Aalborg Universitet



An abluminal biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting stent versus a durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent in patients undergoing coronary revascularization

3-vear clinical outcomes of a randomized non-inferiority trial

Zhang, Haijun; Zhang, Xiaoping; Yin, Yuxia; Zhou, Chao; Deng, Wei; Zhang, Junwei; Hou, Wenbo; Lu, Shoutao; Song, Caixia; Cui, Xiaoshan; Wang, Shenguo; Yang, Fei; Liu, Guang; Duan, Cuihai; Ge, Junbo

Published in: Scientific Reports

DOI (link to publication from Publisher): 10.1038/s41598-019-54964-8

Creative Commons License CC BY 4.0

Publication date: 2019

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA): Zhang, H., Zhang, X., Yin, Y., Zhou, C., Deng, W., Zhang, J., Hou, W., Lu, S., Song, C., Cui, X., Wang, S., Yang, F., Liu, G., Duan, C., & Ge, J. (2019). An abluminal biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting stent versus a durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent in patients undergoing coronary revascularization: 3-year clinical outcomes of a randomized non-inferiority trial. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), [18549]. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-54964-8

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

? Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
 ? You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 ? You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS natureresearch

OPEN

An abluminal biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting stent versus a durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent in patients undergoing coronary revascularization: 3-year clinical outcomes of a randomized noninferiority trial

Haijun Zhang^{1,2,3,7}, Xiaoping Zhang^{1,7*}, Yuxia Yin³, Chao Zhou³, Wei Deng⁴, Junwei Zhang³, Wenbo Hou³, Shoutao Lu³, Caixia Song³, Xiaoshan Cui³, Shenguo Wang⁵, Fei Yang⁵, Guang Liu³, Cuihai Duan³ & Junbo Ge^{6*}

The Cordimax stent has proved non-inferior to the Cypher Select durable polymer sirolimus-eluting stent for the primary endpoint of angiographic in-stent late luminal loss and in-stent mean diameter stenosis at 9 months. The trial was designed to compare the efficacy and safety of the Cordimax stent with the Xience V stent in patients undergoing coronary revascularization. This randomized, multicenter trial enrolled 3697 patients treated with Cordimax stent (2460 patients) and Xience V stent (1237 patients). The primary efficacy endpoint was a target-lesion failure (TLF) at 1 year and the primary safety endpoint was a composite of death or myocardial infarction (MI) at 3 years. 3399 patients (91.9%) completed 3-year follow-up. At 1 year, the primary efficacy endpoint occurred in 86 (3.5%) patients in the Cordimax group versus 40 (3.2%) patients in the Xience V group (0.3% absolute risk difference, 95% CI -1.0-1.5%, Pnon-inferiority < 0.0001). At 3 years, the primary safety endpoint occurred in 39 (1.6%) patients in the Cordimax group versus 19 (1.5%) patients in the Xience V group (0.05% absolute risk difference, 95% CI -0.8-0.9%, $P_{\text{non-inferiority}}$ < 0.0001). The incidence of target lesion revascularization was low in Cordimax group compared with Xience V group (3.6% versus 5.1%, P = 0.03). There were no differences between Cordimax and Xience V in terms of Cardiac death (0.3% versus 0.4%, P = 0.70), myocardial infarction (1.2% versus 0.9%, P = 0.37), and the stent thrombosis (0.4% versus 0.6%, P = 0.61). In conclusion, safety and efficacy outcomes of Cordimax stent were non-inferior to the Xience V stent 3 years after stent implantation.

¹Intervention & Vascular Surgery, Medical College of Tongji University, Shanghai, China. ²Department of Health Science and Technology, Faculty of Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg Ø, Denmark. ³National Joint Engineering Laboratory for Biomedical Material Modification, Shandong, China. ⁴Key Laboratory of Public Health Safety, Ministry of Education, School of Public Health, Fudan University, Shanghai, China. ⁵Institute of Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China. ⁶Shanghai Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China. ⁷These authors contributed equally: Haijun Zhang and Xiaoping Zhang. *email: zxpkxy@tongji. edu.cn; ge.junbo@zs-hospital.sh.cn



Figure 1. Trial profile. Enrollment and Randomization of Patients Who underwent coronary revascularization.

Drug-eluting stents (DES) with controlled release of antiproliferative agents from a durable polymer have effectively reduced the incidence of restenosis and major cardiac events including myocardial infarction (MI) and cardiac death compared with bare-metal stents (BMS)^{1,2}. However, first generation durable polymer DES have been linked to increased risk of stent thrombosis (ST), especially very late ST (VLST) after discontinuation of dual antiplatelet therapy^{3,4}. The durable polymer matrix has been implicated as a likely trigger of delayed reendothelialization and chronic inflammation leading to these late complications^{5,6}. The introduction of newer DES of improved durable polymers or biodegradable polymers has significantly reduced the risk of cardiac complications after stent implantation⁷. Cordimax (Rientech Medical, Shandong, China) is a novel biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting stent with an asymmetric coating, eluting the drug solely to the abluminal surface. Compared with a stent with conventional coating, Cordimax has demonstrated favorable drug release profile in vitro and in vivo⁸ and afforded enhanced endothelialization and vascular healing after implantation in vivo⁹. In our previous randomized clinical trial comparing the safety and efficacy of Cordimax and Cypher Select (Cordis[®], Miami Lakes, FL, USA), a durable polymer sirolimus-eluting stent, Cordimax demonstrated non-inferiority to Cypher Select at 9-month angiographic and 1-year clinical follow-up¹⁰. Cypher was the first-generation drug eluting stent (DES) which has a non-degradable coating and is made of 316L stainless steel, which suppresses the occurrence of restenosis associated with bare metal stents (BMS)^{11,12}. However, due to the high incidence of very late stent thrombosis its secure usage had been challenged^{13,14}. Xience V (Abbott Vascular, Santa Clara, CA, USA) is the newer generation DES which is made of thinner stent and its safety and effectiveness has been demonstrated in multiple clinical trials¹⁵. The incidence of thrombosis using Xience V had been observed to be significantly lower than the Cypher¹⁶. Therefore, the aim of this clinical trial was to evaluate the safety and efficacy of Cordimax compared with Xience V, a durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent, at 3-year clinical follow-up.

