention facilities (“*black jails*”) (CEDAW, 2014b). In Korea, the 2017 CEDAW report documents the grave situation of women in detention, who are particularly vulnerable to sexual violence, including rape by State officials, the absence of adequate, independent and confidential complaint mechanisms, the detention of repatriated women on the criminal charge of “*illegal border crossing*” and who are, ‘*in addition to suffering sexual violence, are at risk of death in detention, subjected to forced abortions and deprived of their right to a fair trial*’ (CEDAW, 2017f) . In 2013, the UN Committee against Torture reports on overcrowding in Japanese women’s prisons and the use of restraints (*Type II* handcuffs and strait jackets) (CAT, 2013b).

With regard to the Middle East, the UN CAT documents allegations of gender-based violence, including torture, ill-treatment and rape, against women in detention in Iraq in 2015 (Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung (Bonn), 2015b). There are reports about rape, sexual abuse and GBVAW in female prisons in Yemen in 2010 (CAT, 2010) and in Syria from non-state armed groups as well as from forces of the government in 2014 (CEDAW, 2014c). In Libya the OHCHR reports about sexual violence against women in detention from guards as well as from non-state actors in 2016 (UN. Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, 2016). In 2017 the CEDAW reports on the limited access to justice for Palestinian women in detention in Israel (CEDAW, 2017g). The UN CAT documents poor conditions in female prisons and the use of solitary confinement for long periods in prisons in Afghanistan in 2017 (CAT, 2017e).

Conclusive Remarks

Whilst it is beyond the scope of this global legal realist assessment to engage in a very detailed country level review, we wish to highlight the continued breaches of the *Bangkok Rules* at the global level as they pertain to the conditions of women in detention since adoption, particularly the prevention of and protection from custodial violence when deprived of their liberty. Gender inequity and inequality is pervasive. Whilst custodial violence in essence violates the internationally recognized prohibition on cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, it remains a largely

hidden and sensitive topic for both genders when deprived of liberty, with insufficient surveillance of the issue, coercion threatening disclosure (particularly for women), very low rates of perpetrator accountability, and scant prevalence data available at the global level (Amnesty International USA, 2011).

We document the precarious situation of women in prisons, and continued evidence of systemic failures to protect them from custodial GBVAW and other gender sensitive human rights breaches worldwide; and take note of the dearth of information in many countries worldwide. We highlight three central aspects which hinder the full implementation of the Bangkok Rules, the past decade of continued invisible nature of women as prisoners in the system, the continued legitimization, normalization, trivialization of violence under the pretext of security within their daily lives; and the unawareness and disregard of international (Bangkok) rules and the task to organize different modes of incarceration environment for (female) prisoners who committed non-violent crimes. Human rights violations encountered by women in the criminal justice and penal systems continue worldwide. Many countries have not fully adopted the Bangkok and Tokyo Rules, leading to congestion and overcrowding in female prisons, lack of protection against violence, particularly when housed in non-segregated prisons, either perpetrated by officials, or by fellow inmates (of both genders), use of psychotropic and physical restraints, arbitrary detention and solitary confinement, and the lack of full access to gender specific medical care, trauma- informed and trauma responsive mental health supports, and drug treatment (for instance OST). Inadvertently our realist account highlights the continued lack of resourcing of female prisons, lack of implementation of non-custodial sentencing for minor and non-violent offences, lack of consideration of GBVAW, exploitation and trauma related pathways into crime (largely poverty or drug related), and overall lack of oversight in disclosure and penal complaint mechanisms where GBVAW is perpetrated in the closed setting.

These insights give a well-founded basis for relevant UN agencies (UN Women, United Nations Office of Drug and Crime, United Nations Development Program, UNAIDS and others) and the World Health Organization to provide technical assistance and promote further improvements and penal reforms worldwide. Moreover this gives a substantiated starting point for human rights organisations such as Amnesty International, the Howard League for Penal Reform, Penal Reform

International and Harm Reduction International to appoint targeted and fitting actions to reduce GBVAW in custodial settings. See Table Three.

**Insert Table Three ‘Global Implications for Penal Reform and Monitoring of Standards’
about here.**

Further, we wish to underscore how this neglect not only constitutes grave human rights abuses, but also fuels self-harm, suicide, psychiatric disorders and deaths, and the spread of disease (HIV, Hepatitis C) bridging between prisons and communities. Addressing disease hinges on prison system approached and parameters to address physical and sexual violence in prisons, trauma related mental health issues, and unsafe injecting of drugs. UN reporting continues to highlight such issues globally where women are discriminated and treated an unequal manner, alongside the dearth of academic research and access of research teams into prisons (Mhlanga-Gunda et al., 2019). It is further lamentable that despite global prison release schemes during COVID-19 that women including those convicted on minor, non-violent or drug offences have been largely overlooked, thereby exposing them to continued violence, trauma and harm (Penal Reform International, 2020d; Van Hout, 2020).

References

Agboola, C. (2015). Consensual same-sex sexual relationships in South African female prisons. *Gender and Behaviour*, 13(2), 6658-6667.

Agboola, C., Kang’ethe, S. & Mohapi, B.J. (2020). Unpacking women to women rape within correctional centres in South Africa. *Acta Criminologica: African Journal of Criminology & Victimology* 33(2), 75.

Amnesty International USA (2011). *Not Part of Her Sentence: Violations of the Human Rights of Women in Custody*. Retrieved from <https://www.amnestyusa.org/reports/usa-not-part-of-my-sentence-violations-of-the-human-rights-of-women-in-custody/>. Accessed February 9, 2021.

Andrews N. & Hackman, M. (2020). U.S. Opens Investigation Into Claim of Forced Hysterectomies on Detained Immigrants. *WSJ*, Sept. 16, 2020. Retrieved from

<https://www.wsj.com/articles/lawmakers-seek-investigation-into-allegations-of-mass-hysterectomies-on-detained-migrants-11600291610>. Accessed June 18, 2021.

Arshad, F., Haith Cooper, M. & Palloti, P. (2018). The experiences of pregnant migrant women in detention: A qualitative study. *British Journal of Midwifery*. 26(9), 591-596.

Barbaret R., Jackson C. & Jay J (2017). UN Rules for the Treatment of Women Prisoners and Non-Custodial Sanctions for Women Offenders (the Bangkok Rules): A Gendered Critique. *Papers*. 102 / 2, 215-230.

Batista, V., Marcon, S., Peruzzo H., Ruiz, A., Reis, P., Nunes da Silva, A. & Mandú, E. (2020). Prisoners of suffering: perception of women on violence practiced by intimate partners. *Rev. Bras. Enferm.* 73, suppl.1, e20190219. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0034-7167-2019-0219>.

