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#### Abstract

In this paper we study the existence of exponentially-bounded solutions of the following non-linear system of parabolic equations with homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions $$
\begin{aligned} u_{t} & =D \Delta u+f(t, u), \quad t \geq 0, \quad u \in \Re^{n}, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} & =0, \text { on } \partial \Omega \end{aligned}
$$ where $f \in C^{1}\left(\Re \times \Re^{n}\right), D=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ is a diagonal matrix with $d_{i}>0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\Re^{N}$. Under some conditions we prove the existence of a continuous manifold such that any solution with initial condition in this manifold is exponentially bounded.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper we shall study the existence of exponentially bounded solutions for the following system of parabolic equations with homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{t} & =D \Delta u+f(t, u), \quad t \geq 0, \quad u \in \Re^{n}  \tag{1}\\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} & =0, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f \in C^{1}\left(\Re \times \Re^{n}\right), D=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ is a diagonal matrix with $d_{i}>0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\Re^{N}$, where $N$ is a non-negative integer.

We shall assume the following hypothesis:
H1) There exists $L_{f}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(t, 0)| \leq L_{f}, \quad \forall t \in \Re \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

H2) $f$ is globally Lipschitz in $u$,i.e, there exists $L>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(t, u_{1}\right)-f\left(t, u_{2}\right)\right|<L\left|u_{1}-u_{2}\right|, \quad \forall u_{1}, u_{2} \in \Re^{n} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ of $-\Delta$ with Neumann boundary conditions is equal zero, does not allow us to prove the existence of bounded solutions of (1). However, under above assumptions, roughly speaking we prove the following statement:

If $d=2 \min \left\{d_{i}: i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}, \lambda_{2}$ is the second eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ and $\eta$ is positive numbers such that $\eta<\lambda_{2} d$, then there exists a continuous
manifold $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}(\eta, d, f)$ such that any solution $u$ of (1) starting in $\mathcal{M}$ satisfies the following estimate

$$
\sup _{t \in \Re} e^{-\eta|t|}\left\{\sup _{x \in \Omega}\|u(t, x)\|\right\}<\infty
$$

Several mathematical models may be written as a reaction-diffusion system of the form (1), like a model of vibration of plates (see [1]) and a LotkaVolterra system with diffusion (see [7]). Some notations for this work can be found in [4], [5], [2] and [6].

## 2 Notation and Preliminaries

In this section we shall choose the space where this problem will be set.
Let $X=L^{2}(\Omega)=L^{2}(\Omega, \Re)$ and consider the linear unbounded operator $A: D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ defined by $A \phi=-\Delta \phi$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(A)=\left\{\phi \in H^{2}(\Omega, \Re): \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega\right\} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since this operator is sectorial, then the fractional power space $X^{\alpha}$ associated with $A$ can be defined. That is to say: for $\alpha \geq 0, X^{\alpha}=D\left(A_{1}^{\alpha}\right)$ endowed with the graph norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{\alpha}=\left\|A_{1}^{\alpha} x\right\|, \quad x \in X^{\alpha} \quad \text { and } \quad A_{1}=A+a I \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Re} \sigma\left(A_{1}\right)>0$. The norm $\|\cdot\|$ does not depend on $a$ (see D. Henry [3] pg 29).

Precisely we have the following situation: Let $0=\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<\cdots<\lambda_{n} \rightarrow$ $\infty$ be the eigenvalues of $A$ each one with finite multiplicity $\gamma_{j}$ equal to the dimension of the corresponding eigenspace. Therefore
a) there exists a complete orthonormal set $\left\{\phi_{j, k}\right\}$ of eigenvector of $A$.
b) for all $x \in D(A)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j} \sum_{k=1}^{\gamma_{j}}<x, \phi_{j, k}>\phi_{j, k}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j} E_{j} x \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $<\cdot, \cdot>$ is the inner product in $X$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{j} x=\sum_{k=1}^{\gamma_{j}}<x, \phi_{j, k}>\phi_{j, k} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, $\left\{E_{j}\right\}$ is a family of orthogonal projections in $X$ and $x=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_{j} x, \quad x \in$ $X$.
c) $-A$ generates an analytic semigroup $\left\{e^{-A t}\right\}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-A t} x=E_{1} x+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{j} t} E_{j} x \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

d) for $a>0$

$$
X^{\alpha}=D\left(A_{1}^{\alpha}\right)=\left\{x \in X: \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\lambda_{j}+a\right)^{2 \alpha}\left\|E_{j} x\right\|^{2}<\infty\right\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}^{\alpha} x=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\lambda_{j}+a\right)^{\alpha} E_{j} x \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we shall use the following notation:

$$
Z:=L^{2}\left(\Omega, \Re^{n}\right)=X^{n}=X \times \cdots \times X,
$$

with the usual norm.
Now, we define the following operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{D}: D\left(\mathcal{A}_{D}\right) \subset Z \rightarrow Z, \quad \mathcal{A}_{D} \psi=-D \Delta \psi=D A \psi \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
D\left(\mathcal{A}_{D}\right)=[D(A)]^{n}=\left\{\phi \in H^{2}\left(\Omega, \Re^{n}\right): \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega\right\} .
$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{A}_{D}$ is a sectorial operator and the fractional power space $Z^{\alpha}$ associated with $\mathcal{A}_{D}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\alpha}=D\left(\mathcal{A}_{D 1}^{\alpha}\right)=X^{\alpha} \times \cdots \times X^{\alpha}=\left[X^{\alpha}\right]^{n} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

endowed with the graph norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|z\|_{\alpha}=\left\|\mathcal{A}_{D 1}^{\alpha} z\right\|, \quad z \in Z^{\alpha} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{A}_{D 1}=\mathcal{A}_{D}+a I \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a>0, \quad \mathcal{A}_{D 1}^{\alpha} z=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} D^{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{j}+a\right)^{\alpha} P_{j} z, \quad D^{\alpha}=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}^{\alpha}, d_{2}^{\alpha}, \cdots, d_{n}^{\alpha}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $P_{j}=\operatorname{diag}\left(E_{j}, E_{j}, \cdots, E_{j}\right), \quad n \times n$ matrix.
The $c_{o}$-semigroup $\left\{e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D} t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ generated by $-\mathcal{A}_{D}$ is given as follow

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D} t} z=P_{1} z+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{j} D t} P_{j} z, \quad z \in Z \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $\left\{P_{j}\right\}$ is a family of orthogonal projections in $Z$, which is complete.
Hence, for $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)^{T} \in Z^{\alpha}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
z=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_{j} z,\|z\|^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left\|P_{j} z\right\|^{2} \text { and }\|z\|_{\alpha}^{2} & =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left\|P_{j} z\right\|_{\alpha}^{2} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left(\lambda_{j}+a\right) d_{i}\right)^{2 \alpha}\left\|E_{j} z_{i}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the foregoing notations we shall prove the following propositon

Proposition 2.1 Let $\pi_{0}=P_{1}$ and $\pi_{s}=I-P_{1}$. Then for all $z \in Z^{\alpha}$ the following inequalities hold

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|e^{-\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}} t} \pi_{0} z\right\|_{\alpha} \leq\|z\|_{\alpha}, \quad t \in \Re \\
\left\|e^{-\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}} t} \pi_{s} z\right\|_{\alpha} \leq e^{-\lambda_{2} d t}\|z\|_{\alpha}, \quad t \geq 0 \\
\left\|e^{-\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}} t} \pi_{s} z\right\|_{\alpha} \leq M t^{-\alpha} e^{-\lambda_{2} d t}\|z\|, \quad t>0 \\
I_{Z}=\pi_{0}+\pi_{s}, \quad Z=Z_{c} \oplus Z_{s} \tag{19}
\end{array}
$$

where $M=\sup _{t \geq 0}\left\{\left(\left(\lambda_{j}+a\right) d_{i} t\right)^{\alpha} e^{-\lambda_{j} \frac{d_{i}}{2} t}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n ; j=1,2, \ldots\right\}, 2 d=$ $\min \left\{d_{i}: i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ and $Z_{0}=\operatorname{Range}\left(\pi_{0}\right)=\mathcal{R}\left(\pi_{0}\right), \quad Z_{s}=\operatorname{Range}\left(\pi_{s}\right)=$ $\mathcal{R}\left(\pi_{s}\right)$.