Results

A total of 3697 patients with 4281 lesions were randomly assigned to receive either the Cordimax biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting stent (2460 patients with 2866 lesions) or the Xience V durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent (1237 patients with 1415 lesions; Fig. 1) in a 2:1 allocation. The baseline demographics and the clinical and angiographic characteristics of patients in the two treatment arms were well balanced except for CCS (Canadian Cardiovascular Society)/Braundward angina pectoris class, and NYHA (New York Heart Association) functional class (Table 1). Higher proportions of patients in the Cordimax arm had angina class IV (10.7% of Cordimax vs. 6.9% of Xience V) and NYHA class III or IV (21.9% and 1.5% of Cordimax vs. 14.8% and 0.6% of Xience V) (Table 1). The total stent length per patient was slightly shorter in the Cordimax group (25.00 mm Cordimax vs. 25.99 mm Xience V, P = 0.20; Table 1). A total of 3399 patients (91.9%; 2272 in the Cordimax arm and 1127 in the Xience V arm) completed 3-year follow-up (Fig. 1).

At 1 year, the primary efficacy endpoint (TLF) occurred in 86 (3.5%) patients in the Cordimax group versus 40 (3.2%) patients in the Xience V group (Table 2, Fig. 2). With an absolute risk difference of 0.3% and the upper limit of the two-sided 95% CI at 1.5% (95% CI –1.0–1.5%), the Cordimax stent proved to be non-inferior to the Xience V stent at 1 year after implantation ($P_{\text{non-inferiority}} < 0.0001$). At 3 years, the primary efficacy endpoint (TLF) occurred in 121 (4.9%) patients in the Cordimax group versus 78 (6.3%) patients in the Xience V group (Table 2, Fig. 2). Non-inferiority of the Cordimax stent at 3 years was established with an absolute risk difference of –1.39% and the upper limit of the two-sided 95% CI at 0.2% (95% CI –3.0–0.2%, $P_{\text{non-inferiority}} = 0.0242$). With regard to the secondary clinical safety and efficacy endpoints, target lesion revascularization (3.6% versus 5.1%,

Characteristic	Cordimax	Xience V	P value
Number of patients	2460	1237	
Age, y	60.74 ± 10.74	60.08 ± 10.67	0.39
Male gender	1749 (71.1%)	923 (74.6%)	0.02
Cardiovascular risk factors		1	
Diabetes mellitus	480 (19.5%)	240 (19.4%)	0.94
Hypertension	1369 (55.7%)	741 (59.9%)	0.01
Current smoker	870 (35.4%)	451 (36.5%)	0.51
Past medical history	1	1	1
Previous MI	385 (15.7%)	143 (11.6%)	0.001
Previous Stroke	86 (3.5%)	23 (1.9%)	0.01
Previous PCI	197 (8.0%)	76 (6.1%)	0.04
Previous CABG	12 (0.5%)	4 (0.3%)	0.47
CCS/Braunwald			< 0.0001
Ι	331 (13.5%)	200 (16.2%)	
II	994 (40.4%)	562 (45.4%)	
III	851 (34.6%)	384 (31.0%)	
IV	263 (10.7%)	85 (6.9%)	
NYHA			< 0.0001
I	876 (35.6%)	454 (36.7%)	
II	982 (39.9%)	581 (47.0%)	
III	538 (21.9%)	183 (14.8%)	
IV	38 (1.5%)	8 (0.6%)	
Number of lesions	2866	1415	
Treated lesions per patient	1.17 ± 0.46	1.14 ± 0.41	0.50
Stents per patient	1.26 ± 0.55	1.22 ± 0.50	0.39
Stent length per patient, mm	25.00 ± 10.78	25.99 ± 10.68	0.20
Location of target lesion			0.04
Left anterior descending coronary	1543 (62.7%)	761 (61.5%)	
Left circumflex coronary artery	490 (19.9%)	286 (23.1%)	
Right coronary artery	768 (31.2%)	337 (27.2%)	
Left main artery	65 (2.6%)	31 (2.5%)	

Table 1. Baseline Patient and Lesion Characteristics. Values are presented as mean \pm SD or n (%) for categorical variables.

.....

