

University of Warwick institutional repository

This paper is made available online in accordance with publisher policies. Please scroll down to view the document itself. Please refer to the repository record for this item and our policy information available from the repository home page for further information.

To see the final version of this paper please visit the publisher's website. Access to the published version may require a subscription.

Author(s): Vila-Aiub, Martin M.; Neve, Paul; Powles, Stephen B

Article Title: Fitness costs associated with evolved herbicide resistance alleles in plants

Year of publication: 2009

Link to published version : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.03055.x>

Publisher statement: The definitive version is available at [www.blackwell-synergy.com](http://www.blackwell-synergy.com)

1 *Manuscript submitted as a Tansley Review contribution*

2 **Fitness Costs Associated with Evolved Herbicide Resistance Alleles in**  
3 **Plants**

4 Martin M. Vila-Aiub<sup>1A</sup>, Paul Neve<sup>B</sup> & Stephen B. Powles

5

6 Western Australian Herbicide Resistance Initiative (WAHRI), School of Plant  
7 Biology, The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Hwy, Crawley, WA 6009,  
8 Australia

9

10 M.M. Vila-Aiub: [vila@ifeva.edu.ar](mailto:vila@ifeva.edu.ar)

11 P. Neve: [P.Neve@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:P.Neve@warwick.ac.uk)

12 S.B. Powles: [spowles@plants.uwa.edu.au](mailto:spowles@plants.uwa.edu.au)

13

14 <sup>1</sup>Corresponding author.

15 <sup>A</sup> Present address: Av. San Martin 4453, University of Buenos Aires (UBA)

16 Faculty of Agronomy, IFEVA-CONICET

17 Buenos Aires (1417), Argentina

18 TE: + 54 11 45248070

19 Fax: + 54 11 45148730

20 <sup>B</sup> Present address: Warwick HRI, University of Warwick, Wellesbourne

21 Warwickshire (CV35 9EF), England

22

23 *Keywords:* fitness costs, herbicide resistance evolution, resistance mechanism,

24 resource-based allocation theory, enzyme kinetics, plant productivity, amelioration

25 of fitness cost, experimental design

26

1	I.	Introduction
2	II.	Methods for the detection and estimation of herbicide
3		resistance fitness costs.
4	1.	Control of genetic background
5	2.	Knowledge of biochemical basis of herbicide resistance
6	3.	Life history traits
7	4.	Resource competition
8	5.	Environmental gradient
9	III.	Fitness costs associated with AHAS target site resistance
10	IV.	Fitness costs associated with ACCase target site resistance
11	V.	Fitness costs associated with resistance to phenoxy herbicides
12	VI.	<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> as a model plant for herbicide resistance
13		fitness costs
14	VII.	Fitness costs associated with herbicide resistance endowed by
15		enhanced rates of herbicide metabolism catalysed by P450
16		enzymes
17	VIII.	Fitness costs associated with glyphosate resistance
18	IX.	Discussion
19	1.	Towards improved protocols for the estimation of herbicide
20		resistance fitness costs
21	2.	Future advances in understanding costs of herbicide resistance
22	3.	The evidence for pleiotropic effects of herbicide resistance alleles
23	4.	Evolution of herbicide resistance alleles and their fitness costs
24	X.	Conclusions
25		Acknowledgments
26		References

## 1 **Summary**

2 Predictions based on evolutionary theory suggest that the adaptive value of evolved  
3 herbicide resistance alleles may be compromised by the existence of fitness costs.  
4 There have been many studies to quantify fitness costs associated with novel  
5 herbicide resistance alleles, reflecting the importance of fitness costs for determining  
6 the evolutionary dynamics of resistance. However, many of these studies have  
7 incorrectly defined resistance or used inappropriate plant material and methods to  
8 measure fitness. This review has two major objectives. Firstly, to propose a  
9 methodological framework that establishes experimental criteria to unequivocally  
10 evaluate fitness costs. Our review then presents a comprehensive analysis of the  
11 literature on fitness costs associated with herbicide resistance alleles. This analysis  
12 reveals unquestionable evidence that some herbicide resistance alleles are associated  
13 with pleiotropic effects that result in plant fitness costs. Observed costs are evident  
14 from herbicide resistance endowing amino acid substitutions in proteins involved in  
15 amino acid, fatty acid, auxin and cellulose biosynthesis as well as enzymes involved  
16 in herbicide metabolism. However, these resistance fitness costs are not universal  
17 and their expression depends on particular plant alleles and mutations. The findings  
18 of this review are discussed within the context of the plant-defence trade off theory  
19 and herbicide resistance evolution.

20

## 1 **I. Introduction**

2 Weeds are a major constraint to crop production and herbicides are key elements of  
3 weed control in most global crop production systems. Herbicides applied to large  
4 populations of genetically diverse weedy plants exert a very strong selection  
5 pressure for evolution of resistance and evolved resistance in weed populations has  
6 been reported worldwide (Powles & Holtum, 1994; Powles & Shaner, 2001; Powles  
7 & Yu, 2010).

8 It is a basic tenet of evolutionary genetics that adaptation to a new  
9 environment will often have negative pleiotropic effects on fitness in the original  
10 environment, the so-called ‘cost of adaptation’ (Purrington, 2000; Strauss *et al.*,  
11 2002). These fitness costs can prevent the fixation of novel adaptive alleles (Tian *et al.*,  
12 2003) and contribute to the maintenance of genetic polymorphisms within  
13 populations (Antonovics & Thrall, 1994). However, as will be reviewed, it has been  
14 shown that some herbicide resistance alleles have no observable impact on plant  
15 fitness. An understanding of the fitness consequences of herbicide resistance alleles  
16 in the presence and absence of herbicide is important for predicting the evolutionary  
17 dynamics of herbicide resistance (Neve *et al.*, 2003) and, therefore, in conceiving  
18 strategies by which fitness costs can be manipulated to result in selection against  
19 resistance alleles (resistance management) (Jordan *et al.*, 1999; Friesen *et al.*, 2000;  
20 Beckie, 2006; Walsh & Powles, 2007).

21 Where a herbicide resistance allele confers a fitness cost there are at least  
22 three explanations for the origin of the fitness cost. Firstly, fitness costs may result  
23 when novel, resistance-conferring mutations in herbicide target enzymes (target-site  
24 resistance) also compromise or interfere at some level with normal plant function or  
25 metabolism (Cohan *et al.*, 1994; Groeters *et al.*, 1994; Chevillon *et al.*, 1995). For

1 example, a single amino acid substitution may cause a structural modification in the  
2 target enzyme that limits the herbicide binding but also compromises the efficiency  
3 of the enzyme function and kinetics (Tranel & Wright, 2002; Délye, 2005; Powles &  
4 Preston, 2006; Powles & Yu, 2010).

5 Secondly, resource-based allocation theory predicts a trade-off between plant  
6 reproduction, growth and defence functions (Coley *et al.*, 1985; Chapin III *et al.*,  
7 1993; Herms & Mattson, 1994). Herbicide resistance is an evolved plant defence  
8 mechanism that could potentially divert resources away from growth and  
9 reproduction. For example, herbicide resistance endowed by enhanced metabolism  
10 may rely on the novel or increased production of cytochrome P450 enzymes  
11 (Werck-Reichhart *et al.*, 2000). According to the resource-based allocation model,  
12 where this novel enzyme production is constitutive, the additional energy and  
13 resource investments to synthesize these enzymes will divert resources away from  
14 growth and reproduction and may impose a resistance fitness cost in the absence of  
15 herbicide.

16 Thirdly, fitness costs may arise as a consequence of altered ecological  
17 interactions (Purrington, 2000; Strauss *et al.*, 2002). If a resistance allele has  
18 pleiotropic effects such that the resistant phenotype becomes, for instance, less  
19 attractive to pollinators or more susceptible to diseases, then fitness costs may occur  
20 independently or in addition to any energetic drain or alteration of normal  
21 metabolism (Salzmann *et al.*, 2008). It is emphasised that where there is a fitness  
22 cost associated with recently evolved herbicide resistance alleles co-adaptation and  
23 integration of the resistance allele into the genome can reduce the fitness cost over  
24 generations (Fisher, 1928).

1           Beyond their importance for informing herbicide resistance evolution and  
2 management, costs of evolved resistance to herbicides provide a good model for  
3 answering wider fundamental questions about the origin of the cost of plant  
4 adaptation. Additionally, understanding ecologically and evolutionarily based  
5 changes in plant resource acquisition and allocation patterns in response to  
6 environmental disturbance, stress and heterogeneity provides useful insights into the  
7 theory of weed community assembly (Weiher & Keddy, 1999), biodiversity and  
8 energy flow in agroecosystems (Swift & Anderson, 1994) as well as the potential of  
9 weed invasions into natural communities (Sax *et al.*, 2007).

10           There have been a wealth of studies unequivocally documenting a fitness  
11 cost associated with target-site resistance to triazine herbicides (Holt, 1990;  
12 Warwick, 1991; Gronwald, 1994; Holt & Thill, 1994; Bergelson & Purrington,  
13 1996) and therefore, will not be further reviewed here. However, a few unique  
14 features of the effects of triazine resistance on fitness costs that have partly  
15 motivated the present review are worth mentioning. In the great majority of cases,  
16 evolved triazine resistance is endowed by a chloroplastic *psbA* gene mutation that  
17 encodes for a Ser-264-Gly amino acid substitution in the PSII D1 protein. This  
18 mutation endows resistance because it reduces the affinity of the binding site for  
19 triazine herbicides (Trebst, 1996) but also leads to a reduced photosynthetic capacity  
20 due to an inefficiency of electron transfer within the PSII complex (Jansen & Pfister,  
21 1990). As a result, many triazine resistant weeds possessing the Ser-264-Gly  
22 mutation show significantly reduced photosynthetic potential, growth rates, resource  
23 competitive ability and sexual reproduction (reviewed by Holt & Thill, 1994). It is  
24 understandable that the light reactions of photosynthesis have been optimised over  
25 evolutionary time and that the triazine resistance endowing mutation reduces the

1 efficiency of the light reactions of photosynthesis. Additionally, an interesting aspect  
2 of the Ser-264-Gly mutation is that the expression and magnitude of its associated  
3 fitness cost has been shown to be modulated by abiotic and biotic factors.  
4 Contrasting combinations of light and temperature may amplify, neutralize or even  
5 reverse its negative effect on photosynthesis and plant growth (Ducruet & Ort, 1988;  
6 Hart & Stemler, 1990; Dekker & Sharkey, 1992; Plowman & Richards, 1997; Arntz  
7 *et al.*, 1998; Jordan *et al.*, 1999; Plowman *et al.*, 1999; Arntz *et al.*, 2000). Similarly,  
8 triazine resistant plants are more susceptible to fungal infections and insect  
9 herbivory, further contributing to the fitness cost of the resistance endowing Ser-  
10 264-Gly mutation (Gassmann, 2005; Gassmann & Futuyma, 2005; Salzmann *et al.*,  
11 2008). The increased preference of herbivores for triazine resistant plants has been  
12 shown to correlate with higher concentrations of nitrogen in leaf tissues. It is  
13 speculated that the increase in leaf-level nitrogen concentration is a potentially  
14 photosynthetic compensatory trait which ultimately translates into an ecologically  
15 based cost of greater susceptibility to herbivores and consequently into a significant  
16 higher fitness cost associated with triazine resistance (Gassmann, 2005).

17         The magnitude of costs associated with triazine resistance has meant that  
18 these costs have been easily detected, despite the often flawed methodologies that  
19 have been employed to measure fitness (Bergelson & Purrington, 1996; Jasieniuk *et*  
20 *al.*, 1996). A major motivation for this review is to highlight that the triazine-  
21 resistance example of near universality of a single mutation with a strong fitness cost  
22 is the exception rather than the rule. As will be fully elucidated in the Sections that  
23 follow, there is mounting evidence for the existence of a plethora of herbicide  
24 resistance mechanisms and mutations, some of which may lead to pleiotropic effects  
25 with negative, positive or neutral consequences on plant fitness.