Proof. From the above notation, for $z \in Z^{\alpha}$ we have that

$$
\left\|e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D} t} \pi_{0} z\right\|_{\alpha}^{2}=\left\|\mathcal{A}_{D}^{\alpha} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D} t} \pi_{0} z\right\|^{2}=\left\|D^{\alpha} a^{\alpha} E_{1} z\right\|^{2} \leq\|z\|_{\alpha}^{2}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left\|e^{-\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}} t} \pi_{0} z\right\|_{\alpha} \leq\|z\|_{\alpha}
$$

Next, we shall prove the second inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D} t} \pi_{s} z\right\|_{\alpha}^{2} & =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left(\lambda_{j}+a\right) d_{i}\right)^{2 \alpha} e^{-2 \lambda_{j} d_{i} t}\left\|E_{j} z_{i}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq e^{-2 \lambda_{2} d t} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left(\lambda_{j}+a\right) d_{i}\right)^{2 \alpha}\left\|E_{j} z_{i}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\|z\|_{\alpha}^{2} e^{-2 \lambda_{2} d t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left\|e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D} t} \pi_{s} z\right\|_{\alpha} \leq\|z\|_{\alpha} e^{-\lambda_{2} d t}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

Finally, we prove the last inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D} t} \pi_{s} z\right\|_{\alpha}^{2} & =\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left(\lambda_{j}+a\right) d_{i}\right)^{2 \alpha} e^{-2 \lambda_{j} d_{i} t}\left\|E_{j} z_{i}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{t^{2 \alpha}} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\left(\left(\lambda_{j}+a\right) d_{i} t\right)^{\alpha} e^{-\lambda_{j} d_{i} t}\right\}^{2}\left\|E_{j} z_{i}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{t^{2 \alpha}} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\left(\left(\lambda_{j}+a\right) d_{i} t\right)^{\alpha} e^{-\lambda_{j} \frac{d_{i}}{2} t}\right\}^{2}\left\{e^{-\lambda_{j} \frac{d_{i}}{2} t}\right\}^{2}\left\|E_{j} z_{i}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{t^{2 \alpha}} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} M^{2} e^{-\lambda_{j} d_{i} t}\left\|E_{j} z_{i}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{t^{2 \alpha}} M^{2} e^{-2 \lambda_{2} d}\|z\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left\|e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D} t} \pi_{s} z\right\|_{\alpha} \leq M t^{-\alpha}\|z\| e^{-d \lambda_{2} t}, \quad t>0
$$

From Theorem 1.6.1 (pp. 39-40 ) in D.Henry [3] it follows for $0<\alpha<1$ that the following inclusion is continuous

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\alpha} \subset L^{p}\left(\Omega, \Re^{n}\right), p \geq 2 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, there exists $R>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|z\| \leq R\|z\|_{\alpha}, z \in Z^{\alpha} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, the system (1)-(2) can be written in an abstract way on $Z$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}=-\mathcal{A}_{D} z+f^{e}(t, z), \quad z\left(t_{0}\right)=z_{0}, \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f^{e}: \Re \times Z^{\alpha} \rightarrow Z$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{e}(t, z)(x)=f(t, z(x)), \quad x \in \Omega \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

To show that equation (22) is welll posed in $Z^{\alpha}$ we need the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2 The function $f^{e}$ given in (23) satisfies the estimation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{e}\left(t, z_{1}\right)-f^{e}\left(t, z_{2}\right)\right\| \leq L R\left\|z_{1}-z_{2}\right\|_{\alpha}, t \in \Re, z_{1}, z_{2} \in Z^{\alpha} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ and $R$ are as in (4) and (21), respectively. Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{e}(t, 0)\right\| \leq \mu(\Omega) L_{f}, t \geq 0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu(\Omega)$ is the Lebesgue measure of $\Omega$.

Proof .

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f^{e}\left(t, z_{1}\right)-f^{e}\left(t, z_{2}\right)\right\| & =\left\{\int_{\Omega}\left|f\left(t, z_{1}(x)\right)-f\left(t, z_{2}(x)\right)\right|^{2} d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq L\left\|z_{1}-z_{2}\right\| \\
& \leq L R\left\|z_{1}-z_{2}\right\|_{\alpha}, t \in \Re, z_{1}, z_{2} \in Z^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, (3) implies (25).

## 3 Main Theorem

From the proposition 2.2 and Theorem 7.1.4 in D.Henry ([3]), for all $t \geq t_{0}$, we have that a continuous function $z(\cdot):\left(t_{0}, \infty\right) \rightarrow Z^{\alpha}$ is solution of the
integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t)=e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D}\left(t-t_{0}\right)} z_{0}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D}(t-s)} f^{e}(s, z(s)) d s, \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if $z(\cdot)$ is a solution of (22).
Now, for all $\eta \geq 0$, we denote by $Z_{\eta}^{\alpha}$ the Banach space

