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#### Abstract

In this paper, using certain conformal mappings from uniformization theory, we give an explicit method for flattening the brain surface in a way which preserves angles. From a triangulated surface representation of the cortex, we indicate how the procedure may be implemented using finite elements. Further, we show how the geometry of the brain surface may be studied using this approach.


Index Terms- Brain flattening, functional MRI, harmonic maps, segmentation.

## I. Introduction

RECENTLY, a number of techniques have been proposed to obtain a flattened representation of the cortical surface (see, e.g., [6]-[8], [16], and [28] and the references therein). Flattening the brain surface has uses in many areas, including functional magnetic resonance imaging. Indeed, since it is important to visualize functional magnetic resonance imaging data for neural activity within the three dimensional folds of the brain, flattened representations have become an increasing important approach to such visualization techniques.

A basic assumption is that the topology of the brain surface is the same as that of a crumpled sheet and, in particular, does not have any holes or self intersections. Our approach to flattening such a surface is based on the exploitation of a certain fact from the theory of Riemann surfaces from complex analysis and geometry, namely, that a surface of genus zero (no handles) without any holes or self intersections can be mapped conformally onto the sphere and any local portion thereof onto a disc. In this way, the brain surface may be flattened. The mapping is conformal in the sense that angles are preserved. It is also bijective (onto and one to one) and thus there is no problem with triangles flipping or overlapping and no cuts need be made on the surface.
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Moreover, one can explicitly write down how the metric is transformed and thus areas and the geodesics as well. Specifically, the elements of the first fundamental form $(E, F, G)$ are transformed as $(\rho E, \rho F, \rho G)$ with $\rho$ depending on the point of the surface. (See [9] and [10] for all the details.) For this reason, conformal mappings are often described as being similarities in the small. In short, the mapping can be used to obtain an atlas of the brain surface in a straightforward canonical manner.
We should note that our approach to brain flattening is quite different from the previous works cited above, which typically consider local area or length preserving deformations. For example, in the nice approaches of [7] and [16], the authors fit a parameterized deformable surface whose topology is mappable to a sphere. Then, it is possible to represent the brain surface on a planar map by using spherical coordinates. Work has also been done on quasi-isometrics and quasi-conformal flattenings of the brain surface (see, e.g., [4] and [24]). In these interesting approaches, the authors start from a triangulated representation of the given surface and typically employ a relaxation method to discretely minimize an energy functional. Thus they cannot guarantee bijectivity and, in particular, cannot guarantee that triangles do not flip. In our case, our initial intuition is continuous, i.e., we explicitly construct the bijective conformal equivalence on a continuous model of the surface and only then move to the discrete implementation. If a quasi-length or area-preserving mapping is desired, then our conformal mapping technique is a good starting point, since it efficiently unfolds the surface while locally preserving shape.

In our work, the key observation is that the flattening function may be obtained as the solution of a second-order elliptic partial differential equation (PDE) on the surface to be flattened. For triangulated surfaces, there exist powerful reliable finite-element procedures which can be employed to numerically approximate the flattening function. Preliminary results along these lines were reported in the technical report [1]. In our case, we may use the fast segmentation methods of [15], [25], and [26] to represent the cortical surface as a triangulated surface to which we apply our procedure.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section II, we sketch the analytical procedure to find the flattening map, leaving most of the details for the Appendix. In Sections III and IV, we describe how the numerical algorithm works on a triangulated surface. This is based on the finite-element method with some key modifications to incorporate the special bound-
ary conditions of our problem. In Section V, we briefly review the theory of curvature flows and their use in segmentation. In Section VI, we demonstrate our procedure using real brain data and in Section VII, we make some conclusions and discuss further research.

