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#### Abstract

The topics of this thesis lie in graph Ramsey theory. Given two graphs $G$ and $H$, by the Ramsey theorem, there exist infinitely many graphs $F$ such that if we partition the edges of $F$ into two sets, say Red and Blue, then either the graph induced by the red edges contains $G$ or the graph induced by the blue edges contains $H$. The minimum order of $F$ is called the Ramsey number and the minimum of the size of $F$ is called the size Ramsey number. They are denoted by $\mathrm{r}(G, H)$ and $\hat{\mathrm{r}}(G, H)$, respectively. We will investigate size Ramsey numbers involving double stars and brooms.
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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

In this chapter, we first introduce the some basic concepts and terminologies, then introduce the research background and related research progress of size Ramsey number, and finally introduce the research results of this thesis.

### 1.1 Basic concepts and terminologies

All the graphs in this paper are undirected, finite and simple. For more concepts and symbols in graph theory, please refer to textbooks of graph theory [1, 2, 3, 4].

For a graph $G$, the vertex set, edge set, order and size of $G$ are denoted by $V(G), E(G)$, $v(G)$ and $e(G)$, respectively. The neighborhood, $N_{G}(v)$ or $N(v)$ for short, of a vertex $v$ of $G$ is the set of vertices adjacent to it. The degree, $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)$ or $\operatorname{deg}(v)$ for short, of a vertex $v$ of $G$ is the number of edges incident to it. The minimum degree of $G$, denoted by $\delta(G)$, is the smallest of the degrees of vertices in $G$ and the maximum degree, denoted by $\Delta(G)$, of $G$ is the largest of the degrees of the vertices in $G$. For any subset $X$ of $V(G)$, let $G[X]$ denote the subgraph induced by $X$; similarly, for any subset $F$ of $E(G)$, let $G[F]$ denote the subgraph induced by $F$. We use $G-X$ to denote the subgraph of $G$ obtained by removing all the vertices of $X$ together with the edges incident with them from $G$; similarly, we use $G \backslash F$ to denote the subgraph of $G$ obtained by removing all the edges of $F$ from $G$. If $X=\{v\}$ and $F=\{e\}$, we simply write $G-v$ and $G \backslash e$ for $G-\{v\}$ and $G \backslash\{e\}$, respectively.

A graph $G$ is connected if every two distinct vertices of $V(G)$ are the ends of at least one path in $G$. A connected graph $G$ with order $v(G)$ and size $v(G)-1$ is called a tree, denoted by $T$. An acyclic graph is one that contains no cycles, acyclic graphs are also called forests. The pendent vertices are vertices of degree 1. A double star $D(m, n)$ is a tree containing exactly two non-pendant vertices $x$ and $y$ with $\operatorname{deg}(x)=n+1$ and $\operatorname{deg}(y)=m+1$, where $x$ and $y$ are called the $n$-center and $m$-center (or just a center for short), respectively. A broom $B(m, n)$ is a tree obtained from a path $P_{m+1}$ and a star $K_{1, n}$ by identifying an end-vertex of $P_{m+1}$ with the center of $K_{1, n} . D(m, n)$ and $B(m, n)$ are shown in the Figure 1.1.

A red-blue coloring $(R, B)$ of the edges in a graph $G$ is a partition of $E(G)$ into $R$ and $B$, where we say an edge $e$ is colored by red if $e \in R$, and colored by blue if $e \in B$. For


Figure 1.1. $D(m, n)$ and $B(m, n)$

Table 1.1. The difference between Ramsey number and size Ramsey number

| Conception: | Ramsey number | size Ramsey number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Symbol: | $\mathrm{r}(G, H)$ | $\hat{\mathrm{r}}(G, H)$ |
| Definition: | $\mathrm{r}(G, H)=\min \{v(F): F \rightarrow(G, H)\}$ | $\hat{\mathrm{r}}(G, H)=\min \{e(F): F \rightarrow(G, H)\}$ |

convenience, we also denote by $R$ and $B$ the induced subgraph by $R$ and $B$, respectively, and call them the red subgraph and blue subgraph. Then for a vertex $v$, the meanings of $\operatorname{deg}_{R}(v)$, $\operatorname{deg}_{B}(v), N_{R}(v)$ and $N_{B}(v)$ are clear.

Given graphs $F, G$ and $H$, we write $F \rightarrow(G, H)$ if in any red-blue edge-coloring of $F, F$ contains a red copy of $G$ or a blue copy of $H$. Conversely, if there is a red-blue edge-coloring of $F$ such that $F$ contains neither a red copy of $G$ nor a blue copy of $H$, then we write $F \nrightarrow(G, H)$. For graphs $G$ and $H$, the smallest order of a graph $F$ with $F \rightarrow(G, H)$ is called the Ramsey number for $G$ and $H$, denoted by $\mathrm{r}(G, H)$; and the smallest size of a graph $F$ with $F \rightarrow(G, H)$ is called the size Ramsey number for $G$ and $H$, denoted by $\hat{\mathrm{r}}(G, H)$. The difference between Ramsey number and size Ramsey number can be seen in the Table 1.1.

### 1.2 Research background and related research progress

In 1978, Erdős, Faudree, Rousseau and Schelp introduced the notion of size Ramsey number and obtained the exact value of $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(K_{m}, K_{n}\right)$ and $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(K_{1, m}, K_{1, n}\right)$.

Theorem 1.2.1. [5] For positive integers $m$ and $n$,

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(K_{m}, K_{n}\right)=\binom{\mathrm{r}\left(K_{m}, K_{n}\right)}{2}
$$

Theorem 1.2.2. [5] For positive integers $m$ and $n$,

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(K_{1, m}, K_{1, n}\right)=n+m-1 .
$$

Later Burr, Erdős, Faudree, Rousseau and Schelp generalized the result of $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(K_{1, m}, K_{1, n}\right)$.
Theorem 1.2.3. [6] For positive integers $k, l, m$ and $n$,

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(m K_{1, k}, n K_{1, l}\right)=(m+n-1)(k+l-1) .
$$

Given graphs $F, G_{1}, \cdots, G_{t}$, we write $F \rightarrow\left(G_{1}, \cdots, G_{t}\right)$ if in any $t \geq 2$ edge-coloring of $F, F$ contains a monochromatic subgraph $G_{i}$ of $i$-color $(1 \leq i \leq t)$. The smallest size of a graph $F$ with $F \rightarrow\left(G_{1}, \cdots, G_{t}\right)$ denoted by $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(G_{1}, \cdots, G_{t}\right)$. A more general result was proved by Zhang, who considered $t \geq 2$ kinds of color edge-coloring.

Theorem 1.2.4. [7] For positive integers $m_{i}$ and $n_{i}$ for $(1 \leq i \leq t)$ with $t \geq 2$,

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(m_{1} K_{1, n_{1}}, m_{2} K_{1, n_{2}}, \cdots, m_{t} K_{1, n_{t}}\right)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{t}\left(m_{i}-1\right)+1\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{t}\left(n_{i}-1\right)+1\right) .
$$

Note that $K_{1,2} \cong P_{3}$, Faudree and Sheehan obtained the exact value of $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(K_{1,2}, K_{n}\right)$.
Theorem 1.2.5. 8] For positive integers $n \geq 2$,

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(K_{1,2}, K_{n}\right)=2(n-1)^{2} .
$$

Note that $S_{k, n}$ is a star-like graph, which is the graph obtained from a star $K_{1, n}$ by subdividing one of the edge $k$ times. $S_{k, n}$ is also called a broom. Bielak obtained the exact value of $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(S_{1, n}, S_{1, n}\right)$.

Theorem 1.2.6. 9] For positive integers $n \geq 3$,

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(S_{1, n}, S_{1, n}\right)=4 n-2 .
$$

Faudree, Rousseau and Sheehan obtained the exact value of $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(K_{1, n}, K_{2, m}\right)$ and $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(K_{1, n}, K_{2,2}\right)$.

