ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle (2021) Published online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com) DOI: 10.1002/jcsm.12706

Transcriptomic meta-analysis of disuse muscle atrophy vs. resistance exercise-induced hypertrophy in young and older humans

Colleen S. Deane^{1,2*†}, Craig R.G. Willis^{1†}, Bethan E. Phillips³, Philip J. Atherton³, Lorna W. Harries⁴, Ryan M. Ames², Nathaniel J. Szewczyk^{3,5} & Timothy Etheridge¹

¹Department of Sport and Health Sciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, St. Luke's Campus, Exeter, UK; ²Living Systems Institute, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK; ³MRC-ARUK Centre for Musculoskeletal Ageing Research and National Institute of Health Research, Biomedical Research Centre, Division of Medical Sciences and Graduate Entry Medicine, Royal Derby Hospital Centre, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Derby, UK; ⁴RNA-Mediated Mechanisms of Disease Group, Institute of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences, University of Exeter Medical School, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK; ⁵Ohio Musculoskeletal and Neurological Institute & Department of Biomedical Sciences, Ohio University, Athens, OH, USA

Abstract

Background Skeletal muscle atrophy manifests across numerous diseases; however, the extent of similarities/differences in causal mechanisms between atrophying conditions in unclear. Ageing and disuse represent two of the most prevalent and costly atrophic conditions, with resistance exercise training (RET) being the most effective lifestyle countermeasure. We employed gene-level and network-level meta-analyses to contrast transcriptomic signatures of disuse and RET, plus young and older RET to establish a consensus on the molecular features of, and therapeutic targets against, muscle atrophy in conditions of high socio-economic relevance.

Methods Integrated gene-level and network-level meta-analysis was performed on publicly available microarray data sets generated from young (18–35 years) *m. vastus lateralis* muscle subjected to disuse (unilateral limb immobilization or bed rest) lasting \geq 7 days or RET lasting \geq 3 weeks, and resistance-trained older (\geq 60 years) muscle.

Results Disuse and RET displayed predominantly separate transcriptional responses, and transcripts altered across conditions were mostly unidirectional. However, disuse and RET induced directly inverted expression profiles for mitochondrial function and translation regulation genes, with *COX4I1*, *ENDOG*, *GOT2*, *MRPL12*, and *NDUFV2*, the central hub components of altered mitochondrial networks, and *ZMYND11*, a hub gene of altered translation regulation. A substantial number of genes (n = 140) up-regulated post-RET in younger muscle were not similarly up-regulated in older muscle, with young muscle displaying a more pronounced extracellular matrix (ECM) and immune/inflammatory gene expression response. Both young and older muscle exhibited similar RET-induced ubiquitination/RNA processing gene signatures with associated *PWP1*, *PSMB1*, and *RAF1* hub genes.

Conclusions Despite limited opposing gene profiles, transcriptional signatures of disuse are not simply the converse of RET. Thus, the mechanisms of unloading cannot be derived from studying muscle loading alone and provides a molecular basis for understanding why RET fails to target all transcriptional features of disuse. Loss of RET-induced ECM mechanotransduction and inflammatory profiles might also contribute to suboptimal ageing muscle adaptations to RET. Disuse and age-dependent molecular candidates further establish a framework for understanding and treating disuse/ageing atrophy.

Keywords Skeletal muscle disuse; Resistance exercise training; Ageing; Transcriptomic meta-analysis; Gene-level analysis; Network analysis

Received: 14 October 2020; Revised: 26 February 2021; Accepted: 29 March 2021

© 2021 The Authors. Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Society on Sarcopenia, Cachexia and Wasting Disorders This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. *Correspondence to: Dr Colleen S Deane, Department of Sport and Health Sciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, St. Luke's Campus, Exeter EX1 2LU, UK. Tel: 01392 722882. Email: c.s.deane@exeter.ac.uk †Colleen S. Deane and Craia R. G. Willis share the first authorship.

Background

As the largest tissue in the body, the functions of skeletal muscle extend beyond locomotion and structural support.¹ providing storage for glucose² and lipids³ used for energy production and providing the largest amino acid reservoir for systemic release in times of organismal need.⁴ Thus, muscle atrophy associates with increased risk of frailty-related falls.^{5,6} increased incidence of metabolic disease.⁷ and ultimately, death.⁸ Indeed, muscle atrophy is a prominent feature of several of the World's key health challenges including ageing. cardiovascular disease. obesity. diabetes. and cancer.^{7,9} As a result, the most recently available estimates of annual cost for age-related atrophy (sarcopenia) alone is \$18.5 billion (USA, 2000)¹⁰ and £2.5 billion (UK, 2019).¹¹ Despite the high socio-economic relevance of maintaining healthy muscle mass, the mechanisms regulating muscle atrophy and, conversely, hypertrophy are incompletely understood. There is, therefore, a need to establish robust molecular features of atrophy and hypertrophy in order to efficiently promote targeted therapeutics with efficacy across atrophying conditions.

Two of the most prominent lifestyle-associated atrophic factors include muscle disuse and ageing. For example, muscle atrophy has been reproducibly detected during periods of disuse lasting \geq 7 days, as occurs with acute hospitalization, injury, illness, and inactivity.^{12–14} Thus, despite being relatively understudied, the health consequences of disuse places inactivity as one of the top five causes of death globally.¹⁵ Slower atrophy also occurs as an inevitable consequence of the ageing process, with muscle mass declining at rates of ~0.5–1.2% per year from the age of 50.¹⁶ Disuse and ageing, therefore, represent phenotypically and aetiologically interlinked atrophic stimuli, with repeated periods of disuse likely serving a central causative role in age-related muscle decline.¹³

Resistance exercise training (RET) remains the most effective non-pharmacological intervention to mitigate and recover from disuse^{17,18} and to attenuate progression of age-related muscle atrophy.¹⁹ Teleologically, the central molecular drivers of RET-induced hypertrophy might be the direct inverse of those governing disuse-atrophy; indeed, mitochondrial and extracellular matrix (ECM) gene signatures display opposing expression during RET vs. disuse.^{20–22} Similarly, key regulators of the well-established blunted ageing hypertrophic response to RET²³ might exactly oppose 'normal' RET responses in younger people and/or cluster to entirely distinct molecular pathways. Nonetheless, few studies have directly examined the muscle transcriptome of RET in comparison with either disuse or ageing RET responses,^{24–26} precluding a consensus on robust molecular features.

Contributing to this modest progress is certainly the significant technical and financial difficulty associated with performing sufficiently controlled human disuse/ageing/RET clinical trials from which cause-and-effect can be inferred.²⁷ However, the emergence of OMIC technologies has advanced the mechanistic insight possible from human randomized controlled trials. Indeed, recent meta-analysis of differential gene expression found a 'mRNA metabolism' signature inverted between disuse and RET, as well as distinct profiles characterizing RET (increased ECM remodelling) and disuse (reduced mitochondrial pathways and increased ubiquitination) in young-middle aged adults.²⁸ Exploiting big data can thus begin to identify robust transcriptional patterns characterizing atrophic and hypertrophic adaptations.

Disuse and RET transcriptomic studies are also often characterized by differing methodologies (e.g. baseline volunteer characteristics and experimental protocol employed), variable control of key confounders (e.g. diet and activity status), and an individual lack of statistical power, hindering the discovery of robust biosignatures. As such. transcriptomic meta-analysis offers a judicious strategy to overcome these limitations and aid biomarker discovery within disuse/(ageing) RET muscle adaptation. Indeed, the integration of multiple related transcriptomic data sets into a single analysis has improved the power to confirm/detect novel biosignatures in other societally important pathophysiological scenarios such as cancer and diabetes^{29,30} and recently, to identify global transcriptional responses to exercise and inactivity²⁸ and genes that correlate with exercise-induced changes in muscle mass.²⁶ As a statistical approach, transcriptomic meta-analysis has been routinely applied to identify robust gene-level expression changes. Nevertheless, the utility of meta-analysis can be further extended to the network-level, where molecular complexity is accounted for by modelling gene-gene interactions in the form of a co-expression network, allowing the identification of concordant patterns of gene co-regulation associated with physiological phenotypes.³¹ Network-level meta-analysis therefore offers a more holistic, biologically-driven view of conserved molecular mechanisms regulating physiological phenomena, while gene-level meta-analysis remains valuable in the selection process of candidate genes.³² Thus, combining gene-level and network-level meta-analyses should present a powerful framework for identifying the most biologically relevant and robust candidate targets.³² To this end, we applied an integrated transcriptomic meta-analysis framework to publicly available data with the aim of identifying robust molecular pathways and gene candidates driving: (i) divergent responses to RET vs. disuse and (ii) age-related responses to RET.