1           The purpose of this article is twofold; to consider the methodological and  
2 experimental requirements to unequivocally measure fitness and fitness costs in  
3 plant populations and secondly, to review evidence from published studies for costs  
4 of resistance associated with non triazine herbicides.

5           To achieve these objectives, this review critically examines molecular,  
6 physiological and ecological factors influencing the expression and magnitude of  
7 fitness costs associated with evolved resistance to non-triazine herbicides in weedy  
8 plant species. A total of 55 published articles have been identified in citation  
9 databases (Scopus® and Web of Science®) (Supplementary Material Appendix S1).  
10 We provide a critical analysis of the experimental methodologies that have been  
11 used to assess the expression and magnitude of fitness costs and their correlation  
12 with the physiological/molecular bases of herbicide resistance.

13

## 14 **II. Methods for the detection and estimation of herbicide resistance fitness costs**

15 We propose five experimental factors that should be considered in the design of  
16 studies to estimate and interpret fitness costs associated with resistance to  
17 herbicides. In this section, we will present the rationale for considering each of these  
18 factors before reviewing the extent to which each of them have been considered in  
19 published fitness studies.

20

### 21 1. Control of genetic background

22 In response to the varying abiotic and biotic selective forces, ecotypic differentiation  
23 is a common process occurring at different spatial scales among weed species  
24 (Snaydon, 1971; Ransom *et al.*, 1998; Keller & Kollmann, 1999). Thus herbicide  
25 resistant and susceptible individuals from different plant populations will likely

1 exhibit genetic variability at a number of fitness-related loci (Bergelson &  
2 Purrington, 1996; Jasieniuk *et al.*, 1996). Therefore, to unequivocally attribute costs  
3 to the herbicide resistance endowing allele, relative fitness should be measured in  
4 resistant and susceptible individuals that share a similar genotype except for the  
5 alleles endowing herbicide resistance.

6 More than a decade ago, it was concluded that numerous studies assessing  
7 herbicide resistance fitness costs (most of them on triazine resistance) were flawed  
8 as they did not minimise differences in the genetic background between resistant and  
9 susceptible populations or individuals (Bergelson & Purrington, 1996). Our  
10 literature review reveals that only 25% of studies assessing fitness costs explicitly  
11 met the criteria of control of genetic background. Among the studies that did control  
12 genetic background, the experimental protocols to create suitable herbicide resistant  
13 and susceptible lines for comparison included genetic transformation, production of  
14 segregating F2 lines and identification of co-segregating herbicide resistant and  
15 susceptible individuals from within single populations (Purrington & Bergelson,  
16 1997; Roux *et al.*, 2004; Vila-Aiub *et al.*, 2005a; Menchari *et al.*, 2008).

17 An alternative approach may be to reduce the effect of differences in genetic  
18 background by comparing multiple resistant and susceptible populations (Cousens *et*  
19 *al.*, 1997; Strauss *et al.*, 2002). When multiple comparisons between resistant and  
20 susceptible genotypes are also considered, the proportion of the studies satisfying  
21 the control of genetic background criterion rises to about half of the published  
22 literature.

23

1 2. Knowledge of the biochemical basis of herbicide resistance

2 Where possible it is desirable to have characterized the biochemical and molecular  
3 basis of resistance before conducting a fitness study. This knowledge is essential to  
4 ascribe identified pleiotropic effects to particular gene and mutations and  
5 comprehend their biochemical and physiological origin and causes.

6 The importance of this proposed experimental requirement is evident as too  
7 often more than one resistance endowing mechanism may be present at the  
8 population and individual level and different resistance mutations may be involved.  
9 For example, it is now well established that either or both enhanced detoxification  
10 and an insensitive target enzyme may endow resistance to acetyl-CoA carboxylase  
11 (ACCase) or acetohydroxyacid synthase (AHAS) inhibiting herbicides in  
12 *Alopecurus myosuroides* and *Lolium rigidum* populations (Tardif & Powles, 1994;  
13 Preston, 2004; Powles & Yu, 2010). Moreover, for both target site ACCase and  
14 AHAS herbicide resistance there are several different mutations (Tranel & Wright,  
15 2002; Délye, 2005; Yu *et al.*, 2007a), some associated with a fitness cost (Roux *et*  
16 *al.*, 2004; Menchari *et al.*, 2008) and others not (Vila-Aiub *et al.*, 2005a). Given this  
17 reality, the almost 50% of published studies that evaluated fitness costs without  
18 knowledge of the biochemical/molecular basis of resistance have evident limitations.

19 Although their limitations in understanding the biochemical origin of fitness  
20 cost, it should be also emphasized that proper fitness studies can be conducted in  
21 which the molecular and biochemical bases of resistance are yet to be identified.  
22 Results from these experiments may be useful for the design of weed management  
23 strategies to exploit those traits that result in reduced ecological performance. This is  
24 the case for triallate and difenzoquat herbicide resistant *Avena fatua* populations that  
25 exhibit significant higher germination rates when compared to susceptible genotypes

1 (O'Donovan *et al.*, 1999). Significant differences (or lack of them) (Murphy *et al.*,  
2 1986) in life history weed traits should provide knowledge for the adoption of  
3 agronomic tools to manage herbicide resistant populations.

4 Herbicide resistance mechanisms can be broadly divided into target-site and  
5 non target-site mechanisms. The majority of fitness cost studies in the literature are  
6 on target-site herbicide resistance. However, while there are many cases of non-  
7 target site herbicide resistance (Preston, 2004; Preston & Wakelin, 2008) there are  
8 thus-far only few studies of fitness costs of non target site resistance mechanisms  
9 (see Sections VII and VIII).

10 In total, only 28% of fitness studies combine knowledge of the molecular  
11 basis of resistance with adequate control of genetic background (Supplementary  
12 Material Appendix S1). These 28% of studies are valuable in fully understanding  
13 herbicide resistance fitness costs in plants.

14

### 15 3. Life history traits

16 Plant fitness may be defined as the relative number of offspring contributed to future  
17 generations by one form compared to others (Harper, 1977; Primack & Hyesoon,  
18 1989). This focus on plant reproduction as a measure of fitness has led to many  
19 fitness studies that compare seed production between herbicide resistant and  
20 susceptible individuals. However, the assumption that a genotype that produces  
21 many seeds is fitter than a genotype producing fewer seeds is only true if seed  
22 dispersal, germination and colonization rates, seed longevity, seedling vigour and  
23 resistance to pathogens, diseases or herbivores are identical (Primack & Hyesoon,  
24 1989; Hanley, 1998). Also, calculating the number of seeds produced by an  
25 individual only estimates its female reproductive fitness, when in fact the number of

1 copies of a resistance allele that are transmitted to the next generation is the sum of  
2 male and female reproductive fitness. For example, in an outcrossing species,  
3 estimates of seed production of resistant plants may considerably overestimate  
4 fitness of the resistance allele if female gametes are preferentially pollinated by  
5 neighbouring susceptible plants. Most fitness studies which measure seed production  
6 do not reveal if they account for male reproductive fitness. A number of potential  
7 ways to account for male reproductive fitness are possible such as evaluation of the  
8 production, viability, growth and competition between resistant and susceptible  
9 pollen (Delph *et al.*, 1998; Song *et al.*, 2002), and pollen discounting in species with  
10 both selfing and outcrossing reproductive systems (Chang & Rausher, 1998).  
11 Similarly, ovule size and number may be also estimated to evaluate female  
12 reproductive fitness (Burd *et al.*, 2009). Some methodological protocols such as  
13 prevention of cross-pollination between resistant and susceptible plants (Vila-Aiub  
14 *et al.*, 2009) and genotypic determination of seeds produced in mixed resistant and  
15 susceptible populations (Roux *et al.*, 2005a) are designed to minimize the  
16 confounding potential differences in male and female reproductive fitness when  
17 assessing costs between herbicide resistant and susceptible genotypes.

18         The reality is that there are trade-offs between different life history stages  
19 and changes in one component of plant fitness may involve compromises in other  
20 traits (Harper, 1977). Seed production is a crucial determinant of fitness which will  
21 integrate and be influenced by other life history variation between resistant and  
22 susceptible plants. However, it remains important to understand how resistance  
23 impacts on other life history processes, as this knowledge may be applied to the  
24 design of appropriate weed management to maximise fitness costs. The majority of  
25 studies inferred costs associated with resistance alleles after exploring phenological,

1 morphological, physiological and growth plant traits at the vegetative and/or  
2 reproductive stages. Exploration of expression of fitness costs and life history  
3 variation associated with traits and processes that occur early in the plant life cycle  
4 are important as any fitness costs evident in young plants will have significant  
5 adverse impact in a competitive world. Of a total of 112 experiments (replicated  
6 experiments were counted as one) identified in the reviewed literature, only 7% and  
7 10% evaluated the occurrence of fitness costs during seed germination and plant  
8 establishment, respectively.

9         We believe that the best indication of the fitness of individuals carrying a  
10 resistance allele is evident when the frequency of the given allele or genotype is  
11 followed over several generations (Roux *et al.*, 2005a; Roux *et al.*, 2006; Wang *et*  
12 *al.*, 2009). Observed variations in the resistance allele frequency are compared to an  
13 expected allele frequency given no fitness costs and no genetic drift. A similar  
14 methodological approach involves the assessment of the resistant phenotypic  
15 frequencies after a certain time of discontinued herbicide use (Bourdôt *et al.*, 1996;  
16 Andrews & Morrison, 1997). The “allele frequency approach” enables the  
17 evaluation of the evolutionary trajectories of resistance genes without identifying the  
18 particular plant traits involved, account for male and female reproductive fitness  
19 and, by default, integrates fitness effects across all life history stages. This  
20 experimental protocol has proved to be a robust tool to assess fitness costs  
21 associated with insecticide (Boivin *et al.*, 2003; Hardstone *et al.*, 2009) and  
22 antibiotic (Gustafsson *et al.*, 2003) resistance, and can be extended to the study of  
23 fitness costs associated with polygenic traits and traits of unknown biochemical  
24 resistance mechanism. In this regard, studies which are conducted in the field in

1 appropriate natural environments can best simulate the conditions in which fitness  
2 costs express in agroecosystems.

3

#### 4 4. Resource competition

5 Ecological fitness costs are differentially expressed depending on interactions with  
6 other organisms (Strauss *et al.*, 2002). Ecological costs may become evident as a  
7 consequence of biotic interactions such as predation (Gassmann, 2005), disease  
8 (Brown, 2003) and/or competition (Van Dam & Baldwin, 2001). If a herbicide  
9 resistance allele results in impaired ability to capture resources or less efficient use  
10 of captured resources, then ecological fitness costs should be more evident under  
11 intense resource competitive conditions. For this reason, it is easier to measure  
12 herbicide resistance fitness costs under competitive conditions (Harper, 1977;  
13 Weiner, 1990; Reboud & Till-Bottraud, 1991; van Dam & Baldwin, 1998; Glawe *et*  
14 *al.*, 2003).

15         Around half of the 55 reviewed studies have measured fitness costs under  
16 competitive conditions. Unfortunately, the results of many of these studies are  
17 inconclusive and difficult to interpret as there was no control of genetic background.  
18 Interestingly, in studies whose experimental design involved both plant resource  
19 competitive conditions and control of the genetic background between compared  
20 resistant and susceptible ecotypes, expression of fitness costs was almost always  
21 reported (Roux *et al.*, 2004; Tardif *et al.*, 2006; Pedersen *et al.*, 2007; Menchari *et*  
22 *al.*, 2008; Vila-Aiub *et al.*, 2009).

23

## 1 5. Environmental gradient

2 The expression and magnitude of fitness costs associated with resistance alleles may  
3 be environment-specific (Heidel *et al.*, 2004; Martin & Lenormand, 2006; Jessup &  
4 Bohannan, 2008). There is a general premise that fitness costs may be more evident  
5 when plants are growing under more extreme, stressful environmental conditions  
6 (Coley *et al.*, 1985). Although this prediction is not always true (Bergelson &  
7 Purrington, 1996; Marak *et al.*, 2003), it may be more relevant when a mechanism  
8 endowing herbicide resistance depends on limited environmental resources to  
9 operate. For instance, if resistance depends on herbicide sequestration or  
10 detoxification, or cellular processes that require the synthesis of constitutively  
11 expressed N-rich proteins, then plant growth may be compromised in nitrogen poor  
12 environments (Lerdau & Gershenzon, 1997; Baldwin *et al.*, 1998). This knowledge  
13 may help managers to “design environments that potentially exploit functional  
14 weaknesses of herbicide resistant weeds” (Jordan *et al.*, 1999).

