

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

A preclinical trial and molecularly-annotated patient cohort identify predictive biomarkers in homologous recombination deficient pancreatic cancer

Citation for published version:

WAng, Y, Patrick Park, JY, Pacis, A, E. Denroche, R, Jang, GH, Zhang, A, Cuggia, A, Domecq, C, Monlong, J, Raitses-Gurevich, M, C. Grant, R, Borgida, A, Holter, S, Stossel, C, Bu, S, Masoomian, M, Lungu, I, Bartlett, J, M. Wilson, J, Gao, Z-H, Riazalhosseini, Y, Asselah, J, Bouganim, N, Cabrera, T, Boucher, L-M, Valenti, D, Biagi, J, M.T Greenwood, C, Polak, P, D. Foulkes, W, Golan, T, M. O'Kane, G, E. Fischer, S, J. Knox, J, Gallinger, S & Zogopoulos, G 2020, 'A preclinical trial and molecularly-annotated patient cohort identify predictive biomarkers in homologous recombination deficient pancreatic cancer', *Clinical Cancer* Research. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-20-1439

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-20-1439

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: **Clinical Cancer Research**

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in Clinical Cancer Research following peer review. The version of record "A preclinical trial and molecularly-annotated patient cohort identify predictive biomarkers in homologous recombination deficient pancreatic cancer" is available online at:DOI: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-20-1439 &

https://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/early/2020/08/14/1078-0432.CCR-20-1439

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1	A preclinical trial and molecularly-annotated patient cohort identify predictive
2	biomarkers in homologous recombination deficient pancreatic cancer
3	
4	Yifan Wang ^{1,2} , Jin Yong Patrick Park ^{1,2} , Alain Pacis ^{1,3} , Robert E. Denroche ⁴ , Gun Ho
5	Jang ⁴ , Amy Zhang ⁴ , Adeline Cuggia ^{1,2} , Celine Domecq ^{1,2} , Jean Monlong ⁵ ,
6	Maria Raitses-Gurevich ⁶ , Robert C. Grant ^{4,8} , Ayelet Borgida ⁹ , Spring Holter ⁹ , Chani
7	Stossel ^{6,7} , Simeng Bu ^{1,2} , Mehdi Masoomian ¹⁰ , Ilinca Lungu ⁴ , John Bartlett ^{4,10} , Julie M.
8	Wilson ⁴ , Zu-Hua Gao ¹¹ , Yasser Riazalhosseini ⁵ , Jamil Asselah ¹² , Nathaniel Bouganim ¹² ,
9	Tatiana Cabrera ¹³ , Louis-Martin Boucher ¹³ , David Valenti ¹³ , James Biagi ¹⁴ , Celia M.T.
10	Greenwood ^{5,12,15,16} , Paz Polak ¹⁷ , William D. Foulkes ^{2,5} , Talia Golan ^{6,7} , Grainne M.
11	O'Kane ^{4,8} , Sandra E. Fischer ¹⁰ , Jennifer J. Knox ⁸ , Steven Gallinger ^{4,8} , George
12	Zogopoulos ^{1,2*}
13	
14	Short Title: Predictive biomarkers in HRD pancreatic cancer
15	
16	Affiliations
17	¹ Rosalind and Morris Goodman Cancer Research Centre of McGill University, Montreal,
18	QC, Canada.
19	² Research Institute of the McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, QC, Canada.
20	³ Canadian Centre for Computational Genomics, McGill University and Genome Quebec
21	Innovation Center, Montreal, QC, Canada.
22	⁴ Ontario Institute for Cancer Research, Toronto, ON, Canada.

- ⁵Department of Human Genetics, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada.
- ⁶Pancreatic Cancer Translational Research Laboratory, Oncology Institute, Sheba
- 25 Medical Center, Tel Hashomer, Israel.
- ⁷Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel.
- ²⁷ ⁸Wallace McCain Centre for Pancreatic Cancer, Princess Margaret Cancer Centre,
- 28 Toronto, ON, Canada.
- ⁹Lunenfeld Tanenbaum Research Institute, Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, ON, Canada.
- ¹⁰Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathobiology, University of Toronto, Toronto,
- 31 ON, Canada.
- ¹¹Department of Pathology, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada.
- ¹²Department of Oncology, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada.
- ¹³Department of Diagnostic Radiology, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada.
- ³⁵ ¹⁴Department of Oncology, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada.
- ¹⁵Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Occupational Health, McGill University,
- 37 Montreal, QC, Canada.
- ¹⁶Lady Davis Institute for Medical Research, Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, QC,
- 39 Canada.
- 40 ¹⁷Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai Hospital, New York, NY, USA.
- 41
- 42
- 43 ***Corresponding Author:**
- 44 George Zogopoulos MD, PhD
- 45 McGill University Health Centre

- 46 1001 Decarie Blvd, Room EM2.3010
- 47 Montreal, Quebec, Canada H4A 3J1
- 48 Email: george.zogopoulos@mcgill.ca
- 49 Phone: +1(514) 934-1934 #76333
- 50
- 51 Disclosure of potential competing interests
- 52 <u>Talia Golan:</u>
- 53 Receipt of grants/research supports: Astra Zeneca and MSD Merck.
- 54 Receipt of honoraria or consultation fees: Abbvie, BioLineRx, MSD Merck, Bayer, Teva
- 55 Jennifer J. Knox:
- 56 Consulting or advisory role: Merck, BMS, Pfizer
- 57 Grant and research support: Merck, Pfizer, Astra Zeneca, Ibsen
- 58 All other authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.
- 59

60 Statement of Translational Relevance

- 61
- 62

63 Pancreatic cancers associated with a germline *BRCA1/BRCA2* (g*BRCA*) mutation may

64 be targetable using DNA-damaging agents, such as platinums and PARP inhibitors.

65 However, treatment stratification based on germline mutational status alone, and

assumed homologous recombination deficiency, is associated with heterogeneous

outcomes. In this study, we combine a multi-institutional patient cohort and a multi-arm

68 preclinical trial to identify biomarkers to guide treatment decisions. We propose a

69 predictive and prognostic model of g*BRCA*-mutated pancreatic cancer based on

genomic hallmarks of homologous recombination deficiency, Ki67 index, tumour ploidy

and transcriptomic subtype. Since the basal-like transcriptomic subtype is associated

with worse survival, we propose a novel and clinically pragmatic immunohistochemical

assay (GATA6:KRT17) to facilitate assignment of transcriptomic subtype.

74 Abstract

76	Purpose: Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) arising in patients with a germline			
77	BRCA1 or BRCA2 (gBRCA) mutation may be sensitive to platinums and poly(adenosine			
78	diphosphate-ribose) polymerase inhibitors (PARPi). However, treatment stratification			
79	based on gBRCA mutational status alone is associated with heterogeneous responses.			
80				
81	Experimental Design: We performed a 7-arm preclinical trial consisting of 471 mice,			
82	representing 12 unique PDAC patient-derived xenografts, of which 9 were gBRCA-			
83	mutated. From 179 patients whose PDAC was whole genome and transcriptome			
84	sequenced, we identified 21 cases with homologous recombination deficiency (HRD),			
85	and investigated prognostic biomarkers.			
86				
87	Results: We found that biallelic inactivation of BRCA1/BRCA2 is associated with			
88	genomic hallmarks of HRD and required for cisplatin and talazoparib (PARPi)			
89	sensitivity. However, HRD genomic hallmarks persisted in xenografts despite the			
90	emergence of therapy resistance, indicating the presence of a genomic scar. We			
91	identified tumour polyploidy and a low Ki67 index as predictors of poor cisplatin and			
92	talazoparib response. In HRD PDAC patients, tumour polyploidy and a basal-like			
93	transcriptomic subtype were independent predictors of shorter survival. To facilitate			
94	clinical assignment of transcriptomic subtype, we developed a novel pragmatic two-			
95	marker assay (GATA6:KRT17).			

- 97 **Conclusions:** In summary, we propose a predictive and prognostic model of g*BRCA*-
- 98 mutated PDAC based on HRD genomic hallmarks, Ki67 index, tumour ploidy and
- 99 transcriptomic subtype.

100 Introduction

101

102	Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is a difficult-to-treat malignancy with a 9% 5-			
103	year overall survival ^{1,2} . The inefficacy of unselected chemotherapy may reflect the			
104	molecular heterogeneity of PDAC. Recently, distinct genomic and transcriptomic			
105	subtypes of PDAC have been identified, and may inform treatment stratification ³⁻⁶ . 5-			
106	10% of incident PDAC cases are associated with germline loss-of-function mutations in			
107	BRCA1, BRCA2, or PALB27,8. These genes are implicated in DNA damage response			
108	through homologous recombination repair (HRR) ⁹ .			
109				
110	We have previously shown in vitro that BRCA1- and BRCA2-mutated PDAC cell lines			
111	are sensitive to platinums and poly(adenosine diphosphate-ribose) polymerase			
112	inhibitors (PARPi) ¹⁰ . Platinums induce DNA interstrand crosslinks, which lead to double-			
113	strand breaks (DSB) that cannot be effectively repaired by homologous recombination			
114	deficient (HRD) cells ¹¹ . PARP inhibitors prevent the repair of single-strand breaks (SSB)			
115	through several mechanisms, including PARP trapping. As SSBs progress to DSBs, the			
116	accumulation of DNA damage is synthetic lethal in replicating HRD cells ^{12,13} .			
117				
118	Retrospective clinical series have suggested that patients with late-stage PDAC and a			
119	germline BRCA1 or BRCA2 (gBRCA) mutation may have a survival benefit with			

- 120 platinum-based treatment^{7,14-16}. Recently, the POLO trial showed longer progression-
- 121 free survival with maintenance olaparib (PARPi) in patients with platinum-sensitive

gBRCA-mutated metastatic PDAC¹⁷. However, 17% of gBRCA-mutated patients in this 122 123 trial progressed on first-line platinum therapy, highlighting the heterogeneity in platinum 124 responses. In gBRCA-mutated breast and ovarian cancer, the absence of biallelic 125 BRCA1 or BRCA2 inactivation has been implicated in primary resistance to platinum 126 therapy¹⁸. Reversion mutations that restore *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* function have been described as a mechanism of acquired resistance^{19,20}. However, the majority of gBRCA 127 128 tumours do not exhibit a reversion mutation at the time of disease relapse²¹. Together, 129 these observations highlight the need to identify predictive biomarkers, beyond germline 130 mutational status, in gBRCA-mutated PDAC.

