# Supporting Information

## Exsolution of Catalytically Active Iridium Nanoparticles from Strontium Titanate

Eleonora Calì<sup>†</sup>\*, Gwilherm Kerherve<sup>†</sup>, Faris Naufal<sup>†</sup>, Kalliopi Kousi<sup>‡</sup>, Dragos Neagu<sup>¶</sup>, Evangelos I. Papaioannou<sup>‡</sup>, Melonie P. Thomas<sup>§</sup>, Beth S. Guiton<sup>§</sup>, Ian S. Metcalfe<sup>‡</sup>, John T. S. Irvine<sup>||</sup>, David J. Payne<sup>†</sup>\*

<sup>†</sup>Department of Materials, Imperial College London, Exhibition Road, London SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom

E-mail: <u>e.cali14@imperial.ac.uk</u>; <u>d.payne@imperial.ac.uk</u>

<sup>‡</sup>School of Engineering, Newcastle University, Merz Court, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, United Kingdom

<sup>¶</sup>Chemical & Process Engineering, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, G1 1XL, United Kingdom

<sup>§</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Kentucky, 505 Rose Street, Lexington, Kentucky 40506, USA

School of Chemistry, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, KY16 9ST, United Kingdom

#### S1. Image Analysis and Calculations

Particle size distribution and particle density were analyzed using ImageJ software. In order to calculate the number of exsolved Ir atoms ( $N_{Ir_{exsolved}}$ ), the particles size and surface distribution (NP µm<sup>-2</sup>) were integrated in the following calculations, based on similar work described previously: <sup>1,2</sup>

$$N_{Ir_{exsolved}} = \frac{4\pi\rho_{Ir}N_A}{3A_{Ir}}\sum_i f_i(\frac{d_i}{2})^3$$

Where  $\rho_{Ir}$  is density of Ir, N<sub>A</sub> is the Avogadro's number, A<sub>Ir</sub> is the atomic weight of Ir, f<sub>i</sub> the fraction of exsolved nanoparticles (per µm<sup>-2</sup> of perovskite) with diameter d<sub>i</sub>. The average number of Ir atoms in a perovskite grain of length L, width W and depth d was then calculated assuming a parallelepiped grain shape:

$$N_{Ir_{grain}} = \frac{LWd}{a_p^3} x_{Ir}$$


where  $x_{Ir}$  is the nominal doping concentration (x=0.5%).

By calculating the average surface area of one grain, it is possible to convert  $N_{Irexsolved}$ in the number of exsolved Ir atoms per grain, which allowed us to calculate the concentration % of Ir exsolved compared to our nominal doping concentration (exsolved Ir concentration %= ~0.2).

The total number of exsolved Ir atoms analysed in the XPS spot probing area  $(N_{Ir_{XPS}})$  was then calculated, and related to the total number of Ti atoms analysed in the probing volume of XPS spot  $(N_{Ti_{XPS}})$ , considering a probing depth of 10 nm:

$$N_{Ti_{XPS}} = \frac{400 \times 0.01}{a_p^3} x_{Ti}$$

By relating this number to the Ti nominal concentration and comparing it with  $N_{Ir_{XPS}}$ , it was then possible to calculate the expected Ir concentration (%) in the probing XPS spot volume, which, for the sample reduced at the highest temperature, was calculated as  $\sim 2\%$ , in good agreement with the 1.6% measured by XPS.



## S2. Supplementary Data

Figure S1: XPS analysis showing a) the valence band comparison for the undoped STO, 0.5% Ir-doped STO, and 5% Ir-doped STO; b) Ir 4f core level of 5% Ir-doped STO showing both Ir<sup>4+</sup> and Ir<sup>3+</sup> components.




Figure S2: STEM-EDX elemental analysis carried out on the as-synthesised 0.5% Irdoped STO. The areas analysed in the two different Ir-STO grains in a) and b) both show the homogeneous composition of the sample before reduction at high temperatures.

Core line	BE (eV)	$\mathbf{FWHM}\ (\mathbf{eV})$	SOS (eV)	Atomic %
Undoped STO				
$\mathrm{Sr}\; 3d_{5/2}\;\mathrm{STO}$	132.65	0.9	1.76	39.97
$\mathrm{Sr}\; 3d_{5/2} \; \mathrm{SrCO}_3$	133.38	1.2	1.7	4.05
Ti $2p_{3/2}$	458.13	0.99	5.75	51.82
C 1s $\acute{C}=O$	289.25	1.51		4.16
C 1s C-C	284.8	1.49		
Ir doped STO	$20^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$			
Ir $4f_{7/2}$ Ir(III)	61.79	1.2	3.00	0.41
$\operatorname{Sr} 3d_{5/2} \operatorname{STO}$	132.52	1.09	1.76	37.61
$\operatorname{Sr} 3d_{5/2} \operatorname{SrCO}_3$	133.5	1.19	1.75	12.10
Ti $2p_{3/2}$	458.05	1.27	5.71	49.88
C 1s $\acute{C}=O$	289.25	1.51		14.76
C 1s C-C	284.8	1.45		
Ir doped STO	$1100^{o}\mathrm{C}$			
Ir $4f_{7/2}$ Ir(0)	59.95	1.19	3.10	0.62
$\operatorname{Sr} 3d_{5/2} \operatorname{STO}$	132.92	1.15	1.76	36.96
$\operatorname{Sr} 3d_{5/2} \operatorname{SrCO}_3$	133.82	1.25	1.73	14.11
Ti $2p_{3/2}$	458.4	1.29	5.78	39.96
C 1s $\dot{C}=O$	289.66	1.41		8.34
C 1s C-C	284.8	1.41		
Ir doped STO	$1300^{o}\mathrm{C}$			
Ir $4f_{7/2}$ Ir(0)	59.80	1.00	3.07	0.65
$\operatorname{Sr} 3d_{5/2} \operatorname{STO}$	132.76	0.99	1.75	42.92
$\mathrm{Sr} \; 3d_{5/2} \; \mathrm{SrCO}_3$	133.74	1.09	1.7	7.76
Ti $2p_{3/2}$	458.17	1.02	5.73	39.38
C 1s $\dot{C}=O$	289.64	1.38		9.30
C 1s C-C	284.8	1.25		

Table S1: XPS fit parameter for the Ir 4f, Ti 2p, Sr 3d and C 1s of the undoped STO, Ir-doped STO and Ir-doped STO reduced at 1100 °C and 1300 °C.

Table S2:  $Sr_{SrCO_3}$ : Sr<sub>lattice</sub> before doping, after doping and after exsolution.

Samples	Sr (surface)	Sr (bulk)
Undoped STO	9.2~%	90.8~%
Ir-STO	24.3~%	75.7~%
Ir-STO, 900 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	17.1%	82.9%
Ir-STO, 1100 °C	27.6~%	72.4~%
Ir-STO, 1300 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	15.3~%	84.7~%

## References

[1] C. Tang, K. Kousi, D. Neagu, J. Portolés, E. I. Papaioannou, I. S. Metcalfe, *Nanoscale*, **2019**, 11, 16935.

[2] D. Neagu, T.-S. Oh, D. N. Miller, H. Ménard, S. M. Bukhari, S. R. Gamble, R. J. Gorte, J. M. Vohs, J. T.S. Irvine, *Nature Commun.*, **2015**, 6, 8120.