15 The effects of temperature on the expression of fitness costs have been  
16 studied more than any other environmental factor. However, potential temperature-  
17 regulated costs have largely been focused on seed germination responses. This is the  
18 case for AHAS resistance alleles which have shown a strong correlation with higher  
19 germination rates at cool environments (Dyer *et al.*, 1993; Thompson *et al.*, 1994;  
20 Park *et al.*, 2004) (see Section III). Overall, most fitness cost studies have not  
21 considered environmental variables as they have mostly been in controlled  
22 environment conditions, often optimal for growth. A few publications have aimed to  
23 assess herbicide resistance related fitness costs under contrasting light, nutrient and  
24 water conditions (Purrington & Bergelson, 1997; Ismail *et al.*, 2002; Vila-Aiub *et*  
25 *al.*, 2005b; Menalled & Smith, 2007; Menchari *et al.*, 2008). When control of



1 genetic background was carried out, these reports have recognised a significant  
2 impact of the environment on the expression of fitness costs (see sections III and  
3 IV).

4 In the following sections we have distilled the literature on the expression  
5 and magnitude of fitness costs associated with herbicide resistance alleles. We focus  
6 on studies that satisfy the requirements of control of genetic background and  
7 knowledge of the specific resistance mechanisms in the plants under study (other  
8 studies that corroborate findings in these studies are also discussed). When possible,  
9 if not reported, the magnitude of the fitness cost (%) was calculated as  $[1 - (\text{resistant}$   
10  $\text{plant descriptor} / \text{susceptible plant descriptor}) \times 100]$ .

11

### 12 **III. Fitness costs associated with AHAS target site resistance**

13 AHAS inhibiting herbicides inhibit acetohydroxyacid synthase (AHAS, also  
14 extensively referred to as ALS), a key plastidic enzyme responsible for the synthesis  
15 of isoleucine, valine and leucine amino acids. In response to widespread use of  
16 AHAS inhibiting herbicides, many weed species have evolved target-site based  
17 resistance, due to mutations of the AHAS gene (reviewed by Saari *et al.*, 1994;  
18 Tranel & Wright, 2002; Powles & Yu, 2010). Resistance-endowing mutations at  
19 seven key amino acid residues (Ala-122, Pro-197, Ala-205, Asp-376, Trp-574, Ser-  
20 653 and Gly-654) in the AHAS gene have been documented (Table 1A, B).

21 It is evident from studies with several resistant weed species that Pro-197 can  
22 be substituted by several amino acids to result in AHAS herbicide resistance (Yu *et*  
23 *al.*, 2007b; Tranel *et al.*, 2008; Yu *et al.*, 2008) (Table 1A, B). Fitness consequences  
24 have only been examined for the Pro-197-His substitution. A field study examining  
25 changes in the phenotypic frequency of field evolved resistant *Lactuca serriola*

1 individuals possessing the Pro-197-His allele (Guttieri *et al.*, 1992) showed a decline  
2 of between 25% and 86% over three years (Alcocer-Ruthling *et al.*, 1992a). This  
3 fitness cost was evident as a 15%<sup>1</sup> reduction in vegetative biomass of resistant  
4 compared to susceptible *L. serriola* individuals growing under competitive  
5 conditions (Alcocer-Ruthling *et al.*, 1992b).

6 Strong pleiotropic effects on plant morphology and anatomy leading to a  
7 fitness cost were described in field evolved AHAS resistant *A. powellii* with the Trp-  
8 574-Leu AHAS mutation (Tardif *et al.*, 2006). An examination of several resistant  
9 *A. powellii* populations revealed that this mutation was associated with thinner roots  
10 and stems and a severe leaf area reduction which led to a resistance cost of 67%  
11 (aboveground vegetative biomass) as well as a severe reduction in seed production  
12 (Tardif *et al.*, 2006). Further evidence for a cost associated with AHAS resistance  
13 comes from imidazolinone resistant rice crops with the Gly-654-Glu AHAS  
14 mutation which show 5-11% lower grain yield when compared to conventional rice  
15 cultivars (Sha *et al.*, 2007).

16 For target site resistance, an amino acid substitution in the herbicide target  
17 site enzyme prevents herbicide binding. The mutation may also impair enzyme  
18 activity and/or reduce substrate affinity (resulting in insufficient product  
19 biosynthesis). Indeed, impaired AHAS activity appears to be the case for the Pro-  
20 197-His substitution in *L. serriola* and *L. sativa*, and the Ala-205-Val substitution in  
21 *Solanum ptychanthum*. In both cases, the resistant AHAS shows no altered substrate  
22 binding but a near 50% reduction in activity compared to the wild type (Eberlein *et*  
23 *al.*, 1997; Eberlein *et al.*, 1999; Ashigh & Tardif, 2007). Similarly, in yeast Ala-122-

---

<sup>1</sup> Estimated as average over planting mixtures with equally proportion ratios (50:50, 100:100, 150:150)

1 Val and Ala-205-Val (Duggleby *et al.*, 2003) and tobacco Trp-574-Phe (Chong *et*  
2 *al.*, 1999) AHAS resistance substitutions result in a reduction in AHAS activity and  
3 substrate affinity. However, for other AHAS mutations there is no evidence of a  
4 reduction in AHAS activity with reports of no change in AHAS activity (Pro-197-  
5 Thr) (Preston *et al.*, 2006) or, in other cases, significantly higher AHAS activity  
6 reported (Pro-197-Ser, Trp-574-Leu) (Boutsalis *et al.*, 1999; Purrington &  
7 Bergelson, 1999; Yu *et al.*, 2003) (but see Mourad *et al.*, 1995). Obviously, the  
8 impact of each specific mutation/amino acid substitution needs to be evaluated on a  
9 case-by-case basis and generalisations should not be made.

10 AHAS activity is feedback regulated by accumulation of end-product  
11 branched-chain amino acids (Miflin & Cave, 1972). Whereas for the Pro-197-Ser  
12 substitution contradictory reports may be found (Tourneur *et al.*, 1993; Mourad *et*  
13 *al.*, 1995; Purrington & Bergelson, 1999), it is clear that the Pro-197-His (Eberlein *et*  
14 *al.*, 1997), Pro-197-Thr (Preston *et al.*, 2006) and Ala-205-Val (Ashigh & Tardif,  
15 2007) AHAS mutations lead to a decreased sensitivity to feedback inhibition by  
16 valine, leucine and/or isoleucine.

17 A possible consequence of reduced feedback sensitivity to inhibition is the  
18 accumulation of branched-chain amino acids in plant tissues. An excess and/or  
19 imbalance of the amino acid pool can have toxic effects on cell metabolism and  
20 correlates well with diminished plant growth (Höfgen *et al.*, 1995). Higher  
21 concentrations of valine, leucine and isoleucine have been reported in leaves and  
22 seeds of plant species carrying AHAS mutations at the Pro-197 residue (Dyer *et al.*,  
23 1993; Eberlein *et al.*, 1999; Purrington & Bergelson, 1999). Interestingly, this higher  
24 concentration of free amino acids is correlated with higher seed germination rates at  
25 relatively low temperatures (Dyer *et al.*, 1993). Rapid germination at cool

1 temperatures seems to be a characteristic trait associated with AHAS target site  
2 resistance (Pro-197-Ser/Arg/Thr), which, depending on the prevailing  
3 agroecological conditions, could turn into either a fitness advantage or disadvantage  
4 (Thompson *et al.*, 1994; Park *et al.*, 2004). Despite these reports, no published  
5 studies have examined and linked this particular germination response with seedling  
6 emergence and establishment processes.

7 Thus, it is clear that the His-197 mutation in *L. serriola* and the Leu-574  
8 mutation in *A. powellii* are associated with a fitness cost (Alcocer-Ruthling *et al.*,  
9 1992a; Alcocer-Ruthling *et al.*, 1992b; Tardif *et al.*, 2006). The origin of this cost  
10 may be due to either decreased AHAS activity or reduced feedback inhibition. These  
11 two explanations have compensating effects in terms of the quantity of branched-  
12 chain amino acid synthesis and further research is required to discern the main  
13 driving factor for these fitness costs. For the Leu-574 mutation, no AHAS data is  
14 available. For other resistance endowing AHAS mutations listed in Table 1B, their  
15 impact on plant fitness remains unknown and these studies are required.  
16 Additionally, it is also important to emphasise that, especially in *Lolium*, resistant  
17 plants frequently have a combination of two different resistance endowing AHAS  
18 alleles (Yu *et al.*, 2008) and fitness studies have not been conducted with such  
19 multiple field selected resistant plants.

20

#### 21 **IV. Fitness costs associated with ACCase target site resistance**

22 In the majority of monocot (but not dicot) species, the ACCase herbicides are potent  
23 inhibitors of the key plastidic enzyme, acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase (ACCase).  
24 Inhibition of ACCase results in a lethal disruption of fatty acid synthesis and many  
25 grass weed species have evolved ACCase herbicide resistance due to reduced

1 herbicide sensitivity of ACCase (Devine & Shimabukuro, 1994; Délye, 2005). To  
2 date, eight amino acid substitutions have been shown to confer ACCase resistance in  
3 field-evolved weeds (Table 2A, B) (reviewed by Délye, 2005; Liu *et al.*, 2007; Yu *et*  
4 *al.*, 2007a; Powles & Yu, 2010).

5         The resistance endowing Ile-1781-Leu substitution, has evolved in several  
6 weed species (reviewed by Délye, 2005; Zhang & Powles, 2006; Délye *et al.*, 2007;  
7 Yu *et al.*, 2007a; Powles & Yu, 2010). This amino acid substitution does not impair  
8 ACCase function (Shukla *et al.*, 1997; Délye *et al.*, 2002; Yu *et al.*, 2007a). Studies  
9 evaluating several fitness-related traits in *L. rigidum* and *A. myosuroides* with the  
10 Ile-1781-Leu mutation show no physiological or ecological resistance costs and no  
11 detectable reduction in plant productivity (Vila-Aiub *et al.*, 2005a; Vila-Aiub *et al.*,  
12 2005b; Menchari *et al.*, 2008). Interestingly, when introgressed into a *S. italica*  
13 population the Ile-1781-Leu resistance gene has been shown to correlate with an  
14 increase in several fitness components, resulting in an increase in frequency of  
15 resistant genotypes over time (Wang & Darmency, 1997; Wang *et al.*, 2009).

16         In one *L. rigidum* population, the Ile-1781-Leu ACCase mutation has been  
17 shown to be associated with a strong environmentally-determined dormancy in seed,  
18 so that germination and emergence is promoted by light and fluctuating temperatures  
19 (Vila-Aiub *et al.*, 2005b). Although this modification of germination dynamics does  
20 not represent a fitness cost *per se*, it may be exploited by agronomic practices to  
21 reduce weed infestations in cropping systems. While this phenotypic response has  
22 proved to be a heritable trait, its occurrence across other genetic backgrounds needs  
23 to be assessed.

24         Menchari *et al.* (2008) evaluated fitness in several *A. myosuroides*  
25 populations with Ile-2041-Asn and the Asp-2078-Gly ACCase resistance-endowing

1 mutations. In a two year field experiment where plants were grown in competition  
2 with wheat, there was clear evidence that the Ile-2041-Asn was not associated with  
3 any adverse pleiotropic effects on vegetative and reproductive plant traits. However,  
4 for individuals with the Asp-2078-Gly mutation, substantially impaired growth was  
5 observed when plants were grown with a limited water supply. Individuals  
6 possessing the Asp-2078-Gly mutation displayed a reduction in height (6%) and  
7 vegetative (42%) and reproductive biomass (36%) when compared to the wild type.  
8 However, this significant resistance cost was only observed in homozygote resistant  
9 plants (2078-Gly/2078-Gly) indicating that the fitness cost is recessive and  
10 environment dependent (Menchari *et al.*, 2008). Similarly, the 2078-Gly resistance  
11 mutation in the homozygous state has adverse pleiotropic effects on resistant *L.*  
12 *rigidum* growth (Vila-Aiub and Powles, unpublished data).