Methods

Transcriptomic data mining

Relevant transcriptomic data sets were sought via data mining of the Gene Expression Omnibus and ArrayExpress public repositories: up to and including September 2019. To compare disuse-related and RET-related transcriptional responses in the context of age, our search implemented the following inclusion criteria: (i) recruitment of healthy (i.e. uninjured and non-diseased) volunteers aged either 18-35 years (young) and/or \geq 60 years (older); (ii) employment of a disuse intervention (unilateral limb immobilization or bed rest) lasting \geq 7 days and/or a RET protocol lasting \geq 3 weeks; (iii) a within-person study design in which rested (i.e. not acutely exercised) m. vastus lateralis samples were obtained both pre-intervention and post-intervention; and (iv) expression profiling undertaken using a non-customized microarray (refer to original publications for RNA handling procedures). We chose to include only microarray data sets herein to limit the potential for technological bias(es) upon aggregating microarray and RNA-sequencing data (particularly in the context of meta-network analyses)^{33,34} and because there was an insufficient number of RNA-sequencing data sets available to conduct meta-analyses on at the time of searching (one RET and one disuse).^{25,35} In order to establish universal gene signatures of RET/disuse muscle adaptation, we did not exclude studies based on the type of disuse intervention (i.e. unilateral limb immobilization and bed rest) or RET protocol (i.e. intensity, frequency) employed. Our implemented minimum cutoffs for the duration of disuse/RET intervention were chosen because disuse-induced muscle atrophy is reproducibly detectable at \geq 7 days,^{12,14} while RET-induced hypertrophic gains (and not swelling-associated muscle hypertrophy)³⁶ are detectable after 3 weeks in both young and older individuals.^{37,38} In total, 11 data sets (3 × disuse^{21,39,40}; $3 \times \text{young RET}^{20,23,24}$; $5 \times \text{older RET}^{23,24,41-43}$) across nine distinct studies were included in downstream analyses (Table 1).

Data pre-processing

Arrays were processed on a data set-by-data set basis in line with procedures as standard for their corresponding platform, using the limma and oligo R packages where appropriate.^{44,45} Specifically, all data sets generated using an Illumina array platform were normalized using the 'neqc' algorithm,⁴⁶ in which background correction was performed using negative control probes and between-array quantile normalization performed using both negative and positive controls, with values consequently represented on the log2 scale. For data sets generated using an Agilent array platform, arrays were background corrected using the 'normexp'

method⁴⁶ and normalized across one another using guantile normalization, before values were transformed to be on the log2 scale. All data sets generated using an Affymetrix array platform were normalized using the Robust Multichip Average algorithm,⁴⁷ of which comprised background correction via subtraction, quantile normalization, and probe-level summarization via median-polishing-with the net result being intensity values on the log2 scale. This was with the exception of the data set GSE14901, which was generated using the Affymetrix Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 array platform (Table 1) but only available as MAS5-calculated signal intensities. In which case, arrays were transformed to be represented on the log2 scale. For each data set, control probes and probes without a corresponding Entrez Gene ID were consequently removed and the expression of probes corresponding to the same Entrez Gene ID then averaged. Finally, data sets were filtered for the intersection of each of their remaining Entrez Gene ID's, with the net result being a consistent set of 8244 genes present in all data sets for use in downstream analyses.

Gene-level meta-analysis of global expression changes

Differential expression pre-intervention vs. post-intervention was first estimated per gene within each separate data set using empirical Bayes-moderated paired t-tests, as implemented in the limma package for R.45 For each gene, individual (right-tailed) P values were then aggregated using the Stouffer's method⁴⁸ to obtain a single meta-analysis P value of its differential expression for each of the following data set combinations: (i) the three disuse data sets: (ii) the three young RET data sets, and (iii) the five older RET data sets. In all cases, meta P values were corrected using the Benjamini-Hochberg method to control for false discovery rate (FDR) and genes defined as significantly differentially expressed (DE) if they met all of the following criteria: (i) a corrected meta *P* value \leq 0.1, (ii) an absolute meta (mean) log foldchange > 0.1, and (iii) a common direction of gene log fold-change across all pertinent data sets. This strict overall criterion for differential expression was applied to ensure the robust detection of concordant gene expression changes across individual data sets within each condition.

Network-level meta-analysis to identify universal gene patterns

To gain a more holistic understanding of universal gene regulation in each condition, we complemented the gene-level meta-analysis with network-level meta-analysis. In particular, we employed a 'consensus' network approach using the weighted gene co-expression network analysis package

 Table 1
 Summary of RET and disuse transcriptomic studies included in the meta-analysis

Reference	Array accession	Intervention	Intervention details	Number of pre-intervention/ post-intervention sample pairs	Age	Sex	Platform
Damas et <i>al.</i> , 2018 ²⁰	GSE106865	RET	10 weeks, lower-body RET, three times per week	10 Y	26 ± 2 years (mean ± SD)	10 M	Illumina HumanHT-12 V4.0 Expression BeadChip
Hangelbroek <i>et al.</i> , 2016 ⁴¹	GSE117525	RET	24 weeks, progressive whole-body RFT, three times per week	41 O	70 ± 5 years (mean + 5D)	26 M 15 F	Affymetrix Human Gene 1.1 ST Arrav
Melov <i>et al.</i> , 2007 ⁴²	GSE8479	RET	26 weeks, progressive whole-body RET, two times per week	14 O	65–79 years	6 M8 F	Illuming Sentrix HumanRef-8 Expression ReadChin
Phillips <i>et al.</i> , 2013 ²³	GSE47881 (A) GSE47881 (B)	RET	20 weeks, progressive whole-body RET_three times per week	9 Y 16 O	<35 years	6 M, 3 F 9 M 7 F	Affymetrix Human Genome 11133 Plus 2 0 Arrav
Raue et al., 2012 ²⁴	GSE28422 (A)	RET	12 weeks, progressive lower-body	0 14 14 0 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	20–30 years	8 M, 6 F	Affymetrix Human Genome
	(b) 12528422		Kel, three times per week	12 0	84 ± 3 years (mean ± SD)	0 MI, 0 F	U 133 Plus 2.0 Array
Tarnopolsky <i>et al.</i> , 2007 ⁴³	MEXP740	RET	12 weeks, unilateral leg progressive BET three times ner week	8 0	71 ± 2 (mean + SEM)	8 M	Affymetrix Human Genome
Abadi <i>et al</i> ., 2009 ³⁹	GSE14901	Disuse	14 days, ULI	24 Y	21 ± 2 years	12 M	Affymetrix Human Genome
:					21 ± 3 years (mean ± SD)	12 F	U133 Plus 2.0 Array
Alibegovic et <i>al.</i> , 2010 ⁴⁰	GSE24215	Disuse	9 days, bed rest	10 Y	24–27 years	10 M	Agilent-014850 Whole Human Genome Microarray 4x44K G4112F
Rullman <i>et al.</i> , 2018 ²¹	GSE104999	Disuse	21 days, bed rest	12 Y	\sim 27 ± 6 years (mean ± SD)	12 M	Affymetrix Human Gene 2.1 ST Array
F, female; GSE, array accessi immobilization; Y, young.	on from the Gene	Expression Omr	libus; M, male; MEXP, array accession f	from ArrayExpress; C), older; RET, resis	tance exercise	e training; ULI, unilateral limb

C.S. Deane et al.

implemented in R.⁴⁹ Consensus networks comprise distinct gene clusters ('modules') that are commonly present in multiple independent data sets.³¹ Modules that compose a consensus network therefore represent reproducible co-expression relationships in a given scenario that are reflective of the underlying biology rather than technical artefacts.³¹ Consensus networks were constructed for each of the following data set combinations: (i) the three disuse data sets, (ii) the three young RET data sets, and (iii) the five older RET data sets.

Initially, a signed weighted adjacency matrix (Adj) quantifying the connection strength between each pair of genes was derived for each data set as $Adi = [0.5 \times (1 + Corr)]^{\beta}$, where Corr is the matrix of Pearson's correlation coefficients that indicate the degree of similarity in expression pattern between any two given genes of that data set. The exponent β was chosen per data set in accordance with the scale-free topology criterion⁵⁰ as the lowest integer for which the corresponding scale-free topology fitting index metric achieved an appropriately high value (≥0.8). Each adjacency matrix was then converted into a topological overlap matrix (TOM), in which each entry provides a measure of the relative interconnectedness ('common connections') between a given pair of genes within a given data set. For each data set combination, TOMs were made comparable via calibration by single quantile scaling, with a consensus TOM (cTOM) then defined in each case by taking the component-wise ('parallel') minimum of the associated calibrated TOMs.

Each cTOM was then converted into a consensus dissimilarity measure (dis-cTOM = 1 - cTOM), with consensus networks consequently built for each data set combination via hierarchical clustering of their respective dis-cTOMs using average linkage as a distance metric. The modules of each consensus network were subsequently determined using the *cutreeDynamic* algorithm,⁵¹ with a minimum module size of 50 genes selected so as to obtain moderately large and distinct modules in each instance, minimizing potential transcriptional noise that can occur when detecting gene modules in smaller-sized data sets.^{52,53} Finally, the composite expression of genes within a given consensus module was calculated on a per data set basis by taking the first principal component of module gene expression: herein referred to as the module 'eigengene'. Each data set therefore has an eigengene per module of its associated consensus network.³² Modules within each consensus network were consequently merged if they were highly correlated (minimum eigengene correlation across its data sets > 0.75).

After constructing each consensus network, network-level meta-analysis was undertaken in similar fashion to above, but with the focus instead being on establishing differentially regulated consensus modules within each network. As such, differential regulation pre-intervention vs. post-intervention was first estimated per module eigengene of each individual data set. Then, the individual (right-tailed) *P* values of

differential eigengene expression in each data set were aggregated per consensus module to calculate a single, corrected meta-analysis *P* value of that module's differential regulation. In any case, a consensus module was defined as being significantly differentially regulated using the same criteria as outlined above, but with the requisite for criterion (iii) instead being a common direction of *eigengene* change across all pertinent data sets, rather than a common direction of gene log fold-change.

