



Titre: Title:	Effect of ozonation on anaerobic digestion sludge activity and viability
Auteurs: Authors:	Jaime Alexis Chacana Olivares, Sanaz Alizadeh, Marc-André Labelle, Antoine Laporte, Jalal Hawari et Yves Comeau
Date:	2017
Type:	Article de revue / Journal article
Référence: Citation:	Chacana Olivares, J. A., Alizadeh, S., Labelle, M.-A., Laporte, A., Hawari, J. & Comeau, Y. (2017). Effect of ozonation on anaerobic digestion sludge activity and viability. <i>Chemosphere</i> , 176, p. 405-411. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.02.108



Document en libre accès dans PolyPublie

Open Access document in PolyPublie

URL de PolyPublie: PolyPublie URL:	https://publications.polymtl.ca/9075/
Version:	Version finale avant publication / Accepted version Révisé par les pairs / Refereed
Conditions d'utilisation: Terms of Use:	CC BY-NC-ND



Document publié chez l'éditeur officiel

Document issued by the official publisher

Titre de la revue: Journal Title:	Chemosphere (vol. 176)
Maison d'édition: Publisher:	Elsevier
URL officiel: Official URL:	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.02.108
Mention légale: Legal notice:	

**Ce fichier a été téléchargé à partir de PolyPublie,
le dépôt institutionnel de Polytechnique Montréal**

This file has been downloaded from PolyPublie, the
institutional repository of Polytechnique Montréal

<http://publications.polymtl.ca>

1 **EFFECT OF OZONATION ON ANAEROBIC DIGESTION SLUDGE ACTIVITY**
2 **AND VIABILITY**

3 *Chemosphere*, 176: 405-411. dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.02.108

4 Jaime Chacana^{1*}, Sanaz Alizadeh¹, Marc-André Labelle^{1,2}, Antoine Laporte³, Jalal
5 Hawari¹, Benoit Barbeau¹, Yves Comeau¹

6 ¹Polytechnique Montreal, ²WSP, ³City of Repentigny

7 *jaime.chacana@polymtl.ca

8 **ABSTRACT**

9 The effect of ozonation of anaerobic sludge on methane production was studied as
10 a means to increase the capacity of municipal anaerobic digesters. Ozone doses
11 ranging between 0 to 192 mg O₃/g sludge COD were evaluated in batch tests with
12 a bench scale ozonation unit. Ozonation initially, and temporarily, reduced biomass
13 viability and acetoclastic methanogenic activity, resulting in an initial lag phase
14 ranging from 0.8 to 10 days. Following this lag phase, ozonation enhanced
15 methane production with an optimal methane yield attained at 86 mg O₃/g COD.
16 Under these conditions, the yield of methane and the rate of its formation were
17 52% and 95% higher, respectively, than those measured without ozonation. A
18 required optimal ozone dose could be feasible to improve the anaerobic digestion
19 performance by increasing the methane production potential with a minimum
20 impact on microbial activity; thus, it would enable an increase in the capacity of
21 anaerobic digesters.

22 *Keywords:* Anaerobic digestion, sludge, ozone, extracellular polymeric substances,
23 mechanisms.

24 **1. Introduction**

25 Anaerobic digestion (AD) of primary and secondary sludge is commonly used for
26 sludge reduction, stabilization and energy recovery at municipal water resource
27 recovery facilities (WRRFs) (Appels et al., 2008). Sludge consists of a polymeric
28 network of organic and inorganic compounds, however, its actual composition
29 depends on the source of the sludge (Sheng et al., 2010). The presence of these
30 chemicals, including extracellular polymeric substances (EPS), e.g.
31 polysaccharides, proteins, lipids strongly influence the hydrolysis of sludge during
32 anaerobic digestion (Sheng et al., 2010). The hydrolysis of sludge requires long
33 hydraulic retention times (20 to 30 days), leading to moderate degradation
34 efficiencies (30 to 50%), translating into large volume digesters and high capital
35 expenditures (Foladori et al., 2010a).

36 Usually, the main factor limiting anaerobic digestion is the hydrolysis of particulate
37 matter. Improving anaerobic digestion through enhancing rate-limiting hydrolysis
38 can increase the degradability leading to improve anaerobic digestion performance
39 (Appels et al., 2008). A variety of treatment techniques have been studied to
40 enhance sludge hydrolysis by using thermal, chemical, mechanical and other
41 biological processes (Appels et al., 2008). Ozonation is one of the preferred
42 chemical treatments, which permits sludge reduction and it is effective in
43 enhancing methane production via the oxidation and solubilisation of sludge
44 (Weemaes et al., 2000). Ozonation of activated sludge prior to anaerobic digestion

45 (pre-ozonation) effectively enhances its anaerobic biodegradability, but it is not
46 effective with primary sludge (Carrère et al., 2010). Alternatively, the ozonation of
47 digested sludge in the recirculation loop of the anaerobic digester (post-ozonation)
48 has been shown to produce a significant increase in methane production (Battimelli
49 et al., 2003).

50 Previous studies demonstrated that ozonation has great potential to increase
51 biodegradation of activated sludge (Appels et al., 2008), but other studies showed
52 evidence of biomass destruction (Labelle et al., 2011; Chiellini et al., 2014). Further
53 investigation is required to establish the potential linkage between ozonation of
54 anaerobic digested sludge, methane production, and its biological response. A
55 better understanding of the mechanisms of sludge ozonation and its impact on
56 methane production and biological response may allow for better operational
57 control and design of an anaerobic digestion process integrated with post-
58 ozonation.