13 Moderate and strong reduction in ACCase-specific activity has been reported  
14 for the Ile-2041-Asn and Asp-2078-Gly mutations, respectively (Délye *et al.*, 2003;  
15 Délye *et al.*, 2005; Yu *et al.*, 2007a). The greatly reduced ACCase activity in plants  
16 with the Asp-2078-Gly mutations is likely to explain the fitness cost of this  
17 mutation. Recently, a new ACCase resistance mutation (Cys-2088-Arg) has been  
18 identified in *L. rigidum* (Yu *et al.*, 2007a). The ACCase activity of plants with this  
19 mutation is only half that of the wild type plants (Yu *et al.*, 2007a) and this impaired  
20 ACCase activity is likely responsible for the compromised growth observed at the  
21 whole plant level (Vila-Aiub and Powles, unpublished data).

22 The results reviewed above clearly show that the expression of fitness costs  
23 associated with ACCase resistance alleles is specific to the amino acid substitution  
24 conferring resistance, with different resistance substitutions in the same gene  
25 impacting very differently on enzyme functionality and associated pleiotropic

1 effects. For several of the resistance endowing ACCase resistance alleles, fitness  
2 studies have yet to be performed (Table 2B).

3

#### 4 **V. Fitness costs associated with resistance to phenoxy herbicides**

5 Although the precise mode of action of these phenoxy type herbicides remains  
6 unknown, they clearly disrupt endogenous auxin metabolism (reviewed by  
7 Coupland, 1994) and for some field-selected resistant weed species there is a  
8 reduction in the binding affinity of these herbicides to auxin-binding proteins (Webb  
9 & Hall, 1995; Deshpande & Hall, 2000). However, despite decades of use, there  
10 have few cases of evolved resistance to phenoxy herbicides (reviewed by Coupland,  
11 1994). As a result, there are few unequivocal fitness studies in plant species that  
12 have evolved resistance to the phenoxy herbicides. In a field study conducted in  
13 New Zealand, the frequency of MCPA resistant *Ranunculus acris* individuals  
14 declined by approximately 5% per year over five years (Bourdôt *et al.*, 1996). This  
15 study also reported a concurrent decline of about 50% in the LD<sub>50</sub> (1.41 kg MCPA  
16 ha<sup>-1</sup>) for a population removed from MCPA selection, in comparison with a *R. acris*  
17 population maintained under MCPA selection (LD<sub>50</sub> = 2.13 kg MCPA ha<sup>-1</sup>). The  
18 molecular basis of resistance in this field-selected population has not been reported.

19 Investigating a *Sinapsis arvensis* population with evolved resistance to  
20 various phenoxy herbicides (2,4-D, dicamba, MCPA and picloram), Hall and  
21 Romano (1995) report numerous pleiotropic effects on plant morphology and  
22 physiology. The resistant genotype showed a significant reduction in resource  
23 acquisition leading to short and small plants with reduced leaf area and a less  
24 developed root system (Hall & Romano, 1995). Furthermore, higher chlorophyll and  
25 cytokinin levels were associated with resistance to auxinic herbicides in this species.

1 The resistance gene/s endowing auxinic herbicide resistance in *S. arvensis* have not  
2 been elucidated and potential confounding effects derived from using plant material  
3 with different genetic background are possible. However, the reported pleiotropic  
4 effects are similar to those observed in *A. thaliana* carrying the AXR1 resistance  
5 gene (see next section).

6

## 7 **VI. *Arabidopsis thaliana* as a model plant to understand pleiotropic effects of** 8 **herbicide target-site based resistance alleles**

9 The model plant, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, presents a number of intriguing  
10 opportunities to study the costs associated with herbicide resistance alleles. Known  
11 resistance alleles may be transgenically inserted into a standard genetic background  
12 or EMS-mutagenesis may be used to create herbicide resistant mutant lines. Fitness  
13 can be measured by assessing seed production of transgenic, mutant and wild type  
14 lines and high throughput genotyping can be used to confirm the genotype of  
15 individual plants. Results from these studies, together with a consideration of the  
16 advantages and limitations of these approaches are reviewed here.

17         There have been attempts to determine the fitness costs associated with the  
18 Pro-197-Ser AHAS mutation in transgenic and EMS mutagenised *A. thaliana* plants.  
19 Transgenic plants carrying this mutant allele display a reduction (26-34%) in the  
20 number of fruits per plant but not in total plant biomass or seed germinability  
21 (Bergelson *et al.*, 1996; Purrington & Bergelson, 1997, respectively). Roux et al  
22 (2004) also found a trade-off (37% reduction) in the allocation of resources to  
23 reproduction in segregating *A. thaliana* mutants possessing the same Pro-197-Ser  
24 resistance allele. This reduction in seed production has a drastic effect on plant  
25 fitness as shown by a significant decline in the frequency of the Ser-197 resistance



1 allele over time in the absence of herbicide selection (Roux *et al.*, 2006). This fitness  
2 cost has been shown to be greater in magnitude in nutrient limited environments,  
3 probably as a consequence of a higher N demand driven by the effects of reduced  
4 feedback inhibition and promoted higher AHAS activity and amino acid  
5 biosynthesis (Bergelson, 1994; Purrington & Bergelson, 1997; Purrington &  
6 Bergelson, 1999). However, the amino acid substitution Ser-653-Asn, which endows  
7 resistance to the AHAS-inhibiting herbicide, imazapyr, is not associated with  
8 impaired plant growth or seed germination rate in mutant *A. thaliana* (Roux *et al.*,  
9 2004).

10 Point mutations endowing resistance to auxin herbicides have been obtained  
11 through seed mutagenesis (EMS) in *A. thaliana* and evaluated for fitness costs. The  
12 Gly-459-Asp mutation in the AUX1 gene has no adverse effects on plant fitness  
13 despite changes in root morphology (Maher & Martindale, 1980; Roux *et al.*, 2004;  
14 Roux *et al.*, 2005a; Roux & Reboud, 2005). On the contrary, the AXR1 (Cys-154-  
15 Tyr) and AXR2 (Pro-87-Ser) gene mutations express a severe fitness cost. Both  
16 resistance alleles have been shown to have pleiotropic effects on leaf morphology,  
17 hypocotyl length and plant height (Lincoln *et al.*, 1990; Timpte *et al.*, 1994; Timpte  
18 *et al.*, 1995), resulting in impaired plant resource acquisition and extensive  
19 resistance costs of 78% and 89% at the reproductive stage (Roux *et al.*, 2004; Roux  
20 & Reboud, 2005).

21 Fitness costs have been also evaluated in three discrete laboratory originated  
22 mutations endowing resistance to isoxaben (cellulose biosynthesis inhibitor) in *A.*  
23 *thaliana* (Roux *et al.*, 2004). Whereas the Thr-942-Ile amino acid substitution in the  
24 cellulose synthase catalytic isoform CesA3 (Scheible *et al.*, 2001) resulted in a 43%  
25 reduction in reproductive biomass, mutations in the CesA3 (Gly-998-Asp) and

1 CesA6 (Arg-1064-Trp) alleles (Desprez *et al.*, 2002) did not incur fitness costs  
2 (Roux *et al.*, 2004; Roux *et al.*, 2005a). It is noteworthy that this second mutation of  
3 the CesA3 gene is located only 56 amino acid positions upstream of the mutation  
4 that incurred a 43.2% reproductive cost.

5 Measuring fitness costs in *A. thaliana* presents a number of opportunities that  
6 would not otherwise be available in less easily manipulated weed species.  
7 Nevertheless, some caution should be exercised in the interpretation of these results.  
8 Laboratory-derived mutants, by their nature, have not been selected in the field and  
9 therefore mutations with severe fitness costs, as seen for AXR1 and AXR2 above,  
10 may have little relevance for understanding field-evolved resistance as these mutants  
11 could not and have not evolved in the field. EMS-mutagenesis will create a range of  
12 other potentially deleterious mutations which will impact on fitness and despite  
13 crossing programs to attempt to disrupt linkage between resistance-endowing and  
14 other mutations, it is difficult to unequivocally attribute costs to the resistance allele.  
15 Transgenic approaches may be influenced by positional effects so that fitness costs  
16 are dependent on where in the genome the transgene is inserted (Purrington &  
17 Bergelson, 1999). Finally, in field-evolved populations, there may have been some  
18 compensation of the cost of resistance during selection (see Discussion) and this  
19 cannot occur in laboratory-derived mutants and may result in over-estimation of  
20 resistance costs.

21

## 22 **VII. Fitness cost associated with herbicide resistance endowed by enhanced** 23 **rates of herbicide metabolism catalysed by P450 enzymes**

24 Cytochrome P450s are a large class of proteins involved in many biosynthetic  
25 functions in plants (reviewed in Schuler & Werck-Reichhart, 2003). In addition to

1 their essential role in metabolism, plant P450 enzymes are paramount in  
2 detoxification pathways and can be responsible for herbicide detoxification (Werck-  
3 Reichhart *et al.*, 2000). The current understanding of P450-mediated herbicide  
4 metabolism suggests that there are multiple P450 isoforms capable of metabolizing a  
5 specific herbicide, as well as P450 isoforms that may have broad herbicide  
6 specificity (Preston *et al.*, 1996; Werck-Reichhart *et al.*, 2000; Siminszky, 2006).  
7 However, molecular determination of the specifics of P450 involvement in herbicide  
8 metabolism remains to be identified.

9         Many studies have identified *L. rigidum* populations that have P450 endowed  
10 resistance to ACCase, AHAS, PSII and other herbicide chemistries (Christopher *et*  
11 *al.*, 1992; Burnet *et al.*, 1994; McAlister *et al.*, 1995; Preston *et al.*, 1996). We have  
12 shown that individual *L. rigidum* plants with resistance to specific AHAS and  
13 ACCase herbicides endowed by cytochrome P450-based enhanced herbicide  
14 metabolism exhibit a nearly 20% reduction in vegetative growth (Vila-Aiub *et al.*,  
15 2005a). This reduction in resource acquisition corresponded to a reduced relative  
16 plant growth rate (RGR) resulting from lower net assimilation rates (NAR) (Vila-  
17 Aiub *et al.*, 2005a). P450-based resistant individuals showed a significantly  
18 diminished ability to grow under competition with wheat, when compared to  
19 herbicide susceptible plants. This ecological cost was expressed at both the  
20 vegetative (30%) and reproductive (23%) stages (Vila-Aiub *et al.*, 2009).

21         The value of studies examining the cost of P450 based resistance is evident  
22 to validate wider ecological assumptions such as the trade-off between plant growth  
23 and defence (Herms & Mattson, 1992; Vila-Aiub *et al.*, 2009). However, no other  
24 studies have attempted to assess fitness costs associated with P450 enhanced  
25 herbicide metabolism and therefore there is limited appreciation of the fitness

1 consequences of metabolism-based herbicide resistance. Given the importance of  
2 P450 based resistance and that plants must invest resources to produce P450  
3 enzymes much more work is required in this area.

4

#### 5 **VIII. Fitness cost associated with glyphosate resistance**

6 Glyphosate is the world's most widely used and important herbicide (Duke &  
7 Powles, 2008). The widespread adoption of glyphosate-resistance crops in North and  
8 South America has resulted in many populations of glyphosate resistant weeds  
9 (reviewed by Powles, 2008). In many weed populations, resistance to glyphosate  
10 correlates with reduced rates of glyphosate translocation to active meristematic root  
11 and shoot tissues (Powles & Preston, 2006; Preston & Wakelin, 2008; Shaner,  
12 2009). *Lolium rigidum* individuals with this resistance mechanism exhibited no  
13 reduction in vegetative growth under resource competition with wheat when  
14 compared to the susceptible genotype (Pedersen *et al.*, 2007). The same study  
15 reports that glyphosate resistant plants produce fewer but larger seeds under very  
16 low competition intensity from wheat (Pedersen *et al.*, 2007). The observed  
17 reduction in the number of the progeny when competing with wheat may explain the  
18 drastic decline in the resistance phenotypic frequency from 45% to 11% after three  
19 years of relaxed glyphosate selection (Preston & Wakelin, 2008).