Berzano, P. (n.d.) *The Bangkok Rules An international response to the needs of women offenders*. Retrieved from

https://www.unafei.or.jp/publications/pdf/RS_No90/No90_11VE_Barzano.pdf. Accessed February 9, 2021.

Bryant M. (2020). Allegations of unwanted Ice hysterectomies recall grim time in US history. *Guardian*, 21 Sep 2020. Retrieved from <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2020/sep/21/unwanted-hysterectomy-allegations-ice-georgia-immigration>. Accessed June 18, 2021.

Bronson, J., Stroop, J., Zimmer, S. & Berzofsky, M. (2017). *Drug Use, Dependence, and Abuse among State Prisoners and Jail Inmates, 2007-2009*. US Department of Justice: Washington. Retrieved from <https://www.ncjrs.gov/App/Publications/abstract.aspx?ID=272712>. Accessed February 9, 2021.

Bureau of Justice Statistics (2014). *Sexual victimization reported by adult correctional authorities*. 2009–11. Retrieved from <https://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/svraca0911.pdf>. Accessed February 2, 2021.

Chartrand, V. (2015). Landscapes of Violence. Women and Canadian Prisons. *Champ pénal/ Penal field* [En ligne], Vol. XII | 2015, mis en ligne le 13 février 2019, consulté le 11 février 2021. Retrieved from <http://journals.openedition.org/champpenal/9158>. Accessed February 9, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.4000/champpenal.9158>.

Children’s Rights Alliance for England (2013). *Speaking freely, children and young people in Europe talk about ending violence against children in custody*, 2013. Retrieved from <https://resourcecentre.savethechildren.net/library/speaking-freely-children-and-young-people-europe-speak-about-ending-violence-against>. Accessed February 2, 2021.

Cornell Law School’s Avon Global Center for Women and Justice and International Human Rights Clinic; Defensoría General de la Nación Argentina & The University of Chicago Law School International Human Rights Clinic (2013). *Women in Prison in Argentina: Causes, Conditions and Consequences*. Retrieved from http://fileserv.idpc.net/library/Women_in_prison_in_Argentina.pdf. Accessed February 9, 2021.

Denmark (2011). *Consideration of reports submitted by States parties under article 19 of the Convention pursuant to the optional reporting procedure, Sixth and seventh periodic reports of States parties due in 2011: Denmark* (Report No. CAT/C/DNK/6-7). Geneva: UN, 6 Jan. 2015.

Easteal, P., Bartels, L., Fitch, E. & Watchirs H. (2015). Females in Custody in the Act: Gendered Issues and Solutions. *Alternative Law Journal*, 40, 1, 18–22, doi: 10.1177/1037969X1504000105.

Ervin, S., Jagannath, J., Zweig, J., Willison J., Jones, K. & Maskolunas, K. (2020). *Addressing Trauma and Victimization in Women’s Prisons*. Retrieved from

https://cdpsdocs.state.co.us/ccjj/Resources/Ref/2020-10_Addresssing-Trauma-Victimization-Womens-Prisons_ExecSumm.pdf. Accessed February 9, 2021.

European Court of Human Rights (2020) *Guide on the case-law of the European Convention on Human Rights: Prisoner Rights*. Retrieved from https://www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Guide_Prisoners_rights_ENG.pdf. Accessed February 9, 2021.

Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung (Bonn) (2015a). *Concluding observations on the seventh periodic report of Switzerland: Committee against Torture* (Report No. CAT/C/CHE/CO/7). Geneva: UN, 7 Sept. 2015.

Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung (Bonn) (2015b). *Concluding observations on the initial report of Iraq: Committee against Torture* (Report No. CAT/C/IRQ/CO/1). Geneva: UN, 7 Sept. 2015.

Fuentes, CM. (2014). Nobody's child: the role of trauma and interpersonal violence in women's pathways to incarceration and resultant service needs. *Med Anthropol Q* 28(1), 85-104.

Gadama, L., Thakwalaka, C., Mula, C., Mhango, V., Banda, C., Kewley, S., Hillis, A., Van Hout, MC. (2020). 'Prison facilities were not built with a woman in mind': an exploratory multi-stakeholder study on women's situation in Malawi prisons. *International Journal of Prisoner Health*, 16, 3, 303–318, doi: 10.1108/IJPH-12-2019-0069.

Gama-Araujo, I., Bezerra Filho, J., Kerr, L., Kendall, C., Macena, R., Mota, R., Ferreira, M. & Neto, M. (2020). Physical violence inside female prisons in Brazil: prevalence and related factors, *Ciência & Saúde Coletiva*, 25, 2, 623–632, doi: 10.1590/1413-81232020252.10842018.

Ghandakly, E.C., & Fabi R (2021). Sterilization in US Immigration and Customs Enforcement's (ICE's) Detention: Ethical Failures and Systemic Injustice, *American Journal of Public Health* 111, 5, 832-834.

- Giacomello, C. (2020). *Arbitrary detention and compulsory drug treatment in Mexico: A forsaken face of female incarceration*. Retrieved from <https://www.penalreform.org/blog/arbitrary-detention-and-compulsory-drug-treatment-in-mexico/>. Accessed February 9, 2021.
- Harrison, V. (2020). CEDAW Disapproves: The United States' Treatment of Transgender Women in Prisons. *DePaul J. for Soc. Just.* Retrieved from <https://via.library.depaul.edu/jsj/vol13/iss2/2>. Accessed February 2, 2021.
- Hopkins, R. & Marie Claire (2017). *'This is what life in prison is really like for women in South Africa'* Wits Justice Project. Johannesburg: University of the Witwatersrand. Retrieved from <https://www.witsjusticeproject.co.za/investigative-journalism/this-is-what-life-in-prison-is-really-like-for-women-in-south-africa>. Accessed February 10, 2021.
- Huber, A. (2016). Women in Criminal Justice Systems and the Added Value of the UN Bangkok Rules. *Briefing Paper*. London: Penal Reform International. Retrieved from https://cdn.penalreform.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/Added-value-of-the-Bangkok-Rules-briefing-paper_final.pdf. Accessed February 9, 2021.
- Human Rights Watch (2018). *Submission to the UN Working Group on Discrimination Against Women in Law and Practice*. HRI: New York. Retrieved from <https://www.hrw.org/news/2018/10/01/human-rights-watch-submission-un-working-group-discrimination-against-women-law-and>. Accessed February 9, 2021.
- Jones, M. S. (2020). Exploring Coercive Control, PTSD, and the Use of Physical Violence in the Pre-prison Heterosexual Relationships of Incarcerated Women. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications Inc, Jg. 47, Nr. 10, 1299–1318, doi: 10.1177/0093854820920661.
- Karlsson, M.E. & Zielinski, M. J. (2020). Sexual Victimization and Mental Illness Prevalence Rates Among Incarcerated Women: A Literature Review. *Trauma Violence & Abuse*, Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications Inc, Jg. 21, Nr. 2, 326–349.

