

Western University  
**Scholarship@Western**

---

Biology Publications

Biology Department

---

Spring 2019

## Overwintering Red Velvet Mites Are Freeze Tolerant

Susan E. Anthony  
*Western University, [santho2@uwo.ca](mailto:santho2@uwo.ca)*

Brent J. Sinclair  
*Western University, [bsincla7@uwo.ca](mailto:bsincla7@uwo.ca)*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/biologypub>



Part of the [Biology Commons](#)

---

### Citation of this paper:

Anthony, Susan E. and Sinclair, Brent J., "Overwintering Red Velvet Mites Are Freeze Tolerant" (2019).  
*Biology Publications*. 104.  
<https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/biologypub/104>

1 **Overwintering red velvet mites are freeze tolerant**

2 Freeze-tolerant mite

3

4 Susan E. Anthony<sup>1\*</sup> & Brent J. Sinclair<sup>1</sup>

5

6 <sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, University of Western Ontario, London, ON, Canada

7

8 \*Correspondence: Susan Anthony, Dept. Biology, University of Western Ontario,

9 London, ON, N6A 5B7, Canada

10 santho2@uwo.ca; Tel. +1-519-661-2111x89158; Fax +1-519-661-3935

11

12 **What is already known:**

13 Freeze-tolerance, the ability to survive freezing, has evolved in many arthropod species  
14 and in the arachnids, only in scorpions. All the microarthropods (mites and collembolans)  
15 that have been studied have been freeze avoidant, and have been assumed to be  
16 constrained to this strategy because of their small size. This has led researchers to  
17 conclude that freeze avoidance is a basal trait.

18 **What this study adds:**

19 We find a mite that is freeze tolerant - the first known instance of this strategy in any mite or  
20 microarthropod. This means that microarthropods are not, in fact, constrained to freeze  
21 avoidance, and that our thought processes about the evolution of freeze tolerance might need  
22 revision.

23 **Abstract**

24 Although many arthropods are freeze-tolerant (able to withstand internal ice), small-bodied  
25 terrestrial arthropods such as mites are thought to be constrained to freeze avoidance. We field-  
26 collected active adult red velvet mites, *Allothrombium* sp. (Trombidiidae), in winter in  
27 Southwestern Ontario, Canada, where temperatures drop below  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$ . These mites froze  
28 between  $-3.6$  and  $-9.2^{\circ}\text{C}$  and survived internal ice formation. All late-winter mites survived being  
29 frozen for 24h at  $-9^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and 50% survived one week. The  $\text{LLT}_{50}$  (the low temperature that kills  
30 50% of mites) was c. $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  in midwinter. Hemolymph osmolality and glycerol concentration  
31 increased in midwinter, accompanied by decreased water content. Thus, this species is freeze  
32 tolerant, demonstrating that there is neither phylogenetic nor size constraint to evolving this cold  
33 tolerance strategy.

34

35

36 **Keywords**

37 Freeze tolerance, Acari, seasonality, cold hardiness, overwintering, cryoprotectant

38 **Introduction**

39 Winter temperatures drop below 0°C in terrestrial temperate, polar, and alpine  
40 ecosystems. Arthropods in these habitats generally adopt one of two cold tolerance strategies:  
41 freeze avoidance, preventing ice formation by depressing the temperature at which they freeze  
42 (the supercooling point, SCP), or freeze tolerance, withstanding internal ice formation (Lee  
43 2010). Freeze-tolerant insects accumulate low molecular weight cryoprotectants, such as glycerol  
44 or proline (Lee 1991). They have high SCPs that likely facilitate control of the site or rate of ice  
45 formation (Toxopeus and Sinclair in press). Finally, freeze tolerant insects sometimes produce  
46 ice-binding proteins that initiate freezing at high temperatures (ice nucleating proteins) or control  
47 the growth and distribution of ice crystals (thermal hysteresis and recrystallisation-inhibiting  
48 proteins; Zachariassen and Kristiansen 2000).