20 *Ipomoea purpurea* has been shown to exhibit high levels of genetic variation  
21 associated with glyphosate tolerance (Baucom & Mauricio, 2004; Baucom &  
22 Mauricio, 2008). Interestingly, a negative correlation shows that the most glyphosate  
23 tolerant individuals show the highest cost in fitness (Baucom & Mauricio, 2004).

24 Glyphosate resistance may also be endowed by a mutation in the target gene  
25 (EPSP synthase) (reviewed by Powles & Preston, 2006; Powles & Yu, 2010). Thus

1 far, there are no published studies of the effect of EPSP synthase gene mutations on  
2 fitness performance of resistant plants. Given the importance of glyphosate in world  
3 agriculture and the number of weed species displaying glyphosate resistance it is  
4 crucial that studies be conducted on the impact on fitness of resistance endowing  
5 EPSP synthase gene mutations.

6

## 7 **IX. Discussion**

8 1. Towards improved protocols for the estimation of herbicide resistance fitness  
9 costs.

10 The large body of research aimed at detecting and quantifying herbicide resistance  
11 fitness costs indicates recognition of the importance of this knowledge for  
12 understanding and predicting the dynamics of resistance evolution and management.

13 Ultimately, resistance may be an inevitable consequence of herbicide use, yet fitness  
14 costs can considerably slow the evolution of resistance and these costs and their  
15 impacts on plant life histories can be manipulated to mitigate risks of resistance.

16 Notwithstanding this, the literature has many studies that have misunderstood,  
17 mismeasured or misinterpreted costs of resistance. Bergelson and Purrington (1996)  
18 highlighted that very few studies examining the fitness cost of herbicide resistance  
19 controlled or minimised the differences in genetic background between the herbicide  
20 resistant and susceptible plants under study. Unfortunately, this review some 14  
21 years later, establishes that researchers conducting fitness studies often continue to  
22 ignore the importance of genetic background in fitness studies.

23 In section II, we presented five criteria that should inform the design and  
24 interpretation of future studies to determine costs of herbicide resistance alleles. It  
25 will not always be possible or practical to fulfil all of these. However, studies which

1 do not control for genetic background and have little knowledge of the mechanism  
2 of resistance will be of limited value to ascribe the origin of fitness costs to  
3 particular resistance genes and mutations. Beyond these basic requirements, studies  
4 should estimate fitness costs in realistic field conditions under which resistance  
5 evolved and consider fitness costs and implications throughout the plant life cycle.  
6 Fortunately, in recent years a relatively small number of unequivocal fitness cost  
7 studies have been conducted. We believe that this trend, if it persists, will allow a  
8 systematic evaluation of fitness costs which ultimately will be of potential  
9 significance for the management of resistance and for providing answers to  
10 fundamental questions in plant evolutionary ecology.

11

12 2. Future advances in understanding costs of herbicide resistance.

13 Routine consideration of the five criteria in Section II will considerably advance  
14 studies of herbicide resistance costs. In future, as molecular tools are developed for  
15 weed species, further hypotheses relating to the ‘cost of adaptation’ may be  
16 addressed, both for their benefit in terms of resistance management and for their  
17 ability to answer more fundamental questions in plant adaptation. Some of these  
18 future research areas are outlined below.

19         The importance of a homogenised genetic background for measuring fitness  
20 costs has been argued. In selfing species, resistance alleles will arise in a variety of  
21 genetic backgrounds and, for outcrossing species, the genetic background in which  
22 the resistance allele occurs may vary between individuals within a single population.  
23 It is likely that the magnitude and expression of fitness costs will vary between  
24 different genetic backgrounds, presenting the possibility that fitness costs will vary  
25 between populations and between individuals (Paris *et al.*, 2008). These effects

1 should be investigated. Furthermore, in outcrossing species, there may be selection  
2 so that resistance alleles are preferentially expressed in backgrounds where the cost  
3 is lowest. Evolutionary forces will select for genetic backgrounds in which the costs  
4 are minimised through i) the acquisition of modifiers (i.e. new alleles at other loci)  
5 which compensate and moderate pleiotropic costs (Paris *et al.*, 2008), ii)  
6 replacement of alleles which involves the substitution of the costly allele by a less  
7 costly one at the locus involved in resistance and iii) increased resistance to biotic  
8 stresses (parasitism) (Agnew *et al.*, 2004). These amelioration processes will lead to  
9 a reduction in the magnitude of fitness costs over plant generations and represent  
10 one form of compensatory evolution such that costs of resistance evolve over time  
11 (resistance co-adaptation). The potential for resistance co-adaptation is poorly  
12 understood for herbicide resistance, though it has been demonstrated for insecticide  
13 resistance (McKenzie & Clarke, 1988; Bourguet *et al.*, 2004) and antibiotic  
14 resistance (Maisnier-Patin & Andersson, 2004; Paulander *et al.*, 2007). These  
15 processes create the opportunity for co-adaptation and integration of the new  
16 resistance allele into the genome (Fisher, 1928; Cohan *et al.*, 1994; Guillemaud *et*  
17 *al.*, 1998)

18         Only a few studies have considered the dominance of the herbicide resistance  
19 cost. In the field, new herbicide resistance alleles which arise by spontaneous  
20 mutation will occur as heterozygotes and therefore it is most important to understand  
21 the fitness consequences of resistance alleles in the heterozygous state. If the cost of  
22 resistance is recessive (i.e. only evident in homozygous individuals), then the fitness  
23 cost will have little impact on the dynamics of resistance in the early stages of  
24 selection where most individuals are heterozygous. Using EMS-mutagenised *A.*

1 *thaliana*, Roux et al. (2004) demonstrated recessive, dominant and over-dominant  
2 herbicide resistance fitness costs.

3         Herbicide resistance endowing mutations are likely to occur as independent  
4 events and this may result in the accumulation of diverse herbicide resistance alleles  
5 within single individuals under herbicide selection (Hall *et al.*, 1994; Tardif &  
6 Powles, 1994; Preston *et al.*, 1996). From a fitness cost viewpoint, an interesting  
7 question is to consider the epistatic effects (e.g. multiplicative, additive, synergistic,  
8 and compensatory) of two or more different resistance alleles on fitness cost when  
9 there are multiple resistance alleles within an individual. A study with the model  
10 plant *A. thaliana* has revealed multiplicative epistatic effects on several fitness traits  
11 in double and triple mutants expressing the ALS (Pro-197-Ser), 2,4-D (Cys-154-  
12 Tyr) and isoxaben (Thr-942-Ile) resistance alleles (Roux *et al.*, 2005b). This result  
13 predicts that the frequency decline of multiple resistance alleles in an herbicide-free  
14 environment would be faster than when epistatic interactions among resistance  
15 alleles are not evident.

16

17 3. The evidence for pleiotropic effects of herbicide resistance alleles.

18 There is unquestionable evidence, as reviewed here, that some herbicide resistance  
19 alleles are associated with fitness costs. Observed costs are associated with target  
20 site resistance (resistance endowing amino acid substitutions in proteins involved in  
21 amino acid (Section III), fatty acid (Section II), auxin (Section V) and cellulose  
22 (Section VI) biosynthesis) as well as non target site resistance due to enhanced rates  
23 of herbicide metabolism (Section VII) and transport (Section VIII). However,  
24 resistance costs are not universal and thus they must be assessed on a case-by-case  
25 basis. Fitness costs associated with target-site resistance generally result from a



1 compromise in normal enzyme function whereas costs associated with metabolic  
2 resistance are thought to arise from allocation of resources to defence rather than  
3 growth (Coley *et al.*, 1985; Herms & Mattson, 1994; Strauss *et al.*, 2002; Vila-Aiub  
4 *et al.*, 2009).

5

#### 6 4. Evolution of herbicide resistance alleles and their fitness costs

7 Theoretical models predict that resistance alleles with the largest fitness costs are  
8 least likely to be fixed (Gillespie, 1975). This prediction appears to be confirmed for  
9 some ACCase gene mutations which show a negative correlation between the  
10 magnitude of fitness costs and their frequency in agroecosystems. An extensive  
11 survey in France has revealed that the Asp-2078-Gly ACCase mutation is the least  
12 frequent resistance allele in *A. myosuroides* (Délye *et al.*, 2007). This mutation has  
13 been shown to be associated with impaired plant performance at the enzyme and  
14 whole plant level causing the mutation to be negatively selected in the absence of  
15 ACCase herbicide selection pressure. On the contrary, the Ile-1781-Leu ACCase  
16 mutation in *A. myosuroides* has no detectable pleiotropic effect on plant fitness  
17 (Menchari *et al.*, 2008) and has been found to be the most common resistance allele  
18 in field crops (Délye *et al.*, 2007). Similar results have been found for both the Asp-  
19 2078-Gly and Leu-1781 resistance alleles have been found in *L. rigidum* (Vila-Aiub  
20 *et al.*, 2005a; Owen *et al.*, 2007; Yu *et al.*, 2007a). The presence of the Ile-1781-Leu  
21 ACCase mutation in more weed species than any other ACCase allele as well as its  
22 fixation in naturally resistant species is a good indication of its lack of fitness cost  
23 (Délye, 2005; Délye & Michel, 2005; Liu *et al.*, 2007; Yu *et al.*, 2007a).

24 The same analogy may be applied for the Pro-197-Ser and Trp-574-Leu  
25 AHAS mutations which have been reported to exhibit respectively moderate and

1 severe pleiotropic effects on *A. thaliana* and *A. powellii* fitness (Bergelson *et al.*,  
2 1996; Roux *et al.*, 2004; Roux *et al.*, 2005a; Tardif *et al.*, 2006). Whereas the former  
3 is reported as the most common resistance allele in several *Raphanus raphanistrum*  
4 populations from Australia the latter has not to date evolved within this species  
5 (Friesen and Powles, unpublished).

6

## 7 **XII. Conclusion**

8 Fitness costs associated with herbicide resistance alleles are evident but not  
9 universal among plant species. Their expression and magnitude are strongly  
10 influenced by the abiotic, biotic and genetic environment. Future research efforts  
11 should compartmentalise the effects of these ‘environments’ by assessing the  
12 pleiotropic effects of resistance alleles on plant fitness with proper control of genetic  
13 background and, ideally, where the molecular basis of resistance is known. These  
14 studies should integrate molecular, physiological and ecological techniques to  
15 measure fitness at all life history stages and in a variety of biotic and abiotic  
16 environments. Field experiments that record changes in resistance allele frequencies  
17 in the absence of herbicide selection should complement these studies. Only then  
18 will it be possible to systematically elucidate whether and under which  
19 environmental conditions herbicide resistance alleles express fitness costs.

20

## 21 **Acknowledgements**

22 WAHRI is funded by the Australian Grains Research and Development Corporation  
23 (GRDC). We thank many of our colleagues for useful comments on manuscript  
24 drafts including Dr’s Roberto Busi, Henry Darmency, Christophe Délye, Jacques  
25 Gasquez, Xavier Reboud, Michael Walsh and Qin Yu.

