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#### Abstract

The strong $D_{s}^{*} D_{s} \eta^{(\prime)}$ and $B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta^{(\prime)}$ vertices are studied and the relevant couplings are calculated in the context of the light-cone QCD sum rule method with twist- 4 accuracy by including the next-to-leading order corrections. In the analysis, both the quark and gluon components of the $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ mesons and the axial anomaly corrected higher twist distributions are included.


PACS numbers: 11.55.Hx, 13.75.Lb, 13.25.-k

## I. INTRODUCTION

During last years the investigation of spectroscopy, electromagnetic, weak and strong decay channels of heavy mesons, computation of their numerous transition form factors, strong couplings with different hadrons became one of the rapidly growing branches of the hadronic physics. The progress in understanding of the nature of such mesons, including bottom(charm)-strange ones was achieved from both the experimental and theoretical sides.

Thus, experimental measurements of hadronic processes and extraction of parameters of bottom(charm)strange mesons were performed by different collaborations [1-6]. Theoretical calculations of parameters related to these mesons were fulfilled applying various nonperturbative approaches and schemes, such as the lattice QCD calculations (7], the QCD and three-point sum rule methods (for instance, see [8-15]), and different quark models [16, 17]. By this way, masses, strong couplings and form factors of some bottom(charm)-strange mesons were obtained.

Studies of the vertices consisting of interacting bottom(charm)-strange and light mesons have also attracted considerable interest. In fact, the strong couplings determined by the vertices $D_{s}^{*} D_{s} \eta^{(1)}$ and $B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta^{(\prime)}$ have been recently calculated in Ref. [12], where the threepoint sum rule approach has been used. The present work is devoted to the analysis of these vertices, but within the context of the QCD light-cone sum rule (LCSR) method [18]. The latter provides more elaborated theoretical tools to perform detailed analysis of the aforementioned problems. Indeed, the light-cone sum rule method invokes such quantities of the eta mesons as their distribution amplitudes (DAs) of different twists and partonic contents. This allows one to take into account the quarkgluon structure of particles in more clear form than other approaches.

It should be noted that the $\eta-\eta^{\prime}$ system of light pseudoscalar mesons accumulate important properties of the particle phenomenology, like mixing of the $S U(3)$ flavor group singlet $\eta_{1}$ and octet $\eta_{8}$ states to form the physical mesons, the problem of axial $U(1)$ anomaly and its im-
pact on the relevant distribution amplitudes of the eta mesons. To this list of features one should add also the complicated quark-gluon structure of the $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ mesons and subtleties in treatment of their gluon components that contribute to exclusive processes, the vertices under consideration being sample ones, at the next-to leading order (NLO) of the perturbative QCD. These features of the $\eta-\eta^{\prime}$ system, as well as new experimental data triggered numerous theoretical works devoted to the analysis of the mesons' mixing problems and computations of various exclusive processes to extract some constraints on the parameters of their distributions amplitudes including the two-gluon ones [19 31]. The aim of this work is to study the bottom(charm)-strange meson strong couplings and consider the vertices $D_{s}^{*} D_{s} \eta^{(1)}$ and $B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta^{(1)}$ by including into analysis gluon component of the $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ mesons. The computation of a gluonic contribution to such strong couplings is a new issue that is considered in the present study.

This paper is structured in the following manner. In section III we present rather comprehensive information on the quark-gluon structure of the $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ mesons and details of their leading and higher twist distribution amplitudes. Existing singlet-octet and quark-flavor mixing schemes of the $\eta-\eta^{\prime}$ system, their advantages and drawbacks are briefly outlined. In section [III] the light-cone sum rules for the strong couplings are derived. Here, the mesons' leading and higher-twist DAs up to twist-four are utilized. In this section, we calculate the NLO corrections to the leading-twist term, and include into the lightcone sum rules also contributions appearing due to gluon component of the eta mesons. In section IV we perform numerical computations to find the values of the corresponding strong couplings. In this section we make also our brief conclusions. In Appendix A the QCD two-point sum rule expressions to determine some of parameters in higher twist DAs of the $\eta-\eta^{\prime}$ system are collected.

## II. MIXING SCHEMES AND DISTRIBUTION AMPLITUDES OF $\eta, \eta^{\prime}$ MESONS

Computation of the strong couplings $D_{s}^{*} D_{s} \eta^{(\prime)}$ and $B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta^{(\prime)}$, and relevant matrix elements within the framework of QCD LCSR method requires knowledge of the $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ mesons' distribution amplitudes. In this work we use the mixing scheme for the eta mesons' DAs elaborated in Ref. [31] and relevant expressions presented there by adding the necessary formulas for the three-particle twist-3 DAs $\Phi_{3 M}^{(s)}(\alpha)$.

Below we concentrate mainly on the $s$-quark distributions, because only $s$ valence quarks from the heavy $D_{s}^{(*)}$ and $B_{s}^{(*)}$ mesons contribute to quark-antiquark and quark-gluon-antiquark DAs of the eta mesons. Nevertheless, when necessary, we provide some information also on $q$-components of the corresponding DAs.

Hence we define two-particle DAs for the $s$-quark flavor as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle M(q)| \bar{s}(x) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} s(0)|0\rangle \\
& =-i q_{\mu} F_{M}^{(s)} \int_{0}^{1} d u e^{i q x u} \phi_{M}^{(s)}(u, \mu) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M(q)$ is the $\eta(q)$ or $\eta^{\prime}(q)$ meson state. In this expression $\phi_{M}^{(s)}(u)$ is the leading twist, i.e. twist-2 DA of the $M(q)$ meson. For brevity, in the matrix element, the gauge link is not shown explicitly. The normalization is chosen such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} d u \phi_{M}^{(s)}(u, \mu)=1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The similar distribution amplitudes can be defined for $q=u, d$-quarks as well, with evident replacement $s \rightarrow q$ in Eqs. (11) and (21). Then assuming exact isospin symmetry and denoting $m_{q}=\left(m_{u}+m_{d}\right) / 2$ we can determine the couplings $F_{M}^{(u)}=F_{M}^{(d)}, F_{M}^{(s)}$ as the matrix elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle 0| J_{\mu 5}^{(i)}|M(q)\rangle=i f_{M}^{(i)} q_{\mu}, i=q, s \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

of flavor-diagonal axial vector currents $J_{\mu 5}^{i}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\mu 5}^{(q)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\bar{u} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} u+\bar{d} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} d\right], J_{\mu 5}^{(s)}=\bar{s} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} s \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The couplings $F_{M}^{(u)}, F_{M}^{(d)}$ and $F_{M}^{(s)}$ are connected with $f_{M}^{(i)}$ ones by means of the following simple expressions:

$$
F_{M}^{(u)}=F_{M}^{(d)}=\frac{f_{M}^{(q)}}{\sqrt{2}}, F_{M}^{(s)}=f_{M}^{(s)}
$$