59 The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of ozonation on the methane
60 production of anaerobic digested sludge, including the mechanisms involved in this
61 process. The specific objectives were to evaluate the impact of ozonation on the
62 methane yield and methane production rate in batch tests, and to evaluate the
63 microbial response of ozonated sludge by monitoring the microbial cell integrity,
64 the metabolism behaviour (key enzyme), the acetoclastic methane activity and the
65 intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) formed for various ozone dosages.

66

67 2. Material and method

68 2.1. Sludge ozonation

69 Anaerobic digested sludge was obtained from the Repentigny WRRF (Quebec)
70 which treats 25 000 m³/d using a chemically enhanced primary treatment (CEPT)
71 process and stabilizes the sludge in a completely mixed mesophilic (35°C)
72 anaerobic digester with a hydraulic retention time of 19 days. The collected sludge
73 was passed through a 5 mm sieve to remove large debris, and then stored at 4°C
74 until further use.

75 Ozone was generated by a pure oxygen ozone generator (Peak 2X, Pinnacle,
76 USA). Ozonation of digested sludge was performed in a batch reactor. The gas
77 flow rate was 6 L STP/min with an ozone mass concentration of about 12% by
78 weight. The transferred ozone dose (mg/L) was calculated from the difference
79 between the mass of ozone transferred (mass fed to the reactor minus the mass in
80 the off gas) divided by the volume of sludge. Ozone dosages were normalized as
81 mg O₃/mg COD by dividing the transferred ozone dosage by the initial total COD
82 content of the sample.

83 Sludge ozonation was conducted on volumes of 2.2 L of digested sludge fed in a
84 3.8 L column and operated at room temperature. Using a peristaltic pump
85 operating at a flowrate of 6 L/min, the sludge was recirculated through a venturi
86 (484X, Mazzei, USA) where ozone was injected continuously. Higher ozone
87 dosages required longer recirculation time. The contact time ranged from 0.0 to 6.1
88 minutes for ozone doses between 0 to 192 mg O₃/g COD. Sludge samples were

89 periodically collected during the operation of the ozonation system. Additionally, a
90 control was prepared to evaluate the effect of treatment without ozone injection.

91 2.2. Analytical methods

92 2.2.1. Ozone measurements

93 The inlet ozone concentration was measured using an ultraviolet ozone meter
94 (BMT 964, BMT Messtechnik GmbH, Germany) while ozone in the off gas was
95 measured using the standard KI method (Rakness, 2005). Dissolved ozone was
96 not measured; it was considered negligible as it was never detected during
97 preliminary tests.

98 2.2.2. EPS extraction and quantification

99 EPS were extracted from the control and ozonated samples based on the method
100 of EPS extraction of Liu and Fang. (2002) and Yu et al. (2008). First, 15 mL of the
101 sample was centrifuged at 2 000 g for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was
102 collected and filtered (S-Pak 0.45 µm filter, Millipore, USA) to measure soluble
103 EPS. The sludge pellet was re-suspended to its original volume using a phosphate
104 buffer saline (PBS) solution supplemented with 90 µL of formaldehyde (36.5% v/v)
105 and then incubated at 4°C for 1 hour under agitation. The suspension was
106 centrifuged at 5 000 g for 15 min at 4°C and the supernatant was collected and
107 filtered (0.45 µm) for measuring the loosely bound EPS (LB-EPS). The remaining
108 sludge pellet was re-suspended again with a PBS solution to its original volume
109 and incubated for 3 h at 4°C after the addition of 6 mL of a 1 M NaOH solution. The
110 suspension was then centrifuged at 12 000 g for 15 min at 4°C, the decanted

111 supernatant contained the tightly bound EPS fraction (TB-EPS). The residual
112 sludge pellet was re-suspended once again with a PBS solution to its original
113 volume (pellet fraction).

114 Proteins and polysaccharides were then measured in the samples before
115 extraction and in soluble EPS, LB-EPS, TB-EPS and pellet fraction. The protein
116 content in the samples was determined using the bicinchoninic acid (BAC) method
117 (Pierce© BCA Protein Assay Kit, Thermo Scientific, USA) with bovine serum
118 albumin (BSA) as the standard. The polysaccharide content of the extracts was
119 analyzed by the phenol-sulfuric acid method using glucose as a standard. Proteins
120 and polysaccharides were measured by a microplate reader (Synergy-HT, BioTek,
121 USA). Excitation–emission matrix (EEM) fluorescence spectra were obtained from
122 the extracts using a luminescence spectrometry (RF-5301pc, Shimadzu, Japan).
123 Samples for EEM analysis were diluted to a final COD of 30 mg COD/L with Milli-Q
124 water. The EEM spectra were collected with the scanning emission spectra (Em)
125 from 220 to 550 nm at 1 nm intervals by varying the excitation wavelengths (Ex)
126 from 220 to 400 nm at 10 nm sampling intervals. Excitation and emission slits were
127 set to 5 nm.

128 2.2.3. Biochemical methane potential

129 Methane yield and acetoclastic activity were evaluated by measuring the
130 biochemical methane potential (BMP) in 160 mL serological bottles incubated at
131 35°C based on Saha et al. (2011). A gas manometer (DG25, Ashcroft, USA) was
132 used to measure the biogas production and the methane gas content was
133 quantified with a gas chromatograph (GC-456, Bruker, USA) equipped with a

134 thermal conductivity detector (150°C). The modified Gompertz model was applied
135 to the cumulative methane production data to determine the maximum methane
136 production rate in the samples (Lay et al., 1996). Methane yield was evaluated
137 without substrate addition, and the acetoclastic activity test was fed with sodium
138 acetate solution. The methane production was evaluated at the standard
139 temperature and pressure (STP) of 0°C and 1 atm.