131

132 Here, we performed a multi-arm preclinical trial using mouse xenograft models derived 133 from patients with gBRCA-mutated PDAC to evaluate their response to platinum and 134 talazoparib, a second-generation PARPi with speculated higher potency. We 135 demonstrate that HRD genomic hallmarks are required for sensitivity to platinum and PARPi therapy, whereas tumour polyploidy predicts poor response to these therapies. 136 137 Furthermore, by integrating clinical and molecular profiling data from 21 patients with 138 HRD PDAC, we suggest that tumour polyploidy and a basal-like transcriptomic subtype 139 are poor prognostic variables in HRD PDAC.

140

141

143 **Results**

144

145 **1**. Biallelic, but not monallelic, BRCA1 or BRCA2 inactivation is associated with

146 genomic hallmarks of HRD

147

Since biallelic inactivation of *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* has been associated with treatment responses in other cancer types, we whole genome sequenced (WGS) 7 unique g*BRCA*-mutated PDAC cases selected for the preclinical trial (**Supp. Table 1**). Six of the 7 samples showed biallelic loss of *BRCA1* (n = 2) or *BRCA2* (n = 4), due to loss of heterozygosity of the wildtype allele or a second somatic mutation. In contrast, the remaining sample (Q437) exhibited only a germline *BRCA2* mutation without a second somatic hit.

155

156 Tumours with biallelic BRCA1 or BRCA2 inactivation had higher HRDetect scores 157 compared to the sample with monoallelic loss (>0.999 vs. 0.042; Fig.1a). Biallelic 158 inactivation cases exhibited a higher number of structural variants, driven by small 159 deletions in the 100bp-10kbp range, consistent with rearrangement signature 5. They 160 also displayed a single nucleotide variant (SNV) burden that was dominated by 161 COSMIC single base substitution signature 3 (SBS3, 32.6% overall SNV), which is 162 associated with defective homologous recombination repair²². In contrast, the case with 163 monoallelic BRCA2 inactivation had 0% SBS3 proportion. These findings suggest that 164 biallelic, but not monoallelic, HR inactivation is associated with distinct mutational

signatures, that are captured by a dominant SBS3 contribution and an elevatedHRDetect score.

167

168 2. HRD PDAC xenografts are preferentially sensitive to cisplatin and talazoparib mono 169 and combination therapy

170

187

171 We evaluated 10 unique patient-derived xenografts (PDX) in a multi-arm preclinical trial 172 (387 mice in total) to evaluate their response to platinum, PARPi and gemcitabine-173 based therapy. Of these 10 PDXs, 6 had biallelic *BRCA1* (n = 2) or *BRCA2* (n = 4) 174 inactivation, and genomic hallmarks of HRD as determined by WGS; these were 175 considered HRD. The remaining xenografts had only monoallelic germline BRCA2 loss 176 (n = 1) or did not harbour a germline HR gene mutation (n = 3), and were considered 177 HR-proficient (HRP, Supp. Table 1, Supp. Fig. 1). 178 179 After 28 days of treatment, HRD xenografts showed a significantly greater treatment 180 response to cisplatin monotherapy (2.50 vs. 4.97 fold-change, p < 0.001), talazoparib 181 monotherapy (2.35 vs. 5.73, p = 0.003) and cisplatin-talazoparib combination therapy 182 (1.46 vs. 4.19, p < 0.001) compared to HRP xenografts (Fig. 1b-c). Gemcitabine 183 monotherapy resulted in comparable tumour regression in both HRD and HRP 184 xenografts (0.75 vs. 0.60, p = 0.46). Similarly, there was no difference in treatment 185 response to combination gemcitabine-cisplatin or gemcitabine-talazoparib between 186 HRD vs. HRP xenografts.

Cisplatin-talazoparib combination resulted in greater tumour inhibition compared to talazoparib alone in both HRD (1.46 *vs.* 2.35, p = 0.040) and HRP xenografts (4.19 *vs.* 5.73, p = 0.036). In HRD xenografts, there was a trend towards improved efficacy with cisplatin-talazoparib compared to cisplatin alone (1.46 *vs.* 2.50, p = 0.087); however, no difference was seen for HRP xenografts (4.19 *vs.* 4.97, p = 0.280).

193

3. Gemcitabine-cisplatin is associated with prolonged survival in HRD PDAC xenografts

196

197 Since HRD and HRP xenografts had similar tumour regression following 28 days of 198 gemcitabine mono- or combination therapy, we assessed differences in treatment 199 durability. We compared the median overall survival (mOS) of mice in each treatment 200 arm (gemcitabine vs. gemcitabine-cisplatin vs. gemcitabine-talazoparib) for HRD and 201 HRP xenografts. Since mice were monitored until their tumour reached endpoint size, 202 we used mOS as a surrogate for treatment durability. In mice bearing HRD tumours, 203 gemcitabine-cisplatin was associated with significantly longer mOS compared to 204 gemcitabine alone (126 vs. 106.5 days, p = 0.048) and gemcitabine-talazoparib (126 vs. 205 98 days, p < 0.001). There were no differences in mOS between gemcitabine and 206 gemcitabine-talazoparib (106.5 vs. 98 days, p = 0.14). Median overall survival was 207 similar between all 3 treatment arms in HRP xenografts (Fig. 1d-e). 208

Gemcitabine-talazoparib was the most toxic regimen, with 31% of mice euthanized prior
to the end of treatment. This contrasts with the 9% and 0% on-treatment mortality in the

211	gemcitabine-cisplatin and gemcitabine monotherapy arms, respectively. To adjust for
212	regimen-related toxicity, we repeated the survival analyses including only mice that
213	completed 28 days of treatment. In HRD tumours, gemcitabine-cisplatin was again
214	associated with longer mOS compared to gemcitabine alone (130 $vs.$ 106.5 days, p =
215	0.002) and gemcitabine-talazoparib (130 vs . 109 days, p = 0.020). Median OS was
216	comparable between all 3 treatment arms for HRP tumours (Supp. Fig. 2).
217	
218	4. Gemcitabine-cisplatin and gemcitabine-talazoparib suppress tumour proliferation in
219	HRD xenografts
220	
221	To investigate the antiproliferative effect of gemcitabine-based therapy, we evaluated
222	the Ki67 index of PDXs at the end of treatment (day 29). HRD PDX treated with
223	gemcitabine-cisplatin (0.34 vs. 0.57, $p = 0.040$) and gemcitabine-talazoparib (0.23 vs.
224	0.60, $p < 0.001$) had a significantly lower relative Ki67 index compared to HRP tumours
225	(Fig. 2a-b). With gemcitabine monotherapy, HRD PDX also had a lower relative Ki67
226	index (0.38 vs. 0.63, $p = 0.161$), although this trend was not statistically significant.
227	Additionally, the Ki67 index was significantly correlated with mitotic activity across all
228	PDX (R = 0.692, p < 0.001) (Fig. 2c). Further, we evaluated cleaved caspase-3 (CC-3)
229	immunostaining as a marker of apoptotic cell death. Gemcitabine-talazoparib was
230	associated with significantly increased relative CC-3 positivity in HRD vs. HRP PDX
231	(4.94 vs. 1.79, p = 0.006; Supp. Fig. 3). Four cases (3 HRD, 1 HRP) had minimal

233 immunostaining. 234 235 236 5. Longitudinally-derived HRD xenografts recapitulate the emergence of clinical 237 chemoresistance 238 239 To study the evolution of treatment response to HRD-targeted therapies, we 240 longitudinally derived 3 xenografts from a patient with biallelic BRCA2-mutated PDAC, 241 over a 4.5-year disease course (Fig. 3a-b). The patient presented with a pancreatic tail 242 PDAC and liver metastases. Following an exceptional response to FOLFIRINOX, a 243 distal pancreatectomy (PDX #1, Q70P) and radiofrequency ablation of his liver 244 metastases were performed. Two years later, a second xenograft was established 245 (PDX#2, Q70LM) from new liver metastases. These liver metastases again responded 246 to FOLFIRINOX. Finally, a third xenograft (PDX #3, Q70AM) was established from an 247 abdominal wall metastasis at the time of disease progression. The patient died after 2 248 additional cycles of platinum-based therapy (gemcitabine-cisplatin). 249 250 To represent each patient by a single PDX, only the Q70P xenograft was included in the 251 preclinical trial summarized in Figure 2. However, we also performed the 7-arm 252 preclinical trial for the 2 longitudinal PDXs (Q70LM, Q70AM) to evaluate their treatment 253 sensitivities (117 mice in total). The Q70P and Q70LM xenografts showed sensitivity to

residual tumour at the end of treatment, and were insufficient for Ki67 and CC-3

232

254 cisplatin (Q70P, 4.19 vs. 11.25 fold change, p < 0.001; Q70LM, 2.60 vs. 6.20, p < 255 0.001), talazoparib (Q70P, 2.26 vs. 11.25, p < 0.001; Q70LM, 1.52 vs. 6.20, p < 0.001) 256 and cisplatin-talazoparib (Q70P, 1.56 vs. 11.25, p < 0.001; Q70LM, 1.15 vs. 6.20, p < 257 0.001) compared to vehicle, mirroring the clinically favourable response to FOLFIRINOX 258 (Fig. 3c). In contrast, the Q70AM xenograft showed resistance to cisplatin (4.00 vs. 259 4.92, p = 0.22) and talazoparib (4.40 vs. 4.92, p = 0.69) monotherapy. However, this 260 xenograft remained sensitive to cisplatin-talazoparib combination (2.29 vs. 4.92, p < 261 0.001).

262

263 To understand the mechanisms underlying this acquired resistance, we whole genome 264 sequenced the patient's abdominal wall metastasis (Q70AM). The germline and somatic 265 inactivating BRCA2 mutations found in the Q70P tumour were conserved in the Q70AM 266 tumour. Both tumours had elevated HRDetect scores (>0.99) and had SNV mutational 267 patterns dominated by SBS3 (Fig. 4a). However, while the Q70P tumour was diploid, 268 the Q70AM tumour was polyploid. The liver metastasis (Q70LM) sample had insufficient 269 cellularity for WGS, but we determined that it had also become polyploid using SNP 270 array profiling and flow cytometric cell cycle analysis (Supp. Fig. 4).

271

6. A genomic scar persists in HRD xenografts treated with gemcitabine-cisplatin

To characterize changes in the genomic landscape of HRD xenografts following 28
 days of treatment, we whole genome sequenced 4 *BRCA2*-mutated PDAC trios. These

276 included (1) patient primary, (2) untreated parent PDX and (3) PDX treated with 277 gemcitabine-cisplatin (GC) for 28 days, and collected at humane endpoint. We profiled 278 xenografts from the GC arm, since this regimen showed the strongest and most 279 sustained treatment response. We hypothesized that tumours that regrew following 280 treatment cessation would have an increase in non-HRD-associated mutational 281 signatures related to expansion of resistant subclonal populations under selective 282 therapy pressure. Additionally, to evaluate the impact of prior chemotherapy exposure, 283 we characterized PDXs from patients that were both chemo-naïve (Q392, O232) and 284 chemo-treated (Q70P, Q70AM).