This definition of the distributions corresponds to the quark-flavor (QF) basis introduced to describe mixing in the $\eta-\eta^{\prime}$ system. In QF basis mixing of the $q$ and $s$ states forms the physical $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ mesons. Alternatively, one can determine DAs of the eta mesons starting from
the singlet-octet (SO) basis of the $S U(3)$ flavor group. To this end, one introduces the $S U(3)$ flavor-singlet $J_{\mu 5}^{(1)}$ and octet $J_{\mu 5}^{(8)}$ currents

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{\mu 5}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[\bar{u} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} u+\bar{d} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} d+\bar{s} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} s\right], \\
& J_{\mu 5}^{(8)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\left[\bar{u} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} u+\bar{d} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} d-2 \bar{s} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} s\right], \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

and defines the corresponding matrix elements as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle 0| J_{\mu 5}^{(i)}|M(q)\rangle=i f_{M}^{(i)} q_{\mu}, \quad i=1,8 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eta mesons quark-flavor and singlet-octet combination of the distributions are connected with each other as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{f_{M}^{(8)} \phi_{M}^{(8)}(u, \mu)}{f_{M}^{(1)} \phi_{M}^{(1)}(u, \mu)}=U\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\binom{f_{M}^{(q)} \phi_{M}^{(q)}(u, \mu)}{f_{M}^{(s)} \phi_{M}^{(s)}(u, \mu)} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
U\left(\varphi_{0}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \varphi_{0} & -\sin \varphi_{0}  \tag{8}\\
\sin \varphi_{0} & \cos \varphi_{0}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \\
\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\varphi_{0}=\arctan (\sqrt{2})$.
In the singlet-octet basis, the scale dependence of the DAs is considerably simpler than in the QF approach. In fact, SO couplings and DAs do not mix with each other via renormalization. Moreover, the octet coupling $f_{M}^{(8)}$ is scale-independent, whereas the singlet coupling $f_{M}^{(1)}$ evolves due to the $U(1)$ anomaly [32]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{M}^{(1)}(\mu)=f_{M}^{(1)}\left(\mu_{0}\right)\left\{1+\frac{2 n_{f}}{\pi \beta_{0}}\left[\alpha_{s}(\mu)-\alpha_{s}\left(\mu_{0}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{f}$ is the number of light quark flavors.
This basis is also preferable for solution of the evolution equations. Thus, the quark-antiquark DAs in the singlet-octet basis can be expanded in terms of Gegenbauer polynomials $C_{n}^{3 / 2}(2 u-1)$ that are eigenfunctions of the one-loop flavor-nonsinglet evolution equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{M}^{(1,8)}(u, \mu)=6 u \bar{u}\left[1+\sum_{n=2,4, \ldots} a_{n, M}^{(1,8)}(\mu) C_{n}^{3 / 2}(2 u-1)\right] . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sum in Eq. (10) runs over polynomials of even dimension $n=2,4, \ldots$ implying that the quark-antiquark DAs are symmetric functions under the interchange of the quark momenta

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{M}^{(1,8)}(u, \mu)=\phi_{M}^{(1,8)}(\bar{u}, \mu) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another twist-2 DA of the $\eta-\eta^{\prime}$ system is connected with its two-gluon component. This distribution can be defined as non-local matrix element

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle M(p)| G_{\mu \nu}(x) \widetilde{G}^{\mu \nu}(0)|0\rangle= \\
& \quad=\frac{C_{F}}{2 \sqrt{3}} f_{M}^{(1)}(q x)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} d u e^{i q x u} \phi_{M}^{(g)}(u, \mu) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $G_{\mu \nu}=G_{\mu \nu}^{a} \lambda^{a} / 2$ with $\operatorname{tr}\left[\lambda^{a} \lambda^{b}\right]=2 \delta^{a b}$. The dual gluon field strength tensor defined as $\widetilde{G}_{\mu \nu}=$ $(1 / 2) \epsilon_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} G^{\alpha \beta}$, and $C_{F}=4 / 3$.

The gluon DA is antisymmetric

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{M}^{(g)}(u, \mu)=-\phi_{M}^{(g)}(\bar{u}, \mu) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and can be expanded in a series of Gegenbauer polynomials $C_{n-1}^{5 / 2}(2 u-1)$ of odd dimension

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{M}^{(g)}(u, \mu)=30 u^{2} \bar{u}^{2} \sum_{n=2,4, \ldots} a_{n, M}^{(g)}(\mu) C_{n-1}^{5 / 2}(2 u-1) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be emphasized that the octet components of the eta mesons' DAs are renormalized multiplicatively to the leading-order and mix with the gluon components only at the next-to-leading-order, whereas the singlet components mix with gluon ones already in the LO (see Appendix B in Ref. 31] for details). The values of the parameters $a_{n, M}^{(1,8, g)}$ at a certain scale $\mu_{0}$ determine all nonperturbative information on the DAs.

In the exact $S U(3)$ flavor symmetry limit $\eta=\eta_{8}$, and $\eta^{\prime}$ is a flavor-singlet, $\eta^{\prime}=\eta_{1}$. In this limit $f_{\eta}^{(q)}=f_{\pi}$ with $f_{\pi}=131 \mathrm{MeV}$ being equal the pion decay constant. However, it is known empirically that the $S U(3)$-breaking corrections are large and, as a result, the relation of physical $\eta, \eta^{\prime}$ mesons to the basic octet and singlet states becomes complicated and involves two different mixing angles, see, e.g., a discussion in Ref. 19].

To avoid these problems and reduce a number of free parameters necessary to treat the $\eta-\eta^{\prime}$ system, a new mixing scheme (FKS) was proposed [19]. It is used the QF basis and founded on the observation that vector mesons $\omega$ and $\phi$ are to a very good approximation pure $\bar{u} u+\bar{d} d$ and $\bar{s} s$ states and the same is true also for tensor mesons. The smallness of mixing corresponds to the OZI rule that is phenomenologically very successful. Therefore, if the axial $U(1)$ anomaly is the only effect that makes the situation in pseudoscalar channel different, it is natural to suggest that the physical states are related to the flavor ones by an orthogonal transformation

$$
\binom{|\eta\rangle}{\left|\eta^{\prime}\right\rangle}=U(\varphi)\binom{\left|\eta_{q}\right\rangle}{\left|\eta_{s}\right\rangle}, \quad U(\varphi)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \varphi & -\sin \varphi  \tag{15}\\
\sin \varphi & \cos \varphi
\end{array}\right)
$$

The assumption on the state mixing implies that the same mixing pattern applies to the decay constants and to the wave functions, as well. In other words