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\eta}^{\alpha}=\left\{z \in C\left(\Re, Z^{\alpha}\right):\|z\|_{\alpha, \eta}:=\sup _{t \in \Re} e^{-\eta|t|}\|z(t)\|_{\alpha}<\infty\right\} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1 Suppose that $f$ satisfies $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$. Then for some $a$ and $d$ positive, and $0<\eta<\lambda_{2} d$, there exists a continuous manifold $\mathcal{M}=$ $\mathcal{M}(a, d, f)$ such that any solution $z(t)$ of (22) with $z(0) \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfies the estimate

$$
\sup _{t \in \Re} e^{-\eta|t|}\|z(t)\|_{\alpha}<\infty
$$

Moreover, there exists a globally Lipschitz function $\psi: \mathcal{R}\left(\pi_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}\left(\pi_{s}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}=\left\{z_{0}+\psi\left(z_{0}\right): z_{0} \in \mathcal{R}\left(\pi_{0}\right)\right\} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before the proof of Theorem 3.1 we shall prove some preliminaries lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 Let $z \in Z_{\eta}^{\alpha}$ and $\eta<\lambda_{2} d$. Then $z$ is a solution of (22) if and only if there exists $z_{0} \in \mathcal{R}\left(\pi_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
z(t)= & e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D} t} z_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D}(t-s)} \pi_{0} f^{e}(s, z(s)) d s \\
& +\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D}(t-s)} \pi_{s} f^{e}(s, z(s)) d s, \quad t \in \Re \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof . Suppose that $z$ is a solution of (22). Using the fact that $z(t)=\pi_{0} z(t)+\pi_{s} z(t)$ and the variation of constants formula (26), we obtain

$$
\pi_{0} z(t)=e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D} t} \pi_{0} z(0)+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D}(t-s)} \pi_{0} f^{e}(s, z(s)) d s, \quad t \in \Re,
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{s} z(t)=e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D}\left(t-t_{0}\right)} \pi_{s} z(0)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D}(t-s)} \pi_{s} f^{e}(s, z(s)) d s, \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (17), we obtain

$$
\left\|e^{-\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(t-t_{0}\right)} \pi_{s} z\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{\alpha} \leq e^{-\lambda_{2} d\left(t-t_{0}\right)}\left\|z\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{\alpha}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left\|e^{-\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(t-t_{0}\right)} \pi_{s} z\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{\alpha} \leq e^{-\lambda_{2} d\left(t-t_{0}\right)} e^{\eta\left|t_{0}\right|}\|z\|_{\alpha, \eta}
$$

Since $\eta<\lambda_{2} d$, then right side of this inequality goes to zero as $t_{0}$ goes to $-\infty$.

Now, from (18) and Proposition 2.1 the following chain of inequalities hold

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}(t-s)} \pi_{s} f^{e}(s, z(s)) d s\right\|_{\alpha} & \leq \int_{-\infty}^{t} M(t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-\lambda_{2} d(t-s)}\left\|f^{e}(s, z(s))\right\| d s \\
& \leq M L R \int_{-\infty}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-\lambda_{2} d(t-s)}\|z(s)\|_{\alpha} d s \\
& +M L_{f} \mu(\Omega) \int_{-\infty}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-\lambda_{2} d(t-s)} d s \\
& \leq M L R\|z\|_{\alpha, \eta} \int_{-\infty}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-\lambda_{2} d(t-s)} e^{\eta|s|} d s \\
& +M L_{f} \mu(\Omega) \int_{-\infty}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-\lambda_{2} d(t-s)} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now pay attention to the integrals $\int_{-\infty}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-\lambda_{2} d(t-s)} e^{\eta|s|} d s$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-\lambda_{2} d(t-s)} d s$. If $t<0$, then through the change of variables $t-s=u$ and $\lambda_{2} d u=v$ we can obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-\lambda_{2} d(t-s)} e^{\eta|s|} d s & \leq e^{-\eta t}\left(\lambda_{2} d\right)^{1-\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha) \\
& =e^{\eta|t|}\left(\lambda_{2} d\right)^{1-\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

The previuos changes of variables also allow us to show that if $t>0$, then

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-\lambda_{2} d(t-s)} e^{\eta|s|} d s \leq 2 e^{\eta|t|}\left(\lambda_{2} d\right)^{1-\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha)
$$

For the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-\lambda_{2} d(t-s)} d s$, we obtain the following estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-\lambda_{2} d(t-s)} d s & \leq \int_{-\infty}^{t}(t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-\lambda_{2} d(t-s)} e^{\eta|s|} d s \\
& \leq e^{\eta|t|} 2\left(\lambda_{2} d\right)^{1-\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