285

Germline and somatic *BRCA2* mutations were conserved in both the untreated parent and GC-treated xenografts across all trios, and there was no evidence of a reversion mutation in trial endpoint PDXs (**Fig. 4a**). Similarly, HRDetect scores remained high (>0.99) in both untreated parent and GC-treated xenografts. There was no significant decrease in SBS3 proportion in the GC-treated xenografts, compared to the untreated parent xenografts (26.3 *vs.* 29.3%, p = 0.89).

292

Next, we evaluated the SNVs private to the GC-treated xenografts, and which
presumably accumulated on treatment. Interestingly, these private SNVs continued to
show SBS3 mutational patterns (Supp. Fig. 5). In 3 of 4 cases, we also observed SBS3
variants private to the untreated parent xenograft, suggesting that a fraction of HRD
clones may have been eradicated with GC. Another important observation was that the

298 Q70AM tumour, which was chemoresistant both clinically and in the preclinical trial,

299 retained an elevated SBS3 proportion and HRDetect score. These data suggest that a

300 genomic HRD scar persists despite the emergence of resistance.

301

GC-treated xenografts had a higher neoantigen load compared to their matched untreated xenograft (161 *vs.* 134, p = 0.02, **Fig. 4b**). Importantly, the Q70AM patient tumour, which received several additional cycles of platinum-based chemotherapy compared to the Q70P primary, exhibited a higher neoantigen load (122 *vs.* 82). This observation was corroborated by a higher degree of CD8+ cytotoxic T-cell infiltration in the Q70AM tumour compared to the Q70P primary, albeit not to levels typically seen in mismatch repair-deficient PDAC (**Fig. 4c**).

309

310 7. Tumour polyploidy and low Ki67 associated with poor response to platinum and
311 PARPi

312

Among xenografts exhibiting genomic HRD hallmarks, we observed heterogeneity in individual tumour responses to cisplatin and talazoparib therapy. We searched for additional biomarkers that may be predictive of treatment response. All HRD and HRP xenografts were whole transcriptome sequenced, and their Moffitt transcriptomic subtype was determined relative to 167 PDAC transcriptomes, 164 of which were sequenced in the COMPASS trial²³ (NCT02750657). Of the 8 HRD xenografts, 4 were basal-like, whereas the remaining 4 were classical. All HRP xenografts were classical

320 (Fig. 5a). Additionally, all HRP xenografts were profiled using a SNP array to determine
 321 their ploidy (Supp. Fig. 4).

322

323 We performed stepwise multivariate linear regression to identify independent predictors 324 of cisplatin (Fig. 5b) and talazoparib (Fig. 5c) response. In the cisplatin model, genomic 325 hallmarks of HRD ($\beta = -0.478$, p < 0.001) and a higher Ki67 ($\beta = -0.257$, p = 0.005) 326 were independently associated with better response, whereas tumour polyploidy ($\beta =$ 327 0.600, p < 0.001) was associated with poor response. In the talazoparib model, HRD 328 genomic hallmarks ($\beta = -0.299$, p = 0.002) and a basal-like transcriptomic subtype ($\beta =$ 329 -0.442, p < 0.001) were predictive of treatment response. A higher Ki67 index ($\beta = -$ 330 0.192, p = 0.059) was also associated with better talazoparib response, although this 331 trend missed statistical significance. Tumour polyploidy ($\beta = 0.449$, p < 0.001) was 332 again associated with poor response in the talazoparib model. 333 To illustrate the heterogeneity in treatment responses across individual xenografts, we 334 335 performed principal component analysis (PCA) followed by k-means clustering to group 336 xenografts based on their sensitivity to cisplatin, talazoparib and cisplatin-talazoparib

337 (Supp. Fig. 6). Cluster 1 identified the best responders, of which all were HRD and

diploid, except Q70LM which was a polyploid HRD case with high Ki67. Cluster 2

represented the intermediate responders, which included an HRP case (Q155), a case

340 with monoallelic *BRCA2* inactivation and low HRDetect score (Q437) and a polyploid

341 HRD case with high Ki67 (S145). Cluster 3 identified the xenografts with the worst

342	treatment response, of which 2 were HRP, while the third was a polyploid, low-Ki67,			
343	HRD case that had developed chemoresistance (Q70AM).			
344				
345	Across the xenografts evaluated in the preclinical trial, we observed sustained complete			
346	responses in 2 cases: O217 and O28. Interestingly, both were diploid, high Ki67, HRD			
347	cases from Cluster 1. Both xenografts showed sustained complete responses with			
348	gemcitabine-cisplatin (median follow-up 237 days) and cisplatin-talazoparib (median			
349	follow-up 248 days). The O217 case also showed a sustained complete response with			
350	gemcitabine-talazoparib (median follow-up 252 days).			
351				
352				
353	8. Tumour polyploidy and a basal-like transcriptomic subtype are associated with			
354	worse prognosis in HRD PDAC			
355				
356	To evaluate the prognostic relevance of these biomarkers, we identified 21 patients with			
357	PDAC exhibiting genomic HRD hallmarks based on WGS. In addition to the 6 patients			
358	whose tumours were evaluated in the preclinical trial, we also included 12 HRD PDAC			
359	patients enrolled in the COMPASS trial and 3 additional HRD PDAC patients with whole			
360	genome and whole transcriptome sequencing data (Supp. Table 2).			
361				
362	The median OS for all patients was 25.9 months (95% CI 0-51.9 months). Patients with			
363	polyploid tumours had shorter mOS compared to those with diploid tumours (13.8 vs.			

364	53.9 months, $p = 0.008$) (Fig. 6a). Additionally, patients with a basal-like PDAC had					
365	shorter mOS compared to those of classical transcriptomic subtype (25.9 vs. 38.5					
366	months, $p = 0.081$) (Fig. 6b). We performed a multivariable Cox regression analysis					
367	with stepwise forward selection, including age, sex, stage, tumour ploidy and Moffitt					
368	transcriptomic subtype. Tumour polyploidy (HR 8.6, p = 0.007) and a basal-like					
369	transcriptomic subtype (HR 5.0, $p = 0.033$) were independently associated with mortality					
370	(Fig. 6c). After adjusting for stage and sex, a basal-like subtype remained					
371	independently predictive of poor survival, whereas tumour polyploidy trended towards					
372	significance (Supp. Fig. 7).					
373						
374	This clinical series included 3 patients who have been disease-free for over 5 years, of					
375	which 2 initially presented with locally advanced disease. Interestingly, all 3 long-term					
376	survivors had classical, diploid HRD PDAC.					
377						
378						
379	9. GATA6:KRT17 ratio is an immunohistochemical discriminator of classical versus					
380	basal-like transcriptomic subtype					
381						
382	Since basal-like HRD PDAC was associated with worse prognosis, we searched for					
383	clinically practical biomarkers that could robustly predict transcriptomic subtype. Using					
384	the combined COMPASS and PDX cohorts, we compared the normalized gene					
385	expression levels (log2CPM) of GATA6, KRT17 and KRT81 between classical and					

386 basal-like PDAC. GATA6 expression was significantly higher in classical tumours (p = 387 2.53e-17) whereas KRT17 and KRT81 expression were significantly higher in basal-like 388 tumours (KRT17, p = 1.59e-4; KRT81, p = 5.12e-4, Fig. 7a). To validate these findings, 389 we performed IHC staining on tissue microarrays of HRD PDAC xenografts with known 390 Moffitt subtype. High GATA6 staining was predictive of the classical subtype with an 391 area under the curve (AUC) of 0.902, whereas high KRT17 staining was predictive of 392 the basal-like subtype with an AUC of 0.828. The combination of these 2 markers as a 393 GATA6:KRT17 ratio had higher predictive value than either marker alone, with an AUC 394 of 0.971 (Fig. 7b-d). Using a clinically pragmatic cutoff of 1, the GATA6:KRT17 ratio 395 has a sensitivity of 100% and a specificity of 83.3%.

396

397 We also performed multiplex IHC (mIHC) to investigate the colocalization of these 398 seemingly complementary markers. Tumours stained predominantly for one of the two 399 markers, and only 14.9% of cells were dual-positive for GATA6 and KRT17. 400 Interestingly, we observed discrete subpopulations of GATA6+/KRT17- and GATA6-401 /KRT17+ cells within the same xenograft (Fig. 7e). This intratumoral heterogeneity was 402 also found in the corresponding patient tumour (Supp. Fig. 8). Finally, we found KRT81 403 IHC to be a poor predictor of transcriptomic subtype, with an AUC of 0.519. 404 405 10. Cell lines derived from polyploid HRD PDAC xenografts are spontaneously immortal

- 407 We established primary cell cultures from 8 gBRCA-mutated patient-derived xenografts
- 408 (Supp. Table 3). Of these, 3 cell lines were spontaneously immortal, and could be
- 409 propagated beyond 40 passages without exogenous growth factor stimulation.
- 410 Interestingly, these immortal cell lines were all derived from polyploid tumours (Q70LM,
- 411 Q70AM, S145). In contrast, the 5 remaining primary cell cultures that were generated
- 412 from diploid PDAC xenografts could only be maintained *in vitro* for a finite period,
- 413 becoming senescent within two passages.

414 **Discussion**

416 The advent of next-generation sequencing (NGS) has accelerated the identification of 417 molecular subtypes of PDAC with targeted therapy opportunities. However, comparing 418 molecular-guided treatments head-to-head using conventional clinical trial designs is 419 impractical^{24,25}. To overcome these challenges, we combined a multi-institutional patient 420 cohort and rare PDX models to identify biomarkers underlying the therapeutic and 421 prognostic heterogeneity of gBRCA-mutated PDAC, which is the most prevalent 422 druggable PDAC subtype. 423 424 We found that biallelic, but not monoallelic, inactivation of BRCA1/BRCA2 was 425 associated with mutational signatures characteristic of HR deficiency, including SBS3 426 and HRDetect. We showed that xenografts exhibiting HRD genomic hallmarks were 427 preferentially sensitive to cisplatin, talazoparib, and the combination of these therapies. 428 This has important treatment and economic implications, considering that routine 429 germline testing is becoming standard of care in PDAC²⁶, and there is indication to treat 430 gBRCA-mutated platinum-sensitive PDAC with PARPi based on the POLO trial¹⁷. 431 However, cases of monoallelic BRCA1/BRCA2 inactivation may not respond to platinum 432 and PARPi therapies. Therefore, integration of companion FFPE tissue-based NGS 433 assays (Myriad myChoice HRD, FoundationFocus CDxBRCALOH) will be important to 434 improve selection of patients for therapies targeting HR deficiency^{27,28}. 435

Treatment responses to gemcitabine-cisplatin and gemcitabine-talazoparib were comparable between HRD *vs.* HRP xenografts, and were driven by the high efficacy of gemcitabine. Considering the clinical response rates to gemcitabine, these preclinical observations may reflect differences in intratumoral drug delivery and tumour immune microenvironment in subcutaneous xenograft models. Nonetheless, the combination of gemcitabine-cisplatin yielded the most durable treatment response in our preclinical trial, in addition to a significant antiproliferative effect compared to gemcitabine alone.