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
f_{\eta}^{(q)} & f_{\eta}^{(s)}  \tag{16}\\
f_{\eta^{\prime}}^{(q)} & f_{\eta^{\prime}}^{(s)}
\end{array}\right)=U(\varphi)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f_{q} & 0 \\
0 & f_{s}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f_{\eta}^{(q)} \phi_{\eta}^{(q)} & f_{\eta}^{(s)} \phi_{\eta}^{(s)}  \tag{17}\\
f_{\eta^{\prime}}^{(q)} \phi_{\eta^{\prime}}^{(q)} & f_{\eta^{\prime}}^{(s)} \phi_{\eta^{\prime}}^{(s)}
\end{array}\right)=U(\varphi)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f_{q} \phi_{q} & 0 \\
0 & f_{s} \phi_{s}
\end{array}\right),
$$

are held with the same mixing angle $\varphi$.
This conjecture allows one to reduce four DAs of physical states $\eta, \eta^{\prime}$ to the two DAs, $\phi_{q}(u, \mu)$ and $\phi_{s}(u, \mu)$ of the flavor states:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{\eta}^{(q)}(u)=\phi_{\eta^{\prime}}^{(q)}(u)=\phi_{q}(u) \\
& \phi_{\eta}^{(s)}(u)=\phi_{\eta^{\prime}}^{(s)}(u)=\phi_{s}(u) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

The singlet and octet DAs is this scheme are given by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f_{\eta}^{(8)} \phi_{\eta}^{(8)} & f_{\eta}^{(1)} \phi_{\eta}^{(1)}  \tag{19}\\
f_{\eta^{\prime}}^{(8)} \phi_{\eta^{\prime}}^{(8)} & f_{\eta^{\prime}}^{(1)} \phi_{\eta^{\prime}}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right)=U(\varphi)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f_{q} \phi_{q} & 0 \\
0 & f_{s} \phi_{s}
\end{array}\right) U^{T}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)
$$

and the same relation is valid for the couplings $f_{M}^{(i)}$ and the couplings multiplied by the parameters $f_{M}^{(i)} a_{n, M}^{(i)}$. The couplings $f_{q}$ and $f_{s}$, as well as mixing angle $\varphi$ in the quark-flavor scheme have been determined in Ref. 19] from the fit to the experimental data

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{q} & =(1.07 \pm 0.02) f_{\pi} \\
f_{s} & =(1.34 \pm 0.06) f_{\pi} \\
\varphi & =39.3^{\circ} \pm 1.0^{\circ} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

It is worth noting that the flavor-singlet and flavor-octet couplings have different scale dependence, and Eq. (19) cannot hold at all scales. It is natural to assume that the scheme refers to a low renormalization scale $\mu_{0} \sim 1 \mathrm{GeV}$ and the DAs at higher scales are obtained by the QCD evolution.

Then for the gluon DA we assume that

$$
\left\langle\eta_{q}\right| G_{\mu \nu}(x) \widetilde{G}^{\mu \nu}(0)|0\rangle=\left\langle\eta_{s}\right| G_{\mu \nu}(x) \widetilde{G}^{\mu \nu}(0)|0\rangle
$$

and as a result get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\eta}^{(g)}(u)=\phi_{\eta^{\prime}}^{(g)}(u) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define two-particle twist-3 DAs for the strange quarks in the following way

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 m_{s}\langle M(q)| \bar{s}(x) i \gamma_{5} s(0)|0\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} d u e^{i q x u} \phi_{3 M}^{(s) p}(u) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2 m_{s}\langle M(q)| \bar{s}(x) \sigma_{\mu \nu} \gamma_{5} s(0)|0\rangle \\
=\frac{i}{6}\left(q_{\mu} x_{\nu}-q_{\nu} x_{\mu}\right) \int_{0}^{1} d u e^{i q x u} \phi_{3 M}^{(s) \sigma}(u) \tag{23}
\end{array}
$$

with the normalization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} d u \phi_{3 M}^{(s) p}(u)=\int_{0}^{1} d u \phi_{3 M}^{(s) \sigma}(u)=h_{M}^{(s)} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here [22, 31]

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{M}^{(s)} & =m_{M}^{2} f_{M}^{(s)}-A_{M} \\
A_{M} & =\langle 0| \frac{\alpha_{s}}{4 \pi} G_{\mu \nu}^{a} \widetilde{G}^{a, \mu \nu}|M(p)\rangle \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

that follows from the anomaly relation

$$
\partial^{\mu} J_{\mu 5}^{(s)}=2 m_{s} \bar{s} i \gamma_{5} s+\frac{\alpha_{s}}{4 \pi} G_{\mu \nu}^{a} \widetilde{G}^{a, \mu \nu}
$$

Twist-3 DAs for the light $q=u$ or $d$ quark can be defined by similar expressions with substitutions $s \rightarrow q$, e.g. $H_{M}^{(q)}=m_{M}^{2} F_{M}^{(q)}-A_{M}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{M}^{(u)}=H_{M}^{(d)}=\frac{h_{M}^{(q)}}{\sqrt{2}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing the normalization of the twist-3 DAs in this form (see Eqs. (22)-(25)) we follow Refs. [22, 25, 31]. Note that this definition formally remains correct in the chiral $m_{s} \rightarrow 0$ limit. As mentioned above, in this case $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ are purely flavor-octet and flavor-singlet, respectively, so that $\eta$ becomes massless and $\eta^{\prime}$ remains massive due to the axial anomaly [33, 34]. Equation (25) is then satisfied trivially for $\eta$, because all three terms vanish, and for $\eta^{\prime}$ the cancelation of the two terms on the r.h.s. implies the well-known relation for the $\eta^{\prime}$ mass in terms of the anomaly matrix element. The ratio $h_{s} / m_{s}$ (and the similar ratios for light quarks) remains finite so that the contribution of twist-three DAs to correlation functions remains finite in the case that they enter the coefficients without a quark mass factor. For further discussion and examples we refer to the work [25].

We assume that at low scales the FKS mixing scheme is valid for all quantities and distributions, and introduce two new parameters $h_{q}$ and $h_{s}$ [22]

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
h_{\eta}^{(q)}, & h_{\eta}^{(s)}  \tag{27}\\
h_{\eta^{\prime}}^{(q)}, & h_{\eta^{\prime}}^{(s)}
\end{array}\right)=U(\varphi)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
h_{q}, & 0 \\
0, & h_{s}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with numerical values (in $\mathrm{GeV}^{3}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{q}=0.0016 \pm 0.004, \quad h_{s}=0.087 \pm 0.006 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Within the FKS scheme, we can rewrite four DAs $\phi_{3 M}^{(q, s) p}$ in terms of two functions $\phi_{3 s}^{p}(u)$ and $\phi_{3 q}^{p}(u)$. The same argumentation is valid for the distribution amplitudes $\phi_{3 M}^{(q, s) \sigma}$, as well. Let us note that for calculation of the strong couplings of interest we need only $s$ components of the DAs. Therefore, we get:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{3 \eta^{\prime}}^{(s) p}(u)=\phi_{3 s}^{p}(u) \cos \varphi, & \phi_{3 \eta}^{(s) p}(u)=-\phi_{3 s}^{p}(u) \sin \varphi \\
\phi_{3 \eta^{\prime}}^{(s) \sigma}(u)=\phi_{3 s}^{\sigma}(u) \cos \varphi, & \phi_{3 \eta}^{(s) \sigma}(u)=-\phi_{3 s}^{\sigma}(u) \sin \varphi \tag{29}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{3 s}^{p}(u)=h_{s}+60 m_{s} f_{3 s} C_{2}^{1 / 2}(2 u-1) \\
& \phi_{3 s}^{\sigma}(u)=6 \bar{u} u\left[h_{s}+10 m_{s} f_{3 s} C_{2}^{3 / 2}(2 u-1)\right] . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