Going back to the expresion $\left\|\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}(t-s)} \pi_{s} f^{e}(s, z(s)) d s\right\|_{\alpha}$, we obtain that $\left\|\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}(t-s)} \pi_{s} f^{e}(s, z(s)) d s\right\|_{\alpha} \leq 2 M e^{\eta|t|}\left(\lambda_{2} d\right)^{1-\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha)\left[L R\|z\|_{\alpha, \eta}+L_{f} \mu(\Omega)\right]$. Hence, the improper integral $\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D}(t-s)} \pi_{s} f^{e}(s, z(s)) d s$ exists and passing to the limit in (30), as $t_{0}$ goes to $-\infty$ produces

$$
\pi_{s} z(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D}(t-s)} \pi_{s} f^{e}(s, z(s)) d s, \quad t \in \Re
$$

Therefore, letting $z_{0}=\pi_{0} z(0)$ we get (29).
Conversely, suppose $z$ is a solution of (29). Then
$z(t)=e^{-\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}} t} z_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D}(t-s)} \pi_{0} f^{e}(s, z(s)) d s$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D}(t-s)} \pi_{s} f^{e}(s, z(s)) d s+\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D}(t-s)} \pi_{s} f^{e}(s, z(s)) d s \\
& =e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D} t}\left[z_{0}+\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D}(-s)} \pi_{s} f^{e}(s, z(s)) d s\right]+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D}(t-s)} f^{e}(s, z(s)) d s \\
& =e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D} t} z_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D}(t-s)} f^{e}(s, z(s)) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(0)=z_{0}+\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D}(-s)} \pi_{s} f^{e}(s, z(s)) d s \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma.
Inspired in (29), the manifold $\mathcal{M}$ we are looking for is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}=\left\{z(0): z \in Z_{\eta}^{\alpha} \text { and satisfies }(29)\right\} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

A useful characterization of $\mathcal{M}$, to prove later Theorem 3.1, that follows from (31) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}=\left\{z_{0}+\pi_{s} z(0):\left(z_{0}, z\right) \in \mathcal{R}\left(\pi_{0}\right) \times Z_{\eta}^{\alpha},\left(z_{0}, z\right)\right. \text { satisfying } \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall need some definitions and notations :
(a) For each $z_{0} \in \mathcal{R}\left(\pi_{0}\right)$ we define the function $S z_{0}: \Re \rightarrow Z^{\alpha}$ by

$$
\left(S z_{0}\right)(t)=e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D} t} z_{0}, \quad t \in \Re .
$$

(b) For each function $z \in Z_{\eta}^{\alpha}$ we define the function $G: Z_{\eta}^{\alpha} \rightarrow Z_{\eta}^{\alpha}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(z)(t) & =\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D}(t-s)} \pi_{0} f^{e}(s, z(s)) d s \\
& +\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{D}(t-s)} \pi_{s} f^{e}(s, z(s)) d s, \quad t \in \Re .
\end{aligned}
$$

The fact that $G\left(Z_{\eta}^{\alpha}\right) \subset Z_{\eta}^{\alpha}$ follows from (24)-(25) and a similar computation done in proposition 2.1. Now, with the previous notations (29) can be written in the following equivalent form in $Z_{\eta}^{\alpha}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=S z_{0}+G(z) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2 (a) For $0<\eta<\lambda_{2} d$, $S$ is a bounded linear operator from $\mathcal{R}\left(\pi_{0}\right)$ in $Z_{\eta}^{\alpha}$.
(b) $G$ is globally Lipschitz. Moreover, given $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ in $Z_{\eta}^{\alpha}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|G\left(z_{1}\right)-G\left(z_{2}\right)\right\|_{\alpha, \eta} \leq K\left\|z_{1}-z_{2}\right\|_{\alpha, \eta}, \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K:=L R\left(2 M\left(\lambda_{2} d\right)^{1-\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha)+\frac{(\bar{d} a)^{\alpha}}{\eta}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\bar{d}=\|D\|$.

Proof . (a) Clearly $S$ is linear and $\left\|S z_{0}\right\|_{\alpha, \eta} \leq\left\|z_{0}\right\|_{\alpha}$ for all $z_{0} \in \mathcal{R}\left(\pi_{0}\right)$.
(b) Let $z_{1}, z_{2}$ be given in $Z_{\eta}^{\alpha}$.