Theorem 1.2.7. 10 For positive integers $m \geq 9$, if $n$ is sufficiently large, then

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(K_{1, n}, K_{2, m}\right)=4 n+2 m-4
$$

For positive integers $n \geq 3$,

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(K_{1, n}, K_{2,2}\right)=4 n .
$$

If $G=H$, we write $\hat{\mathrm{r}}(G, H)$ as $\hat{\mathrm{r}}(G)$. Beck obtained the upper bound of $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{n}\right)$.
Theorem 1.2.8. [11]

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{n}\right) \leq 900 n
$$

The upper bound $900 n$ was subsequently improved in [12, 13, 14, 15. In 2017, Dudek and Pralat [16] improved the upper bound $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{n}\right) \leq 74 n$.

Beck obtained the upper bound of $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(T_{n}\right)$.
Theorem 1.2.9. 11 For a tree $T$ with $n$ edges, if $n$ is sufficiently large, then

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(T_{n}\right) \leq \Delta(T) n(\log n)^{12}
$$

Friedman and Pippenger obtained another expression of the upper bound of $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(T_{n}\right)$.
Theorem 1.2.10. [17] For a tree $T$ of order $n$,

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(T_{n}\right) \leq c(\Delta(T))^{4} n
$$

where $c$ is an absolute constant.

Ke improved the upper bound given by Friedman and Pippenger.
Theorem 1.2.11. [18] For a tree $T$ of order $n$,

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(T_{n}\right) \leq c(\Delta(T))^{2} n
$$

where $c$ is an absolute constant.

Let $T$ be a tree and have bipartition $V(T)=V_{1} \cup V_{2}$. For $i=1,2$, let $t_{i}=\left|V_{i}\right|$ and $\Delta_{i}=\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}(v): v \in V_{i}\right\}$. Further, let $\beta(T)=t_{1} \Delta_{1}+t_{2} \Delta_{2}$. Beck obtained the lower and upper bound of $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(T_{n}\right)$.

Theorem 1.2.12. 19] For any tree $T$,

$$
\frac{\beta(T)}{4} \leq \hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(T_{n}\right) \leq c \beta(T)(\log |T|)^{12}
$$

where $c$ is an absolute constant.

Haxell and Kohayakawa improved the upper bound given by Beck.
Theorem 1.2.13. 20] For any tree $T$,

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(T_{n}\right) \leq c \beta(T)(\log \Delta(T))
$$

where $c$ is an absolute constant.

Let $G_{n}$ be a graph with order $n$ and $\Delta(G)=3$. Rödl and Szemerédi obtained the lower bound of $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(G_{n}\right)$.

Theorem 1.2.14. 21 There exist positive integers $c$ and $\alpha$, and an infinite sequence of graphs $G_{n}$, where $G_{n}$ is of order $n$ and $\Delta\left(G_{n}\right)=3$ such that

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(G_{n}\right) \geq c n\left(\log _{2} n\right)^{\alpha} .
$$

Erdős, Faudree, Rousseau and Schelp obtained the lower and upper bound of complete bipartite graph $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(K_{m, n}\right)$.

Theorem 1.2.15. [5] For $m \geq 2$ and sufficiently large $n$.

$$
e^{-1} m 2^{m-1} n \leq \hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(K_{m, n}\right) \leq \frac{28}{9} m^{2} 2^{m-1} n
$$

Also, Erdős and Rousseau [22] obtained the lower and upper bound of balanced complete bipartite graph $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(K_{n, n}\right)$ as follows.

$$
\frac{1}{60} n^{2} 2^{n} \leq \hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(K_{n, n}\right) \leq \frac{3}{2} n^{3} 2^{n}
$$

For the size Ramsey number of some small graphs such as $K_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}, K_{3}, K_{1,3}, C_{4}$, $K_{1,3}+e, K_{4}-e, K_{4}$ and others, see the survey by Faudree [23].

### 1.3 Research results

In this thesis, we focus the size Ramsey numbers involving double stars and brooms together.

In Chapter 2, we consider the size Ramsey numbers involving double stars and get the following results.

- $n+2 m+1 \leq \hat{r}\left(P_{3}, D(m, n)\right) \leq n+2 m+4$ for $n \geq m \geq 2$,
- $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, D(n, n)\right)=3 n+4$ for $n \geq 5$,
- $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, D(1, n)\right)=n+5$ for $n \geq 4$,
- $s(n+1)+m \leq \hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(s P_{2}, D(m, n)\right) \leq s(n+m+1)$ for $n \geq m \geq 2$ and $s \geq 2$,
- $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, D(2,2)\right)=10$,
- $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, D(1, n)\right)=2 n+4$ for $n \geq 3$, and
- $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(s P_{2}, D(1, n)\right)=s(n+2)$ for $s \geq 2$ and $n \geq\left\lceil\left(s^{2}+3 s-2\right) / 2\right\rceil$.

In Chapter 3, we consider the size Ramsey numbers involving brooms and get the following results.

- $n+\frac{3}{2} m \leq \hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, B(m, n)\right) \leq n+2 m$ for $m \geq 3, n \geq 1$,
- $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, B(3, n)\right)=n+6$ for $n \geq 5$,
- $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, B(4, n)\right)=n+8$ for $n \geq 7$,
- $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, B(m, n)\right) \leq 2 n+2 m-2$ for $m \geq 4, n \geq 1$,
- $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, B(m, n)\right) \geq 2 n+m+2$ for $m \geq 3, n \geq m+2$,
- $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, B(3, n)\right)=2 n+5$ for $n \geq 5$, and
- $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, B(4, n)\right)=2 n+6$ for $n \geq 6$.


## CHAPTER 2

## SIZE RAMSEY NUMBERS INVOLVING DOUBLE STARS

Note that a double star $D(m, n)$ is a tree containing exactly two non-pendant vertices $x$ and $y$ with $\operatorname{deg}(x)=n+1$ and $\operatorname{deg}(y)=m+1$. Next, we give some essential parameters of $D(m, n)$. The order of $D(m, n), v(D(m, n))=n+m+2$. The size of $D(m, n), e(D(m, n))=$ $n+m+1$. The maximum degree of $D(m, n), \Delta(D(m, n))=\max \{n+1, m+1\}$.

### 2.1 Size Ramsey numbers of $P_{3}$ versus double stars

In this section, we give some results on the size Ramsey numbers for 3-path versus double stars. At first, we have an upper and lower bound for $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, D(m, n)\right)$ as the following theorem. Before giving the following theorems and proofs, we first give a very important fact.

Fact 2.1.1. To avoid red copy of $P_{3}$ in graph $F$, the red edges in $F$ form a matching.
Theorem 2.1.2. For $n \geq m \geq 2$,

$$
n+2 m+1 \leq \hat{r}\left(P_{3}, D(m, n)\right) \leq n+2 m+4 .
$$

Proof. Let uvw be a path of order 3, and $G$ be a graph obtained from uvw and three stars $K_{1, m+1}, K_{1, n}$ and $K_{1, m+1}$ by identifying the center of $K_{1, m+1}$ with $u$, the center of $K_{1, n}$ with $v$ and the center of $K_{1, m+1}$ with $w$, which shown in the Figure 2.1.

Giving a red-blue edge-coloring of $G$, let $R$ and $B$ denote the red and blue subgraph, respectively. Suppose that $R$ does not contain a $P_{3}$, by Fact 2.1.1, the red edges in $F$ form a matching. Without loss of generality, assume $u v \in B$. Since the stars at $u$ and $w$ can only contain at most one red edge, we get a blue $D(m, n)$ contained at $u$ and $v$, and hence $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, D(m, n)\right) \leq e(G)=n+2 m+4$.

To show $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, D(m, n)\right) \geq n+2 m+1$, we let $F$ be a graph with at most $n+2 m$ edges. We can assume $e(F)=n+2 m$. It suffices to show that there exists a red-blue edge-coloring of $F$ such that $F$ contains neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $D(m, n)$. If $F$ contains no subgraphs isomorphic to $D(m, n)$, then we choose an edge $e$ in $F$ and color it red, and


Figure 2.1. Upper Bound of $P_{3}$ versus $D(m, n)$
the edges in $F \backslash e$ are colored blue. One can see that $F$ contains neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $D(m, n)$ under such a red-blue edge-coloring. From now on, we assume that there exists a subgraph $H$ of $F$ such that $H \cong D(m, n)$. Let $x, y$ be the $n$-center and $m$-center of $H$ in $F$, respectively.