## II. Uniformization of the Brain Surface

In this section, we sketch the mathematical justification of our brain flattening procedure (see the Appendix for more details). We start with the basic assumption that the brain surface may be approximated as a topological sphere. While this is not exactly correct (there are some small holes where the ventricles connect to the outer surface), we can always fill these in by using, e.g., morphological dilation and erosion. This will not affect the structures in which we are interested in flattening, in particular, the brain hemispheres. Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{R}^{3}$ represent this brain model which we assume is an embedded surface (no selfintersections) of genus zero. In this section, since we will be giving the analytical solution to the uniformization problem, we assume that $\Sigma$ is a smooth manifold. For the finite-element method described in the next section, it will be enough to take it as a triangulated surface. (We refer the reader to [11] for the basic theory of uniformization of Riemann surfaces and to [22] for the solutions of elliptic PDE's and the Dirichlet problem.) Fix a point $p$ on this surface. Let $\delta_{p}$ denote the Dirac delta (impulse) function at $p, \Delta$, the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\Sigma \backslash\{p\}$, and $i$ the square root of -1 . The Laplace-Beltrami operator is the generalization of the usual Laplacian operator to a smooth surface. Let $S^{2}$ denote the unit sphere in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ and let $\mathbf{C}$ be the complex plane.

Recall from the Section I that a conformal equivalence is a one-to-one onto mapping which preserves angles. We can now state the following result which provides the analytical basis for our texture mapping procedure.

A conformal equivalence $z: \Sigma \backslash\{p\} \rightarrow S^{2} \backslash\{$ north pole \} may be obtained by solving the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta z=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right) \delta_{p} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $u$ and $v$ are conformal coordinates defined in a neighborhood of $p$. The definition of conformal coordinates and the derivation of this equation may be found in the Appendix. Further, in the standard way from complex analysis we are identifying $S^{2} \backslash\{$ north pole\} with the complex plane, say, via stereographic projection. [This is the mapping that sends $(x, y, z)$ on the unit sphere to the point $(x /(1-z), y /(1-z))$ in the complex plane.] This result means that we can get the conformal equivalence by solving a second-order partial differential equation on the surface. Fortunately, on a triangulated surface, this may be carried out using a finite-element technique we will describe below.

Remark: The map $z$ is unique up to complex multiplication and translation, that is, any other conformal $z_{1}: \Sigma \backslash\{p\} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is given by $z_{1}=A z+B$ for some constants $A, B \in \mathbf{C}$.

## III. Finite Element Approximation of Conformal Mapping

In the previous section we outlined the analytical procedure for flattening the brain surface via uniformization. We now want to describe a numerical procedure for carrying this out, i.e., for solving (1). We now assume that $\Sigma$ is a triangulated surface. Using the notation of the previous section, let $\sigma=$ $A B C$ be the triangle in whose interior the point $p$ lies.

## A. Approximation of $(\partial / \partial u-i(\partial / \partial v)) \delta_{p}$

Equation (1) is derived in the Appendix under the assumption that we are working with smooth functions on smooth manifolds. However, in our implementation we will be working instead with a triangulated surface and an approximating space of functions. In order to solve (1) we therefore need to find an approximation to its right-hand side. The key is to interpret $(\partial / \partial u-i(\partial / \partial v)) \delta_{p}$ as a functional on an appropriate space of functions, in our case, the finite-dimensional space $P L(\Sigma)$ of piecewise linear functions on $\Sigma$. What we need to know is how $(\partial / \partial u-i(\partial / \partial v)) \delta_{p}$ acts on elements of this function space.

For any function $f$ smooth in a neighborhood of $p$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{\Sigma} f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right) \delta_{p} d S \\
& \quad=-\iint_{\Sigma}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right) f \delta_{p}(w) d S \\
& \quad=-\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}-i \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}\right)\right|_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $f \in P L(\Sigma)$, this last quantity is completely determined by the value of $f$ at $A, B$, and $C$.

Choose the $u$ and the $v$ axes so that $A$ and $B$ are along the $u$ axis and the positive $v$ axis points toward $C$. Then one may easily compute that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}=\frac{f_{B}-f_{A}}{\|B-A\|} \\
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}=\frac{f_{C}-f_{D}}{\|C-D\|}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D$ is the orthogonal projection of $C$ on $A B$.
To calculate $D$, let $\theta$ be such that

$$
D=A+\theta(B-A)
$$

Then, by the linearity of $f, f_{D}=f_{A}+\theta\left(f_{B}-f_{A}\right)$ and since $(C-D) \perp(B-A)$, we have

$$
\langle C-A-\theta(B-A), B-A\rangle=0
$$

where here and throughout this paper we use $\langle$,$\rangle to denote an$ inner product. Thus