The coupling $f_{3 s}$ is defined as

$$
\langle 0| \bar{s} \sigma_{n \xi} \gamma_{5} g G^{n \xi} s\left|\eta_{s}(p)\right\rangle=2 i(p z)^{2} f_{3 s}
$$

and we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{3 \eta^{\prime}}^{(s)}=f_{3 s} \cos \varphi, f_{3 \eta}^{(s)}=-f_{3 s} \sin \varphi \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the coupling $f_{3 s}$, as an estimate, we adopt a value of the similar parameter obtained for the pion. The latter at the scale $\mu_{0}=1 \mathrm{GeV}$ is equal to

$$
f_{3 s}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \simeq f_{3 \pi}\left(\mu_{0}\right)=(0.0045 \pm 0.0015) \mathrm{GeV}^{2}
$$

The scale dependence of $f_{3 s}(\mu)$ is determined by formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{3 s}(\mu)=\left[\frac{\alpha_{s}(\mu)}{\alpha_{s}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}\right]^{55 / 9 \beta_{0}} f_{3 s}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here some comments are in order. Let us explain our choice of the parameters in the higher-twist DAs. First of all, there is not any information on flavor-singlet contributions to these parameters. Moreover, computation of these parameters using the QCD sum rule method by taking into account only quark contents of $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ mesons lead to numerical values that are very close to parameters of the pion DAs. In fact, calculations of the parameters $f_{3 s}$ and $\delta_{M}^{2(s)}$ presented in Appendix A illustrate correctness of such choice. Therefore, in what follows we will use parameters from the pion DAs keeping in mind that the approximation accepted here does not encompass the flavor-singlet effects.

The eta mesons' three-particle twist-3 DAs are defined in accordance with Ref. 35]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle M(q)| \bar{s}(x) g G_{\mu \nu}(v x) \sigma_{\alpha \beta} \gamma_{5} s(0)|0\rangle= \\
& i f_{3 M}^{(s)}\left[q_{\alpha}\left(q_{\mu} g_{\nu \beta}-q_{\nu} g_{\mu \beta}\right)-(\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)\right] \\
& \times \int D \underline{\alpha} e^{i q x\left(\alpha_{1}+v \alpha_{3}\right)} \Phi_{3 M}^{(s)}(\alpha) \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\int \mathcal{D} \underline{\alpha}=\int_{0}^{1} d \alpha_{1} d \alpha_{2} d \alpha_{3} \delta\left(1-\sum \alpha_{i}\right)
$$

The expansion of the function $\Phi_{3 M}^{(s)}(\alpha)$ in the conformal spin leads to the known expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{3 M}^{(s)}(\alpha)=360 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}^{2}\left[1+\frac{1}{7} \omega_{3 s}\left(7 \alpha_{3}-3\right)\right] \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{3 s}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \simeq \omega_{3 \pi}\left(\mu_{0}\right)=(-1.5 \pm 0.7) \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left(f_{3 s} \omega_{3 s}\right)(\mu)=\left[\frac{\alpha_{s}(\mu)}{\alpha_{s}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}\right]^{104 / 9 \beta_{0}}\left(f_{3 s} \omega_{3 s}\right)\left(\mu_{0}\right)
$$

Finally, we will need the DAs of twist-4 that are rather numerous. First of all, there are four two-particle twist-4
distribution amplitudes of the $\eta-\eta^{\prime}$ system stemming from the matrix element

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\langle M(q)| \bar{s}(x) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} s(0)|0\rangle=-i q_{\mu} F_{M}^{(s)} \\
\times \int_{0}^{1} d u e^{i q x u}\left[\phi_{M}^{(s)}(u)+\frac{x^{2}}{16} \phi_{4 M}^{(s)}(u)\right] \\
-i \frac{x_{\mu}}{q x} F_{M}^{(s)} \int_{0}^{1} d u e^{i q x u} \psi_{4 M}^{(s)}(u) \tag{36}
\end{array}
$$

Another three-particle twist-4 distributions are given by the expressions:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\langle M(q)| \bar{s}(x) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} g_{s} G_{\alpha \beta}(v x) s(0)|0\rangle= \\
F_{M}^{(s)} \frac{q_{\mu}}{q x}\left(q_{\alpha} x_{\beta}-q_{\beta} x_{\alpha}\right) \int \mathcal{D} \underline{\alpha} e^{i q x\left(\alpha_{1}+v \alpha_{3}\right)} \Phi_{4 M}^{(s)}(\alpha) \\
+F_{M}^{(s)}\left[q_{\beta}\left(g_{\alpha \mu}-\frac{x_{\alpha} q_{\mu}}{q x}\right)-q_{\alpha}\left(g_{\beta \mu}-\frac{x_{\beta} q_{\mu}}{q x}\right)\right] \\
\times \int \mathcal{D} \underline{\alpha} e^{i q x\left(\alpha_{1}+v \alpha_{3}\right)} \Psi_{4 M}^{(s)}(\alpha) \tag{37}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\langle M(q)| \bar{s}(x) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} g_{s} \widetilde{G}_{\alpha \beta}(v x) s(0)|0\rangle= \\
F_{M}^{(s)} \frac{q_{\mu}}{q x}\left(q_{\alpha} x_{\beta}-q_{\beta} x_{\alpha}\right) \int \mathcal{D} \underline{\alpha} e^{i q x\left(\alpha_{1}+v \alpha_{3}\right)} \widetilde{\Phi}_{4 M}^{(s)}(\alpha) \\
+F_{M}^{(s)}\left[q_{\beta}\left(g_{\alpha \mu}-\frac{x_{\alpha} q_{\mu}}{q x}\right)-q_{\alpha}\left(g_{\beta \mu}-\frac{x_{\beta} q_{\mu}}{q x}\right)\right] \\
\times \int \mathcal{D} \underline{\alpha} e^{i q x\left(\alpha_{1}+v \alpha_{3}\right)} \widetilde{\Psi}_{4 M}^{(s)}(\alpha) \tag{38}
\end{array}
$$