Table 1A

AHAS mutations in evolved field selected weed species in which fitness studies have been conducted

Amino acid residue		Cross-resistance to AHAS inhibiting herbicide families	Weed species	Fitness cost	Reference
Wild type	Resistant				
Pro-197	His	Sulfonylurea	<i>Lactuca serriola</i>	Yes	Alcocer-Ruthling et al. (1992a, b)
Trp-574	Leu	Imidazolinone Sulfonylurea Pyrimidinylthiobenzoates Triazolopyrimidines Sulfonylaminocarbonyl- triazolinone	<i>Amaranthus powellii</i>	Yes	Tardif et al (2006)

Table 1B

Known AHAS mutations in evolved field selected weed species remaining to be investigated for fitness costs

Amino acid residue		Cross-resistance to AHAS inhibiting herbicide families	Weed species
Wild type	Resistant		
Ala-122	Thr	Imidazolinone	<i>Amaranthus hybridus</i> , <i>A. powellii</i> , <i>A. retroflexus</i> , <i>Solanum ptycanthum</i> , <i>Xanthium strumarium</i>
Pro-197	Ala Arg Gln His Ile Leu Lys Met Ser Thr Trp	Sulfonylurea	Several weed species <i>Kochia scoparia</i> , <i>Lolium rigidum</i> <i>Kochia scoparia</i> , <i>Lindernia spp</i> , <i>Lolium rigidum</i> <i>Raphanus raphanistrum</i> <i>Sisymbrium orientale</i> Many weed species <i>K. scoparia</i> <i>K. scoparia</i> Many weed species Many weed species <i>K. scoparia</i>
Ala-205	Val	Imidazolinone Sulfonylurea	<i>A. retroflexus</i> , <i>Helianthus annuus</i> , <i>Solanum ptycanthum</i> , <i>Xanthium strumarium</i>
Asp-376	Glu	Imidazolinone Sulfonylurea Pyrimidinylthiobenzoates Triazolopyrimidines Sulfonylaminocarbonyl-triazolinone	<i>A. hybridus</i>
Trp-574	Arg Leu	Sulfonylurea	<i>K. scoparia</i> <i>Lolium rigidum</i>
Ser-653	Thr Asn Ile	Imidazolinone Imidazolinone	<i>A. powelli</i> , <i>A. retroflexus</i> , <i>A. rudis</i> <i>A. hybridus</i> , <i>A. rudis</i> , <i>Setaria viridis</i>
Gly-654	Glu Asp	Imidazolinone	<i>Oryza sativa</i> <i>S. viridis</i>

Table 2A

ACCCase mutations in evolved field selected weed species in which fitness studies have been conducted

Amino acid residue		Cross-resistance to ACCase inhibiting herbicide families	Weed species	Fitness cost	Reference
Wild type	Resistant				
Ile-1781	Leu	Aryloxyphenoxypropionates Cyclohexanediones	<i>Alopecurus myosuroides</i>	No	Menchari et al (2008)
			<i>Lolium rigidum</i>	No	Vila-Aiub et al (2005a, b)
Ile-2041	Asn	Aryloxyphenoxypropionates	<i>Alopecurus myosuroides</i>	No	Menchari et al (2008)
Asp-2078	Gly	Aryloxyphenoxypropionates Cyclohexanediones	<i>Alopecurus myosuroides</i>	Yes	Menchari et al (2008)
			<i>Lolium rigidum</i>	Yes	Vila-Aiub and Powles (unpublished)
Cys-2088	Arg	Aryloxyphenoxypropionates Cyclohexanediones	<i>Lolium rigidum</i>	Yes	Vila-Aiub and Powles (unpublished)

Table 2B

Known ACCase mutations in evolved field selected weed species remaining to be investigated for fitness costs

Amino acid residue		Cross-resistance to ACCase inhibiting herbicide families	Weed species
Wild type	Resistant		
Trp-1999	Cys	Aryloxyphenoxypropionates (Fenoxaprop only)	<i>Avena sterilis</i>
Trp-2027	Cys	Aryloxyphenoxypropionates	<i>Alopecurus myosuroides</i> <i>A. sterilis</i> , <i>A. fatua</i> <i>Lolium rigidum</i> <i>Phalaris minor</i>
Ile-2041	Val	Aryloxyphenoxypropionates	<i>L. rigidum</i>
Gly-2096	Ala	Aryloxyphenoxypropionates	<i>A. myosuroides</i> <i>P. paradoxa</i>

## References

- Agnew P, Berticat C, Bedhomme S, Sidobre C, Michalakis Y. 2004.** Parasitism increases and decreases the costs of insecticide resistance in mosquitoes. *Evolution* **58**: 579 - 586.
- Alcocer-Ruthling M, Thill DC, Mallorysmith C. 1992a.** Monitoring the occurrence of sulfonyleurea-resistant prickly lettuce (*Lactuca serriola*). *Weed Technology* **6**: 437-440.
- Alcocer-Ruthling M, Thill DC, Shafii B. 1992b.** Differential competitiveness of sulfonyleurea resistant and susceptible prickly lettuce (*Lactuca serriola*). *Weed Technology* **6**: 303-309.
- Andrews TS, Morrison IN. 1997.** The persistence of trifluralin resistance in green foxtail (*Setaria viridis*) populations. *Weed Technology* **11**: 369-372.
- Antonovics J, Thrall PH. 1994.** Cost of resistance and the maintenance of genetic-polymorphism in host-pathogen systems. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences* **257**: 105-110.
- Arntz AM, DeLucia EH, Jordan N. 1998.** Contribution of photosynthetic rate to growth and reproduction in *Amaranthus hybridus*. *Oecologia* **117**: 323-330.
- Arntz AM, Delucia EH, Jordan N. 2000.** Fitness effects of a photosynthetic mutation across contrasting environments. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **13**: 792-803.
- Ashigh J, Tardif F. 2007.** An Ala<sub>205</sub>Val substitution in acetohydroxyacid synthase of Eastern black nightshade (*Solanum ptychanthum*) reduces sensitivity to herbicides and feedback inhibition. *Weed Science* **55**: 558-565.
- Baldwin IT, Gorham D, Schmelz EA, Lewandowski CA, Lynds GY. 1998.** Allocation of nitrogen to an inducible defense and seed production in *Nicotiana attenuata*. *Oecologia* **115**: 541-552.

- Baucom RS, Mauricio R. 2004.** Fitness costs and benefits of novel herbicide tolerance in a noxious weed. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **101**: 13386-13390.
- Baucom RS, Mauricio R. 2008.** Constraints on the evolution of tolerance to herbicide in the common morning glory: resistance and tolerance are mutually exclusive. *Evolution* **62**: 2842-2854.
- Beckie HJ. 2006.** Herbicide-resistant weeds: Management tactics and practices. *Weed Technology* **20**: 793-814.
- Bergelson J. 1994.** Changes in fecundity do not predict invasiveness - a model study of transgenic plants. *Ecology* **75**: 249-252.
- Bergelson J, Purrington CB. 1996.** Surveying patterns in the cost of resistance in plants. *The American Naturalist* **148**: 536-558.
- Bergelson J, Purrington CB, Palm CJ, Lopez-Gutierrez JC. 1996.** Costs of resistance: A test using transgenic *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences* **263**: 1659-1663.
- Boivin T, Bouvier JC, Chadoeuf J, Beslay D, Sauphanor B. 2003.** Constraints on adaptive mutations in the codling moth *Cydia pomonella* (L.): measuring fitness trade-offs and natural selection. *Heredity* **90**: 107-113.
- Bourdôt GW, Saville DJ, Hurrell GA. 1996.** Ecological fitness and the decline of resistance to the herbicide MCPA in a population of *Ranunculus acris*. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **33**: 151-160.
- Bourguet D, Guillemaud T, Chevillon C, Raymond M. 2004.** Fitness costs of insecticide resistance in natural breeding sites of the mosquito *Culex pipiens*. *Evolution* **58**: 128-135.



- Boutsalis P, Karotam J, Powles SB. 1999.** Molecular basis of resistance to acetolactate synthase-inhibiting herbicides in *Sisymbrium orientale* and *Brassica tournefortii*. *Pesticide Science* **55**: 507-516.
- Brown JKM. 2003.** A cost of disease resistance: Paradigm or peculiarity? *Trends in Genetics* **19**: 667-671.
- Burd M, Ashman TL, Campbell DR, Dudash MR, Johnston MO, Knight TM, Mazer SJ, Mitchell RJ, Steets JA, Vamosi JC. 2009.** Ovule number per flower in a world of unpredictable pollination. *American Journal of Botany* **96**: 1159-1167.
- Burnet MWM, Christopher JT, Holtum JAM, Powles SB. 1994.** Identification of 2 mechanisms of sulfonylurea resistance within one population of rigid ryegrass (*Lolium rigidum*) using a selective germination medium. *Weed Science* **42**: 468-473.
- Chang SM, Rausher MD. 1998.** Frequency-dependent pollen discounting contributes to maintenance of a mixed mating system in the common morning glory *Ipomoea purpurea*. *American Naturalist* **152**: 671-683.
- Chapin III FS, Autumn K, Pugnaire F. 1993.** Evolution of suites of traits in response to environmental-stress. *American Naturalist* **142**: S78-S92.
- Chevillon C, Pasteur N, Marquine M, Heyse D, Raymond M. 1995.** Population-structure and dynamics of selected genes in the mosquito *Culex pipiens*. *Evolution* **49**: 997-1007.
- Chong CK, Shin HJ, Chang SI, Choi JD. 1999.** Role of tryptophanyl residues in tobacco acetolactate synthase. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **259**: 136-140.
- Christopher JT, Powles SB, Holtum JAM. 1992.** Resistance to acetolactate synthase-inhibiting herbicides in annual ryegrass (*Lolium rigidum*) involves at least 2 mechanisms. *Plant Physiology* **100**: 1909-1913.

- Cohan FM, King EC, Zawadzki P. 1994.** Amelioration of the deleterious pleiotropic effects of an adaptive mutation in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Evolution* **48**: 81-95.
- Coley PD, Bryant JP, Chapin FS. 1985.** Resource availability and plant antiherbivore defense. *Science* **230**: 895-899.
- Coupland D 1994.** Resistance to the auxin analog herbicides. In: S. B. Powles J. A. M. Holtum eds. *Herbicide Resistance in Plants. Biology and Biochemistry*. Boca Raton: Lewis Publishers, 171-214.
- Cousens RD, Gill GS, Speijers EJ. 1997.** Comment: Number of sample populations required to determine the effects of herbicide resistance on plant growth and fitness. *Weed Research* **37**: 1-4.
- Dekker JH, Sharkey TD. 1992.** Regulation of photosynthesis in triazine-resistant and -susceptible *Brassica napus*. *Plant Physiology* **98**: 1069-1073.
- Delph LF, Weinig C, Sullivan K. 1998.** Why fast-growing pollen tubes give rise to vigorous progeny: the test of a new mechanism. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences* **265**: 935-939.
- Délye C. 2005.** Weed resistance to acetyl coenzyme A carboxylase inhibitors: an update. *Weed Science* **53**: 728-746.
- Délye C, Menchari Y, Guillemin JP, Matejicek A, Michel S, Camilleri C, Chauvel B. 2007.** Status of black grass (*Alopecurus myosuroides*) resistance to acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase inhibitors in France. *Weed Research* **47**: 95-105.
- Délye C, Michel S. 2005.** 'Universal' primers for PCR-sequencing of grass chloroplastic acetyl-CoA carboxylase domains involved in resistance to herbicides. *Weed Research* **45**: 323-330.

- Délye C, Wang T, Darmency H. 2002.** An isoleucine-leucine substitution in chloroplastic acetyl-CoA carboxylase from green foxtail (*Setaria viridis* L. Beauv.) is responsible for resistance to the cyclohexanedione herbicide sethoxydim. *Planta* **214**: 421-427.
- Délye C, Zhang XQ, Chalopin C, Michel S, Powles SB. 2003.** An isoleucine residue within the carboxyl-transferase domain of multidomain acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase is a major determinant of sensitivity to aryloxyphenoxypropionate but not to cyclohexanedione inhibitors. *Plant Physiology* **132**: 1716-1723.
- Délye C, Zhang XQ, Michel S, Matějček A, Powles SB. 2005.** Molecular bases for sensitivity to acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase inhibitors in black-grass. *Plant Physiology* **137**: 794-806.
- Deshpande S, Hall JC. 2000.** Auxinic herbicide resistance may be modulated at the auxin-binding site in wild mustard (*Sinapis arvensis* L.): A light scattering study. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology* **66**: 41-48.
- Desprez T, Vernhettes S, Fagard M, Refrégier G, Desnos T, Aletti E, Py N, Pelletier S, Höfte H. 2002.** Resistance against herbicide isoxaben and cellulose deficiency caused by distinct mutations in same cellulose synthase isoform CESA6. *Plant Physiology* **128**: 482-490.
- Devine MD, Shimabukuro RH 1994.** Resistance to acetyl coenzyme A carboxylase inhibiting herbicides. In: S. B. PowlesA. M. Holtum eds. *Herbicide Resistance in Plants. Biology and Biochemistry*. Boca Raton, Fl: CRC Press, 141-169.
- Ducruet JM, Ort DR. 1988.** Enhanced susceptibility of photosynthesis to high leaf temperature in triazine-resistant *Solanum nigrum* L. Evidence for photosystem II D1 protein site of action. *Plant Science* **56**: 39-48.

