



Measurement of the CKM-angle gamma at BABAR

V. Tisserand

► **To cite this version:**

V. Tisserand. Measurement of the CKM-angle gamma at BABAR. HEP2005 International Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics, Jul 2005, Lisboa, Portugal. Proceedings of Science, pp.PoS(HEP2005)251, 2005. <in2p3-00080752>

HAL Id: in2p3-00080752

<http://hal.in2p3.fr/in2p3-00080752>

Submitted on 20 Jun 2006

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Measurement of the CKM-angle γ at *BABAR*

Vincent Tisserand*, for the *BABAR* Collaboration.

LAPP Annecy IN2P3 CNRS, France

E-mail: tisserand@lapp.in2p3.fr

We present the results of the measurements employed by the *BABAR* Collaboration, to determine the value of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) CP -violating phase γ ($\equiv \arg[-V_{ud}V_{ub}^*/V_{cd}V_{cb}^*]$). These measurements are based on the studies performed with the charged B-decays $B^- \rightarrow \tilde{D}^0 K^-$, $B^- \rightarrow \tilde{D}^{*0} K^-$, and $B^- \rightarrow \tilde{D}^0 K^{*-}$, where \tilde{D}^0 indicates either a D^0 or a \bar{D}^0 meson. A sample of about 230 million $B\bar{B}$ pairs collected by the *BABAR* detector [1], at the PEP-II asymmetric-energy e^+e^- collider at SLAC, is used.

Three methods are exploited [2, 3, 4], where the \tilde{D}^0 decays either to a CP -eigenstate (GLW), or to a Cabibbo-suppressed flavor decay ("wrong sign", ADS), or to the $K_S^0 \pi^- \pi^+$ final state, for which a Dalitz analysis has to be performed ($GGSZ$). To extract γ , those 3 methods are all based on the fact that a B^- meson can decay into a color-allowed $D^{(*)0} K^- / K^{*-}$ (color-suppressed $\bar{D}^{(*)0} K^- / K^{*-}$) final state via $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}s$ ($b \rightarrow u\bar{c}s$) transitions. The amplitude $\mathcal{A}("V_{cb}")$ of the $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}s$ transition is proportional to λ^3 and the amplitude $A("V_{ub}")$ of the $b \rightarrow u\bar{c}s$ transition to $\lambda^3 \sqrt{\bar{\eta}^2 + \bar{\rho}^2} e^{i(\delta_{B^-} - \gamma)}$. The second amplitude therefore carries both the EW γ CP -phase and the relative strong phase of those 2 transitions. As the total measured amplitude for $B^- \rightarrow \tilde{D}^0 K^-$, $B^- \rightarrow \tilde{D}^{*0} K^-$, and $B^- \rightarrow \tilde{D}^0 K^{*-}$ decays is the sum of the 2 amplitudes $\mathcal{A}("V_{cb}")$ and $\mathcal{A}("V_{ub}")$, the 2 amplitudes interfere when the D^0 and \bar{D}^0 decay into the same final state. This interference can lead to different B^+ and B^- decay rates (direct CP -violation).

The various methods are "theoretically clean" because the main contributions to the amplitudes come from tree-level transitions. In addition to the CKM parameters and to the strong phase, $\mathcal{A}("V_{ub}")$ is significantly reduced with respect to $\mathcal{A}("V_{cb}")$ by the color suppression phenomenon. One usually defines the parameter $r_B \equiv |\mathcal{A}("V_{ub"}) / \mathcal{A}("V_{cb"})|$ that determines the size of the direct CP asymmetry. It is the critical parameter for these analyzes. Its value is predicted [5] to lie in the range 0.1 – 0.3. The smaller r_B is, the smaller is the experimental sensitivity to γ .

A combination of the various constraints obtained with these methods is performed. It is based on a frequentist approach [6] where the world average of the GLW and ADS methods is combined with the result of the *BABAR* Dalitz analysis [7]. It constrains the angle γ to have a value equal to $[51_{-18}^{+23}]^\circ$ and consistent with the overall indirect prediction obtained for the standard model CKM triangle fit: $[57_{-13}^{+7}]^\circ$. The *BABAR* Dalitz analysis alone measures $\gamma = [67 \pm 28(stat.) \pm 13(syst.) \pm 11(Dalitz\ model)]^\circ$. Incidentally, It should be emphasized that these somewhat precise measurements were considered as unreachable at B-factories a few years ago.

International Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics
 July 21st - 27th 2005
 Lisboa, Portugal

*Speaker.