- Kelly, PJ., Cheng, AL., Spencer-Carver, E., Ramaswamy, M. (2014). A syndemic model of women incarcerated in community jails. *Public Health Nursing* 31(2):118- 125.
- Kottler, C., Smith, J. & Bartlett A. (2018). Patterns of violence and self-harm in women prisoners: characteristics, co-incidence and clinical significance. *Journal of Forensic Psychiatry & Psychology*, 29, 4, 617–634.
- Kubiak, Sheryl P., Brenner, Hannah, J., Bybee, D., Campbell, R., Cummings, C., Darcy, K., Fedock, G. & Goodman-Williams, R. (2017). Sexual Misconduct in Prison: What Factors Affect Whether Incarcerated Women Will Report Abuses Committed by Prison Staff?. *Law and Human Behavior*, Washington: Amer Psychological Assoc, Jg. 41, Nr. 4, 361–374, doi: 10.1037/lhb0000239.
- Laws, B. (2019). The return of the suppressed: Exploring how emotional suppression reappears as violence and pain among male and female prisoners. *Punishment & Society-International Journal of Penology*, 21, 5, 560–577.
- Lenihan, T. (2020). *Addressing the 105,000 increase in the global female prison population, ten years after the Bangkok Rules were adopted*. Retrieved from <https://www.penalreform.org/blog/addressing-the-105000-increase-in-the-global-female/>. Accessed February 17, 2021.
- Leiter, B. (2015). Legal Realism and Legal Doctrine *University of Pennsylvania Law Review* 163, 1975-1984.
- Lenzer, J (2020). “Mass hysterectomies” were carried out on migrants in US detention centre, claims whistleblower. *BMJ*, 370:m3615. DOI:10.1136/bmj.m3615.
- Lungu Byrne, C., Germain, J., Plugge, E.& Van Hout, MC. (2020). Contemporary migrant prisoners’ health experiences, unique prison healthcare needs and health outcomes in European prisons and detention settings. *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 20:1, 80-99. DOI: 10.1080/14999013.2020.1821129.

Lynch, S.M., Fritch, A. & Heath, N (2012). Looking Beneath the Surface: The Nature of Incarcerated Women's Experiences of Interpersonal Violence, Treatment Needs, and Mental Health. *Feminist Criminology* 7 (4), 381–400.

Manjoo, R. & UN. Human Rights Council. Special Rapporteur on Violence against Women (2014). *Report of the Special Rapporteur on violence against women, its causes and consequences, Rashida Manjoo: Addendum* (Report No. A/HRC/26/38/Add.1). Geneva: UN, 1 Apr. 2014.

McCulloch, J. & George, A. (2009). Naked power. Strip searching in womens' prisons. In: Scraton P & McCulloch J, eds. *The violence of incarceration*. New York, NY, Routledge, 2009.

Meyer, I. H., Flores, A., Stemple, L., Romero, A., Wilson, B. & Herman, J. (2017). Incarceration Rates and Traits of Sexual Minorities in the United States: National Inmate Survey, 2011–2012. *American Journal of Public Health* 107 (2), 267–73.

Mhlanga-Gunda, R., Motsomi, N., Plugge, E., & Van Hout, MC. (2019). Challenges in ensuring robust and ethical health research and the reporting of health outcomes and standards in sub-Saharan African prisons. *Lancet Global Health*. Volume 8, ISSUE 1, e25-e26.

Nakitanda, A., Montanari, L., Tavošchi, L., Mozalevskis, A. & Duffel, E. (2020). Hepatitis C virus infection in EU/EEA and United Kingdom prisons: opportunities and challenges for action. *BMC Public Health*, 20, 1670. DOI: 10.1186/s12889-020-09515-6.

Nowak, M. & UN. Human Rights Council. Special Rapporteur on Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (2008). *Report of the Special Rapporteur on torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, Manfred Nowak* (Report No. A/HRC/7/3). Geneva: UN, 15 Jan. 2008.

Nowak, M. & UN. Human rights council. Special Rapporteur on Torture and other Cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment (2009). *Report of the Special Rapporteur on*

Torture and other Cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, Manfred Nowak, on his mission to Denmark (Report No. A/HRC/10/44/Add.2). Geneva: UN, 18 Feb. 2009.

Penal Reform International (2017a). *Women in detention. Putting the UN Bangkok Rules on women prisoners into practice*. PRI: London. Retrieved from <https://www.penalreform.org/resource/women-detention-putting-bangkok-rules-practice/>. Accessed February 9, 2021.

Penal Reform International (2017b). *Women in detention. A guide to gender sensitive monitoring*. PRI: London. Retrieved from <https://cdn.penalreform.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/women-in-detention-2nd-ed-v7.pdf>. Accessed February 9, 2021.

Penal Reform International (2020a). *Global Prison Trends*. PRI: London. Retrieved from <https://www.penalreform.org/resource/global-prison-trends-2020/#:~:text=PRI%20advocates%20for%20an%20increase,Crime%2C%20justice%20and%20imprisonment>. Accessed February 9, 2021.

Penal Reform International (2020b). Alternatives to imprisonment. In: *Global Prison Trends 2020*. PRI: London. Retrieved from <https://cdn.penalreform.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/Global-Prison-Trends-2020-Penal-Reform-International-Second-Edition.pdf>. Accessed February 9, 2021.

Penal Reform International (2020c). *Women in prison: mental health and well-being A guide for prison staff*. PRI: London. Retrieved from <https://cdn.penalreform.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/PRI-Women-in-prison-and-mental-well-being.pdf>. Accessed February 9, 2021.

Penal Reform International (2020d) *Coronavirus Preventing harm and human rights violations in criminal justice systems Recommendations for urgent and systemic reform to prevent and address human rights violations of people in detention and serving sentences in the community, in the context of COVID-19.COVID*. Retrieved from

<https://cdn.penalreform.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Coronavirus-briefing-July-2020.pdf>.
Accessed February 2, 2021.

Penal Reform International (2021a). *Women in the criminal justice system: key facts*. PRI: London. Retrieved from <https://www.penalreform.org/issues/women/key-facts/>. Accessed February 9, 2021.