Establishing concordant and discordant gene patterns across conditions

To determine common and uniquely regulated genes across conditions of interest, we utilized the rank-rank hypergeometric overlap (RRHO) algorithm,⁵⁴ in which genes were ranked on sign of meta log fold-change multiplied by the negative log10 of their corrected meta P value. Specific comparisons made were as follows: (i) young RET vs. disuse and (ii) young RET vs. older RET. In each case, commonly regulated genes were defined as those significantly DE in both conditions and present within the optimal overlapping gene set(s) between conditions. Uniquely regulated genes in each case were then defined as those significantly DE in a single condition and not present within the optimal overlapping gene set(s) between conditions. When comparing between young RET and disuse, commonly regulated genes were further defined on the basis of concordant vs. divergent regulation.

Inferring common and unique regulation at the network-level is slightly more intricate than is at the individual gene-level. Indeed, because network construction and module detection are unsupervised processes, individual networks are highly unlikely to be direct mirror images of one another in terms of their precise module compositions. Even then, module labels are arbitrary, and so, it would not necessarily hold true that (e.g.) module 'M1' in one network would equal module 'M1' of another network. There is also the potential that a particular set of genes form a module in one network but not another due to unique underlying biology in a given condition. To therefore make individual consensus networks more aligned to one another for comparison, we consequently utilized the weighted gene co-expression network analysis matchLabels function.⁵⁵ With this function, module gene compositions are compared between a 'reference' and 'source' network using Fisher's exact test. Modules in the source network are then subsequently renamed in line with the module labels of the reference network so that modules between networks with a significant number of overlapping genes also then have the same label (but such that no two modules of the source network are renamed with the same label of the reference network). Thus, modules with the same label in both networks have a significant

number of overlapping genes, but not necessarily identical gene compositions, and modules with labels unique to a single network are not recapitulated in the other network.⁵⁵ Clearly, this can be done only for two networks at any one time. Because our aim was to compare the young RET consensus network with both the disuse and older RET consensus networks, the young RET consensus network was thus used as the reference network, and modules of the disuse and older RET consensus networks were relabelled to be in line with those comprising the young RET consensus network. Note, it does not necessarily then hold true that the disuse and older RET consensus networks are comparable with one another. However, comparing these conditions was not the aim of this work. After this module calibration process, we were then able to make inferences on (un)common and unique module regulation by comparing across the differentially regulated consensus modules of: (i) the young RET and disuse networks and (ii) the young RET and older RET networks.

Functional annotation of (un)loading-associated gene patterns

The functional characteristics of DE genes and differentially regulated consensus network modules were derived by testing their comprising gene lists for both Gene Ontology enrichment and pathway enrichment, using the Enrichr web server.⁵⁶ In the case of Gene Ontology analysis, we focused on enrichment for Biological Process terms.⁵⁷ For pathway analysis, we focused on enrichment for terms contained within the Reactome Pathway Database.⁵⁸ In all instances, terms with a Benjamini–Hochberg corrected *P* value < 0.05 were defined as being enriched.

Network-driven identification of hub genes as candidate mechanistic targets

In order to identify candidate regulatory molecules of muscle (mal)adaptation to RET and/or disuse, we first deduced consensus hub genes contained within our differentially regulated consensus network modules.^{32,59} In line with the overarching concept of consensus network analysis, consensus hub genes represent module hub genes that are common in multiple independent data sets, the identification of which can be more useful than a gene-level meta-analysis *P* value for identifying biologically meaningful gene lists.³² Here, hub genes in consensus modules were derived using the consensus module membership metric—a measure that is strongly related to the 'intramodular connectivity' metric (another common measure traditionally used for the purposes of hub gene feature selection), but with the added benefit of being more suitable for candidate gene screening during network-based meta-analyses.^{32,60} In brief, a module membership value for each gene was first calculated on a per data set basis as the correlation between its individual gene expression profile in that data set and the data set-specific module eigengene (with associated P values being one-sided to account for the fact that signed networks were constructed). Then, a consensus module membership value for each gene was derived as the Z-score obtained from aggregating its gene-eigengene correlation across each pertinent data set using Stouffer's method.³² Consensus module genes with a consensus module membership value above the 85th percentile were then defined as consensus hub genes. Finally, we overlaid gene-level and network-level feature selections, which in the context of transcriptomic meta-analysis may be a particularly useful approach to take when attempting to prioritize for the most biologically relevant candidate targets, because resultant genes are inherently characterized by robust regulation and interlinkage.³² Visualizations were generated using Cytoscape (v3.7.1).⁶¹

Results

We first applied gene-level meta-analysis to identify robust gene expression changes. The total numbers of DE genes identified for each condition (disuse and younger/older RET) are shown in Table 2, with full lists of DE (plus RRHO) genes provided in Table S1. Overall, the number of DE genes observed post-RET was considerably higher in young vs. older muscle (Table 2). To gain a more holistic understanding of molecular networks associated with atrophy/hypertrophy, we performed consensus network construction of gene co-regulation, summarized in Table 3 (gene-module assignments shown in Table S2). We next performed meta-analysis of each module's eigengene (Methods). The number of differentially regulated consensus modules under each condition were 14 during muscle disuse (7 up-regulated and 7 down-regulated), 9 in young muscle following RET (7 up-regulated and 2 downregulated), and 1 (down-regulated) in older muscle following RET (Table S2). Enriched functional terms for DE (plus RRHO) gene lists and differentially regulated consensus modules are given in Tables S3 and S4, respectively.

 Table 2
 Total numbers of universally differentially expressed genes following RET in young and older muscle, as well as following disuse in young muscle

	RE	Disuse		
Variable	Young	Older	Young	
Up-regulated Down-regulated	613 257	219 207	898 932	

RET, resistance exercise training.

The transcriptional response to disuse is not simply the inverse of the transcriptional response to resistance exercise training

At the individual gene-level, we observed that (i) most gene changes are unique to disuse or RET in isolation and (ii) genes

Table 3 Module characteristics of the consensus networks constructed for each of the young RET, older RET, and muscle disuse data set combinations

	Consensus network				
Characteristic	Young RET	Older RET	Disuse		
Total modules No. genes per module	31	34	25		
Mean Range	266 72–667	242 84–734	330 79–992		

RET, resistance exercise training.

that do overlap are predominantly DE in the same direction, while a limited number of overlapping genes display inverse expression patterns between disuse and RET (Figure 1, Table S3). Disuse was uniquely characterized by up-regulation of genes involved in protein ubiquination and mitotic cell cycle, while RET alone was characterized by up-regulation of angiogenesis-related genes (Figure 1, Table S3). Disuse and RET both resulted in up-regulation of genes involved in immune signalling, some were the same genes while some were unique to each condition. Similarly, certain genes involved in ECM organization were up-regulated by both disuse and RET, whereas others were only up-regulated by RET (Figure 1, Table S3). Thus, while divergent muscle growth responses to disuse vs. RET might be partially underpinned by distinct immune system/ECM remodelling molecular responses, some pleiotropic regulation might exist within commonly regulated aspects of these pathways. Lastly, disuse and RET induced inverted expression



Figure 1 Comparison of gene-level expression changes with RET vs. disuse. Venn diagram illustrates the degree of overlap between RET-induced and disuse-induced transcriptomic changes for all possible permutations, as determined via rank–rank hypergeometric overlap (RRHO) analysis. Outer boxes provide representative summaries of enriched Gene Ontology/Reactome Pathway terms for genes pertaining to each given scenario. The top 5 common and uniquely regulated genes when ranked by meta log2 fold-change (as based on RRHO results) are provided within associated segment of the Venn diagram. Note: for concordant and discordant RRHO gene lists, only the intersection of top 5 ranking genes across each associated scenario is provided. ECM, extracellular matrix; RET, resistance exercise training.

profiles for 59 genes (*Figure* 1, *Table* S3). Eighteen were genes down-regulated by disuse but up-regulated by RET, which were heavily enriched for mitochondrial respiration processes (*Figure* 1, *Table* S3). A further 41 genes were up-regulated by disuse but down-regulated by RET, but these failed to cluster to any functional terms (*Figure* 1, *Table* S3). Interestingly, among the top five ranked DE genes in both conditions (i.e. top 5 down-regulated by RET *and* top 5 up-regulated by disuse of inverted responses) was myostatin (*MSTN*), a key molecule involved in the regulation of skeletal muscle mass^{62,63} (*Figure* 1).