$$
\theta=\frac{\langle C-A, B-A\rangle}{\|B-A\|^{2}}
$$

If we put this all together, we have for $f \in P L(\Sigma)$
$\iint_{\Sigma} f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right) \delta_{p} d S$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}-i \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}\right)\right|_{p}=-\left(\frac{f_{B}-f_{A}}{\|B-A\|}-i \frac{f_{C}-f_{D}}{\|C-D\|}\right) \\
& =\frac{f_{A}}{\|B-A\|}-\frac{f_{B}}{\|B-A\|}+i \frac{f_{C}-\left(f_{A}+\theta\left(f_{B}-f_{A}\right)\right)}{\|C-D\|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## B. Finite Elements

We will now briefly outline the finite-element method for finding our approximation to $z$. The heart of the method simply involves the solution of a system of linear equations. See [13] for details about this method.

It is a classical fact [22] that $z=x+i y$ is a minimizer of the Dirichlet functional

$$
\mathbf{D}(z):=\frac{1}{2} \iint_{\Sigma}\left\{|\nabla z|^{2}+2 z\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right) \delta_{p}\right\} d S
$$

where $\nabla z$ is the gradient with respect to the induced metric on $\Sigma$.

Equivalently, one may show that $z$ satisfies (1) if and only if for all smooth test functions $f$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{\Sigma}\left\{\nabla z \cdot \nabla f+f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right) \delta_{p}\right\} d S=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{\Sigma} \nabla z \cdot \nabla f d S=\left.\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}-i \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}\right)\right|_{p} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The latter formulation is the key to the finite-element approximation of the solution to (1) on the triangulated surface $\Sigma$. We restrict our attention to $P L(\Sigma)$ and seek a $z \in P L(\Sigma)$ such that (3) holds for all $f \in P L(\Sigma)$.

For each vertex $P \in \Sigma$, let $\phi_{P}$ be the continuous function such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{P}(P)=1 \\
& \phi_{P}(Q)=0, Q \neq P, Q \text { a vertex }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{P} \text { is linear on each triangle. } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then these $\phi_{P}$ form a basis for $P L(\Sigma)$ and we seek a $z$ of the form

$$
z=\sum_{P \text { vertex of } \Sigma} z_{P} \phi_{P}
$$

for some vector of complex constants $\left(z_{P}\right)$. Further, since (3) is linear in $f$, it is enough to show that (3) holds whenever $f=\phi_{Q}$ for some $Q$.

In short, we want to find a vector of complex numbers $z=\left(z_{P}\right)$, containing one element per vertex, such that for all $Q$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{P} z_{P} \iint \nabla \phi_{P} \cdot \nabla \phi_{Q} d S=\frac{\partial \phi_{Q}}{\partial u}(p)-i \frac{\partial \phi_{Q}}{\partial v}(p) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## C. Formulation in Matrix Terms

The formulation (5) is simply a system of linear equations in the complex unknowns $z_{P}$.

Accordingly, we introduce the matrix $\left(D_{P Q}\right)$ where

$$
D_{P Q}=\iint \nabla \phi_{T} \cdot \nabla \phi_{Q} d S
$$



Fig. 1. Triangle geometry.
for each pair of vertices $P, Q$. It is easily seen that $D_{P Q} \neq$ 0 only if $P$ and $Q$ are connected by some edge in the triangulation. Thus, the matrix $D$ is sparse.

Suppose $P Q$ is an edge belonging to two triangles, $P Q R$ and $P Q S$. A formula from finite-element theory [13], easily verified with basic calculus, says that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{P Q}=-\frac{1}{2}\{\cot \angle R+\cot \angle S\}, \quad P \neq Q \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\angle R$ is the angle at the vertex $R$ in the triangle $P Q R$ and $\angle S$ is the angle at the vertex $S$ in the triangle $P Q S$. (See Fig. 1.)
Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{P} D_{P Q}=\sum_{P} \int \nabla \phi_{P} \cdot \nabla \phi_{Q}=\int \nabla 1 \cdot \nabla \phi_{Q}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that the diagonal elements of $D$ may be found from