If $t>0$, then
$\left\|\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}(t-s)} \pi_{0}\left[f^{e}\left(s, z_{1}(s)\right)-f^{e}\left(s, z_{2}(s)\right)\right] d s\right\|_{\alpha}$

$$
\leq \int_{0}^{t}\left\|D^{\alpha} a^{\alpha} E_{1}\left[f^{e}\left(s, z_{1}(s)\right)-f^{e}\left(s, z_{2}(s)\right)\right]\right\| d s \leq \frac{(\bar{d} a)^{\alpha} L R}{\eta} e^{\eta|t|}\left\|z_{1}-z_{2}\right\|_{\alpha, \eta}
$$

For $t<0$, the same estimations hold. Hence, for all $t \in \Re$,

$$
\left\|\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}(t-s)} \pi_{0}\left[f^{e}\left(s, z_{1}(s)\right)-f^{e}\left(s, z_{2}(s)\right)\right] d s\right\|_{\alpha}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq \frac{(\bar{d} a)^{\alpha} L R}{\eta} e^{\eta|t|}\left\|z_{1}-z_{2}\right\|_{\alpha, \eta} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for all $t \in \Re$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}(t-s)} \pi_{s}\left[f^{e}\left(s, z_{1}(s)\right)-f^{e}\left(s, z_{2}(s)\right)\right] d s\right\|_{\alpha} \\
& \quad \leq 2 M L R\left(\lambda_{2} d\right)^{1-\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha) e^{\eta|t|}\left\|z_{1}-z_{2}\right\|_{\alpha, \eta} \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, from (37) and (38) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|G\left(z_{1}\right)(t)-G\left(z_{2}\right)(t)\right\|_{\alpha} & \leq\left\|\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}(t-s)} \pi_{0}\left[f^{e}\left(s, z_{1}(s)\right)-f^{e}\left(s, z_{2}(s)\right)\right] d s\right\|_{\alpha} \\
& \leq\left\|\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}(t-s)} \pi_{s}\left[f^{e}\left(s, z_{1}(s)\right)-f^{e}\left(s, z_{2}(s)\right)\right] d s\right\|_{\alpha} \\
& \leq K e^{\eta|t|}\left\|z_{1}-z_{2}\right\|_{\alpha, \eta}
\end{aligned}
$$

and this implies (35).

## Proof of Theorem 3.1.

Let, $a$ and $d$ be given such that $K<1$. Then $I-G: Z_{\eta}^{\alpha} \rightarrow Z_{\eta}^{\alpha}$ is a homeomorphism with inverse $\Psi: Z_{\eta}^{\alpha} \rightarrow Z_{\eta}^{\alpha}$. $\Psi$ is also globally Lipschitz and for all $z_{1}, z_{2} \in Z_{\eta}^{\alpha}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Psi\left(z_{1}\right)-\Psi\left(z_{2}\right)\right\|_{\alpha, \eta} \leq(1-K)^{-1}\left\|z_{1}-z_{2}\right\|_{\alpha, \eta} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the equation (34) has a unique solution given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
z(t) & =(I-G)^{-1}\left(S z_{0}\right)(t) \\
& =\Psi\left(S z_{0}\right)(t), \quad t \in \Re .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, from (33) we get that

$$
\mathcal{M}=\left\{z_{0}+\pi_{s} \Psi\left(S z_{0}\right)(0): z_{0} \in \mathcal{R}\left(\pi_{0}\right)\right\}
$$

and defining $\psi: \mathcal{R}\left(\pi_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}\left(\pi_{s}\right)$ by $\psi\left(z_{0}\right)=\pi_{s} \Psi\left(S z_{0}\right)(0)$ we obtain (28). Next, we prove that $\psi$ is globally Lipschitz. In fact, let $z_{0}, z_{1}$ be given in $\mathcal{R}\left(\pi_{0}\right)$. Then the estimation (39) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{t \in \Re} e^{-\eta|t|}\left\|\pi_{s} \Psi\left(S z_{0}\right)(t)-\pi_{s} \Psi\left(S z_{1}\right)(t)\right\|_{\alpha} & =\left\|\pi_{s}\left[\Psi\left(S z_{0}\right)-\Psi\left(S z_{1}\right)\right]\right\|_{\alpha, \eta} \\
& \leq(1-K)^{-1}\left\|\pi_{s}\right\|\left\|z_{0}-z_{1}\right\|_{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

and, in particular for $t=0$ we get

$$
\left\|\psi\left(z_{0}\right)-\psi\left(z_{1}\right)\right\|_{\alpha} \leq(1-K)^{-1}\left\|\pi_{s}\right\|\left\|z_{0}-z_{1}\right\|_{\alpha}
$$
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