Network-level meta-analysis revealed similar themes as the gene-level approach. Both disuse and RET were characterized by separate up-regulation of immune signalling networks, common up-regulation of further immunity pathways and ECM organization networks, and inverse (downregulated by disuse and up-regulated by RET) regulation of a mitochondrial function network (*Figure 2A, Table S4*). Additionally, network meta-analysis revealed a divergent

disuse vs. RET profile characterized by a disuse up-regulated 'translation-related' molecular network (M18) that was down-regulated by RET (Figure 2A, Table S4). Next, we derived hub genes of differentially regulated consensus modules by screening for genes with robustly high intramodule membership, returning a base list of candidate regulatory molecules of muscle adaptation (708 and 319 hub genes in the young disuse and RET networks, respectively) (Table S5). For the three consensus modules with altered regulation in the disuse and RET networks (M4, M15, and M18), we further prioritized hub genes for those displaying a high intramodular hub status under both conditions. All three modules each had at least one gene with shared hub gene status across both respective networks, with the overlap reaching significance in two modules (M4 and M15) (Figures 2B-3D). Common hub genes identified in the divergent disuse vs. RET profile were as follows: COX4I1 (Cytochrome c oxidase subunit 4 isoform 1), ENDOG (Endonuclease G), MRPL12 (Mitochondrial Ribosomal Protein L12), NDUFV2



Figure 2 Consensus network analysis of RET vs. disuse responses in young muscle. Panel (A): Consensus modules shown are those differentially regulated by RET and/or disuse in young muscle. Red and blue shading denote significant up-regulation and down-regulation following each condition (vs. baseline), respectively. In all cases, functional summaries are representative of enriched Gene Ontology/Reactome Pathway terms for each given module. Also provided is the top ranked hub gene for each module per consensus network. Panels (B)–(D): Hub gene network visualizations for consensus modules concordantly or inversely regulated by RET and disuse in young muscle. Each visualization illustrates all corresponding hub genes of the given consensus module on a per condition basis, with dual-coloured nodes thus representing genes that are overlapping consensus module hubs for both given conditions. Red borders (if any) illustrate the overlapping hub genes in each case that also appear among the corresponding list of RRHO genes for that particular scenario (e.g. up-regulated by RET but down-regulated by disuse). RET, resistance exercise training; RRHO, rank–rank hypergeometric overlap.



Figure 3 Comparison of gene-level expression changes in young vs. older muscle following RET. Panel (A): Venn diagrams depicting the degree of overlap between up-regulated and down-regulated genes post-RET in young vs. older muscle, as based on RRHO analyses. Panel (B): Representative enriched Gene Ontology/Reactome Pathway terms for common and uniquely regulated genes post-RET in young vs. older muscle. A number of genes enriched in a given term are provided within associated boxes of the heatmap. Strength of colour shading depicts the magnitude of enrichment significance, given by the negative log10 of that term's enrichment FDR *P* value (with darker shading analogous with a stronger FDR *P* value). Panel (C): Top 5 common and uniquely regulated genes (up-regulated and down-regulated) per age group, ranked by meta log2 fold-change (as based on RRHO results). Lighter shading denotes differential regulation for that age group, with darker shading indicating gene is among the top 5 ranked by meta log2 fold-change for a given scenario (e.g. uniquely up-regulated post-RET in young vs. older muscle). RET, resistance exercise training.

(NADH:Ubiquinone Oxidoreductase Core Subunit V2) and GOT2 (Glutamic-Oxaloacetic Transaminase 2) for mitochondrial responses, and ZMYND11 (Zinc Finger MYND-Type Containing 11) for translational regulation (Figures 2B and 3C, Table S5). We further screened our base candidate gene lists for module hub genes contained within the corresponding RRHO gene list (e.g. for a module up-regulated only in the RET network vs. disuse network, we considered the RRHO gene list uniquely up-regulated by RET vs. disuse, etc.) (Table S5). For consensus modules across the disuse and RET networks, COX4I1, ENDOG, and GOT2 were highlighted among inverted mitochondrial responses (Figure 2B), and COL1A1 (Collagen type 1 alpha 1), COL1A2 (Collagen type 1 alpha 1), COL5A2 (Collagen type 5 alpha 2), CTSK (Cathepsin K), DAB2 (Disabled homologue 2), EEF1A1 (Eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1 alpha 1), HTRA1 (HtrA Serine

Peptidase 1), *SPARC* (Secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine), *TYROBP* (TYRO protein tyrosine kinase binding protein) highlighted among the commonly regulated ECM/immune responses after disuse and RET (*Figure 2D, Table S5*).

Older muscle displays a blunted transcriptional response to resistance exercise training compared with younger muscle

Virtually all genes up-regulated by RET in older muscle were also up-regulated in young muscle, but a substantial number of genes (n = 140) up-regulated post-RET in younger muscle were not similarly up-regulated in older muscle (*Figure* 3A, *Table* S1). Genes up-regulated by RET in young and older muscle were primarily involved in ECM organization, axon

Modulo	No. genes		Top hub gene		Functional annotation		Diff. Ex	
wodule	Y RET	O RET	Overlap	Y RET	O RET	Summary	Y RET	O RET
M4	518	241	84	CLIC1	FYN	ECM organisation; immune signalling		
M14	285	241	45	SH3BGRL	RAB31	Immune system processes		
M15	265	219	54	SLC25A11	NDUFS3	Mitochondria function		
M19	168	213	17	PTBP1	LGALS3BP	Undetermined		
M20	148	237	39	RNF24	LCK	Immune response		
M24	127	-	-	TMEM184B	-	Undetermined		
M28	115	276	25	CD48	LYN	Immune response		
M18	189	252	21	SCAF11	APC	Regulation of transcription/translation		
M13	287	355	57	EIF2B4	ARF4	Ubiquination; RNA processing		

Β



Enrichment of overlapping hubs for RRHO genes: P = 2.72E-03

Figure 4 Consensus network analysis of RET responses in young muscle vs. older muscle. Panel (A): Consensus modules shown are those differentially regulated by RET in young muscle and/or older muscle. Red and blue shading denote significant up-regulation and down-regulation following RET (vs. baseline), respectively. In all cases, functional summaries are representative of enriched Gene Ontology/Reactome Pathway terms for each given module. Also provided is the top ranked hub gene for each module per consensus network. Panel (B): Hub gene network visualization for M13; the consensus modules concordantly down-regulated by RET in young and older muscle. Visualization illustrates all corresponding hub genes of the given consensus module on a per age basis, with dual-coloured nodes thus representing genes that are overlapping consensus module hubs for both ages. Red borders (if any) illustrate the overlapping hub genes in each case that also appear among the corresponding list of RRHO genes for that particular scenario (i.e. commonly down-regulated by RET in both young and older muscle). RET, resistance exercise training.

guidance, and the regulation of angiogenesis (*Figure* 3B, *Table* S3). The 140 genes uniquely up-regulated post-RET in younger muscle clustered to additional ECM organization processes, as well as immune-related signalling pathways (*Figure* 3B, *Table* S3). Interestingly, 11 of the 140 uniquely up-regulated young RET genes aligned to ECM organization, some of which have established roles in mechanical force

transmission [integrin subunits *ITGAM* (Integrin Subunit Alpha M), *ITGAE* (Integrin Alpha E), and *ITGB2* (Integrin Subunit Beta 2)], regenerative pathways [*TNC* (Tenascin C)], and collagen reinforcing processes [*PLOD2* (Procollagen-lysine, 2-oxogluterate 5-dioxygenase 2)] (*Figure* 3B, *Table* S3). Additionally, 28 of the 140 genes uniquely up-regulated in young RET muscle aligned to the immune system, including several

Α

caspase proteolytic enzymes [CASP1 (Caspase 1) and CASP3 (Caspase 1)]. Within the top 5 ranked genes uniquely up-regulated in young RET muscle, the highest-ranked gene was the myosin binding protein *MYBPH* and also included two inflammation/immune-related transcripts [*CCL8* (Chemokine ligand 8) and *CXCL10* (C-X-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 10)] (*Figure* 3C). Despite the clear young muscle-specific ECM signature, several collagens were also commonly regulated in both young and older muscle following RET [*COL1A1* (Collagen Type I Alpha 1 Chain), *COL1A2* (Collagen Type I Alpha 2 Chain), *COL3A1* (Collagen Type III Alpha 1 Chain), and *COL4A2* (Collagen Type IV Alpha 1 Chain)] (*Figure* 3C). Substantially, fewer genes were down-regulated by RET in both younger and older muscle (*Figure* 3A, *Table* S1), all of which failed to cluster to any functional terms (*Figure* 3B, *Table* S3).

At the network-level, similar to gene-level analysis, only young muscle displayed a post-RET up-regulation of genes comprising several immune signalling molecular networks and ECM organizational response (Figure 4A, Table S4). However, network analysis provided functional insight into down-regulated RET genes, with both younger and older RET being characterized by the down-regulation of similar 'ubiquination/RNA processing'-related molecular networks (M13) (Figure 4A, Table S4), the common hub genes of which were identified to be *PWP1* (Periodic Typtophan Protein 1), PSMB1 (Proteasome 20S Subunit Beta 1) and RAF1 (c-RAF) (Figure 4B, Table S5). Overlaying corresponding consensus module hub gene and RRHO gene lists further identified ARF3 (ADP-ribosylation factor 3), ARPC1B (Actin Related Protein 2/3 Complex Subunit 1B), BPHL (Biphenyl Hydrolase Like), CAP1 (Cyclase Associated Actin Cytoskeleton Regulatory Protein 1), EMP1 (Epithelial Membrane Protein 1), HIF1A (Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1-alpha), LST1 (Leukocyte Specific Transcript 1), RAB31 (Ras-related protein Rab31), SERPINI1 (Serpin Family I Member 1), TUFM (Tu Translation Elongation Factor), and VIM (Vimentin) as prime candidates of RET-induced muscle remodelling specifically in younger age (Table S5).