Penal Reform International (2021b). *The Issue*. PRI: London. Retrieved from <https://www.penalreform.org/issues/women/issue/>. Accessed February 9, 2021.

Perez, DM., Gover, AR., Tennyson, KM. & Santos, SD. (2014). Individual and institutional characteristics related to inmate victimization. *Int J Offender Ther Comp Criminol.* 54 (3), 378-394.

Puthooppambal, S. J., Ahlberg, B. M., & Bjerneld, M. (2015). A prison with extra flavours?: Experiences of immigrants in Swedish immigration detention centres. *International Journal of Migration, Health and Social Care.* 11(2), 73–85.

Ruiz-Garcia, M. & Castillo-Algarra, J. (2014). Experiences of Foreign Women in Spanish Prisons, *Journal of Offender Rehabilitation,* 53:8, 587-599.

SANPUD, Metzineres & Harm Reduction International (2019). *Harm Reduction for Women in Prison.* Retrieved from https://www.hri.global/files/2020/06/10/Harm_Reduction_for_Women_in_Prison_2.pdf. Accessed February 9, 2021.

Scherer, Z.A.P. & Scherer, E.A. (2011). P03-514 - Female Prisoners: Experiences and Perceptions on Violence in the World Behind Bars. *European Psychiatry,* Paris: Elsevier France-Editions Scientifiques Medicales Elsevier, Jg. 26, page 1648.

Schneider, K., Richters, J., Butler, T., Yap, L., Richards, A., Grant, L., Smith, A. & Donovan, B. (2011). Psychological distress and experience of sexual and physical assault among Australian prisoners. *Criminal Behaviour and Mental Health,* 21, 5:333-49. doi: 10.1002/cbm.816.

Seddiqui, K. (2015). Graham V. Sheriff of Logan County: Coercion in Rape and the Plight of Women Prisoners, in: *Denver University Law Review*, Denver: Denver Univ Law Rev, 92, 3, 671–696.

Šimonović, D. (2019). *Official visit to Bulgaria, 14 - 21 October 2019 by United Nations Special Rapporteur on violence against women, its causes and consequences, Dubravka Šimonović. End of Mission Statement*. Retrieved from

<https://www.ohchr.org/FR/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=25173&LangID=E>. Accessed February 16, 2021.

Smith, J. (2017) Death, disease and indignity: serious health and human rights concerns persist in UK Immigration Detention Facilities, *Journal of Public Health*. 40(1):212-21.

Special Rapporteur on Prisons and Conditions of Detention in Africa (2012). *Report of the Rapporteur on Prisons and Conditions of Detention in Africa*. Presented by Hon. Commissioner Med S.K Kaggwa, At the 52nd Ordinary Session of the African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights, Yamoussoukro, Côte d'Ivoire, 9 - 22 October 2012. Retrieved from <https://www.achpr.org/sessions/intersession?id=144>. Accessed February 2, 2021.

Stern, E. (2018). Accessing accountability: exploring criminal prosecution of male guards for sexually assaulting female inmates in US prisons. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 167: 733.

Tripodi, S.J. & Pettus-Davis, C. (2013). Histories of childhood victimization and subsequent mental health problems, substance use, and sexual victimization for a sample of incarcerated women in the US, in: *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*, 36, 1, S. 30–40.

Thomson, N. D., Vassileva, J., Kiehl, K., Reidy, D., Aboutanos, M., McDougale, R. & DeLisi, M. (2019). Which features of psychopathy and impulsivity matter most for prison violence? New evidence among female prisoners. *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*, 64, S. 26–33.

UN. Committee against Torture (44th sess.: 2010: Geneva) (2010). *Concluding observations of the Committee against Torture: Yemen* (Report No. CAT/C/YEM/CO/2/Rev.1). Geneva: UN, 25 May 2010.

UN. Committee against Torture (45th sess.: 2010: Geneva) (2011). *Concluding observations of the Committee against Torture: Cambodia* (Report No. CAT/C/KHM/CO/2). Geneva: UN, 20 Jan. 2011.

UN. Committee against Torture (50th sess.: 2013: Geneva) (2013a). *Concluding observations on the 2nd periodic report of the Plurinational State of Bolivia as approved by the Committee at its 50th session, 6-31 May 2013: Committee Against Torture* (Report No. CAT/C/BOL/CO/2). Geneva: UN, 14 June 201.

UN Committee against Torture (50th sess.: 2013: Geneva) (2013b). *Concluding observations on the 2nd periodic report of Japan, adopted by the Committee at its 50th session, 6-31 May 2013: Committee Against Torture* (Report No. CAT/C/JPN/CO/2). Geneva: UN, 28 June 2013.

UN. Committee against Torture (53rd sess.: 2014: Geneva) (2014a). *Concluding observations on the combined 3rd to 5th periodic reports of the United States of America: Committee Against Torture* (Report No. CAT/C/USA/CO/3-5). Geneva: UN, 19 Dec. 2014

UN. Committee against Torture (52nd sess.: 2014: Geneva) (2014b). *Concluding observations on Guinea in the absence of its initial report: Committee Against Torture* (Report No. CAT/C/GIN/CO/1) Geneva: UN, 20 June 2014.

UN. Committee against Torture (60th sess.: 2017: Geneva) (2017a). *Concluding observations on the combined 5th and 6th periodic reports of Argentina: Committee against Torture* (Report No. CAT/C/ARG/CO/5-6). Geneva: UN, 24 May 2017.

UN Committee against Torture (61st sess.: 2017: Geneva) (2017b). *Concluding observations on the second periodic report of Ireland: Committee against Torture* (Report No. CAT/C/IRL/CO/2). Geneva: UN, 31 Aug. 2017.

UN Committee against Torture (62nd sess.: 2017: Geneva) (2017c). *Concluding observations on the sixth periodic report of Bulgaria* (Report No. CAT/C/BGR/CO/6). Geneva: UN, 15 Dec. 2017.

UN. Committee against Torture (59th sess.: 2016: Geneva) (2017d). *Concluding observations on the second periodic report of Turkmenistan: Committee against Torture* (Report No. CAT/C/TKM/CO/2). Geneva: UN, 23 Jan. 2017.

UN Committee against Torture (60th sess.: 2017: Geneva) (2017e). *Concluding observations on the second periodic report of Afghanistan* (Report No. CAT/C/AFG/CO/2). Geneva: UN, 12 June 2017.

UN. Committee against Torture (65th sess.: 2018: Geneva) (2018). *Concluding observations on the 7th periodic report of Guatemala: Committee against Torture* (Report No. CAT/C/GTM/CO/7). Geneva: UN, 26 Dec. 2018.