P = 0.03) were less frequent among Cordimax-treated patients compared with Xience V-treated patients. There were no differences between Cordimax and Xience V in terms of Cardiac death (0.3% versus 0.4%, P = 0.70), myocardial infarction (1.2% versus 0.9%, P = 0.37), and the definite or probable stent thrombosis (0.4% versus 0.6%, P = 0.61) at 3 years. At 3 years, the primary safety endpoint occurred in 39 (1.6%) patients in the Cordimax group versus 19 (1.5%) patients in the Xience V group (Table 2, Fig. 2). With an absolute risk difference of 0.05% and the upper limit of the two-sided 95% CI at 0.9% (95% CI -0.8-0.9%, $P_{\text{non-inferiority}} < 0.0001$) demonstrating noninferiority of Cordimax stent relative to Xience V stent.

Between 1 and 2 years, the rates of the primary efficacy endpoint and the primary safety endpoint were similar in both groups. Rates of the combined and other individual components of the secondary endpoint between 1 and 2 years did not differ significantly between the two stent groups (Table 3). Between 2 and 3 years, lower portions of patients in the Cordimax group had TLF (0.3% versus 1.4%, P < 0.001), TLR (0.2% versus 1.1%, P < 0.001), and MACE (0.3% versus 1.4%, P < 0.001). The primary safety endpoint, and other individual components of the secondary endpoints did not differ significantly between the two stent groups (Table 4). At 3 years, the cumulative incidence of Definite or Probable Stent Thrombosis was not different between the 2 groups (0.4% versus 0.6%, P = 0.61; Table 5).

Univariate and multivariate analysis show that the location of the target lesion was an independent predictor of TLF following either Cordimax or Xience V implantation in patients (Table 6). Compared with the patients who developed lesions in other parts, patients with left main artery lesions exhibit a higher risk of the occurrence of TLF.

Discussion

The main purpose of this present work is to verify the efficacy and safety of the novel biodegradable polymer with an asymmetric coating, sirolimus-eluting stent (Cordimax) in relation to its long-term efficacy. Previously, Xience V stent from Abbott has been identified to be superior to the first-generation DES which, together with other favorable data, led to its approval by regulatory bodies¹⁷. Currently, clinical trials use Xience V as control group for their non-inferiority clinical trials¹⁸. Hence, the aim of the present study is to investigate the non-inferiority

Events	Cordimax (n = 2460)	Xience V (n = 1237)	= 1237) RR (95% CI)		
Events at 6 month		•	4		
TLF	4 (0.2%)	4 (0.3%)	0.50 (0.13-2.01)	0.32	
All cause death	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	_	_	
Cardiac death	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	_	_	
MI	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	_	—	
TLR (clinically driven)	4 (0.2%)	3 (0.2%)	0.67 (0.15-2.99)	0.60	
Death or MI	0 (0%)	1 (0.1%)	_	_	
MACE	4 (0.2%)	4 (0.3%)	0.50 (0.13-2.01)	0.32	
Events at 1 year	1	1			
TLF	86 (3.5%)	40 (3.2%)	1.07 (0.74–1.55)	0.73	
All cause death	7 (0.3%)	4 (0.3%)	0.88 (0.26-3.00)	0.84	
Cardiac death	6 (0.2%)	3 (0.2%)	1.01 (0.25-4.01)	0.99	
MI	27 (1.1%)	8 (0.6%)	1.70 (0.77-3.72)	0.18	
TLR (clinically driven)	57 (2.3%)	28 (2.3%)	1.02 (0.66-1.60)	0.92	
Death or MI	34 (1.4%)	12 (1.0%)	1.43 (0.74-2.74)	0.29	
MACE	86 (3.5%)	40 (3.2%)	1.08 (0.75-1.56)	0.68	
Events at 2 year	I	1	1		
TLF	113 (4.6%)	61 (4.9%)	0.93 (0.69–1.26)	0.65	
All cause death	7 (0.3%)	6 (0.5%)	0.59 (0.20-1.74)	0.33	
Cardiac death	6 (0.2%)	3 (0.2%)	1.01 (0.25-4.01)	0.99	
MI	30 (1.2%)	9 (0.7%)	1.68 (0.80-3.52)	0.17	
TLR (clinically driven)	82 (3.3%)	49 (4.0%)	0.84 (0.60-1.19)	0.33	
Death or MI	37 (1.5%)	115 (1.2%)	1.24 (0.68-2.25)	0.48	
MACE	114 (4.6%)	62 (5.0%)	0.93 (0.68-1.25)	0.61	
Events at 3 year	1	1	1		
TLF	121 (4.9%)	78 (6.3%)	0.78 (0.59-1.03)	0.08	
All cause death	9 (0.4%)	8 (0.6%)	0.57 (0.22-1.46)	0.23	
Cardiac death	8 (0.3%)	5 (0.4%)	0.81 (0.26-2.45)	0.70	
MI	30 (1.2%)	11 (0.9%)	1.37 (0.69–2.73)	0.37	
TLR (clinically driven)	88 (3.6%)	63 (5.1%)	0.70 (0.51–0.96)	0.03	
Death or MI	39 (1.6%)	19 (1.5%)	1.03 (0.60-1.78)	0.91	
MACE	122 (5.0%)	79 (6.4%)	0.78 (0.59-1.02)	0.07	

Table 2. Cumulative Clinical Events. Values are n (%). *Relative risk (RR) and p values are from the chi-square test. MACE, Major Adverse Cardiovascular Event; MI, Myocardial Infarction; TLF, Target Lesion Failure; TLR, Target Lesion Revascularisation; and ST, Stent Thrombosis.