Claim 1. If we color $x y$ red and the other edges blue, then there is neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $D(m, n)$.

Proof. Clearly, there is no red copy of $P_{3}$ in $F$. Assume, to the contrary, that $F$ contains a blue copy of $D(m, n)$, say $H^{\prime}$. Let $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ be the $n$-center and $m$-center of $H^{\prime}$ in $F$, respectively. Since $x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$ is colored by blue, it follows that $x y \neq x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$ and $H \neq H^{\prime}$. If $x^{\prime} \neq x$, then $e\left(H \cap H^{\prime}\right) \leq m+1 \leq n+1$, and hence $e\left(H \cup H^{\prime}\right) \geq 2(n+m+1)-e\left(H \cap H^{\prime}\right) \geq$ $n+2 m+1>n+2 m=e(F)$, a contradiction. If $x^{\prime}=x$, then $e\left(H \cap H^{\prime}\right) \leq n+1$, and hence $e\left(H \cup H^{\prime}\right) \geq 2(n+m+1)-e\left(H \cap H^{\prime}\right) \geq n+2 m+1>n+2 m=e(F)$, a contradiction.

From Claim 1, $F$ contains neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $D(m, n)$ under such edge-coloring, as desired.

From Theorem 2.1.2, $3 n+1 \leq \hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, D(n, n)\right) \leq 3 n+4$ if we take $m=n$. Furthermore, we will show $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, D(n, n)\right)=3 n+4$ for $n \geq 5$. For a maximum matching $M$ of a graph $F$, we denote

$$
V(M)=\{v \in V(F) \mid \operatorname{deg}(v) \geq n+1 \text { and } v \text { covered by } M\} .
$$

Then we have the following lemma.
Lemma 2.1.3. Let $F$ be a graph with $e(F) \leq 3 n+3$ and $\Delta(F) \geq n+1$, where $n \geq 5$. Let $M$ be a maximum matching of $F$ such that $|V(M)|$ is maximized. For any two vertices $u, v$ of degree at least $n+1$, if $u v \in E(F)$, then $M$ covers both $u$ and $v$.

Proof. If $M$ covers neither $u$ nor $v$, then $M \cup\{u v\}$ is also a matching of $F$, which contradicts the maximality of $M$. Suppose that $M$ covers one of $u$ and $v$. Without loss of generality, assume $u$ is covered by $M$ but $v$ is not. Then there exists $w \in N(u)-v$ such that $u w \in M$. If $\operatorname{deg}(w) \leq n$, then a new matching $(M \backslash u w) \cup\{u v\}$ covers more vertices of degree at least $n+1$, a contradiction. From now on, we assume $\operatorname{deg}(w) \geq n+1$. Since $\operatorname{deg}(v) \geq n+1$ and $n \geq 5$, it follows that $|N(v)-\{u, w\}| \geq 4$. Choose four vertices $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$ from $N(v)-\{u, w\}$. For each $i(1 \leq i \leq 4)$, since $x_{i} v \notin M$ and $M$ is a maximum matching, it follows that there exists $x_{i}^{\prime} \in N\left(x_{i}\right)$ such that $x_{i} x_{i}^{\prime} \in M$.

Let $L$ be the set of edges incident to $u$ or $v$ or $w$. Noting that $v$ is not covered by $M$. Then $L \cap M=\{u w\}$, and hence $x_{i} x_{i}^{\prime} \notin L$ for each $i(i=1,2,3,4)$. Let $L^{\prime}=L \cup\left\{x_{i} x_{i}^{\prime} \mid i=1,2,3,4\right\}$. Then $\left|L^{\prime}\right|=|L|+4 \geq 3 n+4>3 n+3 \geq e(F)$, a contradiction.

Theorem 2.1.4. For $n \geq 5$,

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, D(n, n)\right)=3 n+4
$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.1.2, we have $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, D(n, n)\right) \leq 3 n+4$. To show $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, D(n, n)\right) \geq 3 n+4$, we let $F$ be a graph with at most $3 n+3$ edges. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.1.2, we can assume that $F$ contains a copy of $D(n, n)$. We will show that there is a red-blue coloring of the edges of $F$ such that $F$ contains neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $D(n, n)$.

Let $s$ denote the number of vertices of degree at least $n+2$ in $F$.
Claim 2. $s \geq 2$.

Proof. Let $M$ be a maximum matching of $F$ such that $|V(M)|$ is maximized. Color all the edges in $M$ red, and the other edges are colored blue. Then $F$ contains no red copy of $P_{3}$ clearly. Suppose $F$ has a blue copy of $D(n, n)$, denoted by $H$, with centers $u$ and $v$. Furthermore, $\operatorname{deg}_{B}(u) \geq n+1$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{B}(v) \geq n+1$. From Lemma 2.1.3, we have $\operatorname{deg}_{R}(u) \geq 1$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{R}(v) \geq 1$, and hence $\operatorname{deg}(u) \geq n+2$ and $\operatorname{deg}(v) \geq n+2$. So $s \geq 2$.

Let $t$ denote the number of vertices of degree $n+1$. Suppose that $F$ is not connected. Clearly, $F$ contains a subgraph $P_{3}, D(n, n)$ if and only if there exists a connected component $C$ of $F$ such that $F$ contains a subgraph $P_{3}, D(n, n)$, respectively. We now assume $F$ is also connected. By the choice of $s$ and $t$, we have

$$
2 e(F) \geq(n+1) t+(n+2) s+(v(F)-s-t)=n t+(n+1) s+v(F)
$$

Since $e(F) \leq 3 n+3$, it follows that $n t+n s+s \leq 6 n+6-v(F)$. Since $F$ contains a copy of $D(n, n)$, it follows that $v(F) \geq v(D(n, n))=2 n+2$. From Claim 2, we have $s \geq 2$, and
hence $n s+n t \leq 6 n+6-(2 n+2)-2=4 n+2$, and so $s+t \leq 4+\frac{2}{n}$. Noting $n \geq 5$, we have $s+t \leq 4$. If $s+t=2$, then the conclusion holds clearly, and thus we assume $s+t \geq 3$. Furthermore, we have

$$
s+t=3 \text { or } 4, \quad \text { and } s \geq 2,
$$

and hence $(t, s)=(1,2),(2,2),(0,3),(1,3)$ or $(0,4)$.
Denote by $W$ the set of vertices of degree at least $n+1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
e(F) & \geq e(W, V(F)-W)+e(W)=\left(\sum_{v \in W} \operatorname{deg}(v)\right)-e(W) \\
& \geq(n+1) t+(n+2) s-e(W)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $e(F) \leq 3 n+3$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n+1) t+(n+2) s \leq 3 n+3+e(W) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim 3. $(t, s)=(1,2)$ or $(t, s)=(0,3)$.

Proof. Assume, to the contrary, that $(t, s)=(2,2),(0,4)$ or $(1,3)$, then $|W|=4$ and $e(W) \leq 6$, thus by (2.1), we have $n \leq 3$, which contradicts to the fact $n \geq 5$.

From Claim 3, $(t, s)=(1,2)$ or $(t, s)=(0,3)$. Then $|W|=3$. Let $W=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right\}$ with $\operatorname{deg}\left(w_{1}\right) \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(w_{2}\right) \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(w_{3}\right)$.

Case 1. $(t, s)=(1,2)$.

In this case, $\operatorname{deg}\left(w_{1}\right)=n+1, \operatorname{deg}\left(w_{2}\right) \geq n+2$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(w_{3}\right) \geq n+2$. Since $(t, s)=(1,2)$, it follows that $e(W) \geq 2$ by (2.1).