UN Committee against Torture (68th sess.: 2019: Geneva) (2019). *Concluding observations on the fifth periodic report of Cyprus* (Report No. CAT/C/CYP/CO/5). Geneva: UN, 23 Dec. 2019.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (45th sess.: 2010: Geneva) (2010). *Concluding observations of the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women: Panama* (Report No. CEDAW/C/PAN/CO/7). New York: UN, 5 Feb. 2010.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (49th sess.: 2011: New York) (2011). *Concluding observations of the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women: Zambia* (Report No. CEDAW/C/ZMB/CO/5-6). Geneva: UN, 19 Sept. 2011.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (51st sess.: 2012: Geneva) (2012a). *Concluding observations of the Committee on the Elimination of*

Discrimination against Women: Brazil (Report No. CEDAW/C/BRA/CO/7). Geneva: UN, 23 Mar. 2012.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (53rd sess.: 2012: Geneva) (2012b). *Concluding observations on the 6th periodic report of Equatorial Guinea, adopted by the Committee at its 53rd session (1-19 October 2012): Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/GNQ/CO/6). Geneva: UN, 9 Nov. 2012.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (52nd sess.: 2012: New York) (2012c). *Concluding observations of the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women: Indonesia* (Report No. CEDAW/C/IDN/CO/6-7). New York: UN, 7 Aug. 2012.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (56th sess.: 2013: Geneva) (2013a). *Concluding observations on the 4th periodic report of Benin: Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/BEN/CO/4). New York: UN, 28 Oct. 2013.

UN Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (54th sess.: 2013: New York) (2013b). *Concluding observations on the 7th periodic of Greece* (Report No. CEDAW/C/GRC/CO/7). Geneva: UN, 26 Mar. 2013.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (59th sess.: 2014: New York) (2014a). *Concluding observations on the combined 7th and 8th periodic reports of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela: Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/VEN/CO/7-8). New York: UN, 14 Nov. 2014.

UN Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (59th sess.: 2014: Geneva) (2014b). *Concluding observations on the combined seventh and eighth periodic reports of China: Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/CHN/CO/7-8). New York: UN, 14 Nov. 2014.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (58th sess.:2014: Geneva) (2014c). *Concluding observations on the 2nd periodic report of the Syrian Arab Republic: Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/SYR/CO/2). New York: UN, 24 July 2014.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (61st sess.: 2015: Geneva) (2015a). *Concluding observations on the combined 4th and 5th periodic reports of Gambia: Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/GMB/CO/4-5). New York: UN, 28 July 2014.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (62nd sess.: 2015: Geneva) (2015b). *Concluding observations on the fifth periodic report of Uzbekistan: Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/UZB/Q/5). New York: UN, 17 Mar. 2015.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (65th sess.: 2016: Geneva) (2016a). *Concluding observations on the combined 8th and 9th periodic reports of Canada: Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/CAN/CO/8-9). New York: UN, 25 Nov. 2016.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (65th sess.: 2016: Geneva) (2016b). *Concluding observations on the 7th periodic report of Argentina: Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/ARG/CO/7). New York: UN, 25 Nov. 2016.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (64th sess.: 2016: Geneva) (2016c). *Concluding observations on the combined 8th and 9th periodic reports of Uruguay: Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/URY/CO/8-9) New York: UN, 25 July 2016.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (65th sess.: 2016: Geneva) (2016d). *Concluding observations on the combined 5th and 6th periodic reports of*

Burundi: Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (Report No. CEDAW/C/BDI/CO/5-6). New York: UN, 25 Nov. 2016.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (64th sess.: 2016: Geneva) (2016e). *Concluding observations on the combined 6th and 7th periodic reports of Mali: Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/MLI/CO/6-7). New York: UN, 25 July 2016.

UN Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (64th sess.: 2016: Geneva) (2016f). *Concluding observations on the combined seventh and eighth periodic reports of France: Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/FRA/CO/7-8). New York: UN, 25 July 2016.

UN Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (64th sess.: 2016: Geneva) (2016g). *Concluding observations on the 7th periodic report of Turkey: Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/TUR/CO/7) New York: UN, 25 July 2016.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (68th sess.: 2017: Geneva) (2017a). *Concluding observations on the 7th periodic report of Paraguay: Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/PRY/CO/7). New York: UN, 22 Nov. 2017.

UN Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (68th sess.: 2017: Geneva) (2017b). *Concluding observations on the ninth periodic report of Norway: Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/NOR/CO/9). New York: UN, 22 Nov. 2017.

UN Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (67th sess.: 2017: Geneva) (2017c). *Concluding observations on the seventh periodic report of Italy: Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/ITA/CO/7). New York: UN, 24 July 2017.

UN Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (67th sess.: 2017: Geneva) (2017d). *Concluding observations on the 2nd periodic report of Montenegro: Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/MNE/CO/2). New York: UN, 24 July 2017.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (67th sess.: 2017: Geneva) (2017e). *Concluding observations on the combined sixth and seventh periodic reports of Thailand: Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/THA/CO/6-7). New York: UN, 24 July 2017.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (68th sess.: 2017: Geneva) (2017f). *Concluding observations on the combined 2nd to 4th periodic reports of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea: Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/PRK/CO/2-4). New York: UN, 22 Nov. 2017.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (68th sess.: 2017: Geneva) (2017g). *Concluding observations of the 6th periodic report of Israel: Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/ISR/CO/6). New York: UN, 17 Nov. 2017.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (70th sess.: 2018: Geneva) (2018). *Concluding observations on the 8th periodic report of Australia: Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/AUS/CO/8). New York: UN, 25 July 2018.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (72nd sess.: 2019: Geneva) (2019a). *Concluding observations on the 8th periodic report of Ethiopia: Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/ETH/CO/8). New York: UN, 14 Mar. 2019.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (73rd sess.: 2019: Geneva) (2019b). *Concluding observations on the combined 3rd to 5th periodic report of Mozambique: Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/MOZ/CO/3-5). Geneva: UN, 30 July 2019.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (74th sess.: 2019: Geneva) (2019c). *Concluding observations on the 6th periodic report of Cambodia: Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/KHM/CO/6). New York: UN, 12 Nov. 2019.

UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (75th sess.: 2020: Geneva) (2020). *Concluding observations on the 6th periodic report of Eritrea: Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/ERI/CO/6). New York: UN, 10 Mar. 2020.

UN General Assembly (1991a). *Basic Principles for the Treatment of Prisoners*: resolution / adopted by the General Assembly (A/RES/45/111). New York: UN, 28 Mar. 1991.