140 2.2.4. Characterization of biological response

141 Bacterial viability of anaerobic sludge was evaluated using the Live/Dead *BaClight*
142 bacterial viability kit (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen, Kit L13152) and the microplate
143 reader (Synergy-HT, BioTek, USA) using the modified protocol of Chen et al.
144 (2012). The fluorescence intensity of the stained bacterial suspensions (F_{cell}) was
145 determined at an excitation of 488 nm and detection at 635 nm (red) and 530 nm
146 (green), for red-fluorescent nucleic acid stain propidium iodide (PI) and green-
147 fluorescent nucleic acid stain SYTO 9, respectively. The green/red fluorescence
148 ratios ($R_{G/R}$) were used to compare the bacterial inactivation triggered by different
149 doses of ozone. Different proportions of fresh sludge (optimal viable cells) and
150 positive control, inactivated cells with alcohol treatment (2-propanol, 70%), were
151 used as standards. The viability calibration curve was obtained by linear regression
152 of the green/red fluorescence ratio ($R_{G/R}$) vs the percentage of viable cells.

153 The dehydrogenase activity was quantified by the protocol described by Von Mersi
154 and Schinner (1991). The technique uses soluble and colorless 2-(4-Iodophenyl)-3-
155 (4-nitrophenyl)-5-phenyl-2H-tetrazolium chloride (INT) reduction to the red
156 insoluble idonitrotetrazolium formazan (INF) as a tracer of active bacterial

157 electron transport systems (Caravelli et al., 2004). Briefly, triplicates of sludge
158 samples (0.5 g) were spiked with 0.75 mL of TRIS buffer (1M; pH 7.0) and 1 mL of
159 0.5% INT solution (9.88 mM), slightly mixed using a vortex for 30 seconds. After 2
160 hours incubation at 40 °C in the dark, the intracellular INT crystals were extracted
161 with 5 mL ethanol/N,N-dimethylformamide solution (1/1 v/v) and incubated for 1 h
162 at 40 °C in the dark. The concentration of developed formazan in the retained
163 supernatant of sludge was determined by a UV/vis spectrophotometer at 464 nm
164 using the extraction solution, ethanol/N,N-dimethylformamide solution (1/1 v/v) as
165 reference blank. INT-electron transport system activity was calculated using the
166 modified equation proposed by Yin et al. (2005) (equation 1)

$$\text{INT-ETSA} = D_{464} \cdot V / k_i \cdot W \cdot t \quad (1)$$

167 Where INT-ETSA is the INT-electron transport system activity (mg INTF/g
168 biomass/h), D_{464} is the absorbance of the supernatant at 464 nm; V is volume of
169 solvent (mL), k_i is the slope of standard curve of absorbance at 485 nm vs INTF
170 concentration (O.D. mL/mg INTF), W is the weight of biomass (g) and t is the
171 incubation time (h).

172 ROS was determined using an established fluorescence assay (You et al., 2015).
173 The sludge samples were rinsed three times with 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4)
174 and the pellets were re-suspended in 0.1 M phosphate buffer containing 50 μ M
175 dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (H2DCF-DA, Molecular Probes, Invitrogen).
176 The resulting mixture was incubated at $25 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ in the dark for 30 min. The

177 generated fluorescent fluorescein DCF was measured using a microplate reader
178 (Synergy-HT, BioTek, USA) at excitation of 488 nm and emission of 525 nm.

179 2.2.5. Other analytical methods

180 Chemical oxygen demand (COD) was measured using the HACH method (HACH
181 Reactor Digestion Method 8000). Soluble COD was determined on centrifuged
182 (10 000 g, 10 min) and filtered (S-Pak 0.45 µm filter, Millipore, USA) samples.

183 The morphology of blank and ozonated sludge were visualized using a scanning
184 electron microscope (SEM, JEOL JSM7600F). The sample preparation procedure
185 was adapted from Sheng et al. (2011). Sludge sample preparation included the
186 fixation with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in phosphate buffer for 30 min, followed by serial
187 ethanol dehydration. The gold-coated samples were observed with a high-
188 resolution SEM equipped with a field emission gun at a resolution of 1.4 nm at 1 kV
189 and an accelerating voltage of 0.1 to 30 kV.

190 2.6. Statistical analysis

191 Anaerobic biodegradability tests and EPS extraction were conducted in duplicate,
192 3D-EEM tests without replication and the other analyses in triplicate. The Student's
193 t-test was used to compare the quantitative variables considering a p value < 0.05
194 to be statistically significant. A nonlinear optimization by least squares procedure
195 was applied to calculate the maximum methane production by the Modified
196 Gompertz model (Lay et al., 1996).


197

198 3. Results and discussion


199 3.1. Effect of ozonation on COD solubilization and mineralization

200 The impact of ozonation on total COD was shown in Figure 1A. During ozonation,
201 total COD was reduced from 15.0 to 12.3 g COD/L. This was a decrease of
202 approximately 18% at 192 mg O₃/g COD. The decrease of COD by ozonation
203 could be attributed mostly to the complete oxidation of a portion of the organic
204 compounds to CO₂ and water (mineralization); this is based on previous studies for
205 ozonation of activated sludge that reported a decrease of total organic carbon
206 (TOC) similar to the reduction of COD, and also an increase of CO₂ in the residual
207 gas of ozone reactor (Weemaes et al., 2000; Déléris, 2001).