444 Akin to clinical observations¹⁷, we found heterogeneity in treatment responses among 445 the HRD PDAC xenografts. We identified tumour polyploidy as an independent predictor 446 of poor platinum and PARPi response. This finding is consistent with previous reports 447 showing that polyploid cells are resistant to cytotoxic drugs^{29,30}. We also found that 448 xenografts with a higher Ki67 index had a better response to cisplatin. We identified a 449 similar trend with talazoparib, although this did not achieve statistical significance, possibly reflecting our sample size. These observations are in concordance with studies 450 451 in triple-negative breast cancer and enteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours, in which 452 a high Ki67 index predicts better initial responses to chemotherapy, but do not translate 453 to improved survival. To this end, Ki67 index did not correlate with survival in our study, 454 and its prognostic value in HRD PDAC remains unclear.

455

Additionally, in our cohort of HRD PDAC patients, tumour polyploidy was independently
predictive of shorter survival. Importantly, this biomarker can be readily integrated into

routine testing of clinical specimens using SNP genotyping assays that capturegenome-wide copy number aberrations.

460

461 Interestingly, we found that cell lines derived from polyploid gBRCA-mutated tumours 462 were spontaneously immortal, whereas those generated from diploid tumours became 463 senescent. These observations support polyploidy as an aggressive tumour 464 characteristic, and are consistent with our finding of polyploidy as a marker of poor 465 treatment response and prognosis in gBRCA-mutated PDAC. Capan-1, the only 466 published *BRCA2*-mutated pancreatic cancer cell line, has a hypotriploid genome³¹. We 467 provide three additional polyploid gBRCA-mutated cell lines for *in vitro* studies. 468 Importantly, the S145 cell line represents the first human BRCA1-mutated PDAC cell 469 line.

470

471 Although the Q70AM xenograft was resistant to cisplatin and talazoparib

472 monotherapies, combination of these therapies yielded a treatment response. We also 473 observed an additive treatment benefit when combining cisplatin with talazoparib in the 474 preclinical trial. These findings suggest a synergistic effect of combining platinums and 475 PARPi in HRD PDAC. Importantly, talazoparib is a second-generation PARPi with 476 higher PARP1 trapping potency and relatively high catalytic inhibition compared to 477 earlier generation PARPis (e.g., olaparib and veliparib), which have been previously 478 studied in PDAC. Our findings are the first to demonstrate the preclinical efficacy of

talazoparib in multiple patient-derived tumours, providing motivation to evaluatetalazoparib alone or in combination with platinums in a clinical trial setting.

481

482 This study is the first to investigate the implications of Moffitt transcriptomic subtypes in 483 HRD PDAC. We identified a similar proportion of classical versus basal-like PDAC in our series compared to published cohorts^{3,23}. The basal-like subtype has been 484 485 associated with shorter survival, and poor response to 5-FU/leucovorin (adjuvant)³² and FOLFIRINOX (metastatic first-line)²³ in PDAC. In our HRD PDAC series, we found that 486 487 a basal-like transcriptomic subtype was independently predictive of worse prognosis. 488 Since there is growing evidence that discriminating between basal-like and classical 489 transciptomic subtypes has clinical implications not only for HRD PDAC but across 490 PDAC, we developed a novel, clinically pragmatic two-marker immunohistochemical 491 assay (GATA6:KRT17). We showed its ability to discriminate between the classical and 492 basal-like subtypes with 100% and 83.3% sensitivity and specificity, respectively. 493

For advanced-stage g*BRCA*-mutated PDAC, retrospective series have shown a survival benefit with platinum-based therapy^{7,14,16}. In this preclinical trial, the Moffitt subtype was not predictive of response to cisplatin after adjusting for HRD genomic hallmarks. This findings suggests a hierarchy where HRD genomic features dictate platinum sensitivity over transcriptomic subtype.

500 We found that xenografts treated with gemcitabine-cisplatin retained genomic hallmarks 501 of HRD and an elevated HRDetect score. Similarly, we demonstrated in a longitudinal 502 study that a patient tumour that had acquired clinical chemoresistance retained a high 503 HRDetect score. These findings suggest that HRD tumours develop a genomic scar that 504 is the result of HRD-driven genomic mutations, and that these genomic hallmarks may 505 not be an accurate indicator of ongoing sensitivity to HRD-targeting therapies. Thus, 506 integrating functional assays, such as the RAD51 assay, alongside mutational 507 signature-based biomarkers, may be a more precise strategy to select patients likely to 508 benefit from HRD-targeted therapy^{33,34}. 509

Comparison of untreated *vs.* GC-treated PDXs revealed SBS3 variants private to the
untreated xenografts, suggesting that a fraction of HRD clones may have been
eliminated with treatment. This observation provides support that therapies targeting HR
deficiency can eradicate, rather than only suppress, HRD PDAC cells.

514

We have previously shown that HRD PDAC typically exhibits a higher mutational burden than "sporadic" PDAC, albeit lower than mismatch-repair deficient PDAC³⁵. The inherent susceptibility of HRD PDAC to platinum-induced DNA damage may accelerate the production of tumour-specific neoantigens, and promote an antitumour immune response. In this study, we found an increase in neoantigen load in HRD PDAC xenografts following treatment with gemcitabine-cisplatin. This observation was corroborated by a longitudinal patient case treated with FOLFIRINOX, which revealed a

522	parallel increase in peritumoral cytotoxic T-cell infiltration that coincided with exposure			
523	to platinum-based treatment exposure. Thus, HRD PDAC may be well-suited to			
524	treatment approaches that strategically combine or sequence platinum-based therapies,			
525	or even PARPi, with immunotherapy ^{36,37} .			
526				
527	In summary, we combined a multi-institutional patient cohort and rare preclinical models			
528	to develop a treatment sensitivity and prognostic model (Fig. 8) of gBRCA-mutated			
529	PDAC. Further, we introduce a novel, clinically pragmatic 2-marker assay that is			
530	predictive of transcriptomic subtype and suggest a potential role for platinum-PARPi			
531	combinations as well as immunotherapy approaches in HRD PDAC.			
532				
533				

535 Materials and Methods

- 537 Patients
- 538

539	Patients with germline <i>BRCA1</i> or <i>BRCA2</i> -mutated PDAC were identified from 3
540	institutions: McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, Canada; Princess Margaret
541	Cancer Centre, Canada; Chaim Sheba Medical Centre, Israel. Patients enrolled in the
542	COMPASS molecular profiling trial (NCT02750657) from December 2015 to April 2019
543	were also included. Patient demographics, surgical procedure, chemotherapy treatment,
544	and survival data were abstracted from prospectively maintained institutional research
545	databases. Clinical staging was based on the 8 th edition of the American Joint
546	Committee on Cancer (AJCC) for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Survival was
547	calculated from the initial date of pathologic diagnosis until death or censor date.
548	
549	
550	Germline sequencing of homologous recombination proficient cases
551	
552	
552	Homologous recombination proficient (HRP) cases included in the preclinical trial were
553	Homologous recombination proficient (HRP) cases included in the preclinical trial were identified by sequencing for germline mutations in HRD genes using a targeted panel of
553 554	Homologous recombination proficient (HRP) cases included in the preclinical trial were identified by sequencing for germline mutations in HRD genes using a targeted panel of 710 cancer-related genes, including full gene sequencing of <i>BRCA1</i> , <i>BRCA2</i> , <i>PALB2</i>
552553554555	Homologous recombination proficient (HRP) cases included in the preclinical trial were identified by sequencing for germline mutations in HRD genes using a targeted panel of 710 cancer-related genes, including full gene sequencing of <i>BRCA1</i> , <i>BRCA2</i> , <i>PALB2</i> and <i>ATM</i> . The targeted regions were captured using Agilent SureSelect technology
 552 553 554 555 556 	Homologous recombination proficient (HRP) cases included in the preclinical trial were identified by sequencing for germline mutations in HRD genes using a targeted panel of 710 cancer-related genes, including full gene sequencing of <i>BRCA1</i> , <i>BRCA2</i> , <i>PALB2</i> and <i>ATM</i> . The targeted regions were captured using Agilent SureSelect technology (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Samples were sequenced on the

557	Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) with 300 base paired-end
558	reads.
559	
560	
561	Whole genome sequencing (WGS)
562	
563	Fresh frozen human and xenograft tumour tissue underwent laser capture
564	microdissection for tumour cell enrichment prior to DNA extraction. WGS of tumour and
565	matched lymphocyte DNA was performed at the Ontario Institute for Cancer Research
566	using established institutional pipelines ³⁸ . Germline and somatic variant calling, ploidy
567	determination, neoantigen quantification protocols have been previously described.
568	HRDetect scores were calculated as described by Davies et al ³⁹ . Mutational signature
569	proportions were derived by applying a non-negative least squares linear algorithm, as
570	previously reported ⁴⁰ . All germline mutations and somatic hits were confirmed in
571	xenografts by Sanger sequencing.
572	
573	
574	RNA sequencing
575	
576	Xenograft tumour tissues were preserved in RNAlater, and disrupted and homogenized
577	using the Qiagen TissueLyser II (Qiagen, Manchester, UK). RNA was extracted using
578	the Qiagen RNeasy Plus Universal Mini Kit (Qiagen, Manchester, UK). Sequencing was

29

performed by BGI Americas and the McGill University Genome Quebec Innovation
Centre (MUGQIC). Libraries were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 (BGI) or
HiSeq 2500 (MUGQIC) platforms with TruSeq V3 reagents, to generate 100bp pairedend reads at a sequencing depth of 50 million reads.