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{P P}=-\sum_{P \neq Q} D_{P Q} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us also introduce vectors $a=\left(a_{Q}\right)=\left(\partial \phi_{Q} / \partial u(p)\right)$ and $b=\left(b_{Q}\right)=\left(\partial \phi_{Q} / \partial v(p)\right)$. Then (5) becomes in matrix terms

$$
\begin{align*}
D x & =a  \tag{9}\\
D y & =-b . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Where, using our formula for $(\partial / \partial u-i(\partial / \partial v)) \delta_{p}$ derived in Section III-A, we have

$$
a_{Q}-i b_{Q}:= \begin{cases}0, & Q \notin\{A, B, C\}  \tag{11}\\ \frac{-1}{\|B-A\|}+i \frac{1-\theta}{\|C-E\|}, & Q=A \\ \frac{1}{\|B-A\|}+i \frac{\theta}{\|C-E\|}, & Q=B \\ i \frac{-1}{\|C-E\|}, & Q=C\end{cases}
$$

## D. Summary of Algorithm

So we may summarize the finite-element procedure for the construction of the flattening map $z$ as follows.

1) Compute $D_{P Q}, a_{Q}$, and $b_{Q}$ using (6), (8), and (11) above.


Fig. 2. Three slices of MR brain image with segmentations.
2) Solve the systems of linear equations (9) and (10) to obtain the piecewise linear harmonic functions

$$
x=\sum_{Q} x_{Q} \phi_{Q}, \quad y=\sum_{Q} y_{Q} \phi_{Q}
$$

and a conformal mapping $z=x+i y$ onto the complex plane.
3) Compose $z=x+i y$ with inverse stereographic projection to get a conformal map to the unit sphere. Specifically, send the point $x+i y$ to the point $(2 x /(1+$ $\left.\left.r^{2}\right), 2 y /\left(1+r^{2}\right), 2 r^{2} /\left(1+r^{2}\right)-1\right)$ where $r^{2}=x^{2}+y^{2}$.

## IV. Construction of the Flattening Map

In this section, we give methods for carrying out the finiteelement procedure discussed in the previous section by solving (9) and (10).

Note first that since $\sum_{Q} D_{P Q}=0$ for all $P$, the matrix $D=\left(D_{P Q}\right)$ is singular, and thus we need to show that solutions to (9) and (10) exist. In addition, we will show that $D$ enjoys several properties which make the solution of (9) and (10) easy to compute numerically.

We remark that if $D x=0$ for some nonzero vector $x=$ $\left(x_{P}\right)$, then all the elements of $x$ are the same. To demonstrate


Fig. 3. Three views of the flattened brain surface.
this, suppose $D x=0$. Then clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{P, Q} D_{P Q} x_{P} x_{Q}=0 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, by definition of the matrix $D_{P Q}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{\Sigma}|\nabla u|^{2} d S=\sum_{P, Q} D_{P Q} x_{P} x_{Q} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u \in P L(\Sigma)$ is the function with $u(Q)=x_{Q}$ for all vertices $Q$. Equations (12) and (13) together imply that $u$ is
constant and, hence, that all $x_{Q}$ are equal. We conclude that the kernel of $D$ is

$$
H:=\left\{\lambda(1,1, \cdots, 1)^{T} \mid \lambda \in \mathbf{R}\right\}
$$

This is similar to the result from differential geometry, which says that the only harmonic functions on a compact connected oriented Riemannian manifold are the constant functions.

By construction, $D$ is real, symmetric, and diagonally dominant with positive diagonal entries. This implies that $D$


Fig. 4. Two more views of the flattened brain surface.
is positive semidefinite and, together with the analysis above, we see that $D$ maps $H^{\perp}$, the orthogonal complement of $H$, bijectively to itself. Thus, the equation $D x=a$ is solvable if and only if $a \in H^{\perp}$, i.e., if $\sum_{\Gamma} a_{P}=0$ and this solution is unique up to the addition of an element of $H$. We note that the right-hand sides of (9) and (10) are indeed in $H^{\perp}$.

Since $D$ restricted to $H^{\perp}$ is symmetric and positive definite, (9) and (10) are particularly well suited for numerical solution by methods such as the conjugate gradient method. Although $D$ is singular, this method involves only multiplications by $D$ and addition of vectors in $H^{\perp}$ and so, quite literally, solves the equations for $D$ restricted to $H^{\perp}$.