.....

of clinical outcomes after implantation of the Cordimax stent when compared with the Xience V stent in patients undergoing coronary revascularization. This head-to-head comparison showed non-inferiority of the Cordimax biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting stent to the Xience V durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent in terms of both efficacy and safety. The cumulative rates for TLF at 1, 2 and 3 years were comparable for patients in the Cordimax and Xience V groups. However, the cumulative rate for TLF from 1 to 3 years in the Cordimax group was lower than that in the Xience V group (1.5% versus 3.1%, P < 0.001, respectively). These data hinted the tendency of the Cordimax stent to outperform the Xience V stent in long-term clinical benefits.

The rates for TLR in the Cordimax groups were low: 2.3% at 1 year and 3.6% at 3 years. These low rates were consistent with those reported in our previous trial on Cordimax¹⁰. In that randomized controlled trial the Cordimax stent proved to be non-inferior to the Cypher Select durable polymer sirolimus-eluting stent (Cordis Corporation, NJ, USA) for angiographic in-stent late luminal loss (LLL) at 9 month and MACE at 1 year. The rates for CI-TLR for the Cordimax stent were 1.5% at 1 year and 3.0% at 5 years¹⁰. There was no definite and probable stent thrombosis (as defined by ARC criteria) in the Cordimax group while one case of definite very late stent thrombosis was seen in the Cypher Select group¹⁰. The cumulative rates for MACE, target vessel revascularization (TVR), cardiac death and MI at 1 and 5 years were comparable for the Cordimax group were lower than those in the Cypher Select group¹⁰. Similar to present findings, these results implied the long-term clinical benefits of the Cordimax stent.

Meta-analyses reveal that new-generation DES are associated with lower rates of restenosis, stent thrombosis, and mortality compared with first-generation DES^{19,20}. Xience V, a new-generation durable polymer everolimuseluting stent, was identified as being one of the most effective stents in reducing TVR/TLR¹⁹. In the RESOLUTE All Comers trial, ischemia driven TLR occurred in 5.1% of Xience V patients at 2 years²¹. This rate was numerically lower than the 2-year rates reported for the Taxus (5.9%)²², BioMatrix (6.3%)²³ and Resolute (5.7%)²¹ stents. The clinical safety and efficacy of the Xience V stent were evaluated in the SPIRIT family of trials. In the larger SPIRIT III trial (n = 1,002), treatment with Xience V, as compared with Taxus, resulted in a significant 32% reduction in TVF (10.7% versus 15.4%, P = 0.04) and a 45% reduction in MACE (cardiac death, MI, or TLR; 7.3% versus 12.8%, P = 0.004) at a 2-year follow up²⁴. The SPIRIT V trial for Xience V enrolled 2,700 patients with multiple de novo coronary artery lesions at 93 centers in Europe, Asia Pacific, Canada, and South Africa²⁵. In this trial, MACE (all death, MI, and TLR) occurred in 2.7% of patients at 30 days. The rates of TLR and definite/probable stent thrombosis at 1 year were 1.8% and 0.66%, respectively. These data demonstrated the excellent safety and efficacy of the Xience V stent in a "real-world" patient population. In the present study, low rates of TLF and MACE were observed in both Cordimax group and Xience V group, may associates with advances in coronary stent technology, and the inclusion of patients with the less complex coronary lesions. In addition, univariate and multivariate analysis show that the location of the target lesion was an independent predictor for TLF, patients with left main artery lesions exhibited higher rates of TLF, may associates with artery pressures caused by blood flow.

The continued presence of residual polymer after drug elution may cause chronic inflammation of the vessel wall, which is considered a potential trigger of stent thrombosis. This has motivated the development of DES with biodegradable polymers. Early randomized controlled trials showed that patients treated with biodegradable polymer stents had lower rates of stent thrombosis and subsequent TVR procedures than controls treated with first-generation DES²⁶. However, the vast majority of trials comparing biodegradable polymer DES with new-generation durable polymer DES have only established non-inferiority. The Nobori[®] (Terumo, Japan) stents are biolimus-eluting stents with biodegradable polymer polylactic acid applied solely to the abluminal surface. In the NEXT trial²⁷, the Nobori[®] biodegradable polymer biolimus-eluting stent showed non-inferiority but not superiority to the Xience V stents in terms of the primary efficacy endpoint of TLR at 1 year (4.2% versus 4.2%; *P* for non-inferiority < 0.0001; *P* for superiority = 0.93). In addition, the rate of definite stent thrombosis was low and comparable between the two groups (0.25% vs. 0.06%, P = 0.18).

The stent design, polymer coatings and the antiproliferative drug and its release kinetics have been implicated as mechanisms for delayed endothelial healing and chronic inflammation leading to stent thrombosis^{5,6,28,29}. The Cordimax stent has a 316 L stainless steel platform, which is the gold standard material with a superior safety profile for stent application. Compared with a cobalt-based alloy, the 316 L stainless steel exhibits a much higher elasticity that allows better stent adhesion and helps reduce vascular damage at the site of implantation. Structurally, the Cordimax stent has a special "snap-fastener" design, in which the wave rod is connected with the S rod. This feature renders the Cordimax stent superior fatigue resistance. The Cordimax stent is coated solely at the abluminal stent site with polylactide-co-polyglycolide copolymer, which degrades into carbon dioxide and water after sirolimus is released⁹. Compared with conventionally coated stents, stents coated solely at the abluminal site tend to show reduced vascular restenosis and lower incidence of late stent thrombosis^{9,10}. In addition, patented asymmetric coating and drug loading techniques are employed in the manufacture of the Cordimax stent to ensure favorable drug release⁸ and rapid vascular healing⁹. The better long-term outcomes seen with the Cordimax stent in this trial were in alignment with previous reports evaluating biodegradable polymer DES versus durable polymer DES²⁶.

