Study of first orbit losses of 1 MeV tritons using the Lorentz orbit code in the LHD

journal or	Plasma Science and Technology
publication title	
volume	21
number	2
page range	025102
year	2019-01-11
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10655/00012563

doi: 10.1088/2058-6272/aaeba8



1	Study of first orbit losses of 1 MeV tritons using the
2	Lorentz orbit code in the LHD
3	
4	Kunihiro OGAWA ^{1,2} , Mitsutaka ISOBE ^{1,2} , Takeo NISHITANI ¹ , Sadayoshi
5	MURAKAMI ³ , Ryosuke SEKI ^{1, 2} , Hideo NUGA ¹ , Neng PU ² , Masaki OSAKABE ^{1, 2} , and
6	LHD Experiment Group ¹
7	
8	1 National Institute for Fusion Science, National Institutes of Natural Sciences, Toki-city,
9	Gifu, 509-5202, Japan
10	2 SOKENDAI (The Graduate University for Advanced Studies), Toki-city, Gifu, 509-
11	5202, Japan
12	3 Kyoto University Kyoto-city, Kyoto, 615-8520, Japan
13	
14	Email: kogawa@nifs.ac.jp
15	
16	Abstract
17	Shot-integrated measurement of the triton burnup ratio has been performed in the Large
18	Helical Device (LHD). It was reported that the triton burnup ratio, defined as total DT

19	neutron yield divided by total DD neutron yield, increases significantly in inward shifted
20	configurations. To understand the magnetic configuration dependence of the triton burnup
21	ratio, the first orbit loss fraction of 1 MeV tritons is evaluated by means of the Lorentz
22	orbit code for various magnetic configurations. The first orbit loss of 1 MeV tritons is
23	seen at t of less than 10^{-5} s and loss points of the triton are concentrated on the side of the
24	helical coil case where the magnetic field is relatively weak. The significant decrease of
25	the first-orbit loss fraction by 15% is obtained with the inward shift of the magnetic axis
26	position from 3.90 m to 3.55 m. It is found that the decrease of first-orbit loss is due to
27	the reduction of the first orbit loss of transition and helically trapped tritons.
28	
29	Keywords: large helical device, tritons, energetic ion, first orbit loss, Lorentz orbit code
30	(Some figure may appear in colour only in the online journal)
31	1. Introduction
32	One of the key issues for sustaining fusion reactions in a burning plasma is how DT fusion
33	born alpha particles are sufficiently confined. For understanding the alpha particle
34	confinement property in a burning plasma, it is valuable to understand the confinement
35	of energetic particles in existing torus fusion devices. Instead of alpha particles, neutral
36	

study the energetic ion confinement [1]. In deuterium operations, confinement of 1 MeV tritons created by d(d,p)t reactions is intensively studied as a simulation study of alpha particle confinement because the Larmor radius and the precession frequency are the same as those of DT born 3.5 MeV alpha particles [2]. In addition, the velocity distribution of tritons is isotropic as alpha particles.

In tokamaks, study of 1 MeV triton confinement by experiments and numerical 42 simulations has been intensively performed in the deuterium experiment [3-8]. In 43 stellarator and heliotron, the study of the confinement property of alpha particles was 44 performed using the orbit simulation in a fusion-reactor-relevant machine, of which the 45 plasma volume is 1000 m³ and the magnetic field strength is 5 T [9, 10]. The birth profile 46 of alpha particles is proportional to n^2T^2 , where n and T represent fuel ion density and 47 48 fuel ion temperature, respectively. Therefore, the loss fraction of alpha particles born in the core region of the plasma was discussed because the alpha particles mainly born in 49 the core region. It was reported that most of the alpha particles are confined during the 50 collisional damping time [10]. The triton burnup experiment was initiated in the first 51 campaign of deuterium operations in March 2017 on the Large Helical Device (LHD) 52 53 [11]. This is the first triton burnup experiment in stellarators/helical devices. The triton burnup experiments are performed in neutral-beam heated deuterium plasmas. In these 54

55	experiments, neutrons and 1 MeV tritons are mainly created by beam-thermal DD
56	reactions. 1 MeV tritons created by DD reaction can undergo secondary DT reaction with
57	the bulk deuteron while they slowed down. The triton burnup ratio defined by the total
58	DT neutron amount per discharge divided by the total DD neutron amount per discharge
59	is surveyed [12]. The scintillating fiber (Sci-Fi) detector using a discriminating method
60	with absolutely calibrated by the neutron activation system is applied for DT neutron
61	measurement and the neutron flux monitor is utilized for DD neutron measurement. It
62	was reported that the triton burnup ratio significantly increases in the inward shifted
63	configuration. In order to understand the significant increase of triton burnup ratio with
64	the inward shift of the magnetic axis position, it is important to know the triton
65	confinement properties in each magnetic configuration. When we considered a classical
66	confinement of tritons, the loss of tritons could be caused due to the collisionless issue
67	which is a result of the lost orbit, the collisional issue which the particle reaches the loss
68	cone due to the collision, and the charge exchange with neutral gas. In these experiments,
69	typical electron temperature T_e of 3 keV and typical electron density n_e of 2×10 ¹⁹ m ⁻³ ,
70	therefore, it needs more than 2 seconds for 1 MeV triton to decrease its energy to 100 keV
71	[13]. Here, the triton energy of around 100 keV is considered because the DT cross section
72	has a peak around this energy. The typical charge exchange loss time of tritons is