The distribution amplitudes $\Phi_{4 M}^{(s)}$ and $\Psi_{4 M}^{(s)}$ can be expanded in orthogonal polynomials that correspond to contributions of increasing spin in the conformal expansion. Taking into account contributions of the lowest and the next-to-lowest spin one finds 31, 35, 37]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{4 M}^{(s)}(\alpha)= 120 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\left[\phi_{1, M}^{(s)}\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\right] \\
& \widetilde{\Phi}_{4 M}^{(s)}(\alpha)=120 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\left[\widetilde{\phi}_{0, M}^{(s)}+\widetilde{\phi}_{2, M}^{(s)}\left(3 \alpha_{3}-1\right)\right] \\
& \widetilde{\Psi}_{4 M}^{(s)}(\alpha)=-30 \alpha_{3}^{2}\left\{\psi_{0, M}^{(s)}\left(1-\alpha_{3}\right)\right. \\
&+\psi_{1, M}^{(s)}\left[\alpha_{3}\left(1-\alpha_{3}\right)-6 \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right] \\
&\left.+\psi_{2, M}^{(s)}\left[\alpha_{3}\left(1-\alpha_{3}\right)-\frac{3}{2}\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2}\right)\right]\right\} \\
& \begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{4 M}^{(s)}(\alpha)=-30 \alpha_{3}^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left\{\psi_{0, M}^{(s)}+\psi_{1, M}^{(s)} \alpha_{3}\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{1}{2} \psi_{2, M}^{(s)}\left(5 \alpha_{3}-3\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficients $\phi_{k M,}^{(s)}, \psi_{k M}^{(s)}$ are related by QCD equations of motion (EOM) 31]. From these EOM one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\phi}_{0 M}^{(s)}=\psi_{0 M}^{(s)}=-\frac{1}{3} \delta_{M}^{2(s)} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\phi}_{2 M}^{(s)} & =\frac{21}{8} \delta_{M}^{2(s)} \omega_{4 M}^{(s)} \\
\phi_{1 M}^{(s)} & =\frac{21}{8}\left[\delta_{M}^{2(s)} \omega_{4 M}^{(s)}+\frac{2}{45} m_{M}^{2}\left(1-\frac{18}{7} a_{2 M}^{(s)}\right)\right] \\
\psi_{1 M}^{(s)} & =\frac{7}{4}\left[\delta_{M}^{2(s)} \omega_{4 M}^{(s)}+\frac{1}{45} m_{M}^{2}\left(1-\frac{18}{7} a_{2 M}^{(s)}\right)+4 m_{s} \frac{f_{3 M}^{(s)}}{f_{M}^{(s)}}\right] \\
\psi_{2 M}^{(s)} & =\frac{7}{4}\left[2 \delta_{M}^{2(s)} \omega_{4 M}^{(s)}-\frac{1}{45} m_{M}^{2}\left(1-\frac{18}{7} a_{2 M}^{(s)}\right)-4 m_{s} \frac{f_{3 M}^{(s)}}{f_{M}^{(s)}}\right] . \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the parameter $\delta_{M}^{2(s)}$ is defined as

$$
\langle 0| \bar{s} \gamma^{\rho} i g \widetilde{G}_{\rho \mu} s|M(p)\rangle=p_{\mu} f_{M}^{(s)} \delta_{M}^{2(s)}
$$

Its value at $\mu_{0}$ is chosen equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{M}^{2(s)}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \simeq \delta_{\pi}^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)=(0.18 \pm 0.06) \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and evolution is given by the formula

$$
\delta_{M}^{2(s)}(\mu)=\left[\frac{\alpha_{s}(\mu)}{\alpha_{s}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}\right]^{10 / \beta_{0}} \delta_{M}^{2(s)}\left(\mu_{0}\right)
$$

We set the parameter $\omega_{4 M}^{(s)}\left(\mu_{0}\right)$ equal to $\omega_{4 \pi}\left(\mu_{0}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{4 M}^{(s)}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \simeq \omega_{4 \pi}\left(\mu_{0}\right)=(0.2 \pm 0.1) \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\left(\delta_{M}^{2(s)} \omega_{4 M}^{(s)}\right)(\mu)=\left[\frac{\alpha_{s}(\mu)}{\alpha_{s}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}\right]^{32 / 9 \beta_{0}}\left(\delta_{M}^{2(s)} \omega_{4 M}^{(s)}\right)\left(\mu_{0}\right)
$$

The DAs $\phi_{4 M}^{(s)}(u)$ and $\psi_{4 M}^{(s)}(u)$ can be calculated in terms of the three-particle DAs of twist four and the DAs of lower twist. As a result, one obtains the expressions for the two-particle DAs $\psi_{4 M}^{(s)}(u)$ and $\psi_{4 M}^{(s)}(u)$ that can be separated in "genuine" twist-four contributions and meson mass corrections as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{4 M}^{(s)}(u)=\psi_{4 M}^{(s) \text { twist }}(u)+m_{M}^{2} \psi_{4 M}^{(s) \text { mass }}(u) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{4 M}^{(s) \text { twist }}(u)= & \frac{20}{3} \delta_{M}^{2(s)} C_{2}^{1 / 2}(2 u-1)+30 m_{s} \frac{f_{3 M}^{(s)}}{f_{M}^{(s)}} \\
& \times\left(\frac{1}{2}-10 u \bar{u}+35 u^{2} \bar{u}^{2}\right) \\
\psi_{4 M}^{(s) \text { mass }}(u)= & \frac{17}{12}-19 u \bar{u}+\frac{105}{2} u^{2} \bar{u}^{2} \\
& +a_{2, M}^{(s)}\left(\frac{3}{2}-54 u \bar{u}+225 u^{2} \bar{u}^{2}\right) \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{4 M}^{(s)}(u)=\phi_{4 M}^{(s) \text { twist }}(u)+m_{M}^{2} \phi_{4 M}^{(s) \text { mass }}(u), \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{4 M}^{(s) t \text { twist }}(u)= & \frac{200}{3} \delta_{M}^{2(s)} u^{2} \bar{u}^{2}+21 \delta_{M}^{2(s)} \omega_{4 M}^{(s)}\{u \bar{u}(2+13 u \bar{u}) \\
& \left.+2\left[u^{3}\left(10-15 u+6 u^{2}\right) \ln u+(u \leftrightarrow \bar{u})\right]\right\} \\
& +20 m_{s} \frac{f_{3 M}^{(s)}}{f_{M}^{(s)}} u \bar{u}\left[12-63 u \bar{u}+14 u^{2} \bar{u}^{2}\right] \\
\phi_{4 M}^{(s) \text { mass }}(u)= & u \bar{u}\left[\frac{88}{15}+\frac{39}{5} u \bar{u}+14 u^{2} \bar{u}^{2}\right] \\
& -a_{2, M}^{(s)} u \bar{u}\left[\frac{24}{5}-\frac{54}{5} u \bar{u}+180 u^{2} \bar{u}^{2}\right] \\
& +\left(\frac{28}{15}-\frac{24}{5} a_{2, M}^{(s)}\right)\left[u^{3}\left(10-15 u+6 u^{2}\right) \ln u\right. \\
& +(u \leftrightarrow \bar{u})] . \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

These expressions complete the list of the distribution amplitudes that are necessary for analyzing the strong vertices $D_{s}^{*} D_{s} \eta^{(\prime)}$ and $B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta^{(\prime)}$ with twist- 4 accuracy.