208 Soluble COD increased significantly from 1.13 to 3.31 g COD/L (157 mg O₃/g
209 COD) during ozonation, representing a solubilization of 15.7% (Figure 1A). Higher
210 ozone doses resulted in an apparent decrease in the solubilized COD which may
211 be due to increased mineralization. Solubilization effects observed in this study are
212 consistent with the study of Weemaes et al. (2000) who reported 29% increase in
213 COD solubilization of sludge exposed to 200 mg O₃/g COD. A comparison of the
214 efficiency of sludge solubilization and mineralization in different studies is difficult
215 since the performance depends on several factors including ozone injection
216 conditions, ozone dosage and sludge characteristics (Foladori et al., 2010a). No
217 significant solubilization and COD decrease were observed in the control.



218
219



220

221 Figure 1: Effect of ozone dose and contact time on COD and methane production –
 222 (A) total COD (●) and (▲) soluble COD; (B) Methane yield of ozonated sludge (●)
 223 and Gompertz maximum production rate (▲).

224

225 3.2. Effect of ozonation on methane production

226 The efficiency of ozonation on methane yield was evaluated in BMP assays using
227 ozonated sludges and controls (Figure 1B). Ozonation leads to a significant
228 increase in methane production and reaching a maximum yield of 123 mL STP
229 CH₄/g COD for an ozone dose of 86 mg O₃/g COD. In the absence of ozone,
230 methane production did not exceed 81 mL STP CH₄/g COD. The composition of
231 the biogas was not impacted significantly during ozonation. The average
232 composition of the biogas in both ozonated sludges and controls was 71.3%, 28.6,
233 and 0.05% for CH₄, CO₂ and H₂, respectively. These experimental findings
234 demonstrated that ozonation could increase methane production. Interestingly,
235 using doses of ozone higher than 86 mg O₃/g COD reduced the improvement in
236 methane production. Similar behavior was reported by Weemaes et al. (2000), who
237 found an optimal methane production for an ozone dose of 100 mg O₃/g COD
238 (80%), but also a higher ozone dose reduced the positive effect on methane
239 production (30%) for activated sludge mixed with primary sludge.

240 The maximum methane production rate of samples was determined by fitting the
241 cumulative methane production data to the modified Gompertz model (Lay et al.,
242 1996). A good agreement between the experimental data and the modified
243 Gompertz model ($R^2 > 0.95$) was obtained. The maximum methane production rate
244 was 2.2 mL STP CH₄·g COD⁻¹·d⁻¹ for an ozone dose of 86 mg O₃/g COD,
245 representing an increase of 94.5% relative to the untreated sludge (Figure 1B).
246 Ozone doses between 122 to 192 mg O₃/g COD did not change significantly the
247 maximum methane production rate compared to the untreated sample. The

248 maximum methane production rates of the current study are low compared to
249 Weemaes et al. (2000). These authors observed a methane production rate of 4.3
250 mL STP CH₄·g COD⁻¹·d⁻¹ for untreated sludge, while for the optimal ozone dose,
251 the production rate was 9.1 mL STP CH₄·g COD⁻¹·d⁻¹. This difference may be due
252 to the type of sludge used. Digested sludge has a low biodegradability since the
253 anaerobic digester has already removed readily biodegradable matter.


254 Ozonation can induce the release of soluble substances into the aqueous phase,
255 this phenomenon increases the accessibility of compounds to microorganisms, and
256 therefore, improves the anaerobic biodegradability of ozonated samples. The
257 maximum ozone dose tested (192 mg O₃/g COD) reduced methane yield and the
258 methane production rate, probably due to the complete oxidation of solubilized
259 matter caused by the mineralization. Therefore, mineralization should be
260 minimized, while organic matter solubilization should be maximised to enhance
261 methane production (Weemaes et al. 2000; Carballa et al., 2007).

262 3.3. Effect of ozonation on EPS


263 The effect of ozonation on the protein and polysaccharide content from different
264 extracted EPS fractions and pellets of anaerobic digested sludge is shown in
265 Figure 2A. For the un-ozonated sludge, the total content of proteins and
266 polysaccharides were 6.6 and 1.8 g/L, respectively, with almost 85% of both
267 polymer substances found in the pellet remaining after centrifugation, while the
268 bound EPS and soluble EPS accounted for only 8.6% and 6.2%, respectively. The
269 ratio of proteins and polysaccharides of extracted EPS (soluble EPS and bound

270 EPS) was 1.84, as compared with the reported ratios of 1.1 to 2.8 for digested
271 sludge (Morgan et al., 1990).


272



273



274



275

276 Figure 2: (A) Determination and distribution of EPS (proteins and polysaccharides)
 277 in extracted EPS fractions and pellet of digested sludge for an ozone dose
 278 between 0 to 192 mg O₃/g COD, (B) effect of ozonation on protein and
 279 polysaccharide content, (C) Correlation between soluble EPS and soluble COD.

280 A non-significant change in protein concentration was observed for ozone doses
281 between 0 to 157 mg O₃/g COD (Figure 2B). However, the protein content was
282 reduced by 27% for an ozone dose of 192 mg O₃/g COD. Oxidation can cause
283 structural modification of proteins ranging from fragmentation of the polypeptide
284 backbone to aggregation by cross-linking between amino acid residues (Davies,
285 2005). Furthermore, ozone can oxidize amino acid residues, such as cysteine,
286 tryptophan and tyrosine (Cataldo, 2003; Meng et al., 2016) which should usually be
287 quantified by the BCA method (Wiechelman et al., 1988). However, the by-
288 products of oxidation could not be quantified as proteins.