49 Freeze tolerance appears to be a derived trait that has evolved multiple times in  
50 arthropods (Sinclair et al. 2003; Toxopeus and Sinclair in press), including many Insecta,  
51 Chilopoda, Crustacea, and in two Arachnids (both scorpions; Crawford and Riddle 1975;  
52 Whitmore et al. 1985). Small arthropods contain a small volume of water and therefore supercool  
53 easily (Sinclair et al. 2003). This propensity to supercool probably predisposes them towards  
54 freeze avoidance (Cannon and Block 1988). In particular, there has been extensive work on the  
55 cold tolerance of mites (Acari) and springtails (Collembola; collectively ‘microarthropods’) in  
56 the Antarctic and Arctic (as well as non-polar regions; Cannon and Block 1988; Sjørnsen and  
57 Sømme 2000), and thus far all have been freeze-avoidant or chill-susceptible. This is despite  
58 many of those species having soft permeable bodies and occupying the ice-rich microhabitats  
59 likely to promote inoculation by external ice and therefore freeze tolerance (Sinclair et al. 2003).  
60 Thus, the general conclusion is that – whether due to their small size or phylogenetic constraints

61 – microarthropods do not evolve freeze tolerance (Cannon and Block 1988; Sinclair et al. 2003;  
62 Toxopeus and Sinclair in press).

63

64 Here we report that overwintering red velvet mites are freeze tolerant, extending the  
65 taxonomic breadth of incidence of this strategy and upending the expectation that all  
66 microarthropods are freeze avoidant.

67

## 68 **Methods**

69 We hand-collected a total of 340 adult red velvet mites [*Allothrombium* sp.  
70 (Trombidiidae), voucher CNC871154, Canadian National Collection], mean  $\pm$  SEM fresh mass  
71  $4.3 \pm 0.2$  mg, from the soil surface and leaves of *Cirsium arvense* at the Environmental Sciences  
72 Western farm in Ilderton, Ontario (43.1°N, 81.3°W) between March 2016 and May 2017. To  
73 access mites under snow in winter, we collected c.100 individuals in November 2016 and buried  
74 them in ‘field cages’ – 600mL plastic containers containing 2cm of soil and *C. arvense* leaves,  
75 such that the surface of the soil in the containers was level with the surrounding soil. After  
76 collecting them from the field or removing them from the field cages (the mites always remained  
77 on the surface of the soil), we housed mites in 600mL containers at 4°C (winter - January,  
78 February, March) or room temperature (spring - April, May and autumn - November) for up to  
79 five days. We were unable to find mites between May and September, likely because juvenile  
80 trombindinid red velvet mites are ectoparasitic (Zhang 1998). We determined the SCP, cold  
81 tolerance strategy, and low temperature at which 50% of individuals are killed (LLT<sub>50</sub>) using  
82 methods outlined elsewhere (Sinclair et al. 2015).

83           We measured SCP in March, April, September, October, and November 2016, and  
84 January, February, March, and May 2017. We placed mites individually in 1.7mL  
85 microcentrifuge tubes in contact with a 36-AWG type-T thermocouple and recorded temperature  
86 at 2 Hz via a TC-08 interface and PicoLog software (Pico Technology, Cambridge, UK). We  
87 placed tubes in an aluminium block cooled by fluid circulated from a Proline RP855 bath  
88 (Lauda, Würzburg, Germany; before July 2016) or by a custom-built Peltier-effect device. We  
89 cooled mites from 4°C (winter) or 20°C (spring and autumn) to 0°C at 1.0°C·min<sup>-1</sup>, and  
90 0.5°C·min<sup>-1</sup> (or 0.25 °C ·min<sup>-1</sup> before July 2016) thereafter.

91  
92           To determine cold tolerance strategy in March, September, October, and November 2016,  
93 and January 2017, we cooled groups of mites until half the individuals had frozen (i.e. produced  
94 exotherms), then removed all of them to 4°C (winter) or ~20°C (spring and autumn). After 24h,  
95 mites that were upright and moving were recorded as alive.