Discussion

Herein, we integrated gene-level and network-level approaches into a single transcriptomic meta-analysis pipeline to identify robust biosignatures and candidate regulatory molecules of muscle adaptation under societally important atrophic and hypertrophic conditions. Our findings reveal inverted expression profiles after disuse compared with RET and a reduced transcriptional responsiveness of older muscle to RET. This analysis should serve as a solid foundation from which to understand and develop targeted interventions against disuse-related atrophy and age-related responsiveness to RET, with potential relevance to other diseases characterized by muscle loss (e.g. muscular dystrophy, cancer, and rheumatoid arthritis).

Despite largely distinct transcriptional signatures, mitochondrial and translational regulation display converse responses to disuse and resistance exercise training

Disuse and RET elicit opposing muscle phenotypes (i.e. atrophy and hypertrophy, respectively),^{37,64} thus one might anticipate opposing transcriptional responses. Consistent with this notion, we illustrate that declines in a subset of mitochondrial genes and increases in some translational regulation genes in response to disuse occur in a directly opposing manner following RET. However, we also find that the majority of gene changes induced by disuse and RET are entirely distinct from one another, implying muscle adaptations to unloading vs. loading are largely separate molecular processes. Thus, a key finding from our meta-analysis is that the mechanisms of disuse are not simply the converse of RET and cannot be derived from studying muscle loading alone. These observations of RET failing to target all the molecular changes associated with disuse, might reflect reports that RET is incompletely effective at countering disuse atrophy¹⁸ and provides a robust molecular platform from which to determine the precise disuse-RET relationship.

Mitochondria are critical organelles regulating muscle metabolism, health, and function.65 Indeed, mitochondrial dynamics and/or respiratory capacity decrease during disuse⁶⁶ and increase during RET.⁶⁷ Our findings support and extend recent meta-analyses reporting down-regulated mitochondrial profiles in disuse alone,²⁸ by establishing an opposing mitochondrial profile between disuse and RET and establishing a putative molecular basis for divergent mitochondrial adaptations. We identified COX411 as one of the top genes in the divergent mitochondrial response to disuse and RET. COX411 is an isoform of electron transport chain complex IV, which is often used as a common marker of mitochondrial content⁶⁸ and mitochondrial oxidative metabolism.⁶⁹ Given that complex IV is a terminal electron acceptor for the proton motive force driving ATP production,⁷⁰ it is plausible that COX411 mechanistically contributes to enhanced mitochondrial respiratory capacity following RET.⁶⁷ GOT2, which acts within the malate-aspartate shuttle wherein NADH electrons are delivered to electron transport chain complex I,^{71–73} was also identified as displaying a divergent response to disuse and RET. While a paucity of data exists in healthy humans, in type II diabetics (typically characterized by impaired mitochondrial function),74,75 GOT2 expression is lower compared with control patients, which is also increased after endurance exercise training.⁷³ These observations suggest that: (i) prominent opposing transcriptional profiles could partially explain impaired (disuse) vs. improved (RET) mitochondrial

respiratory function and/or dynamics; and (ii) interventions targeting mitochondria, such as electron donors, might reproduce some of the beneficial health effects of RET during disuse.

Genes involved in translational regulation were also identified as up-regulated by disuse and down-regulated by RET. While seemingly paradoxical given that translation is a key process driving MPS⁷⁶ and, therefore, hypertrophy,^{77,78} RET-induced decreases in translational regulation might reflect increased translational efficiency, as previously shown.^{25,79} Such improved translational efficiency would reduce dependency on up-regulation of proteins involved in the translation machinery to optimize anabolism. Corroborating this theory, a recent meta-analysis (which had a significantly broader inclusion criteria and focused on differential gene analysis alone) also identified an inverted 'mRNA metabolism' signature between disuse and RET.²⁸ Thus, alternate regulation of translational machinery presents as a robust molecular feature of disuse vs. RET. We also identified MSTN as one of the top genes displaying an increase in response to disuse and a decrease in response to RET, supporting previous findings.²⁸ Myostatin is one of the best-described regulators of muscle mass, playing a key role in muscle atrophy by synergistically impairing anabolic Akt signalling while activating the catabolic ubiguitin proteasome pathway to promote a negative net protein balance.⁶² Targeted analyses have previously reported lowered myostatin expression after RET and increased expression post-immobilization in young, healthy volunteers.^{80,81} These observations suggest that interventions targeting translational regulation might also be efficacious during disuse. Notably, inhibition of myostatin has very recently been shown to ameliorate muscle loss in rodents subject to disuse in spaceflight,⁸² suggesting further clinical trials are warranted, as previously suggested. 62,63

Blunted transcriptional responses to resistance exercise training might influence age-related anabolic resistance

Physiologically, RET can induce muscle hypertrophy in youth³⁷ and in older age.⁸³ However, muscle growth responses to RET are suboptimal in older age (termed 'anabolic resistance' to RET),^{79,84} albeit through incompletely defined mechanisms. In line with age-related responses to loading in rodents,⁸⁵ we found the young RET response to be characterized by a much more substantial transcriptional response (i.e. 140 uniquely up-regulated genes in young vs. only 17 in older muscle), that encapsulates most of the older RET profile. Thus, while insufficient data on protein metabolism and/or muscle mass within our analyses precludes functional associations between transcriptional changes and true anabolic resistance (i.e. blunted RET-induced muscle protein

synthesis/growth responses), our findings suggest that anabolic resistance to RET might be partly underpinned by a concomitant age-related insensitivity to RET at the transcriptional level.

Remodelling of the ECM plays a pivotal role in muscle maintenance⁸⁶ and, accordingly, RET-responsive genes commonly regulated in both age groups included ECM-related collagens. Therefore, ageing muscle appears to retain capacity for RET-induction of some individual ECM collagens, similarly to young people. However, muscular benefits of functional ECM are not limited to maintaining physical integrity via structural collagens. Indeed, mechanical forces exerted during exercise are transduced through the ECM into focal adhesion structures, for conversion to biochemical signals ('mechanotransduction'^{86,87}). In this context, several genes uniquely up-regulated in younger muscle that map to ECM-related pathway terms also have established roles in mechanotransduction. These included integrin-related genes (ITGAM, ITGB2, and ITGAE); ECM-linked transmembrane components that mechanically mediate wide-ranging cellular processes^{88–90} including muscle metabolic health, structural integrity, and growth.⁹¹ Other mechanotransduction associated genes showing this trend included PLOD2, a collagen crosslinking molecule providing tensile integrity and collagen organization,⁹² and TNC, that supports muscle matrix remodelling⁹³ and displays abnormal muscle damage/repair responses in older muscle.⁹⁴ Network analysis corroborated young RET muscle-specific mechanotransduction signatures, including overlapping young-specific hub/RRHO features VIM (involved in hypertrophic signalling downstream of the integrin cascade⁹⁵) and ARPC1B (an Arp2/3 subunit required for normal integrin adhesome assembly⁹⁶). Lastly, the top up-regulated gene in young, but not older RET responses was the myosin binding protein, MYBPH. While relatively uncharacterized, MYBPH up-regulation is a feature of severe myopathy,97,98 thus the reasons for increased MYBPH in healthy muscle RET responses is unclear. However, MYBPH also functions in the integrin associated Rho kinase 2 > cofilin2 pathway,^{97,99} further implicating abnormal mechanotransduction in ageing muscle RET responses. Combined, these findings suggest some older muscle collagens respond appropriately to RET, but faltering ECM-connected transmembrane components might functionally manifest as disorganized ECM and impair mechanically mediated remodelling/growth pathways. Targeting this force transduction axis might, therefore, represent a promising avenue for targeted therapeutic strategies.

Another functional class of diminished age-related transcriptional responses to RET was inflammatory pathways. The presence of chronic low-grade inflammation is wellestablished in the aetiology of ageing muscle decline,¹⁰⁰ which would narrow the physiological inflammatory range and manifest as a loss of RET-induced inflammation gene signatures, as observed herein. Interrogation of individual inflammatory-responsive genes identified caspase activation as a central theme. Caspases stimulate essential proteolysis, removing non-functional myofibrillar proteins to facilitate deposition of new proteins for hypertrophic adaptation,¹⁰¹ such that impaired caspase transcript activation in ageing could hinder effective sarcomere remodelling during RET. Moreover, two of the top up-regulated genes in young RET muscle were inflammatory chemokine transcripts *CCL8* and *CXCL10*, the abnormal regulation of which associates with ageing muscle regenerative decline (*CCL8*¹⁰²) and impaired macrophage > satellite-cell-mediated myogenesis (*CXCL10*¹⁰³). Overall, a consistent pattern emerges where failure to mount proper RET-induced inflammatory responses could hinder ageing muscle regenerative capacity and growth responses.¹⁰⁴

Young muscle also exhibited consistent RET up-regulation of genes involved in immune-related signalling, which was absent in older muscle. It is well-established that the immune system plays an integral role in RET-induced muscle hypertrophy, in part through mediating satellite-cell dependent muscle repair and regeneration.¹⁰⁵ These findings thus provide further support to the transcriptional theme that poorer RET adaptation of older muscle may be underpinned, at least in part, by age-related impairments in muscle regenerative capacity.¹⁰⁶ Across the three immune-specific network modules uniquely up-regulated post-RET in young muscle (M14, M20, and M28), we identified three hub genes that were also robustly up-regulated at the gene-level exclusively in young muscle following RET, namely, HIF1A, SERPINI1 (both M14), and LST1 (M20). The identification of the oxygen-sensitive subunit of the HIF-1 transcription factor, HIF1A, is notable for its expression in nearly all innate and adaptive immune populations¹⁰⁷ and its expression in most tissues including skeletal muscle.¹⁰⁸ HIF-1 regulates the transcription of >100 genes, many of which promote angiogenesis to increase nutrient and oxygen transport capacity to muscle. Signalling downstream of HIF1A is also proposed to facilitate muscle regeneration/growth by driving proliferation of satellite cells.¹⁰⁹ As such, HIF1A could represent a promising candidate for understanding attenuated adaptations of older muscle to RET.