It is worth noting that we have chosen parameters of the higher-twist DAs in order to obey pattern of the state mixing accepted for the $\eta-\eta^{\prime}$ system. In fact, it is not difficult to see that relations in Eq. (17) are true for DAs $\phi_{3 M}^{(3) p}(u), \phi_{3 M}^{(3) \sigma}(u)$ and $f_{3 M}^{(s)} \Phi_{3 M}^{(s)}(\alpha)$, as well. This formula are fulfilled approximately for twist-4 DAs $F_{M}^{(s)} \phi_{4 M}^{(s)}(u)$ and $F_{M}^{(s)} \psi_{4 M}^{(s)}(u)$. The main sources of deviation from Eq. (17) are terms $\sim m_{M}^{2}$ in twist- 4 DAs that, nevertheless, numerically have rather small effects on final results.

## III. THE LCSR FOR STRONG COUPLINGS

In the context of the QCD sum rules on the lightcone heavy-heavy-light meson strong couplings were analyzed already in Refs. [38 40], where the vertices $D^{*} D \pi$, $B^{*} B \pi$, as well as vertices with $\rho$-meson were considered. In the present work we calculate within the QCD LCSR method the strong couplings that correspond to the vertices $D_{s}^{*} D_{s} \eta^{(\prime)}$ and $B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta^{(\prime)}$. Below we concentrate on the couplings $g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} M}$ : results for $g_{D_{s}^{*} D_{s} M}$ can be easily obtained from relevant expressions by replacements $b \rightarrow c, B_{s}^{0} \rightarrow D_{s}^{-}$and $B_{s}^{0 *} \rightarrow D_{s}^{*-}$.

## A. Leading order results

In calculation of the leading order contribution to the LCSR we use technical tools and methods elaborated in the original paper [38]. We start from the correlation function

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{\mu}(p, q)= i \int d^{4} x e^{i p x}\langle M(q)| \mathcal{T}\left\{\bar{s}(x) \gamma_{\mu} b(x)\right. \\
&\left.\bar{b}(0) i \gamma_{5} s(0)\right\}|0\rangle \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

It is well known that this correlator can be calculated in both hadronic and quark-gluon degrees of freedom.


FIG. 1: Leading order diagrams contributing to the correlation function. Thick lines correspond to a heavy quark. Diagram (a) describes quark-antiquark contributions of various twists to the correlator, whereas (b) is contribution coming from three-particle components of the meson distribution amplitude.

Within the QCD LCSR method obtained by this way expressions should be matched in order to find the couplings $g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta}$ and $g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta^{\prime}}$, and extract numerical estimates for them. In terms of hadronic quantities, the aforementioned correlation functions are given by the expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\mu}^{h}(p, q)= & \frac{g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} M} m_{B_{s}}^{2} m_{B_{s}^{*}} f_{B_{s}} f_{B_{s}^{*}}}{m_{b}\left(p^{2}-m_{B_{s}^{*}}^{2}\right)\left[(p+q)^{2}-m_{B_{s}}^{2}\right]} \\
& \times\left[q_{\mu}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{m_{B_{s}}^{2}+m_{M}^{2}}{m_{B_{s}^{*}}^{2}}\right) p_{\mu}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have defined the couplings $g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} M}$ and decay constants $f_{B_{s}}, f_{B_{s}^{*}}$ by means of the following matrix elements:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle B_{s}^{* 0}(p) M(q) \mid B_{s}^{0}(p+q)\right\rangle=-g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} M} q_{\mu} \epsilon^{\mu} \\
& \left\langle B_{s}\right| \bar{b} i \gamma_{5} s|0\rangle=\frac{m_{B_{s}}^{2} f_{B_{s}}}{m_{b}} \\
& \langle 0| \bar{s} \gamma_{\mu} b\left|B_{s}^{*}\right\rangle=m_{B_{s}^{*}} f_{B_{s}^{*}} \epsilon_{\mu} \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

The correlation function depends on the invariants $p^{2}$, $(p+q)^{2}$, and can be written as a sum of invariant amplitudes

$$
F_{\mu}(p, q)=F\left(p^{2},(p+q)^{2}\right) q_{\mu}+\widetilde{F}\left(p^{2},(p+q)^{2}\right) p_{\mu}
$$

For our purposes it is enough to consider the function $F\left(p^{2},(p+q)^{2}\right)$.

Computation of the amplitude $F\left(p^{2},(p+q)^{2}\right)$ in terms of the hadronic quantities leads to expression that contains contribution of the ground state, and a contribution of the higher resonances and continuum states with relevant quantum numbers in a form of double dispersion
integral

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{h}\left(p^{2},(p+q)^{2}\right)=\frac{g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} M} m_{B_{s}}^{2} m_{B_{s}^{*}} f_{B_{s}} f_{B_{s}^{*}}}{m_{b}\left(p^{2}-m_{B_{s}^{*}}^{2}\right)\left[(p+q)^{2}-m_{B_{s}}^{2}\right]} \\
& +\int \frac{d s_{1} d s_{2} \rho^{h}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)}{\left(s_{1}-p^{2}\right)\left[s_{2}-(p+q)^{2}\right]}+\ldots \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the dots stand for single dispersion integrals that, in general, should be included to make the expression finite.

Having considered $p^{2}$ and $(p+q)^{2}$ as independent variables and applied the Borel transformation we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{M_{1}^{2}} \mathcal{B}_{M_{2}^{2}} F^{h}\left(p^{2},(p+q)^{2}\right)=\frac{g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} M} m_{B_{s}}^{2} m_{B_{s}^{*}} f_{B_{s}} f_{B_{s}^{*}}}{m_{b}} \\
& \times e^{-\frac{m_{B_{s}^{*}}^{2}}{M_{1}^{2}}-\frac{m_{B_{s}^{*}}^{2}}{M_{2}^{2}}}+\int d s_{1} d s_{2} e^{-\frac{s_{1}}{M_{1}^{2}}-\frac{s_{2}}{M_{2}^{2}}} \rho^{h}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) . \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to obtain sum rules expression for the strong couplings, the double Borel transformation should be applied to the same invariant amplitude, but now calculated using the quark-gluon degrees of freedom. To this end, one needs to employ the general expression for the correlation function Eq. (48) and compute it by substituting the light-cone expansion for the $b$-quark propagator

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle 0| \mathcal{T}\{b(x) \bar{b}(0)\}|0\rangle=\int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4} i} e^{-i k x} \frac{\not k+m_{b}}{m_{b}^{2}-k^{2}} \\
& \quad-i g_{s} \int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} e^{-i k x} \int_{0}^{1} d v\left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{\not k+m_{b}}{\left(m_{b}^{2}-k^{2}\right)^{2}} G^{\mu \nu}(v x) \sigma_{\mu \nu}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{\not k+m_{b}}{m_{b}^{2}-k^{2}} v x_{\mu} G^{\mu \nu}(v x) \gamma_{\nu}\right] \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

and expressing remaining non-local matrix elements in terms of distribution amplitudes of the eta mesons. The diagrams corresponding to the free $b$-quark propagator, and to the one-gluon field components in the expansion Eq. (52) are depicted in Fig. 1(a) and Fig. 1(b), respectively.