If one prefers, alternative methods to solving the system of equations can be used to avoid working directly with the entire singular matrix $D$. This may be of use if one wishes to use a linear algebra package which was not designed to handle such singular matrices. We present one such method here for completeness. We have found that this method and the one mentioned above are equally effective. On the triangulated surface $\Sigma$, choose a triangle $A B C$. Choose an arbitrary triangle $\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathbf{C}$ and solve

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{Q} D_{P Q} \tilde{z}_{Q}=0, \quad \tilde{z}_{A}=A^{\prime}, \tilde{z}_{B}=B^{\prime}, \tilde{z}_{C}=C^{\prime} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the unknowns $\tilde{z}_{P}, P \notin\{A, B, C\}$.

Next, for $P \in\{A, B, C\}$, set

$$
\tilde{a}_{P}+i \tilde{b}_{P}:=\sum_{Q} D_{P Q} \tilde{z}_{Q}
$$

If $P \notin\{A, B, C\}$, we set

$$
\tilde{a}_{P}+i \tilde{b}_{P}:=0
$$

so that the computed $\left\{\tilde{z}_{Q}\right\}$ is a solution of

$$
\sum_{Q} D_{P Q} \tilde{z}_{Q}=\tilde{a}_{P}+i \tilde{b}_{P}
$$

Note that this, together with (7), gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{P} \tilde{a}_{P}+i \tilde{b}_{P} & =\sum_{P} \sum_{Q} D_{P Q} \tilde{z}_{Q} \\
& =\sum_{Q}\left(\tilde{z}_{Q} \sum_{P} D_{P Q}\right)=0 \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

We now make the key observation that the space of vectors

$$
\left\{\left(f_{P}\right): f_{Q}=0, Q \notin\{A, B, C\}, \sum_{P} f_{P}=0\right\}
$$

is two-dimensional (2-D). It is easy to show that $\left(\tilde{a}_{P}\right)$ and $\left(\tilde{b}_{P}\right)$ span this space and that the space also contains $\left(a_{P}\right)$ and $\left(b_{p}\right)$, as defined by (11). Thus, $\left(a_{P}\right)$ and $\left(b_{P}\right)$ must be


Fig. 5. Two views of the flattened white matter.
linear combinations of $\left(\tilde{a}_{P}\right)$ and $\left(\tilde{b}_{P}\right)$. Hence, we can solve for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \delta$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{P} & =\alpha \tilde{a}_{P}+\beta \tilde{b}_{P},
\end{align*} \quad \forall P \in \Sigma,
$$

We write

$$
\tilde{z}_{P}:=\tilde{x}_{P}+i \tilde{y}_{P}
$$

and then the solutions $x_{P}$ and $y_{P}$ to (9) and (10) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{P} & =\alpha \tilde{x}_{P}+\beta \tilde{y}_{P}, & \forall P \in \Sigma  \tag{17}\\
y_{P} & =\gamma \tilde{x}_{P}+\delta \tilde{y}_{P}, & \forall P \in \Sigma . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

We therefore have the following algorithm for computing $z_{P}$.

1) Solve the system of (14).
2) Find the constants $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ from (16).
3) Calculate $x_{P}$ and $y_{P}$ from (17) and (18). Set $z_{P}=$ $x_{P}+i y_{P}$.

## V. Brief Review of Three-Dimensional Segmentation

In this section, we very briefly review some previous work on segmentation according to weighted mean curvature flows, as described in [5], [15], and [25]. We follow the treatment of [15] here.

## A. Mean Curvature Surface Evolution

The key to the segmentation approach is a modification of the ordinary area functional, and the corresponding gradient flow. In order to motivate this, we need to briefly summarize some of the literature on mean curvature motion and the resulting theory of minimal surfaces. For all the key concepts in differential geometry, we refer the reader to [9].