evaluated to be 40 ms. Here, neutral density of 10^{15} m⁻³ at r/a < 0.6 [14] is used because 73 tritons mainly exist in the interior region of the plasma. The charge exchange cross section 74 of 10⁻²⁰ m² at the triton energy of around 100 keV [15] is used. Therefore, the loss of 75 76 tritons which occurred in a short period of time, t of less than 1 ms, is mainly due to the collisionless issue. In particular, because the Larmor radius of 1 MeV triton evaluated by 77 energy ~10 cm is comparable to the minor radius of the LHD ~60 cm, the first orbit loss 78 could be a considerably large fraction in considering the confinement of 1 MeV tritons. 79 In this paper, the first-orbit loss fraction of 1 MeV tritons is evaluated as a first step by 80 81 means of the Lorentz orbit code in order to understand the magnetic configuration effect on the triton burnup ratio. 82

83

84 **2. Setup for first orbit loss calculation**

The Lorentz orbit following code developed by National Institute for Fusion Science (LORBIT) [16] is used to evaluate the first-orbit loss fraction of 1 MeV tritons. The code solves the equation of motion $m dv/dt = q(E+v \times b)$ without including collisions. Here, m, v, q, E, and b represent the mass of charged particle, the velocity of charged particle, charge of the charged particle, the electric field, and the magnetic field, respectively. In this calculation, we used the magnetic field in a vacuum and assumed no electric field.

91	Note that the effect of the electric field on the 1 MeV triton orbit will be negligibly small
92	because of the high energy of tritons. We used a random number generator to choose the
93	radial position, the poloidal angle, the toroidal angle, the velocity component parallel to
94	the magnetic field and the velocity component perpendicular to the magnetic field. Note
95	that the normalized minor radius of the birth position of 1 MeV triton is chosen to be less
96	than 0.2 because most tritons are mainly born in the core region of the plasma. Here, we
97	choose the simple birth profile of 1 MeV triton in order to exclude plasma parameter
98	effects to show the magnetic configuration effect on 1 MeV triton confinement clearly.
99	The initial velocity of the tritons is uniformly distributed in the velocity space with the
100	Monte Carlo method. In this calculation, we judged that a triton is lost when the triton
101	reaches the vacuum vessel (VV). Figure 1 shows the poloidal cross section of VV, the last
102	closed flux surface (LCFS), and birth positions of tritons in the magnetic axis R_{ax} of 3.55
103	m, 3.60 m, 3.75 m, and 3.90 m in the vertically elongated poloidal cross section. Note
104	that the other in-vessel components are not included because the LHD has no limiter and
105	no ICRF antenna is installed in these experiments. The divertor plate is placed far away
106	from the plasma, the effect of divertor plates on the first orbit loss ratio of tritons will be
107	very limited or negligible.



108

Figure 1. The poloidal cross section of the vacuum vessel of the LHD, the LCFS of R_{ax} of 3.60 m, 3.75 m, and 3.90 m at the vertical elongated poloidal cross section. Triton birth positions located at a normalized minor radius of less than 0.2.

In the LHD, there are four types of orbits depending on the pitch angle: co-passing transit, 113 114 counter-passing transit, transition, and helically trapped orbits. The orbits of co-passing transit and counter-passing transit ions are similar to those in tokamaks, whereas helically 115 trapped ions are trapped in a helical ripple created by a pair of twisted helical coils. The 116 pitch angles of transition ions correspond to values between those of passing ions and 117 those and helically trapped ions. The orbit of the transition particle is unstable and the 118 119 confinement of transition ions is expected to be not good [17]. Typical 1 MeV triton orbits in R_{ax}/B_t of 3.60 m/2.75 T are shown in figure 2. In figure 2, initial pitch angles of co-120

passing transit, counter-passing transit, transition, and helically trapped tritons are 30 degrees, 150 degrees, 80 degrees, and 89 degrees, respectively. Here, the start point is set to be (R, Z, ϕ) of (3.61 m, -0.05 m, 0 degree) and orbit following time is set to be 10^{-5} s. In this case, co-passing transit, counter-passing transit, and helically trapped tritons are confined, whereas the transition triton is lost.