289 As for polysaccharides, no significant decrease in content was noted for doses up
290 to 192 mg O₃/g COD (Figure 2B). Polysaccharides were reported to react weakly
291 with ozone (Bablon et al., 1991). This is expected knowing that proteins have more
292 reactive functional groups (-NH₂, -SH, -COOH, amide linkages) than
293 polysaccharides (mostly -OH and ether linkages). Ozonation of β-D-glycosidic
294 linkages in polysaccharides leads to selective depolymerisation into short chain
295 polysaccharides and oligosaccharides (Wang et al., 1999). Using the phenol-
296 sulfuric acid method, these oligosaccharides will be detected as polysaccharides,
297 thereby, the total sugar content will remain constant.

298 For the pellet residues, measured amounts of proteins and polysaccharides were
299 significantly reduced during ozonation from 7.1 to 3.8 g/L at 192 mg O₃/g COD.
300 Total content of proteins and polysaccharides reduced from 8.3 to 6.5 g/L using an
301 ozone dose of 192 mg O₃/g COD. TB-EPS, LB-EPS and soluble-EPS content of
302 the sludge changed significantly upon exposure to ozone compared to the non

303 ozonated sample. TB-EPS decreased from 0.37 to 0.29 g/L for an ozone dose of
304 192 mg O₃/g COD whereas the amount of LB-EPS and soluble-EPS increased
305 linearly from 0.34 to 0.52 g/L (R² = 0.71) and 0.52 to 1.9 g/L (R² = 0.98),
306 respectively.

307 Ozonation was found to have a significant effect on the distribution of proteins and
308 polysaccharides in various fractions of the digested sludge. Initially, 85% of
309 proteins and polysaccharides were concentrated in the pellet fraction, but after
310 ozonation 59% remained in the pellet (192 mg O₃/g COD). On the other hand,
311 proteins and polysaccharides in the soluble fraction increased from 6.2 to 29%
312 after ozonation (192 mg O₃/g COD).

313 During ozonation, the concentration of EPS in the soluble layer increased while the
314 amount of proteins and polysaccharides from the pellet was reduced as the ozone
315 dose was increased suggesting that ozonation causes the release of EPS from the
316 inner layer to the outer layer. Protein release to the soluble phase was higher than
317 that of polysaccharides. The increase in EPS content in the soluble layer correlated
318 with the COD solubilization (Figure 2C). These results suggest that ozonation
319 disintegrates sludge flocs and releases COD, proteins and polysaccharides from
320 the pellet into the soluble phase. The control showed that mechanical friction of the
321 pump did not cause any significant effect on the protein and polysaccharide
322 content and its distribution in the different fractions.

323 Three-dimensional EEM spectroscopy was applied to characterize the EPS
324 extracted from untreated and treated sludge (192 mg O₃/g COD). Peaks at four
325 different locations were identified according to the literature (Chen et al., 2003).

326 The fluorescence peak positions and fluorescence intensity of the different EPS
 327 fractions are detailed in Table 1 and Figure S1 (Supplementary Information). The
 328 peaks were associated with the presence of aromatic amino acids, e.g.
 329 tryptophane in proteins (peak A), fulvic acid-like (peak B), soluble microbial by-
 330 products-like (peak C) and humic acid-like (peak D). EEM intensities of peaks
 331 tended to decrease after ozonation. Intensity reduction of the fluorescence peaks
 332 can be an indication of oxidation and removal of some of the molecular
 333 functionalities responsible for fluorescence. Although protein content increased in
 334 soluble EPS and LB-EPS, tryptophan and tyrosine are susceptible to oxidation by
 335 ozone, thus, reducing the intensity of fluorescence peaks A and C (Figure S1).

336 Table 1: Impact of ozonation on peak intensities of the fluorescence spectra for
 337 soluble EPS, LB-EPS, and TB-EPS fractions of anaerobic digested sludge (A =
 338 tryptophan, B = fulvic acid-like, C = soluble microbial by-products-like, and
 339 D=humic acid-like)

EPS fractions	Ozone dose mg O ₃ /g COD	Peak intensities			
		A	B	C	D
Soluble	0	340	1000	270	880
	192	200	540	140	720
LB-EPS	0	220	440	200	300
	192	67	180	140	180
TB-EPS	0	860	970	910	570
	192	490	590	650	430

340 3.4. Observations of samples by scanning electron microscopy


341 SEM observations revealed a distinct difference in the morphology of the control
342 and the ozone treated sludge floc (Figure S2, Supplementary Information). The
343 untreated sludge samples consisted of smooth, dense and integrated structures,
344 with embedded cells in the sludge matrix. As the ozone dose increased, more
345 irregular porous and rough surface structures were observed in the treated
346 samples. Surface deformation and sludge floc disaggregation were observed in
347 sludge samples treated with a dose higher than 86 mg O₃/g COD. The morphology
348 modification of sludge agrees with the alteration of sludge properties, such as EPS,
349 which was confirmed by the release of soluble proteins.