Let $S:[0,1] \times[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{3}$ denote a compact embedded surface with (local) coordinates $(u, v)$. Let $H$ denote the mean curvature, that is, $H$ is the arithmetic mean of the principal curvatures. (Recall that at each point $p$ the surface $S$ has two principal curvatures given by the maximum and minimum curvatures of planar curves, which are cut out on the surface by planes meeting the surface orthogonally at $p$.) We let $\mathbf{N}$
denote the inward unit normal. Set

$$
S_{u}:=\frac{\partial S}{\partial u}, \quad S_{v}:=\frac{\partial S}{\partial v}
$$

Then the infinitesimal area on $S$ is given by

$$
d S=\left(\left\|S_{u}\right\|^{2}\left\|S_{v}\right\|^{2}-\left\langle S_{u}, S_{v}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} d u d v
$$

We make use of the fact that the gradient flow associated to the area functional for surfaces can be defined in terms of the mean curvature. (See [18] and the references therein.) More precisely, for a family of surfaces $S=S(u, v, t)$ depending on a parameter $t$, consider the area functional

$$
A(t):=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\left\|S_{u}\right\|^{2}\left\|S_{v}\right\|^{2}-\left\langle S_{u}, S_{v}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} d u d v
$$

Taking the first variation and using integration by parts, it is easy to compute that

$$
\frac{d A}{d t}=-\iint_{S}\left\langle\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}, H \mathbf{N}\right\rangle d S
$$

Therefore, the direction in which the area is shrinking most rapidly (using only local information) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}=H \mathbf{N} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, this flow is very closely connected to the theory of minimal surfaces (surfaces of minimal area with given boundary conditions).

## B. Three-Dimensional Active Contour Models

We can now formulate our geometric three-dimensional (3D) contour models based on the mean surface motion. Our method is derived by modifying the Euclidean area by a function which depends on the salient image features that we wish to capture.

Indeed, let $\phi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a positive differentiable function defined on some open subset of $\mathbf{R}^{3}$. The function $\phi(x, y, z)$ will play the role of a stopping function. Thus, the function $\phi(x, y, z)$ will depend on the given grey-level image. Explicitly, the term $\phi(x, y, z)$ may be chosen to be small near a 3-D edge and thus acts to stop the evolution when the 3-D contour reaches the edge. For example, as in the 2-D case, we can choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi:=\frac{1}{1+\left\|\nabla G_{\sigma} * I\right\|^{2}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I=I(x, y, z)$ is the (grey-scale) volumetric image and $G_{\sigma}$ is a Gaussian (smoothing) filter.

What we propose to do is to replace the Euclidean area given above by a modified (conformal) area depending on $\phi$ namely

$$
d S_{\phi}:=\phi d S
$$

Indeed, for a family of surfaces (with parameter $t$ ), consider the $\phi$-area functional

$$
A_{\phi}(t):=\iint_{S} d S_{\phi}
$$

Taking the first variation and using a simple integration by parts argument we see that

$$
\frac{d A_{\phi}}{d t}=-\iint_{S}\left\langle\frac{\partial S}{\partial t},(\phi H-\nabla \phi \cdot \mathbf{N}) \mathbf{N}\right\rangle d S
$$

The corresponding gradient flow is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}=(\phi H-\nabla \phi \cdot \mathbf{N}) \mathbf{N} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that Euclidean conformal area $d S_{\phi}$ is small near an edge. Thus, we would expect an initial 3-D contour to flow to the potential well indicated by the evolution (21). A method for implementing a curvature-driven flow, such as that given by (21), is based on level sets in which the evolving surface is embedded as the zero level set of the graph of a function (see [19]-[21] for full details). This technique has the advantage of automatically taking into account topological changes in the evolving surface (splitting and merging) and so has been very useful in snake-based segmentation approaches such as the one we are using here. (For the cortical surface which has the topology of the sphere, no breaking or merging is, of course, necessary.)

The level-set version of (21) [19]-[21] is given in terms of the evolving function $\Psi(x, y, z, t)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}=\phi\|\nabla \Psi\| \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla \Psi}{\|\nabla \Psi\|}\right)+\nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \Psi \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

A constant inflation term $\nu$ may be added to give the model

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{t}=\phi\|\nabla \Psi\|\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla \Psi}{\|\nabla \Psi\|}\right)+\nu\right)+\nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \Psi \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inflationary constant may be taken to be either positive (inward evolution) or negative, in which case it would have an outward or expanding effect. For the level set implementation, we take $\Psi$ to be negative in the interior and positive in the exterior of the zero level set.