Choose two edges, say $e_{1}, e_{2}$, from $F[W]$ and they have a common vertex in $W$. If $w_{2}$ is the common vertex, then $F\left[\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}\right]$ is 3 -path $w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}$. Choose $w_{1}^{\prime} \in N\left(w_{1}\right)-\left\{w_{2}, w_{3}\right\}$ and color $w_{2} w_{3}, w_{1} w_{1}^{\prime}$ red, and the other edges in $F$ are colored blue. Since $\operatorname{deg}\left(w_{1}\right)=n+1$, one can check that there is neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $D(n, n)$ under this red-blue edge-coloring. The same is true if $w_{3}$ is the common vertex of $e_{1}, e_{2}$. If $w_{1}$ is the common vertex, then $F\left[\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}\right]$ is 3 -path $w_{2} w_{1} w_{3}$. If $w_{2} w_{3} \in E(F)$, then $w_{2}$ is the common vertex and we have done on this case. So we assume $w_{2} w_{3} \notin E(F)$. Choose $w_{1}^{\prime \prime} \in N\left(w_{1}\right)$. We now color $w_{1} w_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ red, and the other edges in $F$ are colored blue. Noting $\operatorname{deg}\left(w_{1}\right)=n+1$ and $w_{2} w_{3} \notin E(F)$, one can check there is neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $D(n, n)$ under this red-blue edge-coloring.

Case 2. $(t, s)=(0,3)$.
For each $i(i=1,2,3)$, we have $\operatorname{deg}\left(w_{i}\right) \geq n+2$.
Claim 4. For each $i(i=1,2,3), \operatorname{deg}\left(w_{i}\right)=n+2$.

Proof. Assume, to the contrary, that one of $w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}$ is of degree at least $n+3$. Then $3 n+3 \geq e(F) \geq 3 n+7-3=3 n+4$, a contradiction.

Since $(t, s)=(0,3)$, it follows that $e(W)=3$ by 2.1), and hence $F[W]$ is a 3-cycle. Since $n \geq 5$, we can choose $w_{1}^{\prime} \in N\left(w_{1}\right)-\left\{w_{2}, w_{3}\right\}, w_{2}^{\prime} \in N\left(w_{2}\right)-\left\{w_{1}, w_{3}, w_{1}^{\prime}\right\}$ and $w_{3}^{\prime} \in$ $N\left(w_{3}\right)-\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{1}^{\prime}, w_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$. We color the edges in $\left\{w_{i} w_{i}^{\prime} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 3\right\}$ red, and the other edges in $F$ are colored blue. Suppose there is a blue copy of $D(n, n)$, denoted by $H$. Then the centers of $H$ belong to $W$, and assume $w_{1}, w_{2}$ are the two centers of $H$. Note that $\left|N_{B}\left(w_{1}\right)-\left\{w_{2}, w_{3}\right\}\right|=\left|N_{B}\left(w_{2}\right)-\left\{w_{1}, w_{3}\right\}\right|=n-1$ and $w_{3}$ is a common neighbor of $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$, and so $H$ is not a blue copy of $D(n, n)$.

In the end of this section, we give the exact value of $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, D(1, n)\right)$ as the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1.5. For $n \geq 4$,

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, D(1, n)\right)=n+5
$$

Proof. We first give the upper bound $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, D(1, n)\right) \leq n+5$. Let $G$ be a graph obtained from a star $K_{1, n}$ with center $u$ and a $K_{4}^{-}$by identifying $u$ and a vertex of degree 3 in $K_{4}^{-}$. Note that $e(F)=n+5$; see Figure 2.2 .


Figure 2.2. Upper Bound of $P_{3}$ versus $D(1, n)$

Giving a red-blue edge-coloring of $G$, let $R$ and $B$ denote the red and blue subgraph, respectively. Suppose that $R$ does not contain a $P_{3}$, by Fact 2.1.1, the red edges in $F$ form a matching. Since $\Delta(G)=n+3$, let $v \in V(G)$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(v)=n+3$. If the edges incident to vertex $v$ are all blue, note that $e(G-v)=2$, and in $G-v$ only one edge can be colored red, so there must be a $D(1, n)$ in $B$. Similarly, if an edge incident to vertex $v$ is colored red, it is easy to check that there is a $D(1, n)$ in $B$, and hence $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, D(1, n)\right) \leq e(G)=n+5$.

Next, we prove the lower bound $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, D(1, n)\right) \geq n+5$. Let $F$ be a graph of size at most $n+4$. We can assume $e(F)=n+4$. It suffices to show that there exists a red-blue edge-coloring of $F$ such that $F$ contains neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $D(1, n)$. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.1 .2 , we can assume that $F$ contains a copy of $D(1, n)$. Since $P_{3}$ and $D(1, n)$ is connected, we can assume $F$ is connected.

Claim 5. There is exactly one vertex of degree at least $n+1$ in $F$.

Proof. Assume, to the contrary, that there are at least two vertices of degree at least $n+1$. Then $e(F) \geq 2 n+1$, and hence $n \leq 3$, which contradicts to the fact $n \geq 4$.

From Claim 5, there is the unique vertex $u$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(u) \geq n+1$. If $\operatorname{deg}(u)=n+1$, then take one edge incident to $u$, color it red and color the other edges in $F$ blue, which coloring is our desired coloring. Since $e(F)=n+4$, it follows that $\operatorname{deg}(u) \leq n+4$. If $\operatorname{deg}(u)=n+4$, then there is no copy of $D(1, n)$ in $F$, as desired. If $\operatorname{deg}(u)=n+3$, then there is exactly one edge not incident to $u$. We color the edge not incident to $u$ red, and the other edges in $F$ are colored blue. Then $F$ contains neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $D(1, n)$ under this coloring.

From now on, we assume $\operatorname{deg}(u)=n+2$. Denote by $S$ the set of edges incident to $u$, and let $S^{\prime}=E(F) \backslash S$. Then $|S|=n+2$ and $\left|S^{\prime}\right|=2$. Let $S^{\prime}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$. If $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are not adjacent, then we color the edges in $S$ blue, and $e_{1}, e_{2}$ are colored red. Then $F$ contains neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $D(1, n)$ under this coloring. Assume that $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ have a common vertex $w$. Let $e_{1}=w w_{1}$ and $e_{2}=w w_{2}$.

Since $F$ is connected, $\left\{w, w_{1}, w_{2}\right\} \cap N[u] \neq \emptyset$. Since $S \cap S^{\prime}=\emptyset$, we have $u \notin\left\{w, w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$. Suppose that one of $w, w_{1}, w_{2}$ belongs to $N(u)$, say $w_{1} \in N(u)$, but $w, w_{2} \notin N(u)$. We color $w w_{1}$ red and the other edges in $F$ are colored blue. This coloring is our desired coloring. Suppose that at least two of $w, w_{1}, w_{2}$ belong to $N(u)$. If $w_{1}, w_{2} \in N(u)$ and $w \notin N(u)$, then we color $u w_{1}$ and $w w_{2}$ red, and the other edges in $F$ are colored blue. Such a coloring is our desired coloring. If $w, w_{1} \in N(u)$ and $w_{2} \notin N(u)$, then we take an edge $e \in S$, color $e$ and $w w_{2}$ red, and the other edges in $F$ are colored blue. Note that the case of $w, w_{2} \in N(u)$
and $w_{1} \notin N(u)$ can be similarly proved. If $\left\{w, w_{1}, w_{2}\right\} \subseteq N(u)$, then we color $u w_{1}$ and $w w_{2}$ red and the other edges in $F$ are colored blue, and hence the blue subgraph is a copy of $D(1, n-1)$, as desired.

### 2.2 Size Ramsey numbers of matchings versus double stars

In this section, we focus on size Ramsey numbers for matchings versus double stars. At first, we give an upper and lower bound for $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(s P_{2}, D(m, n)\right)$ as the following theorem. Before giving the following theorems and proofs, we first give a very important fact.

Fact 2.2.1. To avoid red copy of $2 P_{2}$ in graph $F$, the red edges in $F$ can only be a star or a triangle.