583

584 Adaptor sequences and low-quality score bases (Phred score < 30) were trimmed using 585 Trimmomatic⁴¹. The resulting reads were aligned to the human genome reference 586 sequence (GRCh38/hq38), using STAR⁴². To remove possible contaminated reads 587 originating from mouse in xenograft samples, reads were also aligned to the GRCm38/mm10 mouse, and the Disambiguate algorithm (version 1.0)⁴³ was used to 588 589 assign reads to individual species based on the highest quality alignment of the read 590 pair (Supp. Fig. 9). Count data (originating from human reads) for each sample were 591 obtained using HTSeq⁴⁴. For downstream analyses, we excluded lowly-expressed 592 genes with an average read count lower than 10 across all of the samples. Raw counts 593 were normalized using the TMM algorithm (i.e., weighted trimmed mean of M-values), 594 implemented in edgeR R package⁴⁵ (version 3.22.5). Using the voom function in the 595 limma R package⁴⁶ (version 3.36.5), the data were converted to log-counts per million. 596 The removeBatchEffect function from limma was used to correct for both batch effects. 597 Heatmaps were constructed using unsupervised hierarchical clustering (ward D2 598 method). Transcriptomic classification into classical and basal-like subtypes was 599 performed as described by Moffitt et al³.

600

601

602 Establishment of patient-derived xenografts

603

Mice were housed in a pathogen-free facility, on a 12-hour light-dark cycle, with ad lib access to water and sterile rodent chow. Fresh PDAC tissue was obtained from the operating theater or interventional radiology suite, minced into 1mm³ pieces, and subcutaneously implanted into the flanks of 6-8-week-old female SCID beige mice (Charles River, Wilmington, MA, USA). Xenografts were grown to 1cm³, harvested and serially passaged into new SCID beige mice. Tumours were cryopreserved in fetal bovine serum (FBS) with 10% dimethyl sulfoxide.

611

- 612
- 613 Multi-arm preclinical trial design
- 614

615 Fourth-passage patient-derived tumours were implanted into the right and left flanks of 616 6-8 week old female SCID beige mice. When the tumour volume reached 120mm³, mice 617 were randomized to one of seven treatment arms with the goal of treating 6-10 mice per 618 arm. The treatment arms were: (1) Cisplatin (Enzo Life Sciences, Brockville, ON, 619 Canada) 4mg/kg, once weekly, intraperitoneal; (2) Talazoparib (Abmole Biosciences, 620 Hong Kong) 0.33mg/kg, 5 days on/2 days off, oral gavage; (3) Cisplatin-Talazoparib 621 combination; (4) Gemcitabine (LC Laboratories, Woburn, MA, USA) 100mg/kg, twice 622 weekly, intraperitoneal; (5) Gemcitabine-Cisplatin combination; (6) Gemcitabine623 Talazoparib combination; (7) Vehicle (PBS) 5 days on/2 days off oral gavage, and once 624 weekly intraperitoneal. Since pilot experiments showed increased toxicity with talazoparib (data not shown), we randomized at least 10 mice into the talazoparib arms, 625 626 in anticipation that a greater fraction of mice in these arms may not complete the 627 treatment course. Treatment was administered for 28 days, with half-dosing or skipped 628 dosing, if mice lost >10% or >12.5% of their original weight, respectively. Mice were 629 weighed, and tumours were measured by caliper twice weekly. Mice were euthanized 630 when the tumour volume exceeded 2cm³, or if they lost >20% of their original weight. 631 632 633 Tissue Microarrays 634 635 Tissue microarrays were constructed using an automated tissue microarrayer (TMA 636 Grand Master, 3DHistech, Budapest, Hungary). Freshly harvested PDX tumours were 637 fixed in 10% buffered formalin for 24 hours, and paraffin-embedded. Tumour cores 638 (2mm diameter) were punched from each donor paraffin-embedded block based on 639 previously demarcated areas on a corresponding H&E stained slide. Each individual 640 patient was represented by 2-3 unique PDX cores originating from early-passage mice. 641 Overall, 33 cores representing 12 unique HRD PDAC xenografts were prepared on a 642 TMA, which was sectioned at 4um thickness for subsequent immunohistochemical 643 analyses.

644

646 Single-marker and multiplex immunostaining

648	4um serial sections of tissue microarray and patient tumour blocks were cut for
649	hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining and immunohistochemical (IHC) analyses. IHC
650	was performed using the Discovery Ultra autostaining platform (Ventana Medical
651	Systems, Tucson, AZ, USA). Slides were incubated with a primary antibody, followed by
652	the corresponding horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody
653	(OmniMap anti-mouse #760-4310 or OmniMap anti-rabbit #760-4311, Ventana),
654	developed with 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) and counterstained with hematoxylin.
655	Primary antibodies used were as follows: anti-Ki67 (30-9, Ventana, undiluted), anti-
656	cleaved caspase-3 (Asp175, Cell Signaling Technology, 1:100), anti-CD8 (SP57,
657	Ventana, undiluted), anti-pancytokeratin (AE1/AE3/PCK26, Ventana, undiluted), anti-
658	GATA6 (D61E4, Cell Signaling Technology, 1:500), anti-KRT17 (17516-1-AP,
659	Proteintech, 1:100), anti-KRT81 (11342-1-AP, Proteintech, 1:50). Multiplex chromogenic
660	IHC was also performed on the Discovery Ultra platform, using chromogenic detection
661	kits from Ventana (#750-124, DAB; #760-247, teal).
662	

- 664 Image analyses

666 Immunostained slides were scanned using the Aperio Scanscope (Aperio Technologies, 667 Vista, CA, USA) at 20x magnification. For Ki67 immunostaining, five regions of interest were randomly selected for each tumour, and manually annotated to exclude stromal 668 669 and necrotic areas by a technician blinded to treatment assignment. Ki67 670 immunostaining was quantified by digital analysis of positively staining nuclei using the 671 Aperio ImageScope software (Aperio Technologies, Vista, CA, USA). Cleaved caspase-672 3, GATA6, KRT17 and KRT81 immunostaining were quantified using the Halo (Indica 673 Labs, Corales, NM, USA) cytonuclear algorithm (single-marker) or multiplex IHC 674 algorithm (multiplex IHC). A tissue classifier was developed to automatically segment 675 tumour from stroma and necrosis. Cell recognition and nuclear segmentation were 676 optimized, and quality control was performed for each case. 677 678 Hematoxylin-eosin stained sections were reviewed by a board-certified gastrointestinal 679 pathologist (S.F.). Mitotic figures were counted manually, and expressed as the number of mitotic figures per 10 high-power fields. 680 681 682 683 Generation of primary cell cultures from HRD xenografts 684 685 Harvested xenograft tumours were mechanically minced, and underwent serial trypsin 686 digestion at 37°C. Murine fibroblast contamination was eliminated by magnetic-activated

cell sorting using the Miltenyi Mouse Cell Depletion kit⁴⁷ (Miltenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA,

USA). Cells were seeded on collagen-coated plates and cultured in RPMI
supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% antibiotic/antimycotic solution, at 37°C in a
humidified incubator with a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Cell numbers were counted by trypan
blue exclusion assay with a hematocytometer at 24-hour intervals for 7 days. Cell
doubling times were calculated using a nonlinear regression (exponential growth
equation) analysis.

694

695 Tumour ploidy determination

696

697 Q70P, Q70LM and Q70AM primary cell lines were generated as described above. Cells 698 were harvested by centrifugation, fixed with ethanol, and incubated in 0.5mL cell cycle 699 buffer containing 30ug/mL propidium iodide (PI, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) and 50ug/mL 700 RNase A (Thermo Scientific, USA). PI fluorescence data were collected from 10,000 701 cells on a flow cytometer, after gating to exclude dead cells, debris and doublets⁴⁸. The 702 Q70P cell line was used as a control to fix the voltage for the diploid population. DNA 703 histograms were plotted using FlowJo (Tree Star Inc., Ashland, OR, USA). 704 705 To determine the ploidy of the HRP cases, genomic DNA was extracted from early-706 passage xenografts and single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array was performed 707 using the Illumina Infinium Omni2.5 microarray. The allele-specific copy number 708 analysis of tumors (ASCAT, v2.4.3) algorithm was used to infer tumour ploidy and

tumour purity, as previously described⁴⁹. Each sample was run independently in

- "tumour-only" mode, using the 'Illumina2.5M' profile within ASCAT. The ploidy estimates
 were compared across passages for each tumour.
- 712

713 Statistics

714

715 Statistical analyses were performed using R software version 3.5 (R Foundation for 716 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) and Graphpad Prism, version 6 (Graphpad, CA, 717 USA). Differences between continuous variables were compared using the Wilcoxon 718 rank sum test. For forward stepwise multiple linear regression analysis, the criteria for 719 entry into the model was p < 0.1 and for removal was p > 0.15. Overall survival was 720 estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method and compared between treatment groups 721 using a log-rank test. Hazard ratios were calculated using the Cox proportional hazards 722 model. A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. 723 724 Study Approval 725 Use of human biospecimens and data was approved by the local institutional review 726 boards of each participating centre. Patients provided written informed consent to 727 participate. Animal studies were approved by the McGill University Animal Care 728 Committee, and conducted in accordance with Animal Research: Reporting of In Vivo 729 Experiments (ARRIVE) guidelines.



Figure 1. Genomic characteristics of patient-derived xenografts and preclinical trial outcomes. (a) Genomic characteristics of the 7 g*BRCA*-mutated PDAC cases evaluated in the preclinical trial. The first 6 cases have biallelic inactivation of *BRCA1* or *BRCA2*. They exhibit mutational patterns that are characteristic of homologous repair deficiency, and have an elevated HRDetect score. In contrast, the Q437 case with monoallelic *BRCA2* inactivation lacks these genomic HRD hallmarks and has a low HRDetect score. **(b-c)** 7-arm preclinical trial to evaluate response of PDAC xenografts to cisplatin, talazoparib and gemcitabine mono- and combination therapies. **(b)** HR-deficient (6 unique cases, 228 mice) and **(c)** HR-proficient (B, 4 unique cases, 159 mice) PDAC xenografts were treated for 28 days. For each treatment arm, the relative tumour growth (at Day 28) of HR-deficient *vs.* HR-proficient xenografts was compared using multiple linear regression models. Tal, talazoparib; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001. **(d-e)** Kaplan-Meier survival curves of xenografts treated with gemcitabine mono- and combination therapies. In HR-deficient xenografts, gemcitabine-cisplatin was associated with longer survival than gemcitabine alone and gemcitabine-talazoparib. There was no survival difference in HR-proficient xenografts. P-values represent log-rank comparisons of Kaplan-Meier survival curves. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001. Cis, cisplatin; Tal, talazoparib.