Study Limitations

In this trial, the rates of TLF and MACE were low in both groups. This may be related to the inclusion of patients with relatively simple lesions (1-2 in situ coronary stenosis). Another limitation of this study was that we did not employ optical coherence tomography (OCT) or another imaging catheter to monitor the vascular wall condition at 1 month, 3 months and 6 months after the surgery. Finally, this study was limited to 3-year clinical follow-up. Ideally, 5-year follow-up results should be reported for a randomized trial of drug-eluting stents³⁰.

Conclusions

This study demonstrated non-inferiority of the Cordimax biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting stent to the Xience V durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent in terms of both efficacy and safety at 3 years.

Methods

Study design and patients. The study was a randomized, open-label, multicenter, non-inferiority trial. Patients older than 18 years of age who presented with symptomatic coronary artery disease or evidence of myocardial ischemia, 1-2 *in situ* coronary lesions, and at least one coronary lesion with \geq 50% de novo stenosis in a vessel with a diameter of 2.5–4.0 mm between June, 2013 and May, 2014 were eligible for enrollment. The major exclusion criteria included a life expectancy of less than a year; pregnancy, known intolerance to the investigational device or concomitant medications (e.g., anticoagulants or antiplatelet drugs), anticipated discontinuation of dual antiplatelet therapy within the first 6 months, participation or anticipated participation in another clinical trial within 3 years, and uncertainty about the completion of 3-year follow-up. The trial was approved by the institutional ethics committee of Fudan University Affiliated Zhongshan Hospital and conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki. This study is registered with ClinicalTrials.gov, number NCT03185221 (14/06/2017). All participating patients gave written informed consent.

Sample size. According to the reported reference¹³, 2-year TLF of Xience V is about 8.3%. Assuming the TLF of Xience V and Cordimax groups are both 8.5%, the non-inferiority threshold is 3%, the power is 80%, one-sided inspection level is 0.025, with the lost of 20%, the estimated cases are 2440:1220 (2:1 allocation).

Randomization. Patients were randomly assigned to receive either the Cordimax abluminal biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting stent (Rientech Inc., Shandong) or the Xience V durable polymer everolimus-eluting





stent (Abbott Vascular, Redwood City, CA, USA) in a 2:1 allocation. A site stratified block randomization with randomly varying block sizes of 4 and 6 was performed. Random assignment was performed by a statistician from Fudan University and random envelopes were assigned to each site. Sequences were concealed from patients and clinical staff until assignment.

Events	Cordimax (n = 2460)	Xience V (n = 1237)	HR (95% CI)	P value
TLF	28 (1.1%)	21 (1.7%)	0.67 (0.38–1.18)	0.16
All cause death	0 (0%)	2 (0.2%)	—	—
Cardiac death	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	—	—
MI	3 (0.2%)	1 (0.1%)	1.51 (0.16–14.49)	0.72
TLR (clinically driven)	25 (1.0%)	21 (1.7%)	1.01 (1.00-1.07)	0.08
Death or MI	3 (0.2%)	3 (0.2%)	0.50 (0.10-2.49)	0.39
MACE	28 (1.1%)	22 (1.8%)	0.64 (0.37-1.11)	0.11
ST	4 (0.2%)	2 (0.2%)	1.01 (0.18-5.48)	1.00

Table 3. Outcome differences between 1 year and 2 year. Values are n (%). *Relative risk (RR) and p values are from the chi-square test. MACE, Major Adverse Cardiovascular Event; MI, Myocardial Infarction; TLF, Target Lesion Failure; TLR, Target Lesion Revascularisation; and ST, Stent Thrombosis.

.....

	Cordimax	Xience V		
Events	(n=2460)	(n=1237)	RR (95% CI)	P value
TLF	8 (0.3%)	17 (1.4%)	0.24 (0.10-0.55)	< 0.001
All cause death	2 (0.1%)	2 (0.2%)	0.50 (0.07-3.57)	0.48
Cardiac death	2 (0.1%)	2 (0.2%)	0.50 (0.07-3.57)	0.48
MI	0 (0%)	2 (0.2%)	—	_
TLR (clinically driven)	6 (0.2%)	14 (1.1%)	0.22 (0.08-0.56)	< 0.001
Death or MI	2 (0.1%)	4 (0.3%)	0.25 (0.05-1.37)	0.08
MACE	8 (0.3%)	17 (1.4%)	0.24 (0.10-0.55)	< 0.001

Table 4. Outcome differences between 2 year and 3 year. Values are n (%). *Relative risk (RR) and p values are from the chi-square test. MACE, Major Adverse Cardiovascular Event; MI, Myocardial Infarction; TLF, Target Lesion Failure; TLR, Target Lesion Revascularisation; and ST, Stent Thrombosis.