- Duggleby RG, Pang SS, Yu H, Guddat LW. 2003.** Systematic characterization of mutations in yeast acetohydroxyacid synthase. Interpretation of herbicide-resistance data. *European Journal of Biochemistry* **270**: 2895-2904.
- Duke SO, Powles SB. 2008.** Glyphosate: a once-in-a-century herbicide. *Pest Management Science* **64**: 319-325.
- Dyer WE, Chee PW, Fay PK. 1993.** Rapid germination of sulfonylurea-resistant *Kochia scoparia* l accessions is associated with elevated seed levels of branched-chain amino-acids. *Weed Science* **41**: 18-22.
- Eberlein CV, Guttieri MJ, Berger PH, Fellman JK, Mallory-Smith CA, Thill DC, Baerg RJ, Belknap WR. 1999.** Physiological consequences of mutation for ALS-inhibitor resistance. *Weed Science* **47**: 383-392.
- Eberlein CV, Guttieri MJ, MallorySmith CA, Thill DC, Baerg RJ. 1997.** Altered acetolactate synthase activity in ALS-inhibitor resistant prickly lettuce (*Lactuca serriola*). *Weed Science* **45**: 212-217.
- Fisher RA. 1928.** The possible modification of the response of the wild type to recurrent mutations. *The American Naturalist* **62**: 115-126.
- Friesen LJS, Ferguson GM, Hall JC. 2000.** Management strategies for attenuating herbicide resistance: untoward consequences of their promotion. *Crop Protection* **19**: 891-895.
- Gassmann AJ. 2005.** Resistance to herbicide and susceptibility to herbivores: environmental variation in the magnitude of an ecological trade-off. *Oecologia* **145**: 575-585.
- Gassmann AJ, Futuyma DJ. 2005.** Consequence of herbivory for the fitness cost of herbicide resistance: photosynthetic variation in the context of plant-herbivore interactions. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **18**: 447-454.
- Gillespie JH. 1975.** Natural Selection for Resistance to Epidemics. *Ecology* **56**: 493-495.

- Glawe GA, Zavala JA, Kessler A, Van Dam NM, Baldwin IT. 2003.** Ecological costs and benefits correlated with trypsin protease inhibitor production in *Nicotiana attenuata*. *Ecology* **84**: 79-90.
- Groeters FR, Tabashnik BE, Finson N, Johnson MW. 1994.** Fitness costs of resistance to bacillus-thuringiensis in the diamondback moth (*Plutella xylostella*). *Evolution* **48**: 197-201.
- Gronwald JW 1994.** Resistance to photosystem II inhibiting herbicides. In: S. B. Powles J. A. M. Holtum eds. *Herbicide Resistance in Plants. Biology and Biochemistry*. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 27-60.
- Guillemaud T, Lenormand T, Bourguet D, Chevillon C, Pasteur N, Raymond M. 1998.** Evolution of resistance in *Culex pipiens*: Allele replacement and changing environment. *Evolution* **52**: 443-453.
- Gustafsson I, Cars O, Andersson DI. 2003.** Fitness of antibiotic resistant *Staphylococcus epidermidis* assessed by competition on the skin of human volunteers. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **52**: 258-263.
- Guttieri MJ, Eberlein CV, Mallorysmith CA, Thill DC, Hoffman DL. 1992.** DNA sequence variation in domain a of the acetolactate synthase genes of herbicide-resistant and herbicide-susceptible weed biotypes. *Weed Science* **40**: 670-676.
- Hall JC, Romano ML. 1995.** Morphological and physiological differences between the auxinic herbicide-susceptible (s) and herbicide-resistant (r) wild mustard (*Sinapis arvensis* L) biotypes. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology* **52**: 149-155.
- Hall LM, Tardif FJ, Powles SB. 1994.** Mechanisms of cross and multiple herbicide resistance in *Alopecurus myosuroides* and *Lolium rigidum*. *Phytoprotection* **75**: 17-23.

- Hanley ME. 1998.** Seedling herbivory, community composition and plant life history traits. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* **1**: 191-205.
- Hardstone MC, Lazzaro BP, Scott JG. 2009.** The effect of three environmental conditions on the fitness of cytochrome P450 monooxygenase-mediated permethrin resistance in *Culex pipiens quinquefasciatus*. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **9**.
- Harper J. 1977.** *Population Biology of Plants*. London: Academic Press.
- Hart JJ, Stemler A. 1990.** High light-induced reduction and low light-enhanced recovery of photon yield in triazine-resistant *Brassica napus* L. *Plant Physiology* **94**: 1301-1307.
- Heidel AJ, Clarke JD, Antonovics J, Dong X. 2004.** Fitness costs of mutations affecting the systemic acquired resistance pathway in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Genetics* **168**: 2197-2206.
- Herms DA, Mattson WJ. 1992.** The dilemma of plants - to grow or defend. *Quarterly Review of Biology* **67**: 283-335.
- Herms DA, Mattson WJ. 1994.** Plant-growth and defense. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **9**: 488-488.
- Höfgen R, Laber B, Schuttke I, Klonus AK, Streber W, Pohlenz HD. 1995.** Repression of acetolactate synthase activity through antisense inhibition - molecular-analysis and biochemical-analysis of transgenic potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L cv desiree) plants. *Plant Physiology* **107**: 469-477.
- Holt JS 1990.** Fitness and ecological adaptability of herbicide-resistant biotypes. In: M. B. Green, H. M. Le Baron, W. K. Moberg eds. *Managing Resistance to Agrochemicals. From Fundamental Research to Practical Strategies*. Washington DC: ACS, 419-429.
- Holt JS, Thill DC 1994.** Growth and productivity of resistant plants. In: S. B. Powles, J. A. M. Holtum eds. *Herbicide Resistance in Plants. Biology and Biochemistry*. Boca Raton: Lewis Publishers, 299-316.

- Ismail BS, Chuah TS, Salmijah S, Teng YT, Schumacher RW. 2002.** Germination and seedling emergence of glyphosate-resistant and susceptible biotypes of goosegrass (*Eleusine indica* [L.] Gaertn.). *Weed Biology and Management* **2**: 177-185.
- Jansen MAK, Pfister K. 1990.** Conserved kinetics at the reducing side of reaction-center-II in photosynthetic organisms-changed kinetics in triazine-resistant weeds. *Zeitschrift Fur Naturforschung C-a Journal of Biosciences* **45**: 441-445.
- Jasieniuk M, BruleBabel AL, Morrison IN. 1996.** The evolution and genetics of herbicide resistance in weeds. *Weed Science* **44**: 176-193.
- Jessup CM, Bohannan BJM. 2008.** The shape of an ecological trade-off varies with environment. *Ecology Letters* **11**: 1-13.
- Jordan N, Kelrick M, Brooks J, Kinerk W. 1999.** Biorational management tactics to select against triazine-resistant *Amaranthus hybridus*: a field trial. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **36**: 123-132.
- Keller M, Kollmann J. 1999.** Effects of seed provenance on germination of herbs for agricultural compensation sites. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* **72**: 87-99.
- Lerdau M, Gershenson J 1997.** Allocation theory and chemical defense. In: F. BazzazJ. Grace eds. *Plant Resource Allocation*. London: Academic Press, 265-277.
- Lincoln C, Britton JH, Estelle M. 1990.** Growth and development of the axr1 mutants of *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **2**: 1071-1080.
- Liu WJ, Harrison DK, Chalupska D, Gornicki P, O'Donnell CC, Adkins SW, Haselkorn R, Williams RR. 2007.** Single-site mutations in the carboxyltransferase domain of plastid acetyl-CoA carboxylase confer resistance to grass-specific herbicides. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **104**: 3627-3632.

- Maher EP, Martindale SJB. 1980.** Mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana* with altered responses to auxins and gravity. *Biochemical Genetics* **18**: 1041-1053.
- Maisnier-Patin S, Andersson DI. 2004.** Adaptation to the deleterious effects of antimicrobial drug resistance mutations by compensatory evolution. *Research in Microbiology* **155**: 360-369.
- Marak HB, Biere A, Van Damme JMM. 2003.** Fitness costs of chemical defense in *Plantago lanceolata* L.: Effects of nutrient and competition stress. *Evolution* **57**: 2519-2530.
- Martin G, Lenormand T. 2006.** The fitness effect of mutations across environments: A survey in light of fitness landscape models. *Evolution* **60**: 2413-2427.
- McAlister FM, Holtum JAM, Powles SB. 1995.** Dinitroaniline herbicide resistance in rigid ryegrass (*Lolium rigidum*). *Weed Science* **43**: 55-62.
- McKenzie JA, Clarke GM. 1988.** Diazinon resistance, fluctuating asymmetry and fitness in the Australian sheep blowfly, *Lucilia-cuprina*. *Genetics* **120**: 213-220.
- Menalled FD, Smith RG. 2007.** Competitiveness of herbicide-resistant and herbicide-susceptible kochia (*Kochia scoparia* [L.] Schrad.) under contrasting management practices. *Weed Biology and Management* **7**: 115-119.
- Menchari Y, Chauvel B, Darmency H, Delye C. 2008.** Fitness costs associated with three mutant acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase alleles endowing herbicide resistance in black-grass *Alopecurus myosuroides*. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **45**: 939-947.
- Mifflin BJ, Cave PR. 1972.** The control of leucine, isoleucine, and valine biosynthesis in a range of higher plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **23**: 511-516.
- Mourad G, Williams D, King J. 1995.** A double mutant allele, *csr1-4*, of *Arabidopsis thaliana* encodes an acetolactate synthase with altered kinetics. *Planta* **196**: 64-68.



- Murphy TR, Gossett BJ, Toler JE. 1986.** Growth and development of dinitroaniline-susceptible and dinitroaniline-resistant goosegrass (*Eleusine indica*) biotypes under noncompetitive conditions. *Weed Science* **34**: 704-710.
- Neve P, Diggle AJ, Smith FP, Powles SB. 2003.** Simulating evolution of glyphosate resistance in *Lolium rigidum* I: population biology of a rare resistance trait. *Weed Research* **43**: 404-417.
- O'Donovan JT, Newman JC, Blackshaw RE, Harker KN, Derksen DA, Thomas AG. 1999.** Growth, competitiveness, and seed germination of triallate/difenzoquat-susceptible and -resistant wild oat populations. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science* **79**: 303-312.
- Owen MJ, Walsh MJ, Llewellyn RS, Powles SB. 2007.** Widespread occurrence of multiple herbicide resistance in Western Australian annual ryegrass (*Lolium rigidum*) populations. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* **58**: 711-718.
- Paris M, Roux F, Berard A, Reboud X. 2008.** The effects of the genetic background on herbicide resistance fitness cost and its associated dominance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Heredity* **101**: 499-506.
- Park KW, Mallory-Smith CA, Ball DA, Mueller-Warrant GW. 2004.** Ecological fitness of acetolactate synthase inhibitor-resistant and -susceptible downy brome (*Bromus tectorum*) biotypes. *Weed Science* **52**: 768-773.
- Paulander W, Maisnier-Patin S, Andersson DI. 2007.** Multiple mechanisms to ameliorate the fitness burden of mupirocin resistance in *Salmonella typhimurium*. *Molecular Microbiology* **64**: 1038-1048.
- Pedersen BP, Neve P, Andreasen C, Powles SB. 2007.** Ecological fitness of a glyphosate-resistant *Lolium rigidum* population: Growth and seed production along a competition gradient. *Basic and Applied Ecology* **8**: 258-268.