127 Figure 2. Typical orbit of 1 MeV tritons having the pitch angle of co-passing transit (red),

transition (green), helically trapped (blue), and counter-passing transit (purple) region a)



131 **3. First orbit loss calculation**

An orbit following calculation for a relatively long time, around collision time of 1 MeV 132 133 tritons, i.e., 1 ms is performed to see the time evolution of the loss fraction of tritons (figure 3). Here, we launched 5×10^5 particles. It is found that the loss fraction becomes 134 lower with the inward shift of the magnetic axis position in the normal toroidal magnetic 135 field strength ($B_t > 2.5$ T). The loss fraction of tritons rapidly increased with t of from 136 2×10^{-6} to 10^{-5} s, then became almost flat, and then increased again with time at $B_t > 2.5$ 137 T. The loss of tritons which occurred at t less than 10^{-5} s corresponds to the first-orbit loss, 138 whereas t greater than 10^{-5} s corresponds to a loss due to collisionless diffusion. Here, the 139 collisionless diffusion occurs due to the trapping and detrapping of tritons by the magnetic 140 141 field ripple. The time trend of the loss fraction is similar to the time trend obtained by the five dimensional drift kinetic equation solver based on the Boozer coordinates, Global 142 NEoclasscal Transport code (GNET) [18]. Note that the plateau region appears because 143 it may require time for tritons to reach the VV with the collisionless diffusion. On the 144 other hand, the loss fraction almost monotonically increases in the half field condition (B_t 145 146 = 1.375 T). There is almost no plateau region, because the collisionless diffusion of the tritons is considerably larger due to the lower magnetic field. Figure 4(a) shows the three 147

dimensional plot of loss points at the toroidal field direction of counter clockwise (CCW) 148 from the overhead view at R_{ax}/B_t of 3.60 m/2.75 T. Here, the orbit following time is set to 149 be 10⁻⁵ s. In this plot, loss points are accumulated in one period of the LHD. The toroidal 150 151 and poloidal angle distribution of lost tritons on the VV is shown in figure 4(b). Here, toroidal and poloidal locations of helical coils are indicated in the figure 4(b). Tritons 152 reach between the helical coils where magnetic field strength is relatively low, as expected. 153 We found that tritons reach one side of the helical coil case and the loss points are changed 154 due to the inverted direction of toroidal magnetic field. 155



156

157 Figure 3. Time evolution of the triton loss fraction. The loss fraction becomes larger with

```
the increase of R_{ax} in the normal B_t region (B_t > 2.5 T). Significant increase of loss fraction
```

is obtained in B_t of 1.375 T compared with B_t of 2.75 T in R_{ax} of 3.60 m.





162 Figure 4. Strike points of 1 MeV tritons with three dimensional plot (a) and toroidal and

- 163 poloidal distribution (b). Loss points are located in a relatively narrow region and
- 164 changed with the reversal of toroidal magnetic field direction.

166	As reported in reference [12], B_t is changed according to the change of R_{ax} because the
167	maximum B_t in each R_{ax} is decided by the maximum helical coil current in each layer.
168	Therefore, to clarify B_t effects on the triton confinement improvement/degradation, an
169	effect of B_t on the first orbit loss of 1 MeV tritons is evaluated at R_{ax} of 3.60 m. In this
170	calculation, 10^7 particles are launched and the orbit following time is set to be 10^{-5} s. The
171	first orbit loss fraction as a function of B_t shown in figure 5(a) indicates that the effect of
172	B_t on the first orbit loss fraction is weak in $B_t > 2.5$ T. The first orbit loss fractions in B_t
173	of 2.55 T, 2.65 T, 2.75 T, and 2.85 T are 6.6%, 5.5%, 4.6%, and 3.8%, respectively. Note
174	that the first orbit loss fraction reaches 46% at the half field strength condition (B_t of 1.375
175	T). A pitch angle distribution of tritons launched and confined are shown in Figure 5(b).
176	Most of the tritons with the exception of some particles having helically trapped and
177	transition orbits are confined in $B_t > 2.5$ T. Note that the number of losses in the helically
178	trapped region is almost unchanged with the change of the magnetic field strength because
179	the structure of the helical ripple is the same. Hence, the increase of B_t only provides the
180	slight improvement of the triton burnup fraction in $B_t > 2.5$ T. Note that a large fraction
181	of the first orbit loss of tritons in B_t of 1.375 T is consistent with the low triton burnup
182	ratio measured in the experiment [12]. Evaluation of first orbit loss fraction in each
183	configuration is performed. The number of particles and the orbit following time are the