	Cordimax	Xience V			
Stent Thrombosis	(n=2460)	(n=1237)	RR(95% CI)	P value	
Definite	10 (0.4%)	7 (0.6%)	0.50 (0.07-3.57)	0.61	
Probable	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	—	_	
Definite or Probable	10 (0.4%)	7 (0.6%)	0.50 (0.07-3.57)	0.61	

Table 5. Stent Thrombosis at 3 years. Values are n (%). *Relative risk (RR) and p values are from the chi-square test.

Stents. The Cordimax sirolimus-eluting stent has a 316 L stainless steel platform coated on the abluminal surface with a biodegradable polylactide-co-polyglycolide copolymer (75:25 ratio) loaded with sirolimus¹⁰. The Cordimax stent was available in diameters of 2.5, 2.75, 3.0, 3.5 and 4.0 mm, and in lengths of 9, 12, 16, 18, 20, 23, 28 and 33 mm. The Xience V everolimus-eluting stent has a L-605 cobalt-chromium platform with a conformal coating of a non-erodible polymer loaded with everolimus³¹. The Xience V stent was available in diameters of 2.50, 2.75, 3.00, 3.5 and 4.00 mm, and in lengths of 8, 12, 15, 18, 23, and 28 mm.

Study protocol. The stents were implanted using standard techniques. Patients received 300 mg aspirin and 300 mg clopidogrel at least 24 and six hours, respectively, before implantation, except for those who had been taking clopidogrel at 75 mg once daily for more than 72 hours. During the procedure, patients received unfractionated heparin at a dose of 100 IU/kg. Dual antiplatelet therapy with 100 mg aspirin and 75 mg clopidogrel once daily was continued for at least 1 year after stent implantation.

Clinical endpoints and follow-up. The primary endpoint was the composite rate of target-lesion failure (TLF), defined as cardiac death, target-vessel MI, or clinically indicated target-lesion revascularization (TLR), at 1 years of stent implantation. The primary safety endpoint was a composite of death or myocardial infarction (MI) at 3 years. Secondary clinical safety and efficacy endpoints included major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE, defined as nonfatal MI, all-cause mortality, or TLR), the individual components of the composite endpoints, and definite or probable stent thrombosis, within 3 years of stent implantation. Cardiac death was defined as any death due to an evident cardiac cause, death related to the procedure, unwitnessed death, or death from unknown causes. A target-lesion revascularization was deemed clinically indicated if the stenosis of the treated lesion was at least 50% of the lumen diameter within the stent or within a 5-mm border on either side of the stent. Stent thrombosis (ST) was defined as the composite of any stent thromboses (definite, or probable) according to

	Univariate Analysis			Multivariate Analysis		
Parameter	HR	95% CI	P value	HR	95% CI	P value
Device	0.81	0.61-1.08	0.15	0.78	0.58-1.04	0.09
Gender	1.05	0.77-1.42	0.78	0.93	0.68-1.28	0.67
Hypertention	0.82	0.62-1.09	0.17	1.25	0.94-1.67	0.13
MI	0.87	0.50-1.53	0.64	1.11	0.63-1.95	0.72
Stroke	0.84	0.35-2.05	0.71	1.19	0.49-2.92	0.70
CCS			0.91			0.93
Ι	0.93	0.53-1.65	0.81	0.83	0.46-1.50	0.54
II	0.93	0.57-1.51	0.77	0.94	0.57-1.55	0.81
III	0.85	0.51-1.40	0.51	0.90	0.53-1.53	0.70
NYHA			0.29			0.27
Ι	0.83	0.26-2.63	0.75	0.81	0.25-2.62	0.72
II	0.65	0.21-2.07	0.47	0.60	0.18-1.95	0.40
III	0.60	0.18-1.96	0.40	0.60	0.18-2.01	0.41
Location of target lesion			0.00			< 0.001
Left anterior descending coronary	0.34	0.20-0.59	< 0.001	0.32	0.18-0.55	< 0.001
Left circumflex coronary artery	0.31	0.17-0.58	< 0.001	0.30	0.16-0.56	< 0.001
Right coronary artery	0.33	0.19-0.58	< 0.001	0.31	0.18-0.56	< 0.001

Table 6. Independent Predictors for Primary Endpoint (TLF) After Cordimax or Xience V Implantation inOverall Population. CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio.

Academic Research Consortium (ARC) criteria³². Patients were systematically evaluated at 30 days, 6 months, 9 months, 12 months, 2 years, and 3 years by follow-up phone calls and office visits.

Statistical analysis. The trial was powered to assess non-inferiority of the Cordimax sirolimus-eluting stent compared with the Xience V everolimus-eluting stent in terms of the primary endpoint (TLF) at 1 years. The published clinical trial results on the Xience V stent showed a TLF of 8.3% at 12 months³³. On the basis of an assumed TLF of 8.5% in the Cordimax group, a prespecified non-inferiority margin of 0.03 (3%) with a one-sided type 1 error of 0.05, and a 2:1 (Cordimax/Xience V) ratio of patient allocation, the enrollment of 2034 patients in the Cordimax treatment arm and 1017 patients in the Xience V treatment arm was calculated to provide at least 80% power to detect non-inferiority³⁴. Assuming a 20% loss-to-follow-up rate, a total sample size of 3660 patients (2440 in the Cordimax arm and 1220 in the Xience V arm) were required.