Figure 2. Histopathological and immunohistochemical analyses of proliferative activity in xenografts treated with gemcitabine mono- and combination therapies. (a) Comparison of relative Ki67 positivity of HR-deficient vs. HR-proficient xenografts for each treatment arm. * p < 0.05, *** p < 0.001. (b) Representative Ki67 immunostaining of Q392 (HR-deficient) *vs.* Q133 (HR-proficient) xenografts. GC, gemcitabine-cisplatin; GT, gemcitabine-talazoparib. (c) Pearson's correlation between absolute Ki67 positivity and mitotic activity (# mitoses/10hpf) across all xenografts.



Figure 3. Longitudinally derived xenografts from a patient with HRD PDAC (Q70) recapitulate the emergence of clinical chemoresistance. (a) Timeline showing the evolution of serum Ca19-9 in relation to chemotherapy. Arrows indicate when the individual xenografts (Q70P, Q70LM, Q70AM) were derived. Numbers correspond to cross-sectional imaging detailed in (B). (b) Representative computed tomography scans showing chemotherapy response at various time points. (1-2) Partial response of the pancreatic tail primary (Q70P) to FOLFIRINOX. (3-4) Partial response of the liver metastases (Q70LM) to FOLFIRINOX. (5-6) Worsening of peritoneal carcinomatosis (Q70AM) on gemcitabine-cisplatin. (c) Multi-arm preclinical trial results for the Q70P, Q70LM and Q70AM xenografts (n = 117 mice). For a given xenograft, day 29 tumour ratios were compared between each treatment arm (cisplatin, talazoparib, cisplatin-talazoparib) and vehicle. The Q70AM xenograft showed resistance to cisplatin and talazoparib alone, but remained sensitivity to cisplatin-talazoparib combination. *** p < 0.001; ns, not significant. Tal, talazoparib.



Figure 4. Whole genome sequencing (WGS) of 4 matched HRD PDAC trios to evaluate genomic changes on chemotherapy. (a) For each case, WGS of the (1) patient primary, (2) untreated parent PDX (Pre), and (3) PDX treated with gemcitabinecisplatin and collected at trial endpoint (Post) are shown. Germline and somatic *BRCA2* mutations were conserved in all cases. The proportion of SBS3 remained stable in the gemcitabine-cisplatin-treated xenografts. **(b)** Evolution of neoantigen load between the patient, untreated parent PDX and PDX treated with gemcitabine-cisplatin. **(c)** Multiplex IHC stains showing spatial distribution of CD8+ (brown) cytotoxic T cells and pancytokeratin+ (teal) PDAC cells. Consistent with the increase in *in silico*-predicted neoantigens, there is an increase in CD8+ infiltration in the Q70AM tumour compared to the Q70P. However, the CD8+ infiltration remained less extensive compared to an MMR-deficient PDAC (MMRd).



(b)	Variable	Standardized coefficient (β)	p-value	
	HRD	-0.478	<0.001	
	Ki67	-0.257	0.005	
	Polyploidy	0.600	<0.001	

 $R^{2} = 0.578$

Excluded: Moffitt subtype, chemotherapy status

(c)	Variable	Standardized coefficient (β)	p-value	
	HRD	-0.299	0.002	
	Moffitt basal-like	-0.442	<0.001	
	Polyploidy	0.449	<0.001	

 $R^2 = 0.780$

Excluded: Ki67, chemotherapy status

Figure 5. Predictive biomarkers identified based on preclinical trial treatment responses. (a) Consensus clustered heatmap of PDAC transcriptomes split by Moffitt classical and basal-like factor gene expression. The 12 xenografts evaluated in the preclinical trial are identified, and clustered relative to 167 patient PDAC transcriptomes from the COMPASS trial (n = 164) and non-COMPASS patients (n = 3). The 21 HRD PDAC patients are indicated in black boxes. (b) Stepwise multivariable linear regression model of predictors of cisplatin response. (c) Stepwise multivariable linear regression model of predictors of talazoparib response



Figure 6. 21 Clinical outcomes of 21 HRD PDAC patients with whole genome and whole transcriptomic sequencing. Kaplan-Meier survival curves are shown, stratified by tumour ploidy (a) and transcriptomic subtype (b). P-values represent log-rank comparisons. (c) Multivariable Cox regression analysis with forward stepwise selection, including age, sex, stage at diagnosis, tumour ploidy and Moffitt transcriptomic subtype. Tumour ploidy and Moffitt subtype were retained in the model and independently associated with survival. Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals are shown.



(e)



Figure 7. Immunohistochemical biomarkers of Moffitt transcriptomic subtype in HRD PDAC. (a) TMM-normalized log2(CPM) gene expression values for GATA6, KRT17 and KRT81 between classical and basal-like subtypes in the cohort described in Fig. 7. GATA6 and KRT17 were the most significantly correlated with transcriptomic subtype, and were further evaluated with IHC. (b) Classical HRD PDAC was associated with significantly higher GATA6 positivity. GATA6 immunostaining was quantified by digital image analysis using a tumor classifier and a nuclear scoring algorithm. (c) Basal-like HRD PDAC was

associated with significantly higher KRT17 positivity, as assessed using a cytoplasmic scoring algorithm. **(d)** Combining both GATA6 and KRT17 as a GATA6:KRT17 ratio has higher predictive value than either marker alone. **(e)** Representative multiplex IHC stains showing GATA6 (brown) and KRT17 (teal). (Top row) Classical xenograft (O28) with predominantly GATA6+/KRT17- cells. (Middle row) Basal-like xenograft (S145) with predominantly GATA6-/KRT17+ cells. (Bottom row) Basal-like xenograft (Q70P) with a mutually exclusive mixture of GATA6-/KRT17+ cells and GATA6+/KRT17- cells, suggesting intratumoral heterogeneity.



Figure 8. Proposed schematic of predictors of chemosensitivity and prognosis in HRD PDAC.

730 Figure Legends

731

732 Figure 1. Genomic characteristics of patient-derived xenografts and preclinical

trial outcomes. (a) Genomic characteristics of the 7 gBRCA-mutated PDAC cases

evaluated in the preclinical trial. The first 6 cases have biallelic inactivation of *BRCA1* or

- BRCA2. They exhibit mutational patterns that are characteristic of homologous repair
 deficiency, and have an elevated HRDetect score. In contrast, the Q437 case with
- monoallelic *BRCA2* inactivation lacks these genomic HRD hallmarks and has a low
- 738 HRDetect score. (b-c) 7-arm preclinical trial to evaluate response of PDAC xenografts to
- cisplatin, talazoparib and gemcitabine mono- and combination therapies. (b) HR-
- deficient (6 unique cases, 228 mice) and (c) HR-proficient (B, 4 unique cases, 159 mice)
- 741 PDAC xenografts were treated for 28 days. For each treatment arm, the relative tumour
- growth (at Day 28) of HR-deficient *vs.* HR-proficient xenografts was compared using multiple linear regression models. Tal, talazoparib; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001. (d-e)
- 744 Kaplan-Meier survival curves of xenografts treated with gemcitabine mono- and
- 745 combination therapies. In HR-deficient xenografts, gemcitabine-cisplatin was associated
- with longer survival than gemcitabine alone and gemcitabine-talazoparib. There was no
- survival difference in HR-proficient xenografts. P-values represent log-rank comparisons
- of Kaplan-Meier survival curves. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.001. Cis, cisplatin; Tal,
- 749 talazoparib.
- 750

751 **Figure 2. Histopathological and immunohistochemical analyses of proliferative**

activity in xenografts treated with gemcitabine mono- and combination therapies.

- (a) Comparison of relative Ki67 positivity of HR-deficient vs. HR-proficient xenografts for each treatment arm. * p < 0.05, *** p < 0.001. (b) Representative Ki67 immunostaining
- of Q392 (HR-deficient) *vs.* Q133 (HR-proficient) xenografts. GC, gemcitabine-cisplatin;
- 756 GT, gemcitabine-talazoparib. (c) Pearson's correlation between absolute Ki67 positivity
- and mitotic activity (# mitoses/10hpf) across all xenografts.
- 758

759 Figure 3. Longitudinally derived xenografts from a patient with HRD PDAC (Q70) 760 recapitulate the emergence of clinical chemoresistance. (a) Timeline showing the 761 evolution of serum Ca19-9 in relation to chemotherapy. Arrows indicate when the 762 individual xenografts (Q70P, Q70LM, Q70AM) were derived. Numbers correspond to 763 cross-sectional imaging detailed in (B). (b) Representative computed tomography scans 764 showing chemotherapy response at various time points. (1-2) Partial response of the 765 pancreatic tail primary (Q70P) to FOLFIRINOX. (3-4) Partial response of the liver 766 metastases (Q70LM) to FOLFIRINOX. (5-6) Worsening of peritoneal carcinomatosis 767 (Q70AM) on gemcitabine-cisplatin. (c) Multi-arm preclinical trial results for the Q70P, 768 Q70LM and Q70AM xenografts (n = 117 mice). For a given xenograft, day 29 tumour 769 ratios were compared between each treatment arm (cisplatin, talazoparib, cisplatin-770 talazoparib) and vehicle. The Q70AM xenograft showed resistance to cisplatin and 771 talazoparib alone, but remained sensitivity to cisplatin-talazoparib combination. *** p < 772 0.001; ns, not significant. Tal, talazoparib. 773

774 Figure 4. Whole genome sequencing (WGS) of 4 matched HRD PDAC trios to

evaluate genomic changes on chemotherapy. (a) For each case, WGS of the (1)

776 patient primary, (2) untreated parent PDX (Pre), and (3) PDX treated with gemcitabine-777 cisplatin and collected at trial endpoint (Post) are shown. Germline and somatic BRCA2 778 mutations were conserved in all cases. The proportion of SBS3 remained stable in the 779 gemcitabine-cisplatin-treated xenografts. (b) Evolution of neoantigen load between the 780 patient, untreated parent PDX and PDX treated with gemcitabine-cisplatin. (c) Multiplex 781 IHC stains showing spatial distribution of CD8+ (brown) cytotoxic T cells and pan-782 cytokeratin+ (teal) PDAC cells. Consistent with the increase in in silico-predicted 783 neoantigens, there is an increase in CD8+ infiltration in the Q70AM tumour compared to 784 the Q70P. However, the CD8+ infiltration remained less extensive compared to an 785 MMR-deficient PDAC (MMRd).