## VI. Experimental Results

We tested our algorithm by flattening the brain surface contained in a $256 \times 256 \times 124 \mathrm{MR}$ brain image provided by the Surgical Planning Laboratory of Brigham and Women's Hospital in Boston. Three slices of the original data set are given in Fig. 2. These consist of sagittal T1 weighted gradient echo images of a patient with a brain tumor. The three images progress from a close to midline slice to a lateral slice. We chose a brain with a tumor to illustrate the effect of the flattening on both normal and pathological features in an MR brain set.

First, using the segmentation algorithm described in the previous section, we found the brain cortical surface, i.e., the gray matter/CSF interface. This is indicated by the contours given in Fig. 2. (We also indicate the location of the tumor in one of the slices.) Unfortunately, the segmentation algorithm, itself, does not guarantee that the surface found will be of genus zero. In fact, it may contain numerous minute handles which arise because the boundary between the cortical surface and the surrounding fluid, as represented in the data set, may not be sharp. We have used a morphologically based method,
by which these handles can be effectively removed and a surface of genus zero extracted. This is done in such a way that the large-scale geometry of the surface is not badly affected.

The VTK Toolkit [23] was used to obtain a triangularization of the surface, which we proceeded to smooth slightly to reduce the effects of aliasing. This was done by using the flow according to mean curvature. This also allowed us to obtain a measure of the convexity and concavity of points on the brain surface, by considering the mean curvature vector.

Once the surface was smoothed, we used the method described in the previous sections to find a flattening map to the plane and then composed this map with a map from the plane to the unit sphere, using the inverse stereographic projection described at the end of Section III-D. This composition gives us a bijective conformal map from the surface to the sphere.

Note that it is not practical to view the planar mapping directly in its entirety, because stereographic projection stretches areas near the north pole too much to be useful. In fact, it is not possible to map a sphere, a sphere with a small cap removed, or any other similarly shaped surface to the plane in any way, without major distortion. However, smaller surface patches may be mapped to the plane with a more reasonable amount of distortion, and in fact the best (in terms of length distortion) mapping to the plane from a sphere with a geodesic disk removed is known. For an accessible treatment of some of the relevant mathematics and results, see [17]. In practice, we have not found the distortion of area near the north pole to be a problem in solving the linear equations for our flattening map. The method seems to be stable across a wide variety of surface shapes and varying fineness of triangulations.

After flattening the brain surface, we used mean curvature to color corresponding points on the two surfaces (the lighter the point the higher the mean curvature on the brain surface). This provided us with an effective way to see how the flattening process acted on the gyral lines of the brain surface. This is shown in Figs. 3 and 4, which provide several views of the cortical surface and the corresponding areas on the sphere. Note the tumor on the right parietal lobe visible in the vertex view. It is interesting to see how the conformality of the mapping from the brain surface to the sphere results in a flattened image which is locally very similar in appearance to the original.

Next, we tested our process on the more highly convoluted surface, which is defined by the boundary between the white and gray matter within the brain. To extract this boundary, we used a combination of the method based on smoothing posterior probabilities as described in [27] and the segmentation method described in the previous section. (See also [14] and [29]-[31] and the references therein for other approaches to brain segmentation.) Once the surface was obtained, our flattening method was applied exactly as it was for the cortical surface. The result of this process is shown in Fig. 5. Note that much of the white matter surface is hidden within its deep convolutions, but that such areas on the sphere are clearly visible.

One of the advantages of the flattening method we are presenting is its speed. The white matter surface shown in Fig. 5


Fig. 6. Outline of flattened region.
is composed of 430000 triangular faces, yet the flattening procedure took less than 6 min using a Sun Ultrasparc 10. For surfaces with triangles in the tens of thousands, the flattening procedure takes only a few seconds. This is primarily due to the fact that the heart of our procedure involves only the solution of two sparse systems of linear equations.

It is generally not possible to map a surface with nonconstant Gaussian curvature to the plane or sphere in a way which preserves both angles and areas. Such a mapping would be an isometry and thus curvature-preserving, by Gauss' celebrated Theorema Egregium (see [9]). However, a conformal mapping, being a similarity in the small, acts on small areas essentially by scaling them by some factor. This scaling factor will vary over different parts of the surface and naturally this variance will tend to be larger over larger areas. Further, we have found that the scaling factor tends to vary most over regions which contain large variances in the Gaussian curvature.