UN General Assembly (1991b). *United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for Non-Custodial Measures (The Tokyo Rules)*: resolution / adopted by the General Assembly (A/RES/45/110). New York: UN, 2 Apr. 1991.

UN General Assembly (2016). *United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (the Nelson Mandela Rules)*: resolution / adopted by the General Assembly (A/RES/70/175). New York: UN, 8 Jan. 2016.

UN. Human Rights Committee (126th sess.: 2019: Geneva) (2019). *Concluding observations on the third periodic report of Tajikistan: Human Rights Committee* (Report No. CCPR/C/TJK/CO/3). Geneva: UN, 22 Aug. 2019.

UN. Human Rights Council. Special Rapporteur on Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (2011). *Report of the Special Rapporteur on torture and*

other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, Addendum: Mission to Papua New Guinea (Report No. A/HRC/16/52/Add.5). Geneva: UN, 7 Feb. 2011.

UN. Human Rights Council. Working Group on Arbitrary Detention (2010). *Report of the Working Group on Arbitrary Detention: addendum* (Report No. A/HRC/16/47/Add.3). Geneva: UN, 17 Feb. 2011.

UN. Human Rights Council. Working Group on Discrimination against Women in Law and in Practice (2015). *Report of the Working Group on the issue of discrimination against women in law and in practice: Mission to Spain* (Report No. A/HRC/29/40/Add.3). Geneva: UN, 17 June 2015.

UN Human Rights Office of the High Commissioner (2016). *Targeted and tortured: UN experts urge greater protection for LGBTI people in detention*” OHCHR: Geneva. Retrieved from

<https://www.ohchr.org/EN/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=20165&LangID=E>. Accessed February 9, 2021.

United Nations (1955). *Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners*, 30 August 1955.

United Nations Human Rights Committee (2016). *Concluding Observations on the Fifth Periodic Report of Argentina: Human Rights Committee: draft / prepared by the Committee*. 117th session, from 20 June to 15 July 2016 (Report No. CCPR/C/ARG/CO/R.5). Geneva: UN, 5 July 2016.

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (2008). *Handbook for prison managers and policymakers on women and imprisonment: women and imprisonment*. UNODC: Vienna. Retrieved from <https://www.unodc.org/documents/justice-and-prison-reform/women-and-imprisonment.pdf>. Accessed February 9, 2021.

UN. Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (2016). *Investigation by the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights on Libya: detailed findings* (Report No. A/HRC/31/CRP.3). Geneva: UN, 23 Feb. 2016.

UN. Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (n.d.). *Violence against women*. Retrieved from <https://www.ohchr.org/EN/Issues/Women/Pages/VaW.aspx>. Accessed February 20, 2021.

UN. Secretariat (2010). *United Nations Rules for the Treatment of Women Prisoners and Non-Custodial Measures for Women Offenders (the Bangkok Rules): note / by the Secretariat* (A/C.3/65/L.5). New York: UN, 6 Oct. 2010.

UN. Secretary-General (2006). *In-Depth Study on All Forms of Violence against Women: Report of the Secretary-General* (A/61/122/Add.1). New York: UN, 6 July 2006.

UN. Subcommittee on Prevention of Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (2015). *Eighth annual report of the Subcommittee on Prevention of Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment* (Report No. CAT/C/54/2). Geneva: UN, 5 July 2016.

Van Hout, M.C., & Mhlanga-Gunda, R. (2018). Contemporary women prisoners health experiences, unique prison health care needs and health care outcomes in Sub Saharan Africa: A scoping review of extant literature. *BMC International Health and Human Rights*. 18(1):p31. doi: 10.1186/s12914-018-0170-6.

Van Hout, MC. (2020). Women's situation in Malawi prisons and the urgency of alternatives to imprisonment during COVID-19. *Health and Human Rights*. Retrieved from <https://www.hhrjournal.org/2020/09/covid-19-urgent-need-to-release-women-from-prison-in-malawi/>. Accessed February 2, 2021.

Van Hout, MC., Lungu Byrne, C. & Germain, J. (2020). Migrant health situation when detained in European immigration detention centres: a qualitative synthesis. *International Journal of Prisoner Health*. 16 (3), 221-236.

Van Hout, MC. & Crowley, D. (2021). The ‘double punishment’ of transgender prisoners: a human rights based commentary on placement and conditions of detention. *International Journal of Prisoner Health*. Accepted and in press.

Wachirs, H., McKinnon, G., Costello, S & Thomson, J. (2014). *Human rights audit on the conditions of detention of women at the Alexander Maconochie Centre*. ACT Human Rights Commission. Retrieved from <https://hrc.act.gov.au/wp-content/uploads/2015/02/HRC-Womens-Audit-2014.pdf>. Accessed February 2, 2021.

Wolff, N., Blitz, C. L., & Shi, J. (2007). Rates of sexual victimization in prison for inmates with and without mental disorders. *Psychiatric Services*, 58 (8), 1087–1094.

Wolff, N. & Shi, J. (2011). Patterns of victimization and feelings of safety inside prison: the experience of male and female inmates. *Crime & Delinquency*, 57 (1), 29-55.

Zimbabwe & UN. Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (2020). *Concluding observations on the sixth periodic report of Zimbabwe Committee on Elimination of Discrimination against Women* (Report No. CEDAW/C/ZWE/CO/6). New York: UN, 10 Mar. 2020.