Analysis was performed on an intent-to-treat basis. Categorical variables are reported as numbers and percentages of patients, and continuous variables as means and SD. Treatment group comparisons were performed using the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test for categorical outcomes and the Wilcoxon rank-sum test for continuous outcomes. Relative risk (RR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) and p values are reported. Non-inferiority was defined as the upper 95% confidence limit for the difference between treatment groups no greater than predetermined non-inferiority margin of 0.03 (3%). Further, to identify independent risk factors of TLF, univariate and multivariable Cox proportional hazard regression analyses were performed. A two-sided *P* value of less than 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. Time-to-event analysis was performed using the Kaplan-Meier method, with treatment groups compared using the log-rank test. All analyses were performed with the SAS9.13 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 7 August 2019; Accepted: 19 November 2019; Published online: 06 December 2019

References

- Morice, M. C. et al. A randomized comparison of a sirolimus-eluting stent with a standard stent for coronary revascularization. N Engl J Med 346, 1773–1780, https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa012843 (2002).
- 2. Stone, G. W. *et al.* A polymer-based, paclitaxel-eluting stent in patients with coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med* **350**, 221–231, https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa032441 (2004).
- 3. Daemen, J. *et al.* Early and late coronary stent thrombosis of sirolimus-eluting and paclitaxel-eluting stents in routine clinical practice: data from a large two-institutional cohort study. *Lancet* **369**, 667–678, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60314-6 (2007).
- Raber, L. et al. Very late coronary stent thrombosis of a newer-generation everolimus-eluting stent compared with early-generation drugeluting stents: a prospective cohort study. Circulation 125, 1110–1121, https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.058560 (2012).
- John, M. C. et al. Differential healing responses in polymer- and nonpolymer-based sirolimus-eluting stents. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 1, 535–544, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcin.2008.08.004 (2008).

