



## Open Datasets for Evaluating the Interpretation of Bibliographic Records

Joffrey Decourselle, Fabien Duchateau, Trond Aalberg, Naimdjon Takhirov,  
Nicolas Lumineau

### ► To cite this version:

Joffrey Decourselle, Fabien Duchateau, Trond Aalberg, Naimdjon Takhirov, Nicolas Lumineau. Open Datasets for Evaluating the Interpretation of Bibliographic Records. Joint Conference on Digital Libraries, Jun 2016, Newark, United States. <hal-01302830v2>

**HAL Id: hal-01302830**

**<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01302830v2>**

Submitted on 18 Oct 2016

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Open Datasets for Evaluating the Interpretation of Bibliographic Records

Joffrey Decourselle<sup>1</sup>, Fabien Duchateau<sup>1</sup>, Trond Aalberg<sup>2</sup>, Naimdjon Takhirov<sup>3</sup> and Nicolas Lumineau<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> LIRIS, UMR5205, Université Lyon 1  
Lyon, France  
firstname.lastname@liris.cnrs.fr

<sup>2</sup> NTNU  
Trondheim, Norway  
trondaal@idi.ntnu.no

<sup>3</sup> Westerdals - Oslo School of Arts, Communication and  
Technology - Faculty of Technology - Oslo, Norway  
taknai@westerdals.no

## 1 - Background

FRBRization is a metadata migration process which aims at extracting FRBR entities from MARC records.

- Crucial for the adoption of Semantic Web technologies in libraries
- Many tools proposed to perform the migration during the last decades
- No benchmark to compare and evaluate these tools

We provide **two open datasets** dedicated to the evaluation of FRBRization tools considering **different specificities of MARC catalog** like cataloging practices, inconsistencies and bibliographic patterns.

## 2 – Specificities of MARC records

Cataloguing practices and inconsistencies:

- **Missing information** (missing of publication info or authoritative data leading to misunderstandings).
- **Linkage errors** (All errors in title or responsibility identifiers leading to dead links between records).
- **Cataloguing practices and norms** (Specific form of data in the record, e.g., ISBD punctuation)

Bibliographic patterns:

- **Core pattern** (basic bibliographic cases)
- **Augmentation pattern** (any addition of a Work)
- **Derivation pattern** (Intellectual modification)
- **Aggregation pattern** (whole-part relationships)
- **Complementary pattern** (other related works)

## 3 – Open Datasets

Including both MARC files and FRBR gold standard

- **T42** allows the evaluation of a migration tool in terms of bibliographic patterns and cataloging issues.
- **BIB-RCAT** offers a larger collection for evaluating the interpretation of MARC records in a real-world context.

<http://bib-r.github.io/>

Features	T42	BIB-RCAT
Number of unit tests	42	-
Number of collections	126	3
Number of languages	3	1
Number of media types	8	4
Average MARC records	10 / test	560
Average fields / records	18	17
Average FRBR entities	73 / test	1922
Average FRBR properties	241 / test	9517

## 4 – Extract of a unit test from T42

Example of derivation patterns in FRBR (adaptation and translations)