Technical details of similar calculations can be found in Ref. [38]. Therefore, we do not concentrate here on these procedures and provide below only final results. Thus, for the contribution arising from the diagram (a) we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{(a)}\left(p^{2},(p+q)^{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d u}{\Delta(p, q, u)}\left\{m _ { b } F _ { M } ^ { ( s ) } \left[\phi_{M}^{(s)}(u)\right.\right. \\
& -\frac{m_{M}^{2} u \bar{u}}{\Delta(p, q, u)} \phi_{M}^{(s)}(u)+\frac{1}{\Delta(p, q, u)}\left(2 u G_{4 M}^{(s)}(u)\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{m_{b}^{2} \phi_{4 M}^{(s)}(u)}{2 \Delta(p, q, u)}\right)\right]+\frac{\phi_{3 M}^{(s) p}(u)}{2 m_{s}} u+\frac{\phi_{3 M}^{(s) \sigma}(u)}{6 m_{s}} \\
& \left.+\frac{\phi_{3 M}^{(s) \sigma}(u)}{12 m_{s}} \frac{m_{b}^{2}+p^{2}}{\Delta(p, q, u)}\right\} . \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

In this expression we have introduced the short-hand notation for the denominator of the free $b$-quark propagator
(see first term in Eq. (52))

$$
\Delta(p, q, u)=m_{b}^{2}-(1-u) p^{2}-u(p+q)^{2}
$$

and also defined the new function $G_{4 M}^{(s)}(u)$

$$
G_{4 M}^{(s)}(u)=-\int_{0}^{u} \psi_{4 M}^{(s)}(v) d v
$$

The meson mass correction $\sim m_{M}^{2}$ in Eq. (53) comes from the expansion of the leading order twist- 2 term.

Computations with one-gluon field components in the $b$-quark propagator lead to the following result:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F^{(b)}\left(p^{2},(p+q)^{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} d v \int \mathcal{D} \alpha \\
& \times\left\{\frac{4 f_{3 M}^{(s)} \Phi_{3 M}^{(s)}(\alpha) v p q}{\left[m_{b}^{2}-\left(p+q\left(\alpha_{1}+v \alpha_{3}\right)\right)^{2}\right]^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+F_{M}^{(s)} m_{b} \frac{2 \Psi_{4 M}^{(s)}(\alpha)-\Phi_{4 M}^{(s)}(\alpha)+2 \widetilde{\Psi}_{4 M}^{(s)}(\alpha)-\widetilde{\Phi}_{4 M}^{(s)}(\alpha)}{\left[m_{b}^{2}-\left(p+q\left(\alpha_{1}+v \alpha_{3}\right)\right)^{2}\right]^{2}}\right\} \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, having applied the formula for the double Borel transformation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{M_{1}^{2}} \mathcal{B}_{M_{2}^{2}} \frac{(l-1)!}{\left[m_{b}^{2}-(1-u) p^{2}-u(p+q)^{2}\right]^{l}} \\
= & \left(M^{2}\right)^{2-l} e^{-m_{b}^{2} / M^{2}} \delta\left(u-u_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
u_{0}=\frac{M_{1}^{2}}{M_{1}^{2}+M_{2}^{2}}, \quad M^{2}=\frac{M_{1}^{2} M_{2}^{2}}{M_{1}^{2}+M_{2}^{2}}
$$

it is not difficult to find a desired expression for the Borel transformation of the invariant amplitude in terms of the quark-gluon degrees of freedom.

By this manner we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{M_{1}^{2}} \mathcal{B}_{M_{2}^{2}} F^{Q C D}\left(p^{2},(p+q)^{2}\right)=e^{-m_{b}^{2} / M^{2}} \\
& \times M^{2}\left\{m_{b} F_{M}^{(s)} \phi_{M}^{(s)}\left(u_{0}\right)\left(1-\frac{m_{M}^{2} u_{0} \bar{u}_{0}}{M^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& +\frac{\phi_{3 M}^{(s) p}\left(u_{0}\right)}{2 m_{s}} u_{0}+\frac{\phi_{3 M}^{(s) \sigma}\left(u_{0}\right)}{6 m_{s}}+\frac{1}{12 m_{s}} u_{0} \frac{d \phi_{3 M}^{(s) \sigma}\left(u_{0}\right)}{d u} \\
& +\frac{m_{b}^{2} \phi_{3 M}^{(s) \sigma}\left(u_{0}\right)}{6 m_{s} M^{2}}+\frac{2 F_{M}^{(s)} m_{b}}{M^{2}} u_{0} G_{4}\left(u_{0}\right)-\frac{F_{M}^{(s)} m_{b}^{3}}{4 M^{4}} \phi_{4 M}^{(s)}\left(u_{0}\right) \\
& \left.+2 f_{3 M}^{(s)} I_{M}^{3(s)}\left(u_{0}\right)+F_{M}^{(s)} m_{b} \frac{I_{M}^{4(s)}\left(u_{0}\right)}{M^{2}}\right\} \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

In Eq. (55) the new functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{M}^{3(s)}\left(u_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{u_{0}} d \alpha_{1}\left[\frac{\Phi_{3 M}^{(s)}\left(\alpha_{1}, 1-u_{0}, u_{0}-\alpha_{1}\right)}{u_{0}-\alpha_{1}}\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{u_{0}-\alpha_{1}}^{1-\alpha_{1}} d \alpha_{3} \frac{\Phi_{3 M}^{(s)}\left(\alpha_{1}, 1-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{3}, \alpha_{3}\right)}{\alpha_{3}^{2}}\right] \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{M}^{4(s)}\left(u_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{u_{0}} d \alpha_{1} \int_{u_{0}-\alpha_{1}}^{1-\alpha_{1}} \frac{d \alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{3}}\left[2 \Psi_{4 M}^{(s)}(\alpha)-\Phi_{4 M}^{(s)}(\alpha)\right. \\
& \left.+2 \widetilde{\Psi}_{4 M}^{(s)}(\alpha)-\widetilde{\Phi}_{4 M}^{(s)}(\alpha)\right] \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