786

Figure 5. Predictive biomarkers identified based on preclinical trial treatment responses. (a) Consensus clustered heatmap of PDAC transcriptomes split by Moffitt classical and basal-like factor gene expression. The 12 xenografts evaluated in the preclinical trial are identified, and elustered relative to 167 patient PDAC transcriptomes from the

- identified, and clustered relative to 167 patient PDAC transcriptomes from the
- COMPASS trial (n = 164) and non-COMPASS patients (n = 3). The 21 HRD PDAC
 patients are indicated in black boxes. (b) Stepwise multivariable linear regression mode
- patients are indicated in black boxes. (b) Stepwise multivariable linear regression model
 of predictors of cisplatin response. (c) Stepwise multivariable linear regression model of
- 794 predictors of talazoparib response.
- 795

796 Figure 6. 21 Clinical outcomes of 21 HRD PDAC patients with whole genome and

797 **whole transcriptomic sequencing.** Kaplan-Meier survival curves are shown, stratified

by tumour ploidy (a) and transcriptomic subtype (b). P-values represent log-rank
 comparisons. (c) Multivariable Cox regression analysis with forward stepwise selection,

including age, sex, stage at diagnosis, tumour ploidy and Moffitt transcriptomic subtype.

- Tumour ploidy and Moffitt subtype were retained in the model and independently
- associated with survival. Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals are shown.
- 803

804 Figure 7. Immunohistochemical biomarkers of Moffitt transcriptomic subtype in 805 HRD PDAC. (a) TMM-normalized log2(CPM) gene expression values for GATA6, 806 KRT17 and KRT81 between classical and basal-like subtypes in the cohort described in 807 Fig. 7. GATA6 and KRT17 were the most significantly correlated with transcriptomic 808 subtype, and were further evaluated with IHC. (b) Classical HRD PDAC was associated 809 with significantly higher GATA6 positivity. GATA6 immunostaining was quantified by 810 digital image analysis using a tumor classifier and a nuclear scoring algorithm. (c) 811 Basal-like HRD PDAC was associated with significantly higher KRT17 positivity, as 812 assessed using a cytoplasmic scoring algorithm. (d) Combining both GATA6 and 813 KRT17 as a GATA6:KRT17 ratio has higher predictive value than either marker alone. 814 (e) Representative multiplex IHC stains showing GATA6 (brown) and KRT17 (teal). 815 (Top row) Classical xenograft (O28) with predominantly GATA6+/KRT17- cells. (Middle

row) Basal-like xenograft (S145) with predominantly GATA6-/KRT17+ cells. (Bottom

row) Basal-like xenograft (Q70P) with a mutually exclusive mixture of GATA6-/KRT17+

cells and GATA6+/KRT17- cells, suggesting intratumoral heterogeneity.

819

Figure 8. Proposed schematic of predictors of chemosensitivity and prognosis in
 HRD PDAC.

- 822 References
- 823
- 1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2019. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*. 2019;69(1):7-34. doi:10.3322/caac.21551.
- Rawla P, Thandra KC, Sunkara T. Pancreatic cancer and obesity: epidemiology,
 mechanism, and preventive strategies. *Clin J Gastroenterol.* 2019;12(4):285-291.
 doi:10.1007/s12328-019-00953-3.
- Moffitt RA, Marayati R, Flate EL, et al. Virtual microdissection identifies distinct
 tumor- and stroma-specific subtypes of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Nat Genet.* 2015;47(10):1168-1178.
- Bailey P, Chang DK, Nones K, et al. Genomic analyses identify molecular
 subtypes of pancreatic cancer. *Nature*. 2016;531(7592):47-52.
 doi:10.1038/nature16965.
- Solution
 Collisson EA, Sadanandam A, Olson P, et al. Subtypes of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma and their differing responses to therapy. *Nat Med.*2011;17(4):500-503. doi:10.1038/nm.2344.
- 838 6. Waddell N, Pajic M, Patch A-M, et al. Whole genomes redefine the mutational
 839 landscape of pancreatic cancer. *Nature*. 2015;518(7540):495-501.
 840 doi:10.1038/nature14169.
- Smith AL, Wong C, Cuggia A, et al. Reflex Testing for Germline BRCA1, BRCA2,
 PALB2, and ATMMutations in Pancreatic Cancer: Mutation Prevalence and
 Clinical Outcomes From Two Canadian Research Registries. *JCO Precision Oncology*. 2018;(2):1-16. doi:10.1200/PO.17.00098.
- 845
 8. Holter S, Borgida A, Dodd A, et al. Germline BRCA Mutations in a Large Clinic846 Based Cohort of Patients With Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma. *J Clin Oncol.*847 2015;33(28):3124-3129. doi:10.1200/JCO.2014.59.7401.
- Schen C-C, Feng W, Lim PX, Kass EM, Jasin M. Homology-Directed Repair and the Role of BRCA1, BRCA2, and Related Proteins in Genome Integrity and Cancer. *Annu Rev Cancer Biol.* 2018;2(1):313-336. doi:10.1146/annurevcancerbio-030617-050502.
- Andrei A-Z, Hall A, Smith AL, et al. Increased in vitro and in vivo sensitivity of
 BRCA2-associated pancreatic cancer to the poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1/2
 inhibitor BMN 673. *Cancer Lett.* 2015;364(1):8-16.
- 855 doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2015.04.003.

- Lord CJ, Ashworth A. The DNA damage response and cancer therapy. *Nature*.
 2012;481(7381):287-294. doi:10.1038/nature10760.
- Lord CJ, Ashworth A. PARP inhibitors: Synthetic lethality in the clinic. *Science*.
 2017;355(6330):1152-1158. doi:10.1126/science.aam7344.
- 13. Lee J-M, Ledermann JA, Kohn EC. PARP Inhibitors for BRCA1/2 mutationassociated and BRCA-like malignancies. *Ann Oncol.* 2014;25(1):32-40.
 doi:10.1093/annonc/mdt384.
- 14. Golan T, Kanji ZS, Epelbaum R, et al. Overall survival and clinical characteristics
 of pancreatic cancer in BRCA mutation carriers. *Br J Cancer*. 2014;111(6):11321138. doi:10.1038/bjc.2014.418.
- Rebelatto TF, Falavigna M, Pozzari M, et al. Should platinum-based
 chemotherapy be preferred for germline BReast CAncer genes (BRCA) 1 and 2mutated pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) patients? A systematic review
 and meta-analysis. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2019;80:101895.
 doi:10.1016/j.ctrv.2019.101895.
- Pishvaian MJ, Blais EM, Brody JR, et al. Outcomes in Patients With Pancreatic
 Adenocarcinoma With Genetic Mutations in DNA Damage Response Pathways:
 Results From the Know Your Tumor Program. *JCO Precision Oncology*.
 2019;(3):1-10. doi:10.1200/PO.19.00115.
- 875 17. Golan T, Hammel P, Reni M, et al. Maintenance Olaparib for Germline BRCA876 Mutated Metastatic Pancreatic Cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381(4):317-327.
 877 doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1903387.
- 18. Maxwell KN, Wubbenhorst B, Wenz BM, et al. BRCA locus-specific loss of
 heterozygosity in germline BRCA1 and BRCA2 carriers. *Nat Commun.*2017;8(1):319. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-00388-9.
- 19. Patch A-M, Christie EL, Etemadmoghadam D, et al. Whole-genome
 characterization of chemoresistant ovarian cancer. *Nature*. 2015;521(7553):489494. doi:10.1038/nature14410.
- Sakai W, Swisher EM, Karlan BY, et al. Secondary mutations as a mechanism of
 cisplatin resistance in BRCA2-mutated cancers. *Nature*. 2008;451(7182):11161120. doi:10.1038/nature06633.
- 887 21. Norquist B, Wurz KA, Pennil CC, et al. Secondary somatic mutations restoring
 888 BRCA1/2 predict chemotherapy resistance in hereditary ovarian carcinomas. J
 889 Clin Oncol. 2011;29(22):3008-3015. doi:10.1200/JCO.2010.34.2980.
- 890 22. Polak P, Kim J, Braunstein LZ, et al. A mutational signature reveals alterations

- underlying deficient homologous recombination repair in breast cancer. *Nat Genet.* 2017;49(10):1476-1486. doi:10.1038/ng.3934.
- Aung KL, Fischer SE, Denroche RE, et al. Genomics-Driven Precision Medicine
 for Advanced Pancreatic Cancer: Early Results from the COMPASS Trial. *Clinical Cancer Research*. 2018;24(6):1344-1354. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-2994.
- Ersek JL, Black LJ, Thompson MA, Kim ES. Implementing Precision Medicine
 Programs and Clinical Trials in the Community-Based Oncology Practice: Barriers
 and Best Practices. *Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book*. 2018;38(38):188-196.
 doi:10.1200/EDBK_200633.
- 900 25. Garralda E, Dienstmann R, Piris-Giménez A, Braña I, Rodon J, Tabernero J. New
 901 clinical trial designs in the era of precision medicine. *Molecular Oncology*.
 902 2019;13(3):549-557. doi:10.1002/1878-0261.12465.
- 903 26. Tempero MA. NCCN Guidelines Updates: Pancreatic Cancer. *J Natl Compr Canc* 904 *Netw.* 2019;17(5.5):603-605. doi:10.6004/jnccn.2019.5007.
- 27. Coleman RL, Oza AM, Lorusso D, et al. Rucaparib maintenance treatment for
 recurrent ovarian carcinoma after response to platinum therapy (ARIEL3): a
 randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet*.
 2017;390(10106):1949-1961. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32440-6.
- 28. Telli ML, Timms KM, Reid J, et al. Homologous Recombination Deficiency (HRD)
 Score Predicts Response to Platinum-Containing Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in
 Patients with Triple-Negative Breast Cancer. *Clinical Cancer Research*.
 2016;22(15):3764-3773. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-2477.
- 29. Lee AJX, Endesfelder D, Rowan AJ, et al. Chromosomal instability confers
 intrinsic multidrug resistance. *Cancer Res.* 2011;71(5):1858-1870.
 doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-3604.
- 916 30. Passerini V, Ozeri-Galai E, de Pagter MS, et al. The presence of extra
 917 chromosomes leads to genomic instability. *Nat Commun.* 2016;7(1):10754–12.
 918 doi:10.1038/ncomms10754.
- 31. Barber LJ, Rosa Rosa JM, Kozarewa I, et al. Comprehensive genomic analysis of
 a BRCA2 deficient human pancreatic cancer. Oshima R, ed. *PLoS ONE*.
 2011;6(7):e21639. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0021639.
- 92232.Martinelli P, Carrillo-de Santa Pau E, Cox T, et al. GATA6 regulates EMT and923tumour dissemination, and is a marker of response to adjuvant chemotherapy in924pancreatic cancer. Gut. 2017;66(9):1665-1676. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2015-311256.
- 925 33. Castroviejo-Bermejo M, Cruz C, Llop-Guevara A, et al. A RAD51 assay feasible in