96  
97           We estimated LLT<sub>50</sub> in March and November 2016, and January 2017 in groups of four  
98 mites exposed for 1h to a range of temperatures below the SCP. We began cooling at 21°C (for  
99 March measurements), 15°C (November), or 4°C (January; we based these temperatures on  
100 approximate maximum air temperatures for each month), and cooled and rewarmed at 0.25°C  
101 min<sup>-1</sup> (March measurements), or to 0°C at 1°C·min<sup>-1</sup>, and 0.5°C·min<sup>-1</sup> thereafter, and rewarmed  
102 at 0.5°C·min<sup>-1</sup>. We assessed survival after 24h at 20°C (March, November) or 4°C (January).

103  
104           We examined survival after prolonged freezing at temperatures below the SCP (but that  
105 yielded 100% survival of brief exposures) in April 2016 and March 2017. We cooled groups of

106 7-10 mites from 21°C to -8.6°C at 0.25°C·min<sup>-1</sup>, held them for 1, 8, and 24h, rewarmed at  
107 0.25°C·min<sup>-1</sup> and assessed survival (as above). In March 2017, we cooled three groups of four  
108 mites from 0°C to -9.0 ±0.7°C at 0.5°C·min<sup>-1</sup>, and held one group for each of 1h, 12h, and one  
109 week, before rewarming at 0.5°C·min<sup>-1</sup>.

110

111 To measure hemolymph osmolality, we amputated the front right leg under immersion  
112 oil, extracted c. 20 nL of hemolymph, and determined osmolality and thermal hysteresis (Otago  
113 Osmometers, Dunedin, New Zealand) as previously described (Crosthwaite et al. 2011). We  
114 measured whole-body water content gravimetrically, as the difference between fresh mass and  
115 mass after drying to a constant mass at 60°C (Sjursen and Sømme 2000). We rehydrated and  
116 crushed these mites in 0.05% Tween 20, and measured glycerol concentration  
117 spectrophotometrically (details in Crosthwaite et al. 2011).

118

119 We compared SCP, osmolality, water content, and glycerol content using ANOVA in R  
120 (version 3.2.2). We calculated LLT<sub>50</sub> from a generalized linear model in R and used non-  
121 overlapping 95% confidence intervals to compare months.

122 **Results**

123 All mites collected between November and March survived internal ice formation; a  
124 smaller proportion survived freezing at other times (Table 1). SCP ranged from  $-3.9^{\circ}\text{C}$  (March  
125 2016) to  $-9.2^{\circ}\text{C}$  (March 2017), and mean SCPs ranged from  $-6.2 \pm 0.2^{\circ}\text{C}$  in March 2016 to  $-8.4$   
126  $\pm 0.2^{\circ}\text{C}$  in January 2017 (Fig. 1a). SCP differed significantly among sampling points (Fig. 1a),  
127 but not in a manner that was associated with freeze tolerant mites having consistently higher or  
128 lower SCPs than their freeze intolerant counterparts.  $\text{LLT}_{50}$  ( $\pm 95\% \text{CI}$ ) was lower in January ( $-$   
129  $20.0 \pm 2.7^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), than in March ( $-7.4 \pm 3.2$ ) or November ( $-12.1 \pm 1.8^{\circ}\text{C}$ ; Fig. 1b). All mites in April  
130 and March survived being frozen at  $-8.6^{\circ}\text{C}$  or  $-9.0 \pm 0.7^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 24h, and 8/12 of March-collected  
131 mites survived frozen for one week at  $-9.0^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

132 Hemolymph osmolality ranged from 462 (March 2017) to 1997mOsm (February 2017;  
133 Fig. 2a). Mean osmolality was highest in mites collected in January and February (Fig. 2a; Table  
134 2). Water content was significantly lower in February 2017 than at other times of year, except  
135 November 2016 (Figure 2a). We did not observe thermal hysteresis in any hemolymph sample  
136 and saw no spicular ice crystal growth suggestive of ice-binding activity (Crosthwaite et al.  
137 2011). Glycerol concentration was highest in midwinter (Fig. 2b).