1. Introduction to the various physical quantities

The 2 parameters " r_B " and " δ_B " depend on the studied decay: $B^- \rightarrow \tilde{D}^0 K^-$ (δ_B and r_B) or $B^- \rightarrow \tilde{D}^{*0} K^-$ (δ_B^* and r_B^*) or $B^- \rightarrow \tilde{D}^0 K^{*-}$ (δ_{sB} and r_{sB}). The CKM-angle γ , and the parameters " r_B ", and " δ_B " can be measured experimentally through the 2 observable quantities (Asymmetry and Ratio of Branching Ratios):

$$\mathbf{A} \equiv \frac{\Gamma(B^- \rightarrow \tilde{D}^{(*)0} K^{(*)-}) - \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \tilde{D}^{(*)0} K^{(*)+})}{\Gamma(B^- \rightarrow \tilde{D}^{(*)0} K^{(*)-}) + \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \tilde{D}^{(*)0} K^{(*)+})}, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\mathbf{R} \equiv \frac{\Gamma(B^- \rightarrow \tilde{D}^{(*)0} K^{(*)-}) + \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \tilde{D}^{(*)0} K^{(*)+})}{\Gamma(B^- \rightarrow D^{(*)0} K^{(*)-}) + \Gamma(B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^{(*)0} K^{(*)+})}. \quad (1.2)$$

Both *BABAR* [8] and Belle [9] Collaborations have produced results for these three methods at the time of spring 2005. We essentially present here new results for the decay $B^- \rightarrow \tilde{D}^0 K^{*-}$ ($K^*(892)^-$ decays where $K^{*-} \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^-$). The analyzes are described in details in [10, 11, 7].

2. The *GLW* analysis [2, 8, 10]

The \tilde{D}^0 is reconstructed in various *CP*-eigenstates decay channels: $K^+ K^-$, $\pi^+ \pi^-$ (*CP*+ eigenstates); and $K_S^0 \pi^0$, $K_S^0 \phi$, $K_S^0 \omega$ (*CP*- eigenstates). The \mathbf{R}_{CP} is normalized to the branching ratios as obtained from 3 flavor state decays: $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$, $K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$, and $K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$. One has 4 observable quantities, for 3 unknown (γ , r_B , and δ_B): $\mathbf{R}_{CP\pm} = 1 \pm 2r_B \cos \delta \cos \gamma + r_B^2$ and $\mathbf{A}_{CP\pm} = \frac{\pm 2r_B \sin \delta \sin \gamma}{\mathbf{R}_{CP\pm}}$. Only 3 are independent, as: $\mathbf{R}_{CP-} \mathbf{A}_{CP-} = -\mathbf{R}_{CP+} \mathbf{A}_{CP+}$. In principle with infinite statistics this method is very clean to determine γ (with 8 fold-ambiguities). But the small *CP*-asymmetry (small $r_B \simeq 0.1 - 0.3$) and the small secondary branching ratios to produce the D^0 *CP*-eigenstates, make this method difficult with the present B-factories dataset.

For the $B^- \rightarrow \tilde{D}^0 K^{*-}$ decay [10], we measure: $\mathbf{A}_{CP+} = -0.08 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.08$, $\mathbf{R}_{CP+} = -0.26 \pm 0.40 \pm 0.12$, $\mathbf{A}_{CP-} = 1.96 \pm 0.40 \pm 0.11$, and $\mathbf{R}_{CP-} = 0.65 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.08$, where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second systematic. The (peaking)-background is estimated from the m_{ES} and mD^0 side-bands. The *CP*+ pollution for *CP*- eigenstate from decays $K_S^0 [K^+ K^-]_{\text{non } \phi}$ and $K_S^0 [\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0]_{\text{non } \omega}$ is estimated using data. Finally, we take into account in the systematic uncertainties the possible strong phases as generated by probable $K\pi$ S-waves in the $K^{*-} \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^-$ decays. From $\mathbf{R}_{CP\pm}$ we also derive $rs_B^2 = 0.30 \pm 0.25$. When one defines the so-called *Cartesian coordinates*: $xs^\pm \equiv rs_B \cos(\delta_s \pm \gamma)$, we find: $xs^+ = 0.32 \pm 0.18$ (*stat.*) ± 0.07 (*syst.*), $xs^- = 0.33 \pm 0.16$ (*stat.*) ± 0.06 (*syst.*). At the present time, the measured values of \mathbf{A}_{CP} (\mathbf{R}_{CP}) are not precise enough to differ significantly from 0 (1) so that a strong constraint on γ can be obtained from the *GLW* method alone.