Study limitations

Variability across study protocols is a common caveat of meta-analyses and, despite strict inclusion/exclusion criteria, the duration of the disuse and RET programmes differed between studies within our analyses. Nonetheless, a critical criterion was capturing atrophic/hypertrophic phenotypes to which transcriptional profiles could be associated. Minimum disuse/RET duration cut-offs were, therefore, implemented that were sufficient to obtain muscle mass changes, as opposed to precisely matching intervention durations. It is also unfortunate that detailed physiological data (e.g. individual changes in muscle mass, strength and/or metabolism) was not sufficiently available across our analysed data sets to assess for molecular markers that associate with the magnitude of interindividual variability in physiological responses to disuse and RET, as previously reported for the ageing exercise response.^{23,110} Achieving this would promote identification molecules that of candidate account for the well-established variance in adaptive responses to disuse and/or exercise training^{14,111,112} to identify the most promising therapeutic targets. Towards this end, future clinical studies would benefit from complementing health outcome measures with OMIC measurements to unlock the significant mechanistic potential of randomized controlled trials.

Conclusions and future perspectives

In summary, we provide the first integrated gene-level and network-level meta-analytical approach to elucidate robust molecular signatures and candidate drivers of muscle adaptation to disuse and RET in the context of age. Our findings show that limited gene features display inverted profiles to disuse vs. RET, but for the most part, transcriptional responses to disuse and RET are entirely distinct, suggesting muscle loading/unloading are largely independent molecular processes. We also identify diminished expression of ECM-linked mechanotransduction and inflammatory pathways as key features of ageing muscle RET adaptations. By overlaying gene-level and network-level feature selections, we further identify candidate therapeutic targets characterized by robust regulation (gene-level) and interlinkage (network-level), which may aid the mitigation of, or accelerate recovery from, muscle disuse atrophy and potentially widerranging atrophic diseases (e.g. COX4I1, GOT2, and ENDOG), and/or optimize RET-induced hypertrophy across the lifespan (e.g., HIF1A).

Acknowledgements

The authors of this manuscript certify that they comply with the ethical guidelines for authorship and publishing in the *Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle*.¹¹³

Funding

Colleen S. Deane acknowledges support from the Medical Research Council (MR/T026014/1). Craig R. G. Willis is supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council-funded South West Biosciences Doctoral Training Partnership (BB/J014400/1; BB/M009122/1). This work was partially supported by funding from BBSRC (grant BB/N015894/1 and BB/S002863/1). This research was also supported by the MRC Versus Arthritis Centre for Musculo-skeletal Ageing Research (grant MR/P021220/1 and MR/R502364/1) and National Institute for Health Research Not-tingham Biomedical Research Centre. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Online supplementary material

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Table S1. Supporting informationTable S2. Supporting informationTable S3. Supporting informationTable S4. Supporting informationTable S5. Supporting information

Conflicts of interest

Colleen S. Deane, Craig R. G. Willis, Bethan E. Phillips, Philip J. Atherton, Lorna W. Harries, Ryan M. Ames, Nathaniel J. Szewczyk, and Timothy Etheridge declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Frontera WR, Ochala J. Skeletal muscle: a brief review of structure and function. *Calcif Tissue Int* 2015;96:183–195.
- Ivy JL, Katz AL, Cutler CL, Sherman WM, Coyle EF. Muscle glycogen synthesis after exercise: effect of time of carbohydrate ingestion. J Appl Physiol (1985) 1988;64: 1480–1485.
- Guo Z, Burguera B, Jensen MD. Kinetics of intramuscular triglyceride fatty acids in exercising humans. J Appl Physiol (1985 2000;89:2057–2064.
- Wolfe RR. The underappreciated role of muscle in health and disease. Am J Clin Nutr 2006;84:475–482.
- Luukinen H, Koski K, Laippala P, Kivela SL. Factors predicting fractures during falling impacts among home-dwelling older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc 1997;45: 1302–1309.
- Yeung SSY, Reijnierse EM, Pham VK, Trappenburg MC, Lim WK, Meskers CGM, et al. Sarcopenia and its association with falls and fractures in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2019;10: 485–500.
- Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Bahat G, Bauer J, Boirie Y, Bruyere O, Cederholm T, et al. Sarcopenia: revised European consensus on definition and diagnosis. *Age Ageing* 2019:**48**:16–31.
- Laukkanen P, Heikkinen E, Kauppinen M. Muscle strength and mobility as predictors of survival in 75-84-year-old people. *Age Ageing* 1995;24:468–473.
- Atherton PJ, Greenhaff PL, Phillips SM, Bodine SC, Adams CM, Lang CH. Control of skeletal muscle atrophy in response to disuse: clinical/preclinical contentions and fallacies of evidence. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2016;311: E594–E604.

- Janssen I, Shepard DS, Katzmarzyk PT, Roubenoff R. The healthcare costs of sarcopenia in the United States. J Am Geriatr Soc 2004;52:80–85.
- 11. Pinedo-Villanueva R, Westbury LD, Syddall HE, Sanchez-Santos MT, Dennison EM, Robinson SM, et al. Health care costs associated with muscle weakness: a UK population-based estimate. *Calcif Tissue Int* 2019;**104**:137–144.
- de Boer MD, Selby A, Atherton P, Smith K, Seynnes OR, Maganaris CN, et al. The temporal responses of protein synthesis, gene expression and cell signalling in human quadriceps muscle and patellar tendon to disuse. J Physiol 2007;585: 241–251.
- Wall BT, Dirks ML, van Loon LJ. Skeletal muscle atrophy during short-term disuse: implications for age-related sarcopenia. *Ageing Res Rev* 2013;12:898–906.
- 14. Kilroe SP, Fulford J, Holwerda AM, Jackman SR, Lee BP, Gijsen AP, et al. Short-term muscle disuse induces a rapid and sustained decline in daily myofibrillar protein synthesis rates. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2020;**318**:E117–E130.
- Lee IM, Shiroma EJ, Lobelo F, Puska P, Blair SN, Katzmarzyk PT, et al. Effect of physical inactivity on major non-communicable diseases worldwide: an analysis of burden of disease and life expectancy. *Lancet* 2012;**380**:219–229.
- Bell KE, von Allmen MT, Devries MC, Phillips SM. Muscle disuse as a pivotal problem in sarcopenia-related muscle loss and dysfunction. J Frailty Aging 2016;5: 33–41.
- Devries MC, Breen L, Von Allmen M, MacDonald MJ, Moore DR, Offord EA, et al. Low-load resistance training during step-reduction attenuates declines in muscle mass and strength and enhances

anabolic sensitivity in older men. *Physiol Rep* 2015;**3**:https://doi.org/10.14814/ phy2.12493

- Suetta C, Hvid LG, Justesen L, Christensen U, Neergaard K, Simonsen L, et al. Effects of aging on human skeletal muscle after immobilization and retraining. J Appl Physiol (1985 2009;107:1172–1180.
- Fiatarone MA, O'Neill EF, Ryan ND, Clements KM, Solares GR, Nelson ME, et al. Exercise training and nutritional supplementation for physical frailty in very elderly people. N Engl J Med 1994;330:1769–1775.
- Damas F, Ugrinowitsch C, Libardi CA, Jannig PR, Hector AJ, McGlory C, et al. Resistance training in young men induces muscle transcriptome-wide changes associated with muscle structure and metabolism refining the response to exercise-induced stress. Eur J Appl Physiol 2018;118:2607–2616.
- Rullman E, Fernandez-Gonzalo R, Mekjavic IB, Gustafsson T, Eiken O. MEF2 as upstream regulator of the transcriptome signature in human skeletal muscle during unloading. *Am J Physiol-Reg Integr Comp Physiol* 2018;**315**:R799–R809.
- Urso ML, Scrimgeour AG, Chen YW, Thompson PD, Clarkson PM. Analysis of human skeletal muscle after 48 h immobilization reveals alterations in mRNA and protein for extracellular matrix components. J Appl Physiol (1985 2006;101: 1136–1148.
- Phillips BE, Williams JP, Gustafsson T, Bouchard C, Rankinen T, Knudsen S, et al. Molecular networks of human muscle adaptation to exercise and age. *PLoS Genet* 2013;9:e1003389, https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003389
- 24. Raue U, Trappe TA, Estrem ST, Qian HR, Helvering LM, Smith RC, et al.

Transcriptome signature of resistance exercise adaptations: mixed muscle and fiber type specific profiles in young and old adults. *J Appl Physiol* (1985 2012;**112**:1625–1636.