138

139



140 **Discussion**

141 To our knowledge, this is the first report of freeze tolerance in a microarthropod. Not all  
142 individuals survive internal ice formation in autumn, but by midwinter (January and February),  
143 *Allothrombium* sp. can survive at least one week in a frozen state.

144

145 The supercooling point was lowest in midwinter, but there did not appear to be a strong  
146 association between SCP and cold tolerance, unlike in many freeze-tolerant insects, and the total  
147 range of mean SCP is only c. 2°C in *Allothrombium* sp., compared to seasonal shifts of 10°C or  
148 more in other freeze tolerant species (Duman 2001). The supercooling point was c. 1.5°C higher  
149 in March 2016 than in March 2017 (Fig. 1A). We identify two possible explanations for this that  
150 may not simply be due to inter-annual variation. First, the March 2016 sample was collected on  
151 March 26th, much later in the month than the March 2017 sample (collected on March 6th); the  
152 2016 sample may perhaps be better reflective of SCP in the spring (we note it does not differ  
153 from the April and May timepoints). Second, the March and April 2016 SCPs were measured by  
154 cooling the mites at 0.25 °C·min<sup>-1</sup>, whereas the 2017 mites were cooled at 0.5°C·min<sup>-1</sup>. Slower  
155 cooling rates do lead to higher SCPs (Salt 1966), so this could explain the discrepancy, although  
156 the likely presence of ice nucleating agents would be expected to at least partially mitigate this  
157 effect. Either way, a difference in mean SCP of a few °C is unlikely to be biologically  
158 significant, and reflects the overall small range of SCPs in adult *Allothrombium* sp., and suggests  
159 selection for a consistently high supercooling point.

160

161 *Allothrombium* sp. is a large mite (fresh mass 4.1 ± 1.4 mg in the animals in our study),  
162 about twenty times the size of the c. 0.2 mg *Alaskozetes antarcticus* (Block 1977). This relatively

163 large size does not explain the high SCP nor imply an inability to depress the SCP in the winter;  
164 non-cold-hardy insects of comparable size to *Allothrombium* sp., such as *Drosophila*, have SCPs  
165 below -15 °C without special adaptations (Strachan et al. 2011), and much larger insects can  
166 maintain very low SCPs (e.g. the 70-100 mg emerald ash borer *Agilus planipennis* has a mean  
167 SCP below -30 °C in winter; Crosthwaite et al. 2011). SCP depression may not be possible for  
168 soft-bodied species that (like *Allothrombium* sp.) are routinely exposed to ice nucleators from the  
169 habitat. We speculate that this high probability of freezing (alongside year-round activity) could  
170 have favored evolution of freeze tolerance in this species, as has been postulated for freeze  
171 tolerance more generally (Toxopeus and Sinclair in press). Interestingly, these mites appear to  
172 have adopted a divergent strategy to the cryoprotective dehydration used by Collembola in  
173 similar habitats to avoid freezing (Sørensen and Holmstrup 2011).

174

175 Hemolymph osmolality was highest in midwinter. Decreased water content accounts for  
176 c.215 mOsm of that increase, with another 15 mOsm from increased [glycerol]. Glycerol has  
177 been reported as a cryoprotectant in other arachnids (Aitchison and Hegdekar 1982; Kirchner  
178 and Kestler 1969; Young and Block 1980) and is thought to enhance freeze tolerance by  
179 stabilising macromolecules and reducing ice content and minimum cell volume (Lee 2010).  
180 Although our small sample sizes (and consequently high variance) mean that we probably lack  
181 statistical power to detect small differences in [glycerol], the magnitude of the change from  
182 summer to winter (~15 mM) is substantially lower than the large changes observed in other  
183 mites; for example, the freeze-avoidant *A. antarcticus* accumulates c. 0.5 M glycerol (Young and  
184 Block 1980). Approximately 530 mOsm remain unaccounted for; we hypothesize that other  
185 osmotically-active agents (such as other polyols or amino acids, see Sinclair and Toxopeus, in

186 press) contribute to the increase of hemolymph osmolality could also act as cryoprotectants.  
187 Possibly, the small decrease in SCP in midwinter results from this increased osmolality.