Theorem 2.2.2. For $n \geq m \geq 2$ and $s \geq 2$,

$$
s(n+1)+m \leq \hat{r}\left(s P_{2}, D(m, n)\right) \leq s(n+m+1)
$$

Proof. We first prove the upper bound. Let $G=s D(m, n)$. For any red-blue coloring of $E(G)$ without any red copy of $s P_{2}$, there is at least one blue copy of $D(m, n)$ clearly. Hence, $\left.\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(s P_{2}, D(m, n)\right) \leq e(s D(m, n))\right)=s(n+m+1)$.

Next we give the lower bound $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(s P_{2}, D(m, n)\right) \geq s(n+1)+m$. Let $F$ be a graph of size at most $s(n+1)+m-1$ and containing a copy of $D(m, n)$. Next by an induction on $s$, we will show that there is a red-blue coloring of $E(F)$ such that there is neither a red copy of $s P_{2}$ nor a blue copy of $D(m, n)$.

Assume $s=2$. Then $e(F) \leq 2 n+m+1$. Since $F$ contains a copy of $D(m, n)$, then $\Delta(F) \geq n+1$, Take a vertex $u$ of maximum degree, and color the edges incident to $u$ red and the other edges in $F$ blue. Then there is no red copy of $2 P_{2}$ clearly. And the number of blue edges is at most $n+m$, thus there is no blue copy of $D(m, n)$ either. Suppose the conclusion holds for $s-1$. Again, take a vertex $u$ of maximum degree. Denote $F^{\prime}=F-u$. Then $e\left(F^{\prime}\right) \leq(s-1)(n+1)+m-1$. If $F^{\prime}$ has no copy of $D(m, n)$, then it is sufficient to color the edges incident to $u$ red and color $E\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ blue. Assume $F^{\prime}$ contains a copy of $D(m, n)$. Then by inductive hypothesis, there is a red-blue coloring of $E\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ such that there is neither a red copy of $(s-1) P_{2}$ nor a blue copy of $D(m, n)$. Now keep this coloring, and further color the edges red incident to $u$, then we get the red-blue edge-coloring which we want.

Next we give some exact value of size Ramsey numbers for matchings versus double
stars. At first, we show $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, D(2,2)\right)=10$.

## Theorem 2.2.3.

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, D(2,2)\right)=10
$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.2.2, we have $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, D(2,2)\right) \leq 10$. Next we show $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, D(2,2)\right) \geq 10$. Let $F$ be a graph of size 9 and containing a copy of $D(2,2)$. Then $\Delta(F) \geq 3$. If $\operatorname{deg}(w) \geq 5$, then $e(F-w) \leq 4$, and it is sufficient to color all edges incident to $w$ red and color $E(F-w)$ blue. Then in this coloring, there is neither a red copy of $2 P_{2}$ nor a blue copy of $D(2,2)$. Hence we can assume $\Delta(F)=\operatorname{deg}(w)=4$ or 3 , and then $e(F-w)=e(D(2,2))$ or $e(D(2,2))+1$. If $F-w$ contains no copy of $D(2,2)$, then it is sufficient to color all edges incident to $w$ red and color $E(F-w)$ blue. Thus assume $F-w$ contains a copy of $D(2,2)$, denoted by $H$, with two centers $u$ and $v$. Since $H \subseteq F-w$, then $w \neq u, v$.

Assume $\Delta(F)=\operatorname{deg}(w)=4$. Then $e(F-w)=5=e(D(2,2))$. Thus $F-w=H$. It follows that the vertices of degree at least three in $F$ exactly are $u, v$ and $w$. Then it is sufficient to color $E(\{u, v, w\})$ red and the other edges in $F$ blue.

Next assume $\Delta(F)=\operatorname{deg}(w)=3$. Then $e(F \backslash w)=6$ and thus there is the unique edge $e \in E(F-w) \backslash E(H)$. Since $\Delta(F)=3$, then $e$ is incident to none of $u, v$ and $w$, and $u, v \notin N(w)$. Denote $N(w)=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right\}, N(u)=\left\{v, u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ and $N(v)=\left\{u, v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$. If $e=u_{1} u_{2}$ or $v_{1} v_{2}$, say $e=u_{1} u_{2}$, then color $u_{1} u_{2}, u_{1} u$ and $u_{2} u$ red. And noting $v \notin N(w)$, such coloring is what we want. If $e=u_{i} v_{j}$ for $i, j \in\{1,2\}$, say $e=u_{1} v_{1}$, then

- when $v_{1} \notin N(w)$, it is sufficient to color the edges incident to $u$ red and color the other edges in $F$ blue;
- when $v_{1} \in N(w)$, it is sufficient to color the edges incident to $v$ red and color the other edges in $F$ blue.

Thus assume $e$ is incident to at most one vertex in $V(H)$.
If $e$ is not incident to any vertex in $V(H)$, then $w, u$ and $v$ are the unique three vertices of degree at least 3 in $F$. Noting $u, v \notin N(w)$, it is sufficient to color $u v$ red and the other edges in $F$ blue. Thus assume $e$ is incident to exact one vertex in $V(H)$. Considering $e$ is not incident to $u$ or $v$, we assume that $e$ is incident to $u_{1}$ and not to any other vertex in $V(H)$. Then there are at most four vertices of degree 3 in $F$, which are $w, u, v$ and $u_{1}$. It is sufficient to color the edges incident to $u$ red and the other edges in $F$ blue. Noting $u, v \notin N(w)$, such coloring is what we want.

Next consider $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(s P_{2}, D(1, n)\right)$. By an induction, we will show $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(s P_{2}, D(1, n)\right)=s(n+2)$ for sufficiently large $n$. And the following theorem will be the inductive basis.

Theorem 2.2.4. For $n \geq 3$,

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, D(1, n)\right)=2 n+4 .
$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.2.2, we have $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, D(1, n)\right) \leq 2 n+4$. Next we show $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, D(1, n)\right) \geq$ $2 n+4$. Let $F$ be a graph of size $2 n+3$ and containing a copy of $D(1, n)$. Take $w \in V(F)$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(w)=\Delta(F)$. Denote $F^{\prime}$ by the induced subgraph of the set of edges not incident to $w$. Since $F$ contains a copy of $D(1, n)$, then $\Delta(F) \geq n+1$. If $\Delta(F) \geq n+2$, then $e\left(F^{\prime}\right) \leq n+1$ and $F^{\prime}$ has no copy of $D(1, n)$. It is sufficient to color the edges incident to $w$ red and color the other edges in $F$ blue. Thus assume that $\Delta(F)=n+1$. Then $e\left(F^{\prime}\right)=n+2=e(D(1, n))$. If $F^{\prime} \not \neq D(1, n)$, then it is sufficient to color the edges incident to $w$ red and color the other edges in $F$ blue, again. Thus assume $F^{\prime} \cong D(1, n)$. Let $u$ and $v$ be the $n$-center and 1-center, respectively. If $N(w) \cap V\left(F^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset$, then it is sufficient to color one edge of $F^{\prime}$ red and the other edges in $F$ blue. Thus assume $N(w) \cap V\left(F^{\prime}\right) \neq \emptyset$. If $v \in N(w) \cap V\left(F^{\prime}\right)$, then it is sufficient to color the edges incident to $v$ red and color the other edges in $F$ blue. Thus assume $v \notin N(w) \cap V\left(F^{\prime}\right)$. If $u \in N(w) \cap V\left(F^{\prime}\right)$, then $\operatorname{deg}(u) \geq n+2$, which contradicts $\Delta\left(F^{\prime}\right)=n+1$. Thus assume $u \notin N(w) \cap V\left(F^{\prime}\right)$. If there exists $x \in N(w) \cap V\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ such that $x \in N_{F^{\prime}}(u)$, then It is sufficient to color $u x$ and $w x$ red and color the other edges in $F$ blue. Thus assume no neighbor of $u$ belong to $N(w) \cap V\left(F^{\prime}\right)$.

Denote by $v_{1}$ the other neighbor of $v$ than $u$ in $F^{\prime}$. Then $N(w) \cap V\left(F^{\prime}\right)=\left\{v_{1}\right\}$. It is sufficient to color $v v_{1}$ and $w v_{1}$ red and other edges in $F$ blue. And such edge-coloring is what we want.