Table One. Bangkok Rules relevant to GBVAW

<p><i>Rule 6</i> The health screening of women prisoners shall include comprehensive screening to determine primary health-care needs, and also shall determine: The presence of sexually transmitted diseases or blood-borne diseases; and, depending on risk factors, women prisoners may also be offered testing for HIV, with pre- and post-test counselling; Mental health-care needs, including post-traumatic stress disorder and risk of suicide and self-harm; The reproductive health history of the woman prisoner, including current or recent pregnancies, childbirth and any related reproductive health issues; The existence of drug dependency; Sexual abuse and other forms of violence that may have been suffered prior to admission.</p> <p><i>Rule 7</i> If the existence of sexual abuse or other forms of violence before or during detention is diagnosed, the woman prisoner shall be informed of her right to seek recourse from judicial authorities. The woman prisoner should be fully informed of the procedures and steps involved. If the woman prisoner agrees to take legal action, appropriate staff shall be informed and immediately refer the case to the competent authority for investigation. Prison authorities shall help such women to access legal assistance. Whether or not the woman chooses to take legal action, prison authorities shall endeavor to ensure that she has immediate access to specialized psychological support or counselling. Specific measures shall be developed to avoid any form of retaliation against those making such reports or taking legal action.</p> <p><i>Rule 8</i> The right of women prisoners to medical confidentiality, including specifically the right not to share information and not to undergo screening in relation to their reproductive health history, shall be respected at all times.</p> <p><i>Rule 10</i> Gender-specific health-care services at least equivalent to those available in the community shall be provided to women prisoners. If a woman prisoner requests that she be examined or treated by a woman physician or nurse, a woman physician or nurse shall be made available, to the extent possible, except for situations requiring urgent medical intervention. If a male</p>	<p><i>Rule 23</i> Disciplinary sanctions for women prisoners shall not include a prohibition of family contact, especially with children.</p> <p><i>Rule 24</i> Instruments of restraint shall never be used on women during labor, during birth and immediately after birth.</p> <p><i>Rule 25</i> Women prisoners who report abuse shall be provided immediate protection, support and counselling, and their claims shall be investigated by competent and independent authorities, with full respect for the principle of confidentiality. Protection measures shall take into account specifically the risks of retaliation. Women prisoners who have been subjected to sexual abuse, and especially those who have become pregnant as a result, shall receive appropriate medical advice and counselling and shall be provided with the requisite physical and mental health care, support and legal aid. In order to monitor the conditions of detention and treatment of women prisoners, inspectorates, visiting or monitoring boards or supervisory bodies shall include women members.</p> <p><i>Rule 31</i> Clear policies and regulations on the conduct of prison staff aimed at providing maximum protection for women prisoners from any gender-based physical or verbal violence, abuse and sexual harassment shall be developed and implemented.</p> <p><i>Rule 35</i> Prison staff shall be trained to detect mental health-care needs and risk of self-harm and suicide among women prisoners and to offer assistance by providing support and referring such cases to specialists.</p> <p><i>Rule 38</i> Juvenile female prisoners shall have access to age- and gender-specific programs and services, such as counselling for sexual abuse or violence. They shall receive education on women's health care and have regular access to gynecologists, similar to adult female prisoners.</p> <p><i>Rule 41</i> The gender-sensitive risk assessment and classification of prisoners shall: Take into account the generally lower risk posed by women prisoners to others, as well as the particularly harmful effects that high-security measures and increased levels of isolation can have on women prisoners;</p>
--	---

<p>medical practitioner undertakes the examination contrary to the wishes of the woman prisoner, a woman staff member shall be present during the examination.</p> <p><i>Rule 11</i> Only medical staff shall be present during medical examinations unless the doctor is of the view that exceptional circumstances exist or the doctor requests a member of the prison staff to be present for security reasons or the woman prisoner specifically requests the presence of a member of staff as indicated in rule 10, paragraph 2, above.</p> <p>If it is necessary for non-medical prison staff to be present during medical examinations, such staff should be women and examinations shall be carried out in a manner that safeguards privacy, dignity and confidentiality.</p> <p><i>Rule 12</i> Individualized, gender-sensitive, trauma-informed and comprehensive mental health care and rehabilitation programs shall be made available for women prisoners with mental health-care needs in prison or in non-custodial settings.</p> <p><i>Rule 13</i> Prison staff shall be made aware of times when women may feel particular distress, so as to be sensitive to their situation and ensure that the women are provided appropriate support.</p> <p><i>Rule 19</i> Effective measures shall be taken to ensure that women prisoners' dignity and respect are protected during personal searches, which shall only be carried out by women staff who have been properly trained in appropriate searching methods and in accordance with established procedures.</p> <p><i>Rule 20</i> Alternative screening methods, such as scans, shall be developed to replace strip searches and invasive body searches, in order to avoid the harmful psychological and possible physical impact of invasive body searches.</p> <p><i>Rule 22</i> Punishment by close confinement or disciplinary segregation shall not be applied to pregnant women, women with infants and breastfeeding mothers in prison.</p>	<p>Enable essential information about women's backgrounds, such as violence they may have experienced, history of mental disability and substance abuse, as well as parental and other caretaking responsibilities, to be taken into account in the allocation and sentence planning process;</p> <p>Ensure that women's sentence plans include rehabilitative programs and services that match their gender-specific needs;</p> <p>Ensure that those with mental health-care needs are housed in accommodation which is not restrictive, and at the lowest possible security level, and receive appropriate treatment, rather than being placed in higher security level facilities solely due to their mental health problems.</p> <p><i>Rule 44</i> In view of women prisoners' disproportionate experience of domestic violence, they shall be properly consulted as to who, including which family members, is allowed to visit them.</p> <p><i>Rule 56</i> The particular risk of abuse that women face in pretrial detention shall be recognized by relevant authorities, which shall adopt appropriate measures in policies and practice to guarantee such women's safety at this time. (See also rule 58 below, with regard to alternatives to pretrial detention.)</p> <p><i>Rule 60</i> Appropriate resources shall be made available to devise suitable alternatives for women offenders in order to combine non-custodial measures with interventions to address the most common problems leading to women's contact with the criminal justice system. These may include therapeutic courses and counselling for victims of domestic violence and sexual abuse; suitable treatment for those with mental disability; and educational and training programs to improve employment prospects. Such programs shall take account of the need to provide care for children and women-only services.</p>
---	---

Table Two. Critical Findings

Country	Evidence	Number of Documents
North America		
Canada	strip searches, administrative segregation, overreliance on the use of force and control measures, illegal tranquilizers, denial medical care and support services (Chartrand, 2015), presence of male guards (CEDAW, 2016a)	2
Mexico	arbitrary detention and illegal detention (Giacomello, 2020)	1
US	violence against LGBTI (CAT, 2014a) violence against women and systemic failure (Fuentes, 2014; Kelly et al., 2014; Perez, 2014; Bureau of Justice Statistics, 2014; Seddiqui, 2015; Wolff & Shi, 2011) Violence of constitutional proportions and violation of women prisoners' rights against cruel and unusual punishment (Stern, 2018; Kubiak et al., 2017; Harrison, 2020)	10
South America		
Argentina	ill-treatment and invasive body searches (CAT, 2017a; CEDAW, 2016b) violence, vexatious body searches, solitary confinement, denial of food (Cornell Law School's Avon Global Center for Women and Justice and International Human Rights Clinic Defensoría General de la Nación Argentina The University of Chicago Law School International Human Rights Clinic, 2013)	4
Bolivia	non (sex) segregated prisons, sexual victimization (CAT, 2013a)	1
Brazil	limited access to justice, sexual violence (CEDAW, 2012a) multiplicity of hostile and violence acts (Scherer & Scherer, 2011; Gama-Araujo et al., 2020; Batista et al., 2020)	4
Guatemala	high risk of sexual violence facing transgender-people (CAT, 2018)	1
Panama	overcrowding (CEDAW, 2010)	1
Paraguay	GBVAW, especially against transsexual people (CEDAW, 2017a)	1
Uruguay	conditions in prison, male staff behaviour (CEDAW, 2016c)	1