188

189           In arachnids, freeze tolerance has evolved in two desert scorpions (Crawford and Riddle  
190 1975; Whitmore et al. 1985), but not in any other mites as far as we are aware (Cannon and  
191 Block 1988). Winter temperatures in southwestern Ontario can be highly variable (see, e.g.,  
192 Marshall and Sinclair 2012), and we suggest that this thermal variability coupled with winter  
193 activity (which likely precludes the accumulation of very high cryoprotectant concentrations),  
194 and extensive environmental moisture (promoting inoculative freezing) has favored freeze  
195 tolerance in this species. Thus, we show that neither small size nor a phylogenetic tendency  
196 towards freeze avoidance in mites prevents them from evolving freeze tolerance, and we  
197 speculate that other mites in similar circumstances may also be freeze tolerant.

198 **Acknowledgements**

199 We acknowledge support from the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada  
200 via an Alexander Graham Bell Canada Graduate Scholarship to SEA and a Discovery Grant to  
201 BJS. Thanks also to Peter Duenk, Kurtis Turnbull, Brynne Duffy, and John Ciancio for  
202 assistance with field collections; Jackie Lebenzon, Jantina Toxopeus, and Lamees Mohammad  
203 for laboratory assistance; and Victoria Nowell (Canadian National Collection) and Cal Welbourn  
204 (Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services) for mite identification. This ms was  
205 greatly improved by the constructive comments of three anonymous reviewers.