350 3.5. Effect of ozonation on viability, enzymatic activity, ROS production and
351 acetoclastic activity of anaerobic sludge


352 3.5.1. Viability and dehydrogenase activity assay

353 Modified microbial activity of anaerobic sludge following ozonation was
354 characterized by the determination of the biomass viability and the dehydrogenase
355 activity (Figure 3A). The primary ozone dose of 49 mg O₃/g COD inhibited by 57%
356 the relative viability of cells. Ozone treatment between 49 and 122 mg O₃/g COD
357 significantly tailed off the viable biomass with intact membrane, coupled with a
358 higher ratio of inactivated cells. The ozone treatment at doses higher than 157 mg
359 O₃/g COD resulted in significant lysis of biomass with a relative viability of less
360 than 5%. Therefore, significant inactivation of active biomass was observed by
361 ozonation at all tested doses. Membrane integrity defines the potential metabolic
362 activity of the intact cells; therefore, cells with damaged membranes can be
363 classified as permeabilized/dead cells (Foladori et al., 2010b). The influence of

364 ozonation on bacterial viability consists of progressive degradation initiated with the
365 physical alteration of membrane permeability and cell integrity, followed by the lysis
366 reaction (Thanomsub et al., 2002). The bacterial cell membrane is comprised
367 dominantly of lipids with abundant C=C double bonds as well as proteins (Winter et
368 al., 2008; Arts et al., 2015). Ozone is a strong electrophile and thus, can easily
369 react with unsaturated lipids via their nucleophilic –C=C– functionality leading to
370 cellular membrane decomposition and the release of cellular components,
371 including EPS. It has been reported that oxidation of C=C double bonds in lipids
372 forms malondialdehyde (MDA) (Han et al., 2016) causing decomposition of the
373 cellular membranes resulting in cell disruption and subsequent leakage of cellular
374 contents (Foladori et al., 2010b).



375



376

377

Figure 3: Effect of ozone dose on (A) relative viability, dehydrogenase activity, and

378

(B) intracellular ROS production.

379


380 3.5.2. Intracellular ROS production

381 Ozonation induced ROS in treated sludge at each ozone dose (Figure 3B).
382 Intracellular ROS augmented with an increase in ozone dosage. The ROS
383 concentration was 46 times higher than the control at the highest ozone
384 concentration of 192 mg O₃/g COD. The phenolic and olefinic groups and proteins
385 in the lipid bilayers of the bacterial cell wall are the primary oxidative sites leading
386 to the formation of ROS, such as hydroxyl radicals (OH·), peroxides (RCOO·) and
387 superoxide radical anions (O-O·) (Pryor et al., 1991). Subsequent reactions of
388 ROS with cellular components, such as lipids, proteins and nucleic acid leads to
389 cell disruption and decomposition and causing the release of intracellular
390 components (Baier et al., 2005). Thus, the significantly higher intracellular ROS
391 above 86 mg O₃/g COD confirms the potential of oxidative stress to trigger cell
392 membrane damage and enzyme inhibition for ozonated sludge.


393 3.5.3. Acetoclastic methane activity

394 The acetoclastic methanogenic activity of sludge was used to determine the effect
395 of ozonation on the anaerobic biodegradability of sludge. The acetoclastic
396 methanogenic yield of control and ozonated sludge are illustrated in Figure 4A.
397 Acetoclastic activity after short-term exposure to ozone showed a lag phase, which
398 increased as ozone dose increased. The initial inhibition of acetoclastic activity was
399 consistent with the significant decrease of dehydrogenase enzymatic activity and
400 loss of intact viable cells measured at the beginning of experiment. Similarly, the
401 complete inhibition of respiratory activity of activated sludge has been reported at
402 100 mg O₃/g TSS (Chu et al., 2008). However, approximately 95% of the

403 theoretical methane production (350 mL STD CH₄/g COD) was achieved in the
404 samples over 14 days despite the presence of a lag phase of 0.8 to 10 days in the
405 initiation of activity for all ozonated sludge. Furthermore, the dehydrogenase
406 activity of sludge increased during the incubation (192 mg O₃/g COD) (Figure 4B).
407 The cell membrane disintegration, alteration of permeability and interaction of
408 membrane proteins and lipids with ozone can inhibit the acetoclastic activity of
409 sludge. The extension of the activity test, up to 80 days, demonstrated the recovery
410 of microbial activity of ozonated sludge due to the potential recovery of the
411 bacterial community.



412



413

414 Figure 4: (A) Impact of exposure to ozone on acetoclastic methanogenic activity of
 415 anaerobic sludge, and (B) comparison of dehydrogenase activities and acetoclastic
 416 activity for D0 and D5 (D0=0 mg O₃/g COD, D1=49 mg O₃/g COD, D2=86 mg O₃/g
 417 COD, D3=122 mg O₃/g COD, D4=157 mg O₃/g COD, D5=192 mg O₃/g COD, B5=
 418 control).

419

420 3.6. Potential mechanisms of improving of anaerobic biodegradability
421 Ozonation was shown to increase the solubilization of sludge mainly via partial
422 disintegration/solubilization of the sludge matrix and damage to the cell membrane
423 integrity. Ozonation can disintegrate the sludge matrix and release COD, proteins
424 and polysaccharides from the pellet into the soluble phase, thereby, promoting the
425 enhancement of methane production during anaerobic digestion. Furthermore, the
426 reduction in viability of the sample suggests that the broken cells can release
427 intracellular matter into the solution. The enhancement in methane production may
428 not only be ascribed to solubilization and it also can be influenced by the increase
429 of the biodegradability of organic products generated during ozonation, e.g. the
430 products of oxidation by ozone of olefins and aromatic compounds are more
431 biodegradable than their parent compounds (Hübner et al., 2015). As a result of
432 the increase in solubilization and biodegradability, anaerobic degradation can be
433 enhanced, improving methane yield and accelerating digestion time. An overdose
434 of ozone can reduce the methane production potential, probably due to the
435 potential mineralization of the solubilization matter. Additionally, an overdose of
436 ozone can minimize the viability of anaerobic biomass and enzymatic activity which
437 could have a negative impact on the stability of anaerobic digesters in a post-
438 treatment configuration.