Before to get $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(s P_{2}, D(1, n)\right)=s(n+2)$, we prove the the following lemma first.
Lemma 2.2.5. Assume $F$ is a graph of size $s(n+2)-1$ where $s \geq 2$ and $n \geq\left\lceil\left(s^{2}+3 s-2\right) / 2\right\rceil$. Denote by $W$ the set of vertices of degree at least $n+1$ in $F$. If $|W| \geq s$, then $|W|=s$.

Proof. Let $t=|W|$. If $t \geq n+3$, then $s(n+2)-1=e(F) \geq(n+1) t / 2 \geq(n+1)(n+3) / 2$, i.e.,

$$
n^{2}+(4-2 s) n+(5-4 s) \leq 0
$$

Thus

$$
n \leq(s-2)+\sqrt{s^{2}-1} \leq 2 s-2=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(s^{2}+3 s-2\right)-\left(s^{2}-s+2\right)\right]<\frac{s^{2}+3 s-2}{2}
$$

which contradicts $n \geq\left\lceil\left(s^{2}+3 s-2\right) / 2\right\rceil$. Thus $t \leq n+2$. And further,

$$
e(F) \geq[2 e(W)+e(W, V(F)-W)]-e(W)=\left(\sum_{x \in W} \operatorname{deg}(x)\right)-e(W) \geq t(n+1)-\frac{t(t-1)}{2}
$$

Noting $e(F)=s(n+2)-1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(t-1) \geq 2(t-s) n+2(t-2 s+1) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $t \leq n+2$, we have

$$
t(t-1) \geq 2(t-s)(t-2)+2(t-2 s+1)
$$

That is

$$
t[t-(2 s+1)]+2 \leq 0
$$

Then $t \leq 2 s+1$.
Suppose $t \geq s+1$. Then $t \in[s+1,2 s+1]$. By (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \leq \frac{t(t-1)-2(t-2 s+1)}{2(t-s)}=\frac{1}{2}\left[(t-s)+\frac{s^{2}+s-2}{t-s}+2 s-3\right] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote

$$
f(t):=\frac{1}{2}\left[(t-s)+\frac{s^{2}+s-2}{t-s}+2 s-3\right] .
$$

Since $t \in[s+1,2 s+1]$ and $s \geq 2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{t \in[s+1,2 s+1]} f(t) & =\max \{f(s+1), f(2 s+1)\} \\
& =\max \left\{\frac{s^{2}+3 s-4}{2}, 2 s-1-\frac{2}{s+1}\right\}=\frac{s^{2}+3 s-4}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by (2.3), we have

$$
n \leq f(t) \leq \frac{s^{2}+3 s-4}{2}
$$

But that contradicts $n \geq\left\lceil\left(s^{2}+3 s-2\right) / 2\right\rceil>\left(s^{2}+3 s-4\right) / 2$.
Theorem 2.2.6. For $s \geq 2$ and $n \geq\left\lceil\left(s^{2}+3 s-2\right) / 2\right\rceil$,

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(s P_{2}, D(1, n)\right)=s(n+2) .
$$

Proof. We will use induction on $s$. When $s=2$, by Theorem 2.2.4. we have $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, D(1, n)\right)=$ $2 n+4$. Suppose $\hat{r}\left((s-1) P_{2}, D(1, n)\right)=(s-1)(n+2)$. Next we prove $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(s P_{2}, D(1, n)\right)=s(n+2)$.

By Theorem 2.2.2, we have $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(s P_{2}, D(1, n)\right) \leq s(n+2)$. Next we show $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(s P_{2}, D(1, n)\right) \geq$ $s(n+2)$. Let $F$ be a graph of size $s(n+2)-1$ and containing a copy of $D(1, n)$. Then $\Delta(F) \geq n+1$. Denote by $W$ the set of vertices of degree at least $n+1$ in $F$. If $|W| \leq s-1$, then it is sufficient to color the edges incident to $w$ red for each $w \in W$ and color the other edges in $F$ blue. Next assume $|W| \geq s$. By Lemma 2.2.5, we have $|W|=s$ and let $W=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{s}\right\}$.

Assume $\Delta(F)=n+1$. If $w_{1} w_{2} \in E(F)$ then it is sufficient to color $w_{1} w_{2}$ and the edges incident to $w_{i}$ red for each $i \in[3, s]$ and color the other edges in $F$ blue. Clearly, in such edge-coloring, there is neither a red copy of $s P_{2}$ nor a blue copy of $D(1, n)$. Thus assume $w_{1} w_{2} \notin E(F)$, and symmetrically, $w_{i} w_{j} \notin E(F)$ for any $i, j \in[1, s]$. If there exists $u \in N\left(w_{1}\right) \cap N\left(w_{2}\right)$, then it is sufficient to color $w_{1} u, u w_{2}$ and the edges incident to $w_{i}$ red for each $i \in[3, s]$ and color the other edges in $F$ blue. Thus assume $N\left[w_{1}\right] \cap N\left[w_{2}\right]=\emptyset$, and symmetrically, $N\left[w_{i}\right] \cap N\left[w_{j}\right]=\emptyset$ for any $i, j \in[1, s]$. Thus $e(F-W)=s-1$. Then color the edges incident to $w_{i}$ blue for each $i \in[1, s]$ and color $F-W$ red. Since $e(F-W)=s-1$, there is no red copy of $s P_{2}$. Since $N\left[w_{i}\right] \cap N\left[w_{j}\right]=\emptyset$ for any $i, j \in[1, s]$, there is no blue copy of $D(1, n)$.

Assume $\Delta(F) \geq n+2$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(w_{1}\right)=\Delta(F)$. Denote $F^{\prime}=F-\left\{w_{1}\right\}$. Then $e\left(F^{\prime}\right) \leq$ $(s-1)(n+2)-1$. By induction hypergraphs, there is a red-blue edge-coloring such that in $F^{\prime}$, there is neither a red copy of $(s-1) P_{2}$ nor a blue copy of $D(1, n)$. And further color the edges incident to $w_{1}$ red. Then in the new red-blue edge-coloring, there is no red copy of $s P_{2}$, and no blue copy of $D(1, n)$.

## CHAPTER 3

## SIZE RAMSEY NUMBERS INVOLVING BROOMS

Note that a broom $B(m, n)$ is a tree obtained from a path $P_{m+1}$ and a star $K_{1, n}$ by identifying an end-vertex of $P_{m+1}$ with the center of $K_{1, n}$. Next, we give some essential parameters of $B(m, n)$. The order of $B(m, n), v(B(m, n))=n+m+1$. The size of $D(m, n)$, $e(B(m, n))=n+m$. The maximum degree of $B(m, n), \Delta(B(m, n))=n+1$.

### 3.1 Size Ramsey numbers of $P_{3}$ versus brooms

In this section, we give some results on the size Ramsey numbers for 3-path versus brooms. At first, we have an upper and lower bound for $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, D(m, n)\right)$ as the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1.1. For $m \geq 3$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$
n+\frac{3}{2} m \leq \hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, B(m, n)\right) \leq n+2 m
$$

Proof. To show $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, B(m, n)\right) \leq n+2 m$, let $G$ be a graph obtained from a $(m+1)$-path $P_{m+1}=v_{1} \cdots v_{m+1}$ and a star $K_{1, n+1}$ with center $u$ by identifying $v_{1}$ and $u$ (that is $u=v_{1}$ ), then incident edges $v_{i} v_{i+2}$ for all $i \in\{1, \cdots, m-1\}$, which show in Figure 3.1.


Figure 3.1. Upper Bound of $P_{3}$ versus $B(m, n)$

Giving a red-blue edge-coloring of $G$, let $R$ and $B$ denote the red and blue subgraph, respectively. Suppose that $R$ does not contain a $P_{3}$, by Fact 2.1.1, the red edges in $F$ form a matching. In star $K_{1, n+1}$, at most one edge is colored red, so there are at least $n$
blue edges in $K_{1, n+1}$. In path $P_{m+1}$ with edges $v_{i} v_{i+2}$ for all $i \in\{1, \cdots, m-1\}$, if any matching is deleted, there must be a path $P_{m+1}$. Thus, there is a $B(m, n)$ in $B$, and hence $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, B(m, n)\right) \leq e(G)=n+2 m$.