Venezuela	GBVAW (CEDAW, 2014a)	1
Africa		
Benin	non (sex) segregated prisons, lack of access to justice (CEDAW, 2013a)	1
Burundi	overcrowding, poor rations, non sex separation (CEDAW, 2016d)	1
Equatorial Guinea	GBVAW perpetrated by inmates and guards (CEDAW, 2012b)	1
Eritrea	sexual violence (CEDAW, 2020)	1
Ethiopia	horrific conditions, including rapes, ill-treatment, torture (CEDAW, 2019a)	1
Gambia	violence and rape perpetrated by male prisoners and guards (CEDAW, 2015a)	1
Guinea	non sex segregation (CAT, 2014b)	1
Mali	non sex segregation, GBVAW by police and prison staff (CEDAW, 2016e)	1
Mozambique	sexual abuse against women and LSBTI people (CEDAW, 2019b)	1
South Africa	punitive denial of OST (Hopkins, 2017; SANPUD, Metzineres & Harm Reduction International, 2019) consensual sex practices between incarcerated women (Agboola, 2015) women to women rape (Agboola, Kang'ethe & Mohapi, 2020)	1
Zambia	GBVAW, including rape (CEDAW, 2011)	1
Zimbabwe	sexual violence and abuse (Zimbabwe & CEDAW, 2020)	1
Europe		
Norway	risk of sexual violence, lack of healthcare, lack of drug treatment programs (CEDAW, 2017b)	1
Denmark	excessive use of solitary confinement, abuse allegations, ill-treatment, non sex segregation (Nowak, 2009) non sex segregation and missing protecting measures (Denmark, 2011)	2
Swiss	lack of guaranteed segregation (Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung (Bonn), 2015a)	1
France	overcrowding, inadequate health care access, high risks of suicide, forced psychiatric hospitalization (CEDAW, 2016f)	1

Ireland	inter prisoner violence, including sexual violence, violence perpetrated by staff (CAT, 2017b)	1
UK	GBVAW in police detention (Children's Rights Alliance for England, 2013)	1
Italy	lack of healthcare services, sexual harassment by male guards (CEDAW, 2017c)	1
Montenegro	lack of healthcare services, sexual harassment by male guards (CEDAW, 2017d)	1
Bulgaria	excessive use of force and arrest when in pre-trial detention (CAT, 2017c) inadequate access to health care (Šimonović, 2019)	2
Cyprus	overcrowding, lack of privacy / healthcare (CAT, 2019)	1
Turkey	GBVAW in form of sexual violence and torture (CEDAW, 2016g)	1
Ukraine	use of restraints during medical examination (European Court of Human Rights, 2020)	1
Greek	risk of to violence against refugee, migrant and asylum seeking women (CEDAW, 2013b)	1
Spain	concern for the general situation (UN. Human Rights Council. Working Group on Discrimination against Women in Law and in Practice, 2015) invasive body searches, excessive prescription of psychotropic drugs (SANPUD, Metzineers & Harm Reduction International, 2019)	2
Asia & Pacific Region		
Australia	sexual violence, strip searches, insufficient access to healthcare (CEDAW, 2018)	1
Armenia	concern about proportionality of sentences for women (UN. Human Rights Council. Working Group on Arbitrary Detention, 2010)	1
Cambodia	violent abuses by prison management, non sex segregation, male prison guards (CAT, 2011) poor conditions in pre-trial detention (CEDAW, 2019c)	2
China	overcrowding, risk of violence, concerns regarding extra-legal detention facilities (CEDAW, 2014b)	1
India	lack of adequate protection measures, lack of medical care (Manjoo & UN. Human Rights Council. Special Rapporteur on Violence against women, 2014)	1
Indonesia	sexual abuse in police detention, abuse (CEDAW, 2012c)	1

Japan	overcrowding, use of restraint (CAT, 2013b)	1
Korea	vulnerable to sexual violence, no adequate complaint mechanism, death detention, forced abortion, deprived of a fair trial (CEDAW, 2017f)	1
Papua New Guinea	non sex segregation in police custody, risk of collective rapes, sexual and other abuses in exchange for favors, forced to perform domestic work, lack of medical care and basic needs (UN. Human Rights Council. Special Rapporteur in Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, 2011)	1
Tajikistan	poor conditions (UN. Human Rights Committee, 2019)	1
Thailand	overcrowding, ill resourced prison settings, invasive body searches (CEDAW, 2017e)	1
Turkmenistan	violence, physical and psychological pressure, abuse (including rape) (CAT, 2017d)	1
Uzbekistan	lack of conducive environment lodging complaints, sexual humiliation, sexual violence by public officials, forced sterilization, ill-treatment, abuse (CEDAW, 2015b)	1
Middle East		
Afghanistan	poor conditions, solitary confinement for long periods (CAT, 2017e)	1
Iraq	allegations of gender-based violence, including torture, ill-treatment and rape (Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung (Bonn), 2015b)	1
Israel	limited access to justice for Palestinian women (CEDAW, 2017g)	1
Libya	sexual violence from non-state actors and guards (UN. Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, 2016)	1
Syria	rape, sexual violence, GBVAW (CEDAW, 2014c)	1
Yemen	rape, sexual violence, GBVAW (CAT, 2010)	1

Table Three. Global Implications for Penal Reform and Monitoring of Standards

Penal policy
Address the invisible nature of women in prison and correctional policies at the government and regional levels.
Enhance visibility of the Bangkok Rules and the rights assurances of women in policy and regional reports.
Strive to ensure sex segregation, minimum standards of care and reasonable safe accommodation are provided.
Strive to eliminate all forms of custodial violence.
Technical assistance for enhanced prison systems
Address the invisible nature of women in prison and correctional procedures through staff training and awareness raising.
Support vigilance against all forms of custodial violence in practice and facilitate disclosure for those affected.
Ensure all who work in the custodial setting are aware of women’s exposure to GBVAW, exploitation and trauma related pathways into crime (largely poverty or drug related)
Ensure that incarcerated women have access to gender specific medical care, trauma- informed and trauma responsive mental health supports.
Ensure that non-custodial sentences are applied where possible for minor or non -violent offences, alongside other prison decongestion measures.
Research, surveillance and monitoring
Encourage continued research activity in the field of prison health worldwide.
Encourage continued independent inspections, monitoring and surveillance of prison standards worldwide.