

Aalborg Universitet

Uncertainty in a changing Arctic
Larsen, Sanne Vammen
Publication date: 2021
Link to publication from Aalborg University
Citation for published version (APA): Larsen, S. V. (2021). Uncertainty in a changing Arctic. Poster presented at IAIA Conference 2021, .

General rightsCopyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- ? Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research. ? You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain ? You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Uncertainty in a Changing Arctic

This poster presents a study of uncertainty in three Greenlandic EIAs. The results show limited explicit acknowledgment of uncertainty and a unsystematic and intransparent use of tools.

Sanne Vammen Larsen

Associate Professor, Aalborg University

Denmark



sannevl@plan.aau.dk

https://www.en.plan.aau.dk/



Uncertainty in a changing Arctic

Dr. Sanne Vammen Larsen

Associate Professor, The Danish Centre for Environmental Assessment/Aalborg University

Denmark

Background

Uncertainty is an inherent part of impact assessment (IA) and can vary in type and source. However, according to previous research, uncertainty is rarely explicitly acknowledged and handled in IA, indicating that this is a challenging issue in practice. This poster adds to the current body of research a study of IA for three projects in Greenland:

- Rare earth minerals mine at Killavaat Alannguat
- Ruby mine at Aappaluttog
- Airport in Ilulissat

This research was carried out as part of the Fulbright Arctic Initiative in 2018-2020.





Results

The results show a limited acknowledgement of uncertainty, as can be seen from the table below. Rather, uncertainty is indicated through the use of implicit language. The types of uncertainties most often acknowledged across the cases are concerning choice of design and technology, data, causal mechanisms and calculations and models.

EIA process	Killavaat	Ilulissat	Aappaluttoq
	Alannguat		
A) Explicit acknowledgement of uncertainty	No (0)	Yes (2)	No (0)
phrased as 'uncertainty'			
B) Explicit acknowledgement of uncertainty	Yes (3)	Yes (10)	No (0)
not phrased as 'uncertainty'			
C) Implicitly indicated uncertainty	Yes	Yes	Yes

More information

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ei ar.2021.106583

https://vbn.aau.dk/en/proje cts/resilience-underuncertain-arcticconditions-exploring-thepotent The study also finds that various tools have been applied, which could be used for handling uncertainty, including sensitivity analysis, monitoring and worst-case estimates. However, these tools are often not used systematically, and it is not transparent whether they are targeted at handling uncertainty.

Discussion

The results spark discussions of how choices of whether and how to acknowledge and handle uncertainty are made, and how consciously participants in the process make these choices?





Let's continue the conversation!

Post questions and comments via chat in the IAIA21 platform.



#iaia21