184	same as the previous calculation. Figure 6(a) shows the first orbit loss fraction of tritons
185	as a function of R_{ax} . The loss fraction increases rapidly with outward shift of R_{ax} . In the
186	case of the inward shifted configuration, the first orbit loss fraction is around 5%, whereas
187	the fraction increases around 20% in the outward shifted configuration R_{ax} of 3.90 m.
188	Pitch angle distribution of tritons launched and confined is shown in figure 6(b). The
189	number of confined transition and helically trapped tritons significantly decreased with
190	the outward shift of R_{ax} . The loss of helically trapped and transition tritons becomes larger
191	because the deviation of the orbit from the flux surface becomes larger as the outward
192	shift of R_{ax} . The results indicate that decrease of the first orbit loss of tritons is mainly due
193	to inward shift of R_{ax} which reduces the first orbit loss of transition and helically-trapped
194	1 MeV tritons. The decrease of first orbit loss of 1 MeV tritons is one of the important
195	factors to induce the significant improvement of the triton burnup ratio as the inward shift
196	of R_{ax} obtained in the experiment.



197

Figure 5. (a) The effect of B_t on 1 MeV triton loss fraction. The loss fraction is slightly

199 changed when B_t is greater than 2.5 T, whereas there is significantly increase at B_t of

200 1.375 T. (b) Pitch angle distribution of launched 1 MeV tritons (pink) and confined

- 201 tritons in Bt of 2.85 T (red), 2.75 T (blue), 2.65 T (green), 2.55 T (purple), and 1.375 T
- 202 (black) at *R*_{ax} of 3.60 m.



Figure 6. (a) The effect of R_{ax} on 1 MeV triton loss fraction. The loss fraction significantly increases with the outward shift of R_{ax} . (b) Pitch angle distribution of launched 1 MeV triton (pink) and confined tritons in $B_t(T)/R_{ax}(m)$ of 3.55/2.79 (red), 3.60/2.75 (green), 3.75/2.64 (blue), and 3.90/2.54 (purple). Confinement of tritons having transition orbit is significantly degraded with outward shift of R_{ax} .

203

210 **4. Summary**

211 The study of the magnetic configuration effect on the first orbit loss of 1 MeV tritons is

212	performed using Lorentz orbit calculation code LORBIT. First orbit loss mainly appears
213	t of less than 10^{-5} s. Those losses mainly occur in transition region and in helically trapped
214	region. Toroidal and poloidal distribution of loss points of tritons shows that the loss
215	points are accumulated in one side of the helical coil case. Most of the tritons are confined
216	in the normal toroidal magnetic field strength ($B_t > 2.5 \text{ T}$) condition in R_{ax} of 3.6 m. It is
217	shown that the effect of B_t on first orbit loss is weak. In the half toroidal magnetic field
218	strength condition ($B_t = 1.375$ T), most of the tritons are lost and the result is consistent
219	with the low triton burnup ratio obtained in experiments. The first orbit loss fraction is
220	evaluated in the magnetic configurations where triton burnup experiments were
221	performed. The loss fraction of tritons drops from 20% to 5% with the inward shift of R_{ax} .
222	It is found that the first orbit loss fraction of transition and helically trapped 1 MeV tritons
223	is significantly decreased with the inward shift of R_{ax} .
224	
225	Acknowledgments

226 This work is supported partly by LHD project budgets (ULHH003 and ULHH034).

227

228 **References**

229 [1] Fasoli A et al 2007 Nucl. Fusion 47 S264

- 230 [2] Heidbrink W W and Sadler G J 1994 Nucl. Fusion 34 535
- 231 [3] Barnes C W et al 1998 Nucl. Fusion 38 597
- 232 [4] Heidbrink W W et al 1983 Nucl. Fusion 23 917
- 233 [5] Hoek M et al IPP-Report IPP-1/320 1999
- 234 [6] Duong H H et al 1993 Nucl. Fusion **33** 211
- 235 [7] Nishitani T et al 1996 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 38 355
- 236 [8] Jo J et al 2016 Rev. Sci. Instrum 87 11D828
- 237 [9] Gori S et al 2001 Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion 43 137
- 238 [10] Okamura S et al 2000 J. Plasma Fusion Res. 3 73
- 239 [11] Osakabe M et al 2017 Fusion Sci. Technol. 72 199
- 240 [12] Isobe M et al 2018 Nucl. Fusion 58 082004
- 241 [13] Wesson J 2004 Tokamaks 3rd ed (Oxford: Oxford University Press)
- 242 [14] Fujii K et al 2015 Nucl. Fusion 55 063029
- [15] Ito R et al 1993 Analytic cross sections for collisions of H, H₂, He and Li atoms and
- 244 ions with atoms and molecules JAERI-M 93-117 Japan: Japan Atomic Energy Research
- 245 Institute
- [16] Isobe M, Funaki D and Sasao M 2009 J. Plasma Fusion Res. 8 330
- 247 [17] Murakami S 2004 J. Plasma Fusion Res. 80 725 (in Japanese)

248 [18] Homma M et al 2015 Plasma Fusion Res. 10 3403050