3. The *ADS* analysis [3, 8, 11]

The D^0 meson as generated from the $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}s$ transition is required to decay to the doubly Cabibbo-suppressed $K^+ \pi^-$ mode ("wrong sign"), while the \bar{D}^0 meson, from the interfering $b \rightarrow u\bar{c}s$ transition, decays to Cabibbo-favored final state $K^+ \pi^-$. The overall branching ratio for a final state $B^- \rightarrow [K^+ \pi^-]_{D^0} K^{(*)-}$ is expected to be small ($\sim 10^{-6}$), but the 2 interfering diagrams

are now of the same order of magnitude. The challenge in this method is therefore to detect B candidate in this final state with 2-opposite charge kaons. The total amplitude is complicated by an additional unknown relative strong phase δ_D in the $D^0-\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow [K^+\pi^-]$ system, while the ratio of their respective amplitude r_D is precisely measured at the level of 6 % [12]. It can be written as $A([K^+\pi^-]_{\bar{D}^0}K^{(*)-}) \propto r_B e^{i(\delta_B-\gamma)} + r_D e^{-i\delta_D}$. Using the $B^- \rightarrow [K^-\pi^+]K^{(*)-}$ modes as normalisation for \mathbf{R}_{ADS} , one can write the equations for the 2 experimental observable quantities: $\mathbf{R}_{ADS} = r_B^2 + r_D^2 + 2r_B r_D \cos(\delta_B + \delta_D) \cos(\gamma)$ and $\mathbf{A}_{ADS} = \frac{2 r_B r_D \sin(\delta_B + \delta_D) \sin(\gamma)}{\mathbf{R}_{ADS}}$. Where \mathbf{R}_{ADS} is clearly highly sensitive to r_B^2 .

For the $B^- \rightarrow \tilde{D}^0 K^-$ and $B^- \rightarrow \tilde{D}^{*0} K^-$ channels [8], no significant ADS signal has been measured yet. At 90 % of confidence level, we set the upper limits $r_B < 0.23$ and $r_B^{*2} < (0.16)^2$, respectively for the 2 decay modes. For the $B^- \rightarrow \tilde{D}^0 K^{*-}$ decay [11], we have also not seen any significant ADS signal, we measure $\mathbf{R}_{ADS} = 0.046 \pm 0.031 \pm 0.008$, $\mathbf{A}_{ADS} = -0.22 \pm 0.61 \pm 0.17$, where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second systematic. As part of the systematic uncertainties, we consider effect of the possible strong phases as generated by probable $K\pi$ S-waves in the $K^{*-} \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^-$ decays. It is the dominant contribution.

Using a frequentist approach [6], and combining both the GLW and ADS methods for the $B^- \rightarrow \tilde{D}^0 K^{*-}$ channel [11], we determine $rs_B = 0.28_{-0.10}^{+0.06}$, and we can exclude at the two-standard deviation level the interval $75^\circ < \gamma < 105^\circ$.

4. The $K_S^0 \pi^- \pi^+$ Dalitz analysis [4, 8, 7]

Among the \tilde{D}^0 decay modes studied so far the $K_S^0 \pi^- \pi^+$ channel is the one with the highest sensitivity to γ because of the best overall combination of branching ratio magnitude, $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ interference and background level. This mode offers a reasonably high branching ratio (10^{-5} , including secondary decays) and a clean experimental signature (only charged tracks in the final state). The decay mode $K_S^0 \pi^- \pi^+$ can be accessed through many intermediate states: "wrong sign" or "right" K^* resonances, $K_S^0 \rho^0$ CP - eigenstate, ... Therefore, an analysis of the the amplitude of the \tilde{D}^0 decay over the $m^2(K_S^0 \pi^-)$ vs. $m^2(K_S^0 \pi^+)$ (m_-^2 vs. m_+^2) Dalitz plane structure is sensitive to the same kind of observable as for both the GLW and ADS methods. The sensitivity to γ varies strongly over the Dalitz plane. The contribution from the $b \rightarrow u\bar{c}s$ transition in the $B^- \rightarrow D^{(*)0} K^- / K^{*-}$ ($B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^{(*)0} K^+ / K^{*+}$) decay can significantly be amplified by the amplitude \mathcal{A}_{D^+} (\mathcal{A}_{D^-}) of the $\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \pi^+$ ($D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$) decay ($\mathcal{A}_{D^\mp} \equiv \mathcal{A}_D(m_\mp^2, m_\pm^2)$). Assuming no CP asymmetry in D decays, the decay rate of the chain $B^- \rightarrow D^{(*)0} K^- / K^{*-}$ ($B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^{(*)0} K^+ / K^{*+}$), and $\tilde{D}^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \pi^+$, can be written as: $\Gamma_\mp(m_-^2, m_+^2) \propto |\mathcal{A}_{D^\mp}|^2 + r_B^2 |\mathcal{A}_{D^\pm}|^2 + 2 \{x_\mp \text{Re}[\mathcal{A}_{D^\mp} \mathcal{A}_{D^\pm}^*] + y_\mp \text{Im}[\mathcal{A}_{D^\mp} \mathcal{A}_{D^\pm}^*]\}$.