Next we show $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, B(m, n)\right) \geq n+\frac{3}{2} m$. Let $F$ be a graph with at most $n+\frac{3}{2} m-1$ edges. We can assume that $e(F)=n+\frac{3}{2} m-1$ and $F$ containing a copy of $B(m, n)$. Since $B(m, n)$ contains a $(m+2)$-path copy, then we can color at least $\frac{m}{2}$ matchings in $B(m, n)$ red and color the other edges in $F$ blue. Note that blue edges in $F$ at most $n+m-1, F$ contains no blue copy of $B(m, n)$, as desired.

Next we give the exact value of $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, B(3, n)\right)$ and as $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, B(3, n)\right)$ the following theorems.

Theorem 3.1.2. For $n \geq 5$,

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, B(3, n)\right)=n+6 .
$$

Proof. By Theorem3.1.1, we have $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, B(3, n)\right) \leq n+6$. Next we show $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, B(3, n)\right) \geq n+6$. Let $F$ be a graph with at most $n+5$. We can assume that $e(F)=n+5$. Let $s$ be the number of vertices of degree $\geq n+1$.

Claim 6. $s=1$.

Proof. Assume, to the contrary, that $s \geq 2$. Then there exist two vertices, say $u_{1}, u_{2}$, such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(u_{i}\right) \geq n+1$ for $i=1,2$, and hence there are at least $2(n+1)-1 \geq n+6>e(F)$, since $n \geq 5$, a contradiction.

If $\Delta(F) \leq n$, we choose an edge $e$ in $F$ and color it red, and the other edges in $F-e$ are colored blue. Then there is neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $B(3, n)$. If $\Delta(F)=n+1$, we choose an edge $e$ incident to $v$ in $F$ and color it red, and the other edges in $F$ are colored blue. Then there is neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $B(3, n)$.

Suppose $\Delta(F)=n+3+i(i=0,1,2)$. Then there exists a vertex $v$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(v)=$ $\Delta(F)=n+i$, and $e(F-v)=2-i$. If $i=1,2$, then we color the edges incident to $v$ red. If $i=0$, then there are two edges in $F-v$ and color one of then red. Then we color the other edges in $F$ blue. One can easily check that there is neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $B(3, n)$, as desired.

Suppose $\Delta(F)=n+2$. Then there exists a vertex $v$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(v)=\Delta(F)=n+2$, and $e(F-v)=3$. If $F-v \neq K_{3}$ and $F-v \neq K_{1,3}$, then there is a $2 P_{2}$ in $F-v$, and we
color $2 P_{2}$ red, and the other edges of $F$ are colored blue. Then there is neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $B(3, n)$.

Suppose $F-v \cong K_{3}$ or $F-v \cong K_{1,3}$. Let $N(v)=\left\{v_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n+2\right\}$. The center vertex of $K_{1,3}$ is denoted as $u$, and the other three degree vertices in $K_{1,3}$ are denoted as $u_{1}$, $u_{2}$ and $u_{3}$. Since $F$ is connected, $|N(v) \cap V(F-v)| \neq \emptyset$.

If $|N(v) \cap V(F-v)|=1$, let $\{x\}=N(v) \cap V(F-v)$, then we color the edge $v x$ red, the other edges in $F$ blue. One can easily check that there is neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $B(3, n)$.

Case 3.

$$
F-v \cong K_{3}
$$

Subcase 3.1. If $|N(v) \cap V(F-v)|=2$, then we color the edge $v v_{i}\left(v_{i} \in N(v)\right.$ and $\left.\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}\right)=1\right)$ red, the other edges in $F$ blue. Then $v\left(F-v_{i}\right)=n+3<v(B(3, n))$, so there is neither $a$ red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $B(3, n)$.

Subcase 3.2. If $|N(v) \cap V(F-v)|=3$, then $v(F)=n+3<v(B(3, n))$, so $B(3, n) \nsubseteq F$.
Case 4.

$$
F-v \cong K_{1,3}
$$

Subcase 4.1. If $N(v) \cap V(F-v)=\left\{u, u_{1}\right\}$, then we color the edges $u u_{2}$ and $v v_{i}\left(v_{i} \in N(v)\right.$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}\right)=1$ ) red, the other edges in $F$ blue. Then $v\left(F-u_{2}-v_{i}\right)=n+3<v(B(3, n))$, so there is neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $B(3, n)$.

Subcase 4.2. If $N(v) \cap V(F-v)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$, then we color the edges uu $u_{3}$ and $v v_{i}\left(v_{i} \in N(v)\right.$ and $\left.\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}\right)=1\right)$ red, the other edges in $F$ blue. Then $v\left(F-u_{3}-v_{i}\right)=n+3<v(B(3, n))$, so there is neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $B(3, n)$.

Subcase 4.3. If $|N(v) \cap V(F-v)|=3$, then we color the edge $v v_{i}\left(v_{i} \in N(v)\right.$ and $\left.\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}\right)=1\right)$ red, the other edges in $F$ blue. Then $v\left(F-v_{i}\right)=n+3<v(B(3, n))$, so there is neither $a$ red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $B(3, n)$.

Subcase 4.4. If $|N(v) \cap V(F-v)|=4$, then $v(F)=n+3<v(B(3, n))$, so $B(3, n) \nsubseteq F$, as desired.

Theorem 3.1.3. For $n \geq 7$,

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, B(4, n)\right)=n+8
$$

Proof. By Theorem3.1.1, we have $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, B(4, n)\right) \leq n+8$. Next we show $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, B(4, n)\right) \geq n+8$. Let $F$ be a graph with at most $n+7$. We can assume that $e(F)=n+7$. Let $s$ be the number of vertices of degree $\geq n+1$.

Claim 7. $s=1$.

Proof. Assume, to the contrary, that $s \geq 2$. Then there exist two vertices, say $u_{1}, u_{2}$, such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(u_{i}\right) \geq n+1$ for $i=1,2$, and hence there are at least $2(n+1)-1 \geq n+8>e(F)$, since $n \geq 7$, a contradiction.

Suppose there exists a vertex $v$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(v)=\Delta(F)$
If $\Delta(F) \leq n$, we choose an edge $e$ in $F$ and color it red, and the other edges in $F-e$ are colored blue. Then there is neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $B(4, n)$. If $\Delta(F)=n+1$, we choose an edge $e$ incident to $v$ in $F$ and color it red, and the edges in $F-e$ are colored blue. Then there is neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $B(4, n)$.

Suppose $n+3 \leq \Delta(F) \leq n+7$. Then the number of edges that not incident to $v$ is at most 4. Notice that $B(4, n) \subseteq F$ and has 3 edges that not incident to $v$. We can color red $2 P_{2}$ in $F-v$, and the number of edges left in $F-v$ is at most 2 . One can easily check that there is neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $B(4, n)$, as desired.

Suppose $\Delta(F)=n+2$. Then there exists a vertex $v$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(v)=\Delta(F)=n+2$, and $e(F-v)=5$, denote $H=F-v$. Clearly, $P_{4} \subseteq H$ and $H$ has at least 2 matching. If the number of maximun matching of H is 3 , then we can color red $3 P_{2}$ in $H$ and there is neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $B(4, n)$.

In fact, there is only one graph with 5 edges that contains $P_{4}$ as subgraph and the number of maximum matching is 2 , and delete any matching in $H$, there is always $P_{4} \subseteq H$, which show in Figure 3.2.

If $\left|\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\} \cap N(v)\right|=1$, let $\left\{u_{i}\right\}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\} \cap N(v)$, then we color the edge $v u_{i}$ red, the other edges in $F$ blue. One can easily check that there is neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $B(4, n)$.