- Plowman AB, Richards AJ. 1997.** The effect of light and temperature on competition between atrazine susceptible and resistant *Brassica rapa*. *Annals of Botany* **80**: 583-590.
- Plowman AB, Richards AJ, Tremayne MA. 1999.** Environmental effects on the fitness of triazine-resistant and triazine-susceptible *Brassica rapa* and *Chenopodium album* in the absence of herbicide. *New Phytologist* **141**: 471-485.
- Powles SB. 2008.** Evolved glyphosate-resistant weeds around the world: lessons to be learnt. *Pest Management Science* **64**: 360-365.
- Powles SB, Holtum JAM. 1994.** *Herbicide Resistance in Plants: Biology and Biochemistry*. Boca Raton, FL: Lewis.
- Powles SB, Preston C. 2006.** Evolved glyphosate resistance in plants: Biochemical and genetic basis of resistance. *Weed Technology* **20**: 282-289.
- Powles SB, Shaner DL. 2001.** *Herbicide Resistance and World Grains*: CRC Press.
- Powles SB, Yu Q. 2010.** Evolution In Action: Plants Resistant to Herbicides. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **61**: (in press).
- Preston C. 2004.** Herbicide resistance in weeds endowed by enhanced detoxification: complications for management. *Weed Science* **52**: 448-453.
- Preston C, Stone LM, Rieger MA, Baker J. 2006.** Multiple effects of a naturally occurring proline to threonine substitution within acetolactate synthase in two herbicide-resistant populations of *Lactuca serriola*. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology* **84**: 227-235.
- Preston C, Tardif FJ, Christopher JT, Powles SB. 1996.** Multiple resistance to dissimilar herbicide chemistries in a biotype of *Lolium rigidum* due to enhanced activity of several herbicide degrading enzymes. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology* **54**: 123-134.
- Preston C, Wakelin AM. 2008.** Resistance to glyphosate from altered herbicide translocation patterns. *Pest Management Science* **64**: 372-376.

- Primack RB, Hyesoon K. 1989.** Measuring fitness and natural selection in wild plant populations. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **20**: 367-396.
- Purrington CB. 2000.** Costs of resistance. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **3**: 305-308.
- Purrington CB, Bergelson J. 1997.** Fitness consequences of genetically engineered herbicide and antibiotic resistance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Genetics* **145**: 807-814.
- Purrington CB, Bergelson J. 1999.** Exploring the physiological basis of costs of herbicide resistance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *The American Naturalist* **154**: S82-S91.
- Ransom CV, Kells JJ, Wax LM, Orfanedes MS. 1998.** Morphological variation among hemp dogbane (*Apocynum cannabinum*) populations. *Weed Science* **46**: 71-75.
- Reboud X, Till-Bottraud I. 1991.** The cost of herbicide resistance measured by a competition experiment. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **82**: 690-696.
- Roux F, Camilleri C, Bérard A, Reboud X. 2005a.** Multigenerational versus single generation studies to estimate herbicide resistance fitness cost in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Evolution* **59**: 2264-2269.
- Roux F, Camilleri C, Giancola S, Brunel D, Reboud X. 2005b.** Epistatic interactions among herbicide resistances in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: The fitness cost of multiresistance. *Genetics* **171**: 1277-1288.
- Roux F, Gasquez J, Reboud X. 2004.** The dominance of the herbicide resistance cost in several *Arabidopsis thaliana* mutant lines. *Genetics* **166**: 449-460.
- Roux F, Giancola S, Durand S, Reboud X. 2006.** Building of an experimental cline with *Arabidopsis thaliana* to estimate herbicide fitness cost. *Genetics* **173**: 1023-1031.
- Roux F, Reboud X. 2005.** Is the cost of herbicide resistance expressed in the breakdown of the relationships between characters? A case study using synthetic-auxin-resistant *Arabidopsis thaliana* mutants. *Genetical Research* **85**: 101-110.

- Saari LL, Cotterman JC, Thill DC 1994.** Resistance to acetolactate synthase inhibiting herbicides. In: S. B. Powles J. A. M. Holtum eds. *Herbicide Resistance in Plants, Biology and Biochemistry*. Boca Raton: Lewis, 141-170.
- Salzmann D, Handley RJ, Mueller-Scharer H. 2008.** Functional significance of triazine-herbicide resistance in defence of *Senecio vulgaris* against a rust fungus. *Basic and Applied Ecology* **9**: 577-587.
- Sax DF, Stachowicz JJ, Brown JH, Bruno JF, Dawson MN, Gaines SD, Grosberg RK, Hastings A, Holt RD, Mayfield MM, O'Connor MI, Rice WR. 2007.** Ecological and evolutionary insights from species invasions. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **22**: 465-471.
- Scheible WR, Eshed R, Richmond T, Delmer D, Somerville C. 2001.** Modifications of cellulose synthase confer resistance to isoxaben and thiazolidinone herbicides in *Arabidopsis* Ixr1 mutants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **98**: 10079-10084.
- Schuler MA, Werck-Reichhart D. 2003.** Functional genomics of P450s. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **54**: 629-667.
- Sha XY, Linscombe SD, Groth DE. 2007.** Field evaluation of imidazolinone-tolerant clearfield rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) at nine Louisiana locations. *Crop Science* **47**: 1177-1185.
- Shaner DL. 2009.** Role of Translocation as A Mechanism of Resistance to Glyphosate. *Weed Science* **57**: 118-123.
- Shukla A, Leach GE, Devine MD. 1997.** High-level resistance to sethoxydim conferred by an alteration in the target enzyme, acetyl-CoA carboxylase, in *Setaria faberi* and *Setaria viridis*. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* **35**: 803-807.

- Siminszky B. 2006.** Plant cytochrome P450-mediated herbicide metabolism. *Phytochemistry Reviews*: 445-458.
- Snaydon RW. 1971.** An analysis of competition between plants of *Trifolium repens* L. Populations collected from contrasting soils. *The Journal of Applied Ecology* **8**: 687-697.
- Song Z, Lu B, Zhu Y, Chen J. 2002.** Pollen competition between cultivated and wild rice species (*Oryza sativa* and *O. rufipogon*). *New Phytologist* **153**: 289-296.
- Strauss SY, Rudgers JA, Lau JA, Irwin RE. 2002.** Direct and ecological costs of resistance to herbivory. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **17**: 278-285.
- Swift MJ, Anderson JM 1994.** Biodiversity and ecosystem function in agricultural systems. In: E. D. Schulze, H. A. Mooney eds. *Biodiversity and Ecosystem Function*. Berlin: Springer, 15-41.
- Tardif FJ, Powles SB. 1994.** Herbicide multiple-resistance in a *Lolium rigidum* biotype is endowed by multiple mechanisms - isolation of a subset with resistant acetyl-CoA carboxylase. *Physiologia Plantarum* **91**: 488-494.
- Tardif FJ, Rajcan I, Costea M. 2006.** A mutation in the herbicide target site acetohydroxyacid synthase produces morphological and structural alterations and reduces fitness in *Amaranthus powellii*. *New Phytologist* **169**: 251-264.
- Thompson CR, Thill DC, Shafii B. 1994.** Germination characteristics of sulfonylurea-resistant and sulfonylurea-susceptible kochia (*Kochia scoparia*). *Weed Science* **42**: 50-56.
- Tian D, Traw MB, Chen JQ, Kreitman M, Bergelson J. 2003.** Fitness costs of R-gene-mediated resistance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature* **423**: 74-77.
- Timpte C, Lincoln C, Pickett FB. 1995.** The AXR1 and AUX1 genes of *Arabidopsis* function in separate auxin-response pathways. *The Plant Journal* **8**: 561-569.

- Timpte C, Wilson AK, Estelle M. 1994.** The *axr2-1* mutation of *Arabidopsis thaliana* is a gain-of-function mutation that disrupts an early step in auxin response. *Genetics* **138**: 1239-1249.
- Tourneur C, Jouanin L, Vaucheret H. 1993.** Over-expression of acetolactate synthase confers resistance to valine in transgenic tobacco. *Plant Science* **88**: 159-168.
- Tranel PJ, Wright TR. 2002.** Resistance of weeds to ALS-inhibiting herbicides: what have we learned? *Weed Science* **50**: 700-712.
- Tranel PJ, Wright TR, Heap IM 2008.** ALS mutations from herbicide-resistant weeds. Online. Internet. Available <http://www.weedscience.com>.In.
- Trebst A 1996.** The molecular basis of plant resistance to photosystem II herbicides. In: B. TM ed. *Molecular Genetics and Evolution of Pesticide Resistance*. Washington DC: American Chemical Society, 44-51.
- van Dam NM, Baldwin IT. 1998.** Costs of jasmonate-induced responses in plants competing for limited resources. *Ecology Letters* **1**: 30-33.
- Van Dam NM, Baldwin IT. 2001.** Competition mediates costs of jasmonate-induced defences, nitrogen acquisition and transgenerational plasticity in *Nicotiana attenuata*. *Functional Ecology* **15**: 406-415.
- Vila-Aiub MM, Neve P, Powles SB. 2005a.** Resistance cost of a cytochrome P450 herbicide metabolism mechanism but not an ACCase target site mutation in a multiple resistant *Lolium rigidum* population. *New Phytologist* **167**: 787-796.
- Vila-Aiub MM, Neve P, Powles SB. 2009.** Evidence for an ecological cost of enhanced herbicide metabolism in *Lolium rigidum*. *Journal of Ecology* **97**: 772–780.
- Vila-Aiub MM, Neve P, Steadman KJ, Powles SB. 2005b.** Ecological fitness of a multiple herbicide-resistant *Lolium rigidum* population: dynamics of seed germination and

seedling emergence of resistant and susceptible phenotypes. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **42**: 288-298.

**Walsh MJ, Powles SB. 2007.** Management strategies for herbicide-resistant weed populations in Australian dryland crop production systems. *Weed Technology* **21**: 332-338.

**Wang T, Darmency H. 1997.** Inheritance of sethoxydim resistance in foxtail millet, *Setaria italica* (L.) Beauv. *Euphytica* **94**: 69-73.

**Wang T, Picard JC, Tian X, Darmency H. 2009.** A herbicide-resistant ACCase 1781 *Setaria* mutant shows higher fitness than wild type. *Heredity* (*in press*).

**Warwick SI. 1991.** Herbicide resistance in weedy plants: physiology and population biology. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **22**: 95-114.

**Webb SR, Hall JC. 1995.** Auxinic herbicide resistant and susceptible Wild mustard (*Sinapsis arvensis* L.) biotypes: effects of auxinic herbicides on seedling growth and auxin-binding activity. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology* **52**: 137-148.

**Weiher E, Keddy PA. 1999.** *Ecological Assembly Rules: Perspectives, Advances, Retreats*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

**Weiner J. 1990.** Asymmetric competition in plant-populations. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **5**: 360-364.

**Werck-Reichhart D, Hehn A, Didierjean L. 2000.** Cytochromes P450 for engineering herbicide tolerance. *Trends in Plant Science* **5**: 116-123.

**Yu Q, Collavo A, Zheng MQ, Owen M, Sattin M, Powles SB. 2007a.** Diversity of acetyl-coenzyme a carboxylase mutations in resistant *Lolium* populations: Evaluation using clethodim. *Plant Physiology* **145**: 547-558.

- Yu Q, Han H, Powles SB. 2008.** Mutations of the ALS gene endowing resistance to ALS-inhibiting herbicides in *Lolium rigidum* populations. *Pest Management Science* **64**: 1229-1236.
- Yu Q, Nelson JK, Zheng MQ, Jackson M, Powles SB. 2007b.** Molecular characterisation of resistance to ALS-inhibiting herbicides in *Hordeum leporinum* biotypes. *Pest Management Science* **63**: 918-927.
- Yu Q, Zhang XQ, Hashem A, Walsh MJ, Powles SB. 2003.** ALS gene proline (197) mutations confer ALS herbicide resistance in eight separated wild radish (*Raphanus raphanistrum*) populations. *Weed Science* **51**: 831-838.
- Zhang XQ, Powles SB. 2006.** The molecular bases for resistance to acetyl co-enzyme A carboxylase (ACCase) inhibiting herbicides in two target-based resistant biotypes of annual ryegrass (*Lolium rigidum*). *Planta* **223**: 550-557.