439

440 **4. Conclusions**

441 The effect of ozonation on anaerobic digested sludge and its impact on microbial
442 response were evaluated by monitoring methane production, EPS, microbial
443 activity, viability and ROS. The EPS matrix was impacted by ozonation, resulting in
444 the release of COD, proteins and polysaccharides into the soluble phase.
445 Ozonation, initially and temporarily, reduced biomass viability and activity, but
446 following this lag phase, ozonation enhanced methane production. The optimized
447 ozone dose of 86 mg O₃/g COD increased the methane yield up to 52% and the
448 methane production rate up to 95%. Therefore, ozonation could be used to
449 increase the capacity of anaerobic digesters.

450 **Acknowledgements**

451 This study was funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council
452 of Canada (NSERC), Veolia, EnviroSim and the City of Repentigny. We thank the
453 Comisión Nacional de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica (CONICYT, Chile) for
454 the awarded Ph.D. fellowship. The authors also thank Pinnacle LLC (USA) for their
455 technical contribution and for providing the ozone generator required to perform
456 this study.

457

458

459

460

461 **References**

- 462 Appels, L., Baeyens, J., Degève, J., & Dewil, R. (2008). Principles and potential of
463 the anaerobic digestion of waste-activated sludge. *Progress in Energy and*
464 *Combustion Science*, 34(6), 755-781.
- 465 Arts, I. S., Gennaris, A., & Collet, J.-F. (2015). Reducing systems protecting the
466 bacterial cell envelope from oxidative damage. *FEBS Letters*, 589(14), 1559-
467 1568.
- 468 Bablon, G., Bellamy, W. D., Bourbigot, M. M., Daniel, F. B., Doré, M., Erb, F.,
469 Gordon, G., Langlais, B., Laplanche, A., Legube, B., Martin, G., Masschelein,
470 W. J. Pacey, G., Reckhow, D. A., & Ventresque, C. (1991). Fundamental
471 aspects. In B. Langlais, D. A. Reckhow, & D. R. Brink, *Ozone in water*
472 *treatment: application and engineering : cooperative research report* (pp. 11-
473 132). Chelsea, Michigan: Lewis Publishers.
- 474 Baier, M., Kandlbinder, A., Gollack, D., & Dietz, K. J. (2005). Oxidative stress and
475 ozone: perception, signalling and response. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 28(8),
476 1012-1020.
- 477 Battimelli, A., Millet, C., Delgenes, J., & Moletta, R. (2003). Anaerobic digestion of
478 waste activated sludge combined with ozone post-treatment and recycling.
479 *Water Science and Technology*, 48(4), 61-68.
- 480 Caravelli, A., Giannuzzi, L., & Zaritzky, N. (2004). Effect of chlorine on filamentous
481 microorganisms present in activated sludge as evaluated by respirometry and
482 INT-dehydrogenase activity. *Water Research*, 38(9), 2395-2405.
- 483 Carballa, M., Manterola, G., Larrea, L., Ternes, T., Omil, F., & Lema, J. M. (2007).
484 Influence of ozone pre-treatment on sludge anaerobic digestion: removal of
485 pharmaceutical and personal care products. *Chemosphere*, 67(7), 1444-
486 1452.
- 487 Carrère, H., Dumas, C., Battimelli, A., Batstone, D. J., Delgenes, J. P., Steyer, J. P.,
488 & Ferrer, I. (2010). Pretreatment methods to improve sludge anaerobic
489 degradability: a review. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 183(1-3), 1-15.
- 490 Cataldo, F. (2003). On the action of ozone on proteins. *Polymer Degradation and*
491 *Stability*, 82(1), 105-114.
- 492 Chen, W., Westerhoff, P., Leenheer, J. A., & Booksh, K. (2003). Fluorescence
493 excitation - Emission matrix regional integration to quantify spectra for
494 dissolved organic matter. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 37(24), 5701-
495 5710.
- 496 Chen, Y., Chen, H., Zheng, X., & Mu, H. (2012). The impacts of silver nanoparticles
497 and silver ions on wastewater biological phosphorous removal and the
498 mechanisms. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 239, 88-94.
- 499 Chiellini, C., Gori, R., Tiezzi, A., Brusetti, L., Pucciarelli, S., D'Amato, E., Chiavola,
500 A., Sirini, P., Lubello, C., & Petroni, G. (2014). Ozonation effects for excess

501 sludge reduction on bacterial communities composition in a full-scale
502 activated sludge plant for domestic wastewater treatment. *Environmental*
503 *technology*, 35(12), 1462-1469.

504 Chu, L. B., Yan, S. T., Xing, X. H., Yu, A. F., Sun, X. L., & Jurcik, B. (2008).
505 Enhanced sludge solubilization by microbubble ozonation. *Chemosphere*,
506 72(2), 205-212.

507 Davies, M. J. (2005). The oxidative environment and protein damage. *Biochimica*
508 *et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Proteins and Proteomics*, 1703(2), 93-109.

509 Déléris, S. (2001). *Réduction de la production de boue lors du traitement des eaux*
510 *résiduaires urbaines. Analyse du traitement combiné : ozonation et traitement*
511 *biologique*. (PhD thesis, Institut National des Sciences Appliquées de
512 Toulouse, Toulouse, France).

513 Foladori, P., Andreottola, G., & Ziglio, G. (2010a). *Sludge reduction technologies in*
514 *wastewater treatment plants*. London, U.K.; IWA Publishing.

515 Foladori, P., Tamburini, S., & Bruni, L. (2010b). Bacteria permeabilisation and
516 disruption caused by sludge reduction technologies evaluated by flow
517 cytometry. *Water Research*, 44(17), 4888-4899.