- routine tumor samples calls PARP inhibitor response beyond BRCA mutation.
 EMBO Mol Med. 2018;10(12):e9172. doi:10.15252/emmm.201809172.
- 34. Cruz C, Castroviejo-Bermejo M, Gutiérrez-Enríquez S, et al. RAD51 foci as a functional biomarker of homologous recombination repair and PARP inhibitor resistance in germline BRCA-mutated breast cancer. *Ann Oncol.*2018;29(5):1203-1210. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdy099.
- 35. Connor AA, Denroche RE, Jang GH, et al. Association of Distinct Mutational
 Signatures With Correlates of Increased Immune Activity in Pancreatic Ductal
 Adenocarcinoma. *JAMA Oncol.* 2017;3(6):774-783.
 doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2016.3916.
- 36. Strickland KC, Howitt BE, Shukla SA, et al. Association and prognostic
 significance of BRCA1/2-mutation status with neoantigen load, number of tumorinfiltrating lymphocytes and expression of PD-1/PD-L1 in high grade serous
 ovarian cancer. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7(12):13587-13598.
- 940 doi:10.18632/oncotarget.7277.
- 37. Gilmore E, McCabe N, Kennedy RD, Parkes EE. DNA Repair Deficiency in Breast
 Cancer: Opportunities for Immunotherapy. *J Oncol.* 2019;2019(12):43251054325114. doi:10.1155/2019/4325105.
- 38. Denroche RE, Mullen L, Timms L, et al. A cancer cell-line titration series for
 evaluating somatic classification. *BMC Res Notes*. 2015;8(1):823–10.
 doi:10.1186/s13104-015-1803-7.
- 39. Davies H, Glodzik D, Morganella S, et al. HRDetect is a predictor of BRCA1 and
 BRCA2 deficiency based on mutational signatures. *Nat Med.* 2017;23(4):517-525.
 doi:10.1038/nm.4292.
- 40. Alexandrov LB, Nik-Zainal S, Wedge DC, et al. Signatures of mutational
 processes in human cancer. *Nature*. 2013;500(7463):415-421.
 doi:10.1038/nature12477.
- 953 41. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
 954 sequence data. *Bioinformatics*. 2014;30(15):2114-2120.
 955 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170.
- 956 42. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq
 957 aligner. *Bioinformatics*. 2013;29(1):15-21. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635.
- 43. Ahdesmäki MJ, Gray SR, Johnson JH, Lai Z. Disambiguate: An open-source
 application for disambiguating two species in next generation sequencing data
 from grafted samples. *F1000Res*. 2016;5(2741):2741.
- 961 doi:10.12688/f1000research.10082.2.

- 44. Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. HTSeq--a Python framework to work with highthroughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*. 2015;31(2):166-169.
 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638.
- 45. Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for
 differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics*.
 2010;26(1):139-140. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616.
- 968
 968
 969
 969
 970
 970
 961
 962
 963
 964
 965
 965
 966
 966
 967
 967
 968
 968
 968
 969
 968
 968
 969
 968
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 969
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
 960
- 47. Agorku DJ, Tomiuk S, Klingner K, et al. Depletion of Mouse Cells from Human
 72 Tumor Xenografts Significantly Improves Downstream Analysis of Target Cells. J
 73 Vis Exp. 2016;(113). doi:10.3791/54259.
- 48. Nunez R. DNA measurement and cell cycle analysis by flow cytometry. *Curr* 975 *Issues Mol Biol.* 2001;3(3):67-70.
- 49. Van Loo P, Nordgard SH, Lingjærde OC, et al. Allele-specific copy number
 analysis of tumors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2010;107(39):16910-16915.
 doi:10.1073/pnas.1009843107.
- 979

981 Author contributions

- 982 **Yifan Wang, MD** (Conceptualization: Lead; Data curation: Lead; Formal analysis: Lead;
- 983 Investigation: Lead; Methodology: Lead; Project administration: Lead; Visualization:
- 984 Lead; Writing original draft: Lead)
- 985 Jin Yong Patrick Park, MSc (Conceptualization: Equal; Investigation: Equal;
- 986 Methodology: Equal; Writing review & editing: Supporting)
- 987 Alain Pacis, PhD (Formal analysis: Equal; Methodology: Equal; Visualization: Equal;
- 988 Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 989 **Robert E Denroche, MSc** (Data curation: Equal; Formal analysis: Equal; Methodology:
- 990 Equal; Visualization: Equal; Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 991 **Gun Ho Jang, PhD** (Data curation: Equal; Formal analysis: Equal; Methodology: Equal;
- 992 Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 993 Amy Zhang, MSc (Data curation: Equal; Formal analysis: Equal; Methodology: Equal;
- 994 Visualization: Equal; Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 995 Adeline Cuggia, MSc (Data curation: Equal; Formal analysis: Equal; Methodology:
- 996 Equal; Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 997 **Celine Domecq, MSc** (Data curation: Equal; Formal analysis: Equal; Investigation:
- 998 Equal; Methodology: Equal; Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 999 Jean Monlong, PhD (Formal analysis: Equal; Investigation: Equal; Methodology: Equal;
- 1000 Visualization: Equal; Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 1001 Maria Raitses-Gurevich, PhD (Data curation: Equal; Formal analysis: Equal;
- 1002 Resources: Equal; Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 1003 **Robert C Grant, MD** (Methodology: Equal; Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 1004 Ayelet Borgida, MSc (Methodology: Equal; Resources: Equal; Writing review &
- 1005 editing: Equal)
- Spring Holter, MSc (Methodology: Equal; Resources: Equal; Writing review & editing:
 Equal)
- 1008 **Chani Stossel, MSc** (Methodology: Equal; Resources: Equal; Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 1010 **Simeng Bu, BSc** (Data curation: Equal; Investigation: Equal; Methodology: Equal;
- 1011 Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 1012 Mehdi Masoomian, MD (Investigation: Equal; Methodology: Equal;
- 1013 Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 1014 **Ilinca Lungu, MSc** (Investigation: Equal; Methodology: Equal; Resources: Equal;
- 1015 Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 1016 John Bartlett, PhD (Investigation: Equal; Methodology: Equal; Resources: Equal;
- 1017 Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 1018 Julie Wilson, PhD (Funding acquisition: Equal; Resources: Equal; Writing review &
- 1019 editing: Equal)
- 1020 **Zu-Hua Gao, MD, PhD** (Data curation: Equal; Investigation: Equal; Methodology:
- 1021 Equal; Resources: Equal; Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 1022 Yasser Riazalhosseini, PhD (Funding acquisition: Equal; Investigation: Equal;
- 1023 Methodology: Equal; Supervision: Equal; Writing review & editing: Equal)

- 1024 **Jamil Asselah, MD** (Resources: Equal; Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 1025 Nathaniel Bouganim, MD (Resources: Equal; Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 1026 **Tatiana Cabrera, MD** (Resources: Equal; Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 1027 Louis-Martin Boucher, MD, PhD (Resources: Equal; Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 1028 **David Valenti, MD** (Resources: Equal; Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 1029 James Biagi, MD, MASc (Resources: Equal; Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 1030 Celia MT Greenwood, PhD (Methodology: Equal; Supervision: Equal; Writing review
- 1031 & editing: Equal)
- 1032 Paz Polak, PhD (Resources: Equal; Supervision: Equal; Writing review & editing:
 1033 Equal)
- 1034 William D Foulkes, MBBS, PhD (Resources: Equal; Supervision: Equal; Writing –
- 1035 review & editing: Equal)
- 1036 **Talia Golan, MD** (Funding acquisition: Equal; Resources: Equal; Supervision: Equal;
- 1037 Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 1038 **Grainne O'Kane, MD** (Data curation: Equal; Formal analysis: Equal; Methodology:
- 1039 Equal; Resources: Equal; Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 1040 **Sandra E Fischer, MD** (Investigation: Equal; Methodology: Equal; Resources: Equal;
- 1041 Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 1042 Jennifer J Knox, MD, MSc (Funding acquisition: Equal; Resources: Equal;
- 1043 Supervision: Equal; Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 1044 **Steven Gallinger, MD, MSc** (Conceptualization: Equal; Funding acquisition: Equal;
- 1045 Resources: Equal; Supervision: Equal; Writing review & editing: Equal)
- 1046 George Zogopoulos, MD, PhD (Conceptualization: Lead; Formal analysis: Equal;
- 1047 Investigation: Equal; Methodology: Lead; Project administration: Lead; Resources:
- 1048 Lead; Supervision: Lead; Writing original draft: Equal)
- 1049

1050 Acknowledgments

- 1051 We acknowledge the contributions of Crystal Haigh (patient enrolment), HPB Surgical
- and Medical Oncology, Pathology and Interventional Radiology at the McGill University
- 1053 Health Centre. We also acknowledge the contributions of team members at the Ontario
- 1054 Institute for Cancer Research within the Genomics & Bioinformatics platform
- 1055 (genomics.oicr.on.ca), as well as the contributions of Dianne Chadwick, Sheng-Ben
- 1056 Liang and Sana Sagri at the University Health Network Oncology Biobank.
- 1057
- 1058 Funding

1059 This study was supported through funding provided by the Cancer Research 1060 Society/Ganotec-Marc-André Pigeon Memorial Fund (Grant #20280), the Terry Fox 1061 Research Institute (Project #1078) and the Pancreatic Cancer Canada Foundation 1062 (PancONE[™]). This study was conducted with the support of the Ontario Institute for 1063 Cancer Research (PanCuRx Translational Research Initiative) through funding provided 1064 by the Government of Ontario, the Wallace McCain Centre for Pancreatic Cancer 1065 supported by the Princess Margaret Cancer Foundation and the Canadian Cancer 1066 Society Research Institute. The study was also supported by charitable donations from 1067 the Canadian Friends of the Hebrew University (Alex U. Soyka). Y.W. is supported by a 1068 Vanier Canada Graduate Scholarship, the Fonds de recherche du Québec -1069 Santé/Ministère de la Santé et des Services sociaux training program, and the McGill 1070 University Surgical-Scientist Program. Y.R. is a research scholar of the Fonds de 1071 recherche du Québec – Santé. G.O.K. is supported by the Lewitt fellowship. J.K. is the 1072 recipient of the Wilfred G. Lewitt Chair in Pancreatic Cancer Research. S.G. is the 1073 recipient of an Investigator Award from the Ontario Institute for Cancer Research. G.Z. 1074 is a clinical research scholar of the Fonds de recherche du Québec – Santé.