We have introduced the *Cartesian coordinates*: $\{x_\mp, y_\mp\} = \{\text{Re}, \text{Im}\}[r_B e^{i(\delta_B \mp \gamma)}]$, for which the constraint $r_B^2 = x_\mp^2 + y_\mp^2$ holds. These are natural parameters to describe the amplitude of the decay. A simultaneous fit both to the B^\pm decays and $\tilde{D}^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \pi^+$ decays is then performed to extract 12 parameters: $\{x_-, y_-\}$ from $B^- \rightarrow \tilde{D}^0 K^-$, $\{x_+, y_+\}$ from $B^- \rightarrow \tilde{D}^{*0} K^-$, and $\{x_s, y_s\}$ from $B^- \rightarrow \tilde{D}^0 K^{*-}$. In the last case, we deal with $(K_S^0 \pi^\mp)_{\text{non-}K^*}$ contribution, by defining an effective dilution parameter κ as $x_{s^\mp}^2 + y_{s^\mp}^2 = \kappa^2 r_B^2$, with $0 \leq \kappa \leq 1$.

Since the measurement of γ arises from the interference term in $\Gamma_\mp(m_-^2, m_+^2)$, the uncertainty in the knowledge of the complex form of \mathcal{A}_D can lead to a systematic uncertainty. Two different models describing the $D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^- \pi^+$ decay have been used in the recent $BABAR$ analysis [7].

The first model (also referred to as Breit-Wigner model) is the same as used for our previously reported measurement of γ on $B^- \rightarrow \tilde{D}^{(*)0} K^-, \tilde{D}^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 \pi^- \pi^+$ decays [8], and expresses \mathcal{A}_D as a sum of two-body decay-matrix elements and a non-resonant contribution. In the second model (hereafter referred to as the $\pi\pi$ S-wave K-matrix model) the treatment of the $\pi\pi$ S-wave states in $D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 \pi^- \pi^+$ uses a K-matrix formalism to account for the non-trivial dynamics due to the presence of broad and overlapping resonances. The two models have been obtained using a high statistics flavor tagged D^0 sample ($D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi_s^+$) selected from $e^+e^- \rightarrow c\bar{c}$ events recorded by BABAR.

At the end of the analysis, the 7 parameters: γ , δ_B , δ_B^* , δ_{sB} , r_B , r_B^* , and $\kappa.r_{sB}$, are extracted from the 12 *Cartesian coordinates* using a frequentist approach that defines a 7 – *D* Neyman Confidence Region. The values for all these parameters can be found in the documents [8] and [7]. But it should be noticed that the values of r_B and r_B^* stand in the range 0 – 0.35 (2-standard deviation interval) while $\kappa.r_{sB}$ is presently less constrained (< 0.75).

The overall value for the *EW CP* phase is: $\gamma = [67 \pm 28(stat.) \pm 13(syst.) \pm 11(Dalitz\ model)]^\circ$. Where it can be noticed that the uncertainty coming from the employed *Dalitz model* would limit the measurement at infinite statistic. Though so far we have used the "Breit-Wigner model" to perform the fit, it has been checked that the relative systematic uncertainty of that measurement with respect to a fit to the "the $\pi\pi$ S-wave K-matrix model" is 3° (incorporated in the above result). This indicates that the Dalitz model uncertainty could eventually be strongly reduced in a future analysis.

References

- [1] BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods **A479**, 1-116 (2002).
- [2] M. Gronau and D. London, Phys. Lett. B **253**, 483 (1991); M. Gronau and D. Wyler, Phys. Lett. B **265**, 172 (1991);
- [3] D. Atwood, I. Dunietz and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 3257 (1997).
- [4] A. Giri, Yu. Grossman, A. Soffer and J. Zupan, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 054018 (2003).
- [5] M. Gronau, Phys. Lett. **B557**, 198 (2003).
- [6] CKMfitter Group, J. Charles *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C **41**, 1 (2005).
- [7] BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, hep-ex/0507101.
- [8] BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, hep-ex/0408082. BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 031102 (2005). BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 032004 (2005). BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, hep-ex/0504039, submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.
- [9] Belle Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, ICHEP04 8-0690 conference paper. Belle Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, hep-ex/0408129. Belle Collaboration, A. Poluektov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 072003 (2004). Belle Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, hep-ex/0411049. Belle Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, hep-ex/0504013.
- [10] BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 071103(R) (2005).
- [11] BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 071104(R) (2005).
- [12] Particle Data Group, S. Eidelman *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **592**, 1 (2004).