- Nakazawa, G. et al. Coronary responses and differential mechanisms of late stent thrombosis attributed to first-generation sirolimusand paclitaxel-eluting stents. J Am Coll Cardiol 57, 390–398, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2010.05.066 (2011).
- Raungaard, B. et al. Zotarolimus-eluting durable-polymer-coated stent versus a biolimus-eluting biodegradable-polymer-coated stent in unselected patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (SORT OUT VI): a randomised non-inferiority trial. Lancet 385, 1527–1535, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61794-3 (2015).
- 8. Zhang, H. *et al.* Drug release kinetics from a drug-eluting stent with asymmetrical coat. *Front Biosci (Landmark Ed)* **22**, 407–415 (2017).
- Zhang, H. et al. Solely abluminal drug release from coronary stents could possibly improve reendothelialization. Catheterization and cardiovascular interventions: official journal of the Society for Cardiac Angiography & Interventions 88, E59–66, https://doi. org/10.1002/ccd.25335 (2016).
- Zhang, H. *et al.* Randomized clinical trial comparing abluminal biodegradable polymer sirolimus-eluting stents with durable polymer sirolimus-eluting stents: Nine months angiographic and 5-year clinical outcomes. *Medicine* 95, e4820, https://doi. org/10.1097/MD.00000000004820 (2016).
- Borhani, S., Hassanajili, S., Ahmadi Tafti, S. H. & Rabbani, S. Cardiovascular stents: overview, evolution, and next generation. Progress in biomaterials 7, 175–205, https://doi.org/10.1007/s40204-018-0097-y (2018).
- Townsend, J. C., Rideout, P. & Steinberg, D. H. Everolimus-eluting stents in interventional cardiology. Vascular health and risk management 8, 393–404, https://doi.org/10.2147/VHRM.S23388 (2012).
- Tada, T. *et al.* Risk of stent thrombosis among bare-metal stents, first-generation drug-eluting stents, and second-generation drugeluting stents: results from a registry of 18,334 patients. *JACC. Cardiovascular interventions* 6, 1267–1274, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jcin.2013.06.015 (2013).
- Stone, G. W. et al. Safety and efficacy of sirolimus- and paclitaxel-eluting coronary stents. The New England journal of medicine 356, 998–1008, https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa067193 (2007).
- Bangalore, S. et al. Bare metal stents, durable polymer drug eluting stents, and biodegradable polymer drug eluting stents for coronary artery disease: mixed treatment comparison meta-analysis. Bmj 347, f6625, https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.f6625 (2013).
- Waksman, R. *et al.* Safety and efficacy of the XIENCE V everolimus-eluting stent compared to first-generation drug-eluting stents in contemporary clinical practice. *The American journal of cardiology* 109, 1288–1294, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2011.12.019 (2012).
- 17. von Birgelen, C. *et al.* A randomized controlled trial in second-generation zotarolimus-eluting Resolute stents versus everolimuseluting Xience V stents in real-world patients: the TWENTE trial. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* **59**, 1350–1361, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2012.01.008 (2012).
- Gaglia, M. A. Jr. et al. Promus Premier versus Xience V and Taxus Liberte in contemporary United States practice (REWARDS premier registry). Cardiovascular revascularization medicine: including molecular interventions 18, 16–21, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. carrev.2016.09.010 (2017).
- Bangalore, S. *et al.* Short- and long-term outcomes with drug-eluting and bare-metal coronary stents: a mixed-treatment comparison analysis of 117 762 patient-years of follow-up from randomized trials. *Circulation* 125, 2873–2891, https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.112.097014 (2012).
- Sarno, G. *et al.* Lower risk of stent thrombosis and restenosis with unrestricted use of 'new-generation' drug-eluting stents: a report from the nationwide Swedish Coronary Angiography and Angioplasty Registry (SCAAR). *Eur Heart J* 33, 606–613, https://doi. org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehr479 (2012).
- Silber, S., Windecker, S., Vranckx, P., Serruys, P. W. & investigators, R. A. C. Unrestricted randomised use of two new generation drug-eluting coronary stents: 2-year patient-related versus stent-related outcomes from the RESOLUTE All Comers trial. *Lancet* 377, 1241–1247, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60395-4 (2011).
- Smits, P. C. et al. 2-year follow-up of a randomized controlled trial of everolimus- and paclitaxel-eluting stents for coronary revascularization in daily practice. COMPARE (Comparison of the everolimus eluting XIENCE-V stent with the paclitaxel eluting TAXUS LIBERTE stent in all-comers: a randomized open label trial). Journal of the American College of Cardiology 58, 11–18, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2011.02.023 (2011).
- Lee, C. H., Lemos, P. A. & Serruys, P. W. Acquired coronary artery fistula leading to acute myocardial infarction after endomyocardial biopsy. *Heart* 89, 495 (2003).
- Stone, G. W. et al. Randomized comparison of everolimus-eluting and paclitaxel-eluting stents: two-year clinical follow-up from the Clinical Evaluation of the Xience V Everolimus Eluting Coronary Stent System in the Treatment of Patients with de novo Native Coronary Artery Lesions (SPIRIT) III trial. *Circulation* 119, 680–686, https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.803528 (2009).
- Grube, E. *et al.* The SPIRIT V study: a clinical evaluation of the XIENCE V everolimus-eluting coronary stent system in the treatment of patients with de novo coronary artery lesions. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 4, 168–175, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jcin.2010.11.006 (2011).
- 26. Stefanini, G. G. *et al.* Biodegradable polymer drug-eluting stents reduce the risk of stent thrombosis at 4 years in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: a pooled analysis of individual patient data from the ISAR-TEST 3, ISAR-TEST 4, and LEADERS randomized trials. *Eur Heart J* 33, 1214–1222, https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehs086 (2012).
- Natsuaki, M. *et al.* Biodegradable polymer biolimus-eluting stent versus durable polymer everolimus-eluting stent: a randomized, controlled, noninferiority trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 62, 181–190, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2013.04.045 (2013).
- Finn, A. V. et al. Vascular responses to drug eluting stents: importance of delayed healing. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 27, 1500–1510, https://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.107.144220 (2007).
- Kolandaivelu, K. *et al.* Stent thrombogenicity early in high-risk interventional settings is driven by stent design and deployment and protected by polymer-drug coatings. *Circulation* 123, 1400–1409, https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.003210 (2011).
 Silber, S. *et al.* Final 5-year results of the TAXUS II trial: a randomized study to assess the effectiveness of slow- and moderate-release
- Silber, S. *et al.* Final 5-year results of the TAXUS II trial: a randomized study to assess the effectiveness of slow- and moderate-release polymer-based paclitaxel-eluting stents for de novo coronary artery lesions. *Circulation* 120, 1498–1504, https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.109.849877 (2009).
- 31. Jensen, L. O. et al. Randomized comparison of everolimus-eluting and sirolimus-eluting stents in patients treated with percutaneous coronary intervention: the Scandinavian Organization for Randomized Trials with Clinical Outcome IV (SORT OUT IV). Circulation 125, 1246–1255, https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.063644 (2012).
- Cutlip, D. E. et al. Clinical end points in coronary stent trials: a case for standardized definitions. Circulation 115, 2344–2351, https:// doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.685313 (2007).
- Gomez-Lara, J. et al. Risk of target lesion failure in relationship to vessel angiographic geometry and stent conformability using the second generation of drug-eluting stents. Am Heart J 162, 1069–1079 e1062, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2011.09.010 (2011).
- 34. Newcombe, R. G. Interval estimation for the difference between independent proportions: comparison of eleven methods. *Stat Med* 17, 873–890 (1998).

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the National Key Technology Research and Development Program in the Twelfth Five-year Plan Period of China (No. 2014BAI11B04). The cases were from Yanan University Affiliated Hospital, Handan First Hospital, Shijiazhuang the Third Hospital, Affiliated Hospital of Jining Medical University, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Gongyi City People's Hospital of Henan, Inner Mongolia People's Hospital, the Central Hospital of Jia Mu Si City, Dongguan Kanghua Hospital, the Affiliated Hospital of Xuzhou Medical University, the 7th People's Hospital of Zhengzhou.

Author contributions

Conception and design of the study: H.Z., X.Z., and J.G. Acquisition of data: Y.Y., C.Z., J.Z., W.H., S.L., C.S., X.C., G.L., and C.D. Analysis and interpretation of the data: H.Z., X.Z., J.G., W.D., S.W., F.Y. Writing and revision of the manuscript: H.Z., X.Z., and J.G. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Competing Interests

Haijun Zhang, Shenguo Wang and Junbo Ge are together holding intellectual property rights on the technology, licensed to Rientech Inc., which manufacture stents based on the technology described in the paper. All other authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to X.Z. or J.G.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019