- Robinson MM, Dasari S, Konopka AR, Johnson ML, Manjunatha S, Esponda RR, et al. Enhanced protein translation underlies improved metabolic and physical adaptations to different exercise training modes in young and old humans. *Cell Metab* 2017;25:581–592.
- Stokes T, Timmons JA, Crossland H, Tripp TR, Murphy K, McGlory C, et al. Molecular transducers of human skeletal muscle remodeling under different loading states. *Cell Rep* 2020;**32**:107980.
- Timmons JA, Gallagher IJ. Molecular studies of exercise, skeletal muscle, and ageing. *F1000Res* 2016;5:https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8255.1
- Pillon NJ, Gabriel BM, Dollet L, Smith JAB, Sardon Puig L, Botella J, et al. Transcriptomic profiling of skeletal muscle adaptations to exercise and inactivity. Nat Commun 2020;11:470.
- Rasche A, Al-Hasani H, Herwig R. Metaanalysis approach identifies candidate genes and associated molecular networks for type-2 diabetes mellitus. *BMC Genomics* 2008;9:310.
- Rhodes DR, Chinnaiyan AM. Integrative analysis of the cancer transcriptome. *Nat Genet* 2005;37:S31–S37.
- Horvath S, Zhang Y, Langfelder P, Kahn RS, Boks MP, van Eijk K, et al. Aging effects on DNA methylation modules in human brain and blood tissue. *Genome Biol* 2012;13: R97.
- Langfelder P, Mischel PS, Horvath S. When is hub gene selection better than standard meta-analysis? *PLoS One.* 2013;8:e61505, https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0061505
- Ballouz S, Verleyen W, Gillis J. Guidance for RNA-seq co-expression network construction and analysis: safety in numbers. *Bioinformatics* 2015;31:2123–2130.
- Chowdhury HA, Bhattacharyya DK, Kalita JK. (Differential) Co-expression analysis of gene expression: a survey of best practices. *IEEE/ACM Trans Comput Biol Bioinform* 2020;**17**:1154–1173.
- Mahmassani ZS, Reidy PT, McKenzie AI, Stubben C, Howard MT, Drummond MJ. Age-dependent skeletal muscle transcriptome response to bed rest-induced atrophy. J Appl Physiol (1985 2019;126: 894–902.
- Damas F, Phillips SM, Lixandrao ME, Vechin FC, Libardi CA, Roschel H, et al. Early resistance training-induced increases in muscle cross-sectional area are concomitant with edema-induced muscle swelling. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2016;116: 49–56.
- 37. Brook MS, Wilkinson DJ, Mitchell WK, Lund JN, Szewczyk NJ, Greenhaff PL, et al. Skeletal muscle hypertrophy adaptations predominate in the early stages of resistance exercise training, matching deuterium oxide-derived measures of

muscle protein synthesis and mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 signaling. *FASEB J* 2015;**29**:4485–4496.

- Gharahdaghi N, Rudrappa S, Brook MS, Idris I, Crossland H, Hamrock C, et al. Testosterone therapy induces molecular programming augmenting physiological adaptations to resistance exercise in older men. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2019;10:1276–1294.
- Abadi A, Glover EI, Isfort RJ, Raha S, Safdar A, Yasuda N, et al. Limb immobilization induces a coordinate down-regulation of mitochondrial and other metabolic pathways in men and women. *PLoS One.* 2009;4:e6518, https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0006518
- Alibegovic AC, Sonne MP, Hojbjerre L, Bork-Jensen J, Jacobsen S, Nilsson E, et al. Insulin resistance induced by physical inactivity is associated with multiple transcriptional changes in skeletal muscle in young men. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2010;299:E752–E763.
- 41. Hangelbroek RW, Fazelzadeh P, Tieland M, Boekschoten MV, Hooiveld GJ, van Duynhoven JP, et al. Expression of protocadherin gamma in skeletal muscle tissue is associated with age and muscle weakness. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2016;7:604–614.
- Melov S, Tarnopolsky MA, Beckman K, Felkey K, Hubbard A. Resistance exercise reverses aging in human skeletal muscle. *PLoS One* 2007;2:e465, https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0000465
- Tarnopolsky M, Phillips S, Parise G, Varbanov A, Demuth J, Stevens P, et al. Gene expression, fiber type, and strength are similar between left and right legs in older adults. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2007;62:1088–1095.
- Carvalho BS, Irizarry RA. A framework for oligonucleotide microarray preprocessing. *Bioinformatics* 2010;26:2363–2367.
- Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, et al. limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2015;43:e47, https:// doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv007
- 46. Shi W, Oshlack A, Smyth GK. Optimizing the noise versus bias trade-off for Illumina whole genome expression BeadChips. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2010;**38**:e204, https:// doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq871
- Irizarry RA, Bolstad BM, Collin F, Cope LM, Hobbs B, Speed TP. Summaries of Affymetrix GeneChip probe level data. Nucleic Acids Res 2003;31:15e–115e.
- Wang X, Kang DD, Shen K, Song C, Lu S, Chang LC, et al. An R package suite for microarray meta-analysis in quality control, differentially expressed gene analysis and pathway enrichment detection. *Bioinformatics* 2012;28:2534–2536.
- Langfelder P, Horvath S. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2008;9:559.
- 50. Zhang B, Horvath S. A general framework for weighted gene co-expression network

analysis. *Stat Appl Genet Mol Biol* 2005;**4**: Article17, https://doi.org/10.2202/1544-6115.1128

- Langfelder P, Zhang B, Horvath S. Defining clusters from a hierarchical cluster tree: the Dynamic Tree Cut package for R. *Bioinformatics* 2008;24:719–720.
- Oldham M. Transcriptomics: from differential expression to coexpression. In *The OMICs: Applications in Neuroscience*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press; 2014.
- Walsh CJ, Batt J, Herridge MS, Mathur S, Bader GD, Hu P, et al. Transcriptomic analysis reveals abnormal muscle repair and remodeling in survivors of critical illness with sustained weakness. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:29334.
- Cahill KM, Huo Z, Tseng GC, Logan RW, Seney ML. Improved identification of concordant and discordant gene expression signatures using an updated rank-rank hypergeometric overlap approach. *Sci Rep* 2018;**8**:9588.
- 55. Levine AJ, Miller JA, Shapshak P, Gelman B, Singer EJ, Hinkin CH, et al. Systems analysis of human brain gene expression: mechanisms for HIV-associated neurocognitive impairment and common pathways with Alzheimer's disease. BMC Med Genomics 2013;6:4.
- Chen EY, Tan CM, Kou Y, Duan Q, Wang Z, Meirelles GV, et al. Enrichr: interactive and collaborative HTML5 gene list enrichment analysis tool. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2013;14:128.
- Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, et al. Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. *Gene Ontol Consort Nat Genet* 2000;25: 25–29.
- Jassal B, Matthews L, Viteri G, Gong C, Lorente P, Fabregat A, et al. The reactome pathway knowledgebase. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2020;48:D498–D503.
- Horvath S, Dong J. Geometric interpretation of gene coexpression network analysis. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2008;4:e1000117, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pcbi.1000117
- van Dam S, Vosa U, van der Graaf A, Franke L, de Magalhaes JP. Gene co-expression analysis for functional classification and gene-disease predictions. *Brief Bioinform* 2018;19:575–592.
- Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, et al. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res* 2003;13:2498–2504.
- Rodriguez J, Vernus B, Chelh I, Cassar-Malek I, Gabillard JC, Hadj Sassi A, et al. Myostatin and the skeletal muscle atrophy and hypertrophy signaling pathways. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2014;**71**:4361–4371.
- Whittemore LA, Song K, Li X, Aghajanian J, Davies M, Girgenrath S, et al. Inhibition of myostatin in adult mice increases skeletal muscle mass and strength. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2003;300: 965–971.
- 64. Dirks ML, Backx EM, Wall BT, Verdijk LB, van Loon LJ. May bed rest cause greater

muscle loss than limb immobilization? Acta Physiol (Oxf) 2016;**218**:10–12.

- Russell AP, Foletta VC, Snow RJ, Wadley GD. Skeletal muscle mitochondria: a major player in exercise, health and disease. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1840;2014: 1276–1284.
- Miotto PM, McGlory C, Bahniwal R, Kamal M, Phillips SM, Holloway GP. Supplementation with dietary omega-3 mitigates immobilization-induced reductions in skeletal muscle mitochondrial respiration in young women. *FASEB J* 2019;33: 8232–8240.
- Porter C, Reidy PT, Bhattarai N, Sidossis LS, Rasmussen BB. Resistance exercise training alters mitochondrial function in human skeletal muscle. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2015;47:1922–1931.
- Parousis A, Carter HN, Tran C, Erlich AT, Mesbah Moosavi ZS, Pauly M, et al. Contractile activity attenuates autophagy suppression and reverses mitochondrial defects in skeletal muscle cells. *Autophagy* 2018;**14**:1886–1897.
- Mele A, Mantuano P, De Bellis M, Rana F, Sanarica F, Conte E, et al. A long-term treatment with taurine prevents cardiac dysfunction in mdx mice. *Transl Res* 2019;204:82–99.
- Zhao RZ, Jiang S, Zhang L, Yu ZB. Mitochondrial electron transport chain, ROS generation and uncoupling (review). Int J Mol Med 2019;44:3–15.
- Agudelo LZ, Ferreira DMS, Dadvar S, Cervenka I, Ketscher L, Izadi M, et al. Skeletal muscle PGC-1alpha1 reroutes kynurenine metabolism to increase energy efficiency and fatigue-resistance. *Nat Commun* 2019;**10**:2767.
- Holloway GP, Chou CJ, Lally J, Stellingwerff T, Maher AC, Gavrilova O, et al. Increasing skeletal muscle fatty acid transport protein 1 (FATP1) targets fatty acids to oxidation and does not predispose mice to diet-induced insulin resistance. *Diabetologia* 2011;54: 1457–1467.
- Hussey SE, Sharoff CG, Garnham A, Yi Z, Bowen BP, Mandarino LJ, et al. Effect of exercise on the skeletal muscle proteome in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2013;45:1069–1076.
- Kelley DE, He J, Menshikova EV, Ritov VB. Dysfunction of mitochondria in human skeletal muscle in type 2 diabetes. *Diabe*tes 2002;**51**:2944–2950.
- Phielix E, Schrauwen-Hinderling VB, Mensink M, Lenaers E, Meex R, Hoeks J, et al. Lower intrinsic ADP-stimulated mitochondrial respiration underlies in vivo mitochondrial dysfunction in muscle of male type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes* 2008;57:2943–2949.
- Weigl LG. Lost in translation: regulation of skeletal muscle protein synthesis. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* 2012;**12**:377–382.
- Brook MS, Wilkinson DJ, Smith K, Atherton PJ. The metabolic and temporal basis of muscle hypertrophy in response to resistance exercise. *Eur J Sport Sci* 2016;16: 633–644.