If $2 \leq\left|\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}\right\} \cap N(v)\right| \leq 4$, we color the edge $v v_{i}\left(v_{i} \in N(v)\right.$ and $\left.\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}\right)=1\right)$ red, the other edges in $F$ blue. Then $v\left(F-v_{i}\right) \leq n+4<v(B(4, n))$, so there is neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ nor a blue copy of $B(4, n)$.


Figure 3.2. The Graph $H$

### 3.2 Size Ramsey numbers of matchings versus brooms

In this section, we focus on size Ramsey numbers for matchings versus brooms. At first, we give an upper and lower bound for $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, B(m, n)\right)$ as the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2.1. For $m \geq 4, n \geq 1$,

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, B(m, n)\right) \leq 2 n+2 m-2
$$

For $m \geq 3, n \geq m+2$,

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, B(m, n)\right) \geq 2 n+m+2 .
$$

Proof. To show $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, B(m, n)\right) \leq 2 n+2 m-2$, let $G$ be a graph obtained from a $2 m$ cycle $C_{2 m}=v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{2 m} v_{1}$ and two stars $K_{1, n-1}, K_{1, n-1}$ with centers $u_{1}, u_{2}$, respectively, by identifying $u_{1}, v_{1}$ and $u_{2}, v_{m}$ (that is $u_{1}=v_{1}$ and $u_{2}=v_{m}$ ), which show in Figure 3.3.

Giving a red-blue edge-coloring of $G$, let $R$ and $B$ denote the red and blue subgraph, respectively. Suppose that $R$ does not contain a $2 P_{2}$, by Fact 2.2.1, the red edges in $F$ form a star or a triangle. Since $G$ is triangle-free, the red edges in $F$ form a star. If the center of the red star is on the pendent vertices of $G$, then it is obvious that $B(m, n)$ is in $B$. Similarly, if the center of the red star is on the cycle of $G$, it is easy to check that there is a $B(m, n)$ in $B$, and hence $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, B(m, n)\right) \leq e(G)=2 n+2 m-2$.

Next we show $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, B(m, n)\right) \geq 2 n+m+2$. Let $F$ be a graph with at most $2 n+m+1$. We can assume that $e(F)=2 n+m+1$. If $\Delta(F) \leq n$, we choose an edge $e$ in $F$ and color it red, and the other edges in $F-e$ are colored blue. Then there is neither a red copy of


Figure 3.3. Upper Bound of $2 P_{2}$ versus $B(m, n)$
$2 P_{2}$ nor a blue copy of $B(m, n)$. If $\Delta(F) \geq n+2$, then there exists a vertex $v$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(v)=\Delta(F) \geq n+2$. We color the edges incident to $v$ red, and the other edges are colored blue. One can easily check that there is neither a red copy of $2 P_{2}$ nor a blue copy of $B(m, n)$.

Suppose $\Delta(F)=n+1$. Let $s$ be the number of vertices of degree $n+1$.

## Claim 8. $s \leq 2$.

Proof. Assume, to the contrary, that $s \geq 3$. Then there exist three vertices, say $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$, such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(u_{i}\right)=\Delta(F)=n+1$ for $i=1,2,3$, and hence there are at least $3(n+1)-3 \geq$ $2 n+m+2>e(F)$, since $n \geq m+2$, a contradiction.

From Claim 8, we have $s \leq 2$. If $s=1$, then there exists a vertex $v \in V(F)$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(v)=\Delta(F)=n+1$. We color the edges incident to $v$ red, and then color the other edges blue. One can easily check that there is neither a red copy of $2 P_{2}$ nor a blue copy of $B(m, n)$, as desired.

Suppose $s=2$. Then there exist two vertices $u, v \in V(F)$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(u)=\operatorname{deg}(v)=$ $\Delta(F)=n+1$. If $u v \in E(F)$, then we color $u v$ red, and then color the other edges in $F$ blue. Since $\Delta(F-u v) \leq n, F-u v$ contains no blue copy of $B(m, n)$ as its subgraph, as desired. Suppose that $u v \notin E(F)$ and $N(u) \cap N(v) \neq \emptyset$. Choose $w \in N(u) \cap N(v)$. We color the edges $u w, v w$ red, and then color the other edges in $F$ blue. It is clear that $\Delta(F-u w-v w) \leq n$, and hence $F-u w-v w$ contains no blue copy of $B(m, n)$ as its subgraph, as desired. Suppose that $u v \notin E(F)$ and $N(u) \cap N(v)=\emptyset$. If $F-u \neq B(m, n)$, then we color the edges incident to $u$ red, and then color the other edges in $F$ blue. It is our
desired edge-coloring. Similarly, we can get the desired edge-coloring if $F-v \neq B(m, n)$. Thus, $F-u \cong B(m, n)$ and $F-v \cong B(m, n)$. Note that $e(F-u-v)=m-1$, then these $m-1$ edges must form a path, which show in Figure 3.4 .


Figure 3.4. The Graph $F$

We color one edge from this path red, and then color the other edges in $F$ blue. One can easily check that there is neither a red copy of $2 P_{2}$ nor a blue copy of $B(m, n)$, as desired.

The upper bound of $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, B(3, n)\right)$ is some different, we need to construct a new graph to obtain the upper bound.

Corollary 1. For $n \geq 5$,

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, B(3, n)\right)=2 n+5 .
$$

Proof. To show $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, B(3, n)\right) \leq 2 n+5$, let $G$ be a graph obtained from a 6 -cycle $v_{1} v_{2}, \cdots, v_{6} v_{1}$ and two stars $K_{1, n}, K_{1, n-1}$ with centers $u_{1}, u_{2}$, respectively, by identifying $u_{1}, v_{1}$ and $u_{2}, v_{3}$ (that is $u_{1}=v_{1}$ and $u_{2}=v_{3}$ ), which show in Figure 3.5.

Giving a red-blue edge-coloring of $G$, let $R$ and $B$ denote the red and blue subgraph, respectively. Suppose that $R$ does not contain a $2 P_{2}$, by Fact 2.2 .1 , the red edges in $F$ form a star or a triangle. Since $G$ is triangle-free, the red edges in $F$ form a star. If the center of the red star is on the pendent vertices of $G$, then it is obvious that $B(3, n)$ is in $B$. If $u_{1}$ is the center of red star, since $G-u_{1} \cong B(3, n)$, there is a $B(3, n)$ in $B$. If $u_{2}$ is the center of red star, there is also a $B(3, n)$ in $B$. Similarly, if the other vertices on the cycle of $G$ are centers of the red stars, it is easy to check that there is a $B(3, n)$ in $B$, and hence $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, B(3, n)\right) \leq e(G)=2 n+5$.

From Theorem 3.2.1, let $m=3$ then we get $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, B(3, n)\right) \geq 2 n+5$ for $n \geq 5$.


Figure 3.5. Upper Bound of $2 P_{2}$ versus $B(3, n)$

Let $m=4$, the exact value of $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, B(4, n)\right)$ can get from Theorem 3.2.1 directly.
Corollary 2. For $n \geq 6$,

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, B(4, n)\right)=2 n+6 \text {. }
$$

## CHAPTER 4

## SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

### 4.1 Summary

This thesis mainly studies the size Ramsey numbers of $P_{3}$, matchings versus double stars and brooms, and get some exact values and upper and lower bounds.

In order to prove the upper bound, we need to construct a graph $G$ such that $G$ contains a red copy of $P_{3}$ (or matchings) or a blue copy of double star (or broom) under any red-blue edge-coloring of $G$.

It is much more difficult to prove the lower bound. Our aim is to give an edge-coloring for all graphs with fixed size, so that there is neither a red copy of $P_{3}$ (or matchings) nor a blue copy of double star (or broom).

### 4.2 Future work

In the following research, the following problems can still be considered.

- Improve the lower bound (or get the exact value) of $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(s P_{2}, D(m, n)\right)$,
- Get the exact value of $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, D(m, n)\right)$,
- Get the exact value of of $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(2 P_{2}, B(m, n)\right)$, and
- Get the exact value of $\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, B(m, n)\right)$.

Conjecture 4.2.1. For $m \geq 5$ and $n \geq 2 m-1$,

$$
\hat{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{3}, B(m, n)\right)=n+2 m .
$$
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