518 Han, X., Wang, Z., Wang, X., Zheng, X., Ma, J., & Wu, Z. (2016). Microbial
519 responses to membrane cleaning using sodium hypochlorite in membrane
520 bioreactors: Cell integrity, key enzymes and intracellular reactive oxygen
521 species. *Water Research*, 88, 293-300.

522 Hübner, U., von Gunten, U., & Jekel, M. (2015). Evaluation of the persistence of
523 transformation products from ozonation of trace organic compounds – A
524 critical review. *Water Research*, 68, 150-170.

525 Labelle, M. A., Ramdani, A., Deleris, S., Gadbois, A., Dold, P., & Comeau, Y.
526 (2011). Ozonation of endogenous residue and active biomass from a
527 synthetic activated sludge. *Water Science and Technology*, 63(2), 297-302.

528 Lay, J.-J., Li, Y.-Y., & Noike, T. (1996). Effect of moisture content and chemical
529 nature on methane fermentation characteristics of municipal solid wastes.
530 *Journal of Environmental Systems and Engineering*, 552, 101-108.

531 Liu, H., & Fang, H. H. P. (2002). Extraction of extracellular polymeric substances
532 (EPS) of sludges. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 95(3), 249-256.

533 Meng, L., Xi, J., & Yeung, M. (2016). Degradation of extracellular polymeric
534 substances (EPS) extracted from activated sludge by low-concentration
535 ozonation. *Chemosphere*, 147, 248-255.


536 Morgan, J., Forster, C., & Evison, L. (1990). A comparative study of the nature of
537 biopolymers extracted from anaerobic and activated sludges. *Water*
538 *Research*, 24(6), 743-750.

539 Pryor, W. A., & Church, D. F. (1991). Aldehydes, hydrogen peroxide, and organic
540 radicals as mediators of ozone toxicity. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*,
541 11(1), 41-46.

- 542 Rakness, K. L. (2005). *Ozone in drinking water treatment: process design,*
543 *operation, and optimization.* Denver, Colorado: American Water Works
544 Association.
- 545 Saha, M., Eskicioglu, C., & Marin, J. (2011). Microwave, ultrasonic and chemo-
546 mechanical pretreatments for enhancing methane potential of pulp mill
547 wastewater treatment sludge. *Bioresource technology*, 102(17), 7815-7826.
- 548 Sheng, G.-P., Yu, H.-Q., & Li, X.-Y. (2010). Extracellular polymeric substances
549 (EPS) of microbial aggregates in biological wastewater treatment systems: a
550 review. *Biotechnology Advances*, 28(6), 882-894.
- 551 Sheng, Z., & Liu, Y. (2011). Effects of silver nanoparticles on wastewater biofilms.
552 *Water Research*, 45(18), 6039-6050.
- 553 Thanomsub, B., Anupunpisit, V., Chanphetch, S., Watcharachaipong, T.,
554 Poonkhum, R., & Srisukonth, C. (2002). Effects of ozone treatment on cell
555 growth and ultrastructural changes in bacteria. *The Journal of General and*
556 *Applied Microbiology*, 48(4), 193-199.
- 557 Von Mersi, W., & Schinner, F. (1991). An improved and accurate method for
558 determining the dehydrogenase activity of soils with idonitrotetrazolium
559 chloride. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 11(3), 216-220.
- 560 Wang, Y., Hollingsworth, R. I., & Kasper, D. L. (1999). Ozonolytic depolymerization
561 of polysaccharides in aqueous solution. *Carbohydrate Research*, 319(1), 141-
562 147.
- 563 Weemaes, M., Grootaerd, H., Simoens, F., & Verstraete, W. (2000). Anaerobic
564 digestion of ozonized biosolids. *Water Research*, 34(8), 2330-2336.
- 565 Wiechelmann, K. J., Braun, R. D., & Fitzpatrick, J. D. (1988). Investigation of the
566 bicinchoninic acid protein assay: identification of the groups responsible for
567 color formation. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 175(1), 231-237.
- 568 Winter, J., Ilbert, M., Graf, P., Özcelik, D., & Jakob, U. (2008). Bleach activates a
569 redox-regulated chaperone by oxidative protein unfolding. *Cell*, 135(4), 691-
570 701.
- 571 Yin, J., Tan, X., Ren, N., Cui, Y., & Tang, L. (2005). Evaluation of heavy metal
572 inhibition of activated sludge by TTC and INT-electron transport system
573 activity tests. *Water Science and Technology*, 52(8), 231-239.
- 574 You, G., Hou, J., Xu, Y., Wang, C., Wang, P., Miao, L., Ao, Y., Li, Y., & Lv, B.
575 (2015). Effects of CeO₂ nanoparticles on production and physicochemical
576 characteristics of extracellular polymeric substances in biofilms in sequencing
577 batch biofilm reactor. *Bioresource Technology*, 194, 91-98.
- 578 Yu, G. H., He, P. J., Shao, L. M., & Zhu, Y. S. (2008). Extracellular proteins,
579 polysaccharides and enzymes impact on sludge aerobic digestion after
580 ultrasonic pretreatment. *Water Research*, 42(8), 1925-1934.


581

582 **Appendix. Supplementary information**



583
584 Figure S1: EEM spectra of the extracted EPS fractions for untreated and treated
585 sludge (192 mg O₃/g COD).

586



587

588

Figure S2: Scanning electron micrographs imaging of anaerobic sludge exposed to 0 mg O₃/g COD (A), 86 mg O₃/g COD (B), and 192 mg O₃/g COD (C).