- Phillips SM, McGlory C. CrossTalk proposal: the dominant mechanism causing disuse muscle atrophy is decreased protein synthesis. *J Physiol* 2014;**592**: 5341–5343.
- Brook MS, Wilkinson DJ, Mitchell WK, Lund JN, Phillips BE, Szewczyk NJ, et al. Synchronous deficits in cumulative muscle protein synthesis and ribosomal biogenesis underlie age-related anabolic resistance to exercise in humans. J Physiol 2016;594:7399–7417.
- Roth SM, Martel GF, Ferrell RE, Metter EJ, Hurley BF, Rogers MA. Myostatin gene expression is reduced in humans with heavy-resistance strength training: a brief communication. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)* 2003;**228**:706–709.
- Wall BT, Dirks ML, Snijders T, Senden JM, Dolmans J, van Loon LJ. Substantial skeletal muscle loss occurs during only 5 days of disuse. Acta Physiol (Oxf) 2014;210:600–611.
- Smith RC, Cramer MS, Mitchell PJ, Lucchesi J, Ortega AM, Livingston EW, et al. Inhibition of myostatin prevents microgravity-induced loss of skeletal muscle mass and strength. *PLoS One*. 2020;15: e0230818, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230818
- Peterson MD, Sen A, Gordon PM. Influence of resistance exercise on lean body mass in aging adults: a meta-analysis. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011;43:249–258.
- Phillips BE, Williams JP, Greenhaff PL, Smith K, Atherton PJ. Physiological adaptations to resistance exercise as a function of age. JCI Insight 2017;2:https:// doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.95581
- 85. Chermside-Scabbo CJ, Harris TL, Brodt MD, Braenne I, Zhang B, Farber CR, et al. Old mice have less transcriptional activation but similar periosteal cell proliferation compared to young-adult mice in response to in vivo mechanical loading. J Bone Miner Res 2020;35: 1751–1764.
- Kjaer M. Role of extracellular matrix in adaptation of tendon and skeletal muscle to mechanical loading. *Physiol Rev* 2004;84: 649–698.
- Orr AW, Helmke BP, Blackman BR, Schwartz MA. Mechanisms of mechanotransduction. *Dev Cell* 2006;**10**:11–20.
- Boppart MD, Mahmassani ZS. Integrin signaling: linking mechanical stimulation to skeletal muscle hypertrophy. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 2019;**317**:C629–C641.
- Etheridge T, Oczypok EA, Lehmann S, Fields BD, Shephard F, Jacobson LA, et al. Calpains mediate integrin attachment complex maintenance of adult muscle in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *PLoS Genet* 2012;8:e1002471, https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002471
- Etheridge T, Rahman M, Gaffney CJ, Shaw D, Shephard F, Magudia J, et al. The integrin-adhesome is required to maintain muscle structure, mitochondrial ATP production, and movement forces in *Caenorhabditis elegans. FASEB J* 2015;29:1235–1246.

- Crossland H, Kazi AA, Lang CH, Timmons JA, Pierre P, Wilkinson DJ, et al. Focal adhesion kinase is required for IGF-I-mediated growth of skeletal muscle cells via a TSC2/mTOR/S6K1-associated pathway. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2013;**305**: E183–E193.
- 92. Gistelinck C, Witten PE, Huysseune A, Symoens S, Malfait F, Larionova D, et al. Loss of type I collagen telopeptide lysyl hydroxylation causes musculoskeletal abnormalities in a Zebrafish model of Bruck syndrome. J Bone Miner Res 2016;31: 1930–1942.
- Jakobsen JR, Mackey AL, Knudsen AB, Koch M, Kjaer M, Krogsgaard MR. Composition and adaptation of human myotendinous junction and neighboring muscle fibers to heavy resistance training. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2017;**27**:1547–1559.
- 94. Sorensen JR, Skousen C, Holland A, Williams K, Hyldahl RD. Acute extracellular matrix, inflammatory and MAPK response to lengthening contractions in elderly human skeletal muscle. *Exp Gerontol* 2018;**106**:28–38.
- Chaillou T, Lee JD, England JH, Esser KA, McCarthy JJ. Time course of gene expression during mouse skeletal muscle hypertrophy. J Appl Physiol (1985 2013;115:1065–1074.
- Chorev DS, Moscovitz O, Geiger B, Sharon M. Regulation of focal adhesion formation by a vinculin-Arp2/3 hybrid complex. *Nat Commun* 2014;5:3758.
- 97. Conti A, Riva N, Pesca M, Iannaccone S, Cannistraci CV, Corbo M, et al. Increased expression of myosin binding protein H in the skeletal muscle of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis patients. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1842;**2014**:99–106.
- Norman H, Zackrisson H, Hedstrom Y, Andersson P, Nordquist J, Eriksson LI, et al. Myofibrillar protein and gene expression in acute quadriplegic myopathy. J Neurol Sci 2009;285:28–38.
- 99. Lin Y, Ishikawa R, Okagaki T, Ye LH, Kohama K. Stimulation of the ATP-dependent interaction between actin and myosin by a myosin-binding fragment of smooth muscle caldesmon. *Cell Motil Cytoskeleton* 1994;**29**:250–258.
- Sergio G. Exploring the complex relations between inflammation and aging (inflamm-aging): anti-inflamm-aging remodelling of inflamm- aging, from robustness to frailty. *Inflamm Res* 2008;57: 558–563.
- Bell RA, Al-Khalaf M, Megeney LA. The beneficial role of proteolysis in skeletal muscle growth and stress adaptation. *Skelet Muscle* 2016;6:16.
- 102. Blanc RS, Kallenbach JG, Bachman JF, Mitchell A, Paris ND, Chakkalakal JV. Inhibition of inflammatory CCR2 signaling promotes aged muscle regeneration and strength recovery after injury. Nat Commun 2020;11:4167.
- Tidball JG, Flores I, Welc SS, Wehling-Henricks M, Ochi E. Aging of the immune system and impaired muscle regeneration: a failure of immunomodulation of

adult myogenesis. *Exp Gerontol* 2021;**145**: 111200.

- 104. Peake J, Della Gatta P, Cameron-Smith D. Aging and its effects on inflammation in skeletal muscle at rest and following exercise-induced muscle injury. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 2010;**298**:R1485–R1495.
- Tidball JG. Mechanical signal transduction in skeletal muscle growth and adaptation. J Appl Physiol (1985 2005;98:1900–1908.
- Goldspink G, Harridge SD. Growth factors and muscle ageing. *Exp Gerontol* 2004;39: 1433–1438.
- 107. Palazon A, Goldrath AW, Nizet V, Johnson RS. HIF transcription factors,

inflammation, and immunity. *Immunity* 2014;**41**:518–528.

- 108. Stroka DM, Burkhardt T, Desbaillets I, Wenger RH, Neil DAH, Bauer C, et al. HIF-1 is expressed in normoxic tissue and displays an organ-specific regulation under systemic hypoxia. FASEB J 2001;15:2445–2453.
- 109. Tidball JG, Dorshkind K, Wehling-Henricks M. Shared signaling systems in myeloidcell-mediated muscle regeneration. *Development* 2014;**141**: 1184–1196.
- 110. Willis CRG, Ames RM, Deane CS, Phillips BE, Boereboom CL, Abdulla H, et al. Network analysis of human muscle

adaptation to aging and contraction. *Aging (Albany NY)* 2020;**12**:740–755.

- Bouchard C, Rankinen T. Individual differences in response to regular physical activity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2001;33: S446–S451, discussion S52-3.
- 112. Kilroe SP, Fulford J, Jackman SR, Van Loon LJC, Wall BT. Temporal muscle-specific disuse atrophy during one week of leg immobilization. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2020;**52**:944–954.
- 113. von Haehling S, Morley JE, Coats AJS, Anker SD. Ethical guidelines for publishing in the Journal of Cachexia, Sarcopenia and Muscle: update 2019. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2019;10:1143–1145.