In order to quantify the effect of the mapping on areas, we computed statistics for the area scaling factors of triangles in the region outlined in Fig. 6. The surface was first decimated using VTK's decimation algorithm (resulting in a surface patch of 3162 triangles), and then scaled so that the corresponding spherical area was the same in total as the original cortical surface area. Fig. 7 shows graphs of these scale factors as a histogram and a cumulative count. As one can see from these graphs, one pays a price in area distortion in order to perfectly preserve the angles. The mean scaling ratio was 0.95 , the maximum 6.4 and the minimum 0.09 . The standard deviation was 0.65 , measured in units of area.

## VII. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we described a general method based on a discretization of the Laplace-Beltrami operator for flattening a surface in a manner which preserves the local geometry. The approach can be carried out using a finite-element method which takes into account the special boundary conditions. We also illustrated the technique on the brain surface and white matter of an MR brain data set.

In addition to the functional MRI mentioned at the beginning of this paper, we have several other applications in mind.


Fig. 7. Graphs of triangle scaling.

We point out that inverting the flattening map allows us easily to establish orthogonal coordinates on the surface. Further, the method allows us to find north and south poles on a highly convoluted surface such as the brain, giving an alternative method to that discussed in [3].

As is well known, a number of pathologies have been associated with deformations of brain structures. We are very hopeful that these techniques will be useful in quantitatively describing such pathologies. Finally, the conformal technique may also be utilized for automatic texture mapping.

One of the benefits of using conformal mappings of this type is that the mathematical theory predicts that such bijective angle-preserving mappings exist, in contrast to isometric mappings. If a quasi-length or area-preserving mapping is desired, we believe that the conformal mapping technique is a very reasonable starting point, since it effectively unfolds the surface, preserving local geometry and avoiding the problem of nonbijectivity from triangle flipping, which can occur with some other approaches. The basic idea is that it seems to be easier to maintain bijectivity while minimizing length or area distortion than it is to produce bijectivity and minimal distortion simultaneously.

## Mathematical Appendix

In this section, we outline the derivation of the partial differential equation (1). (See also [1], [11], and [22] and the references therein.)

We first choose conformal coordinates $(u, v)$ on $\Sigma$ near $p$, with $u=v=0$ at $p$. (Conformal coordinates $u, v$ are such that the metric at the point $p$ is of the form $d s^{2}=\lambda^{2}(p)\left(d u^{2}+d v^{2}\right.$.) We can always insure that at the particular point $p, \lambda(p)=1$. One can show that such conformal coordinates always exist [10].

Put $w=u+i v$. Since $z$ is one to one, it follows that it has a simple pole at $p$, and thus a Laurent series expansion given by

$$
z(w)=\frac{A}{w}+B+C w+D w^{2} \cdots
$$

Since all terms except the first in this Laurent series are smooth (harmonic) at $w=0$, applying $\Delta$ to both sides yields

$$
\Delta z=A \Delta\left(\frac{1}{w}\right)
$$

We need only find $z$ up to a constant multiple, so taking $A=1 / 2 \pi$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta z & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \Delta\left(\frac{1}{w}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \Delta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right) \log |w| \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right) \Delta \log |w| \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right)\left(2 \pi \delta_{p}(w)\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right) \delta_{p}(w)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the fact that $(1 / 2 \pi) \log |w|$ is the fundamental solution for the operator $\Delta$. Since $1 / w \in L_{1, \operatorname{loc}(\mathbf{C}) \text {, }}$ i.e., is locally integrable, this computation is also valid in the distributional sense, i.e., in the space of distributions $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\mathbf{C})$ [22].

We may now prove the following.
Theorem 1: The conformal map $z_{0}: \Sigma \backslash\{p\} \rightarrow S^{2} \backslash\{$ north pole $\} \cong \mathbf{C}$ may be obtained by solving the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta z=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right) \delta_{p} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: From the above argument, we need only prove existence. But finding a solution of (24) is possible (see [22]) because the right-hand side integrates out to zero

$$
\iint_{\Sigma}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right) \delta_{p} d S=-\left.\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\right)(1)\right|_{p}=0
$$

in direct analogy to our discussion on the solvability of $D x=a$.
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