206

207

208 **Literature Cited**

- 209 Aitchison, C.W., and B.M. Hegdekar. 1982. Cryoprotectants in winter-active collembolans and  
210 spiders. *Cryo-Lett* 3: 310-311.
- 211 Block, W. 1977. Oxygen consumption of the terrestrial mite *Alaskozetes antarcticus* (Acari:  
212 Cryptostigmata). *J Exp Biol* 68: 69-87.
- 213 Cannon, R.J.C., and W. Block. 1988. Cold tolerance of microarthropods. *Biol Rev* 63: 23-77.
- 214 Crawford, C.S., and W.A. Riddle. 1975. Overwintering physiology of the scorpion *Diplocentrus*  
215 *spitzeri*. *Physiol Zool* 48: 84-92.
- 216 Crosthwaite, J.C., S. Sobek, D.B. Lyons, M.A. Bernards and B.J. Sinclair. 2011. The  
217 overwintering physiology of the emerald ash borer, *Agrilus planipennis* Fairmaire  
218 (Coleoptera: Buprestidae). *J Insect Physiol* 57: 166-173.
- 219 Duman, J.G. 2001. Antifreeze and ice nucleator proteins in terrestrial arthropods. *Annu Rev*  
220 *Physiol* 63: 327-357.
- 221 Kirchner, W., and P. Kestler. 1969. Untersuchungen zur Kälteresistenz der Schilfradspinne  
222 *Araneus cornutus* (Araneidae). *J Insect Physiol* 15: 41-53.
- 223 Lee, R.E. 1991. Principles of Insect Low Temperature Tolerance. pp. 17-46 in R.E. Lee and D.L.  
224 Denlinger, eds. *Insects at Low Temperature*. Chapman and Hall, New York.
- 225 Lee, R.E. 2010. A primer on insect cold tolerance. pp. 3-34 in D.L. Denlinger and R.E. Lee, eds.  
226 *Low temperature biology of insects*. Cambridge University Press, New York.
- 227 Marshall, K.E., and B.J. Sinclair. 2012. Threshold temperatures mediate the impact of reduced  
228 snow cover on overwintering freeze-tolerant caterpillars. *Naturwissenschaften* 99: 33-41.
- 229 Salt, R.W. 1966. Effect of cooling rate on the freezing temperature of supercooled insects. *Can J*  
230 *Zool* 44: 655-659.
- 231 Sinclair, B.J., A. Addo-Bediako and S.L. Chown. 2003. Climatic variability and the evolution of  
232 insect freeze tolerance. *Biol Rev* 78: 181-195.
- 233 Sinclair, B.J., L.E. Coello Alvarado and L.V. Ferguson. 2015. An invitation to measure insect  
234 cold tolerance: methods, approaches, and workflow. *J Therm Biol* 53: 180-197.
- 235 Sjørnsen, H., and L. Sømme. 2000. Seasonal changes in tolerance to cold and desiccation in  
236 *Phauloppia* sp. (Acari, Oribatida) from Finse, Norway. *J Insect Physiol* 46: 1387-1396.
- 237 Sørensen, J.G., and M. Holmstrup. 2011. Cryoprotective dehydration is widespread in Arctic  
238 springtails. *J Insect Physiol* 57: 1147-1153.
- 239 Strachan, L.A., H.E. Tarnowski-Garner, Marshall, K.E., and B.J. Sinclair. 2011. The evolution of  
240 cold tolerance in *Drosophila* larvae. *Physiol Biochem Zool* 84: 43-53.
- 241 Toxopeus, J., and B.J. Sinclair. in press. Mechanisms underlying insect freeze tolerance. *Biol*  
242 *Rev*. DOI: 10.1111/brv.12425.
- 243 Whitmore, D.H., R. Gonzalez and J.G. Baust. 1985. Scorpion cold hardiness. *Physiol Zool* 58:  
244 526-537.
- 245 Young, S.R., and W. Block. 1980. Experimental studies on the cold tolerance of *Alaskozetes*  
246 *antarcticus*. *J Insect Physiol* 26: 189-200.
- 247 Zachariassen, K.E., and E. Kristiansen. 2000. Ice nucleation and antinucleation in nature.  
248 *Cryobiology* 41: 257-279.
- 249 Zhang, Z.-Q. 1998. Biology and ecology of trombidiid mites (Acari: Trombidioidea). *Exp Appl*  
250 *Acarol* 22: 139-155.
- 251
- 252

253 **Tables**

254 Table 1: Freeze tolerance in *Allothrombium* sp. We cooled mites until c. 50% froze, then  
255 removed them from the cold for recovery.

Date collected	Frozen		Unfrozen	
	No. alive	No. dead	No. alive	No. dead
March 2016	9	0	8	1
September 2016	5	3	8	0
October 2016	2	2	4	0
November 2016	8	0	8	0
January 2017	7	0	3	0

256

257

258 **Figure Legends**

259 Figure 1: Cold tolerance of field-collected red velvet mites, *Allothrombium* sp. (a) Mean  $\pm$  SEM  
260 supercooling point (SCP; numbers indicate sample size; different letters indicate points that are  
261 significantly different,  $F_{7,147} = 22.07$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ). (b) Survival after 1h cold exposure; curves are the  
262 result of a generalized linear model with 95% confidence intervals shown in grey. Note that  
263 cooling rates in the 2015-2016 winter were  $0.25^{\circ}\text{C}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ , but  $0.5^{\circ}\text{C}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$  in the 2016-17 winter  
264 for both datasets.

265

266 Figure 2: Hemolymph composition of overwintering red velvet mites, *Allothrombium* sp. (a)  
267 Water content, osmolality; (b) glycerol concentration. Different letters indicate points that are  
268 significantly different (Water content:  $F_{4,48} = 6.33$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ; Osmolality:  $F_{3,24} = 21.88$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ;  
269 [glycerol]:  $F_{4,21} = 3.52$ ,  $P = 0.03$ ), numbers indicate sample sizes; mean  $\pm$ SEM shown throughout.

270