are introduced.
The Eq. (55) is the required Borel transformed expression for the function $F^{\mathrm{QCD}}\left(p^{2},(p+q)^{2}\right)$ given in the quark-gluon degrees of freedom. In order to derive the light-cone sum rule formulas for the couplings $g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta}$ and $g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta^{\prime}}$ one should equate Borel transformations of $F^{\mathrm{h}}\left(p^{2},(p+q)^{2}\right)$ as in Eq. (51) and $F^{\mathrm{QCD}}\left(p^{2},(p+q)^{2}\right)$ written down in Eq. (55). Then the only unknown term is a contribution of higher resonances and continuum states represented in Eq. (51) as the integral with double spectral density $\rho^{\mathrm{h}}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$. To solve this problem, in accordance with the main idea of the sum rule methods, we suggest that above a some threshold in the $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$ plane the double spectral density $\rho^{h}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$ can be replaced by $\rho^{\mathrm{QCD}}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$. Then the continuum subtraction can be performed in accordance with the procedure developed in Refs. [18, 38, 41]. It is based on the observation that double spectral density in the leading contributions, i.e. in ones that are proportional to the positive powers of the Borel parameter $M^{2}$, is concentrated (or can be expanded) near the diagonal $s_{1}=s_{2}$. In this case for the continuum subtraction the simple expressions can be derived, which are not sensitive to the shape of the duality region [18, 38, 41]. The general formula in the case $M_{1}^{2}=M_{2}^{2}=2 M^{2}$ and $u_{0}=1 / 2$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2 n} e^{-\frac{m_{b}^{2}}{M^{2}}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_{m_{b}^{2}}^{s_{0}} d s e^{-\frac{s}{M^{2}}}\left(s-m_{b}^{2}\right)^{n-1}, n \geq 1 \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

For terms $\sim M^{2}$ it leads to the simple prescription

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2} e^{-m_{b}^{2} / M^{2}} \rightarrow M^{2}\left(e^{-m_{b}^{2} / M^{2}}-e^{-s_{0} / M^{2}}\right) \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

adopted in our work, as well.
For the higher-twist terms, which are proportional to zeroth or to the negative powers of $M^{2}$, on the one hand, continuum subtraction is not expected to have a large effect, and, on the other hand, it is not known how to perform it in theoretically clean way. The difficulty here is that the quark-hadron duality is not expected to work point-wise in the two-dimensional plane $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$, but, at best, after integration over the line $s_{1}+s_{2}=$ const (see, for example Refs. [42, 43]). For this reason a naive subtraction using the "square" duality region $s_{1}<s_{0}, s_{2}<s_{0}$ does not have the strong theoretical basis. The spectral densities corresponding to the higher-twist terms under consideration are not concentrated near the diagonal $s_{1}=s_{2}$, as a result, the required continuum subtractions take rather complicated forms. Because the higher-twist spectral densities decrease with $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ fast enough and an impact of the subtracted terms on the final result is not significant, in
a standard technique of the LCSRs of this type one does not perform continuum subtractions in these terms at all [38]. Here we follow these procedures and subtract the continuum contributions only in the terms $\sim M^{2}$.

The masses of the $B_{s}$ and $B_{s}^{*}$ mesons are numerically close to each other, hence in our calculations we can safely set $M_{1}^{2}=M_{2}^{2}$ and $u_{0}=1 / 2$. Then, it is not difficult to write down the following sum rule:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{B_{s}} f_{B_{s}^{*}} g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} M}=\frac{m_{b}}{m_{B_{s}}^{2} m_{B_{s}^{*}}} e^{\frac{m_{B_{s}}^{2}+m_{B_{B}^{*}}^{2}}{2 M^{2}}} \\
& \times\left\{M ^ { 2 } ( e ^ { - \frac { m _ { b } ^ { 2 } } { M ^ { 2 } } } - e ^ { - \frac { s _ { 0 } } { M ^ { 2 } } } ) \left[m_{b} F_{M}^{(s)} \phi_{M}^{(s)}\left(u_{0}\right)\right.\right. \\
& +\frac{\phi_{3 M}^{(s) p}\left(u_{0}\right)}{2 m_{s}} u_{0}+\frac{\phi_{3 M}^{(s) \sigma}\left(u_{0}\right)}{6 m_{s}} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{12 m_{s}} u_{0} \frac{d \phi_{3 M}^{(s) \sigma}\left(u_{0}\right)}{d u}+2 f_{3 M}^{(s)} I_{M}^{3(s)}\left(u_{0}\right)\right] \\
& +e^{-\frac{m_{b}^{2}}{M^{2}}}\left[F _ { M } ^ { ( s ) } m _ { b } \left(-m_{M}^{2} u_{0} \bar{u}_{0} \phi_{M}^{(s)}\left(u_{0}\right)+2 u_{0} G_{4 M}^{(s)}\left(u_{0}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+I_{M}^{4(s)}\left(u_{0}\right)-\frac{m_{b}^{2}}{4 M^{2}} \phi_{4 M}^{(s)}\left(u_{0}\right)\right)+\frac{m_{b}^{2}}{6 m_{s}} \phi_{3 M}^{(s) \sigma}\left(u_{0}\right)\right]\right\}_{u_{0}=1 / 2} \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

This result differs from the corresponding expression of Ref. 38] due to new definitions of the DAs, and the additional mass term in the sum rule expression.

For self-consistent treatment of Eq. (60) one needs expressions for $f_{B_{s}}$ and $f_{B_{s}^{*}}$ with NLO accuracy. Recent calculation of the heavy-light mesons' decay constants, performed in the context of QCD sum rules method by taking into account $O\left(\alpha_{s}^{2}\right)$ terms in the perturbative part and $O\left(\alpha_{s}\right)$ corrections to the quark-condensate contribution, can be found in Ref. [44]. For further details and explicit expressions we refer to this work (see, also [45]).

## B. NLO corrections. Gluonic contributions to the strong couplings

The QCD LCSR for the strong couplings Eq. (60) have been derived at the leading order of the perturbative QCD with twist-4 accuracy. In order to improve our results and make more precise theoretical predictions for the strong couplings we need to find NLO perturbative corrections at least to the leading twist term, and by this way include into analysis also the gluon component of the eta mesons. The NLO correction to the leading twist term, and relevant double spectral density for the strong vertices $B^{*} B \pi$ and $D^{*} D \pi$ were found in Ref. [40]. In this work authors demonstrated that, to this end, it is sufficient to utilize NLO correction to the transition form factor $B \rightarrow \pi$ calculated in Ref. [46], and from the corresponding expression deduced the double spectral density for the coupling $g_{B^{*} B \pi}$. Because the pion is a pseudoscalar particle, and has only quark component, after some corrections that depend on definitions of DAs and decay constants, results of this work can be used to


FIG. 2: Quark-box diagrams that determine the gluonic contribution. Thick lines correspond to a heavy quark.
find NLO corrections to the leading twist term in the LCSRs for strong couplings arising from the quark component of the $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ mesons. Therefore, we borrow corresponding expression for the NLO correction from the work [40], and for the asymptotic DAs $\phi_{\eta\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)}^{(s)}(u)$ get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{\eta\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)}^{(s)}\left(M^{2}, s_{0}^{B_{s}}\right)=\frac{\alpha_{s} C_{F}}{4 \pi} \frac{F_{\eta\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)}^{(s)} m_{b}}{\sqrt{2}} \\
& \times \int_{2 m_{b}^{2}}^{2 s_{0}^{B_{s}}} f\left(\frac{s}{m_{b}^{2}}-2\right) e^{-s / 2 M^{2}} d s, \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{4}+3 \ln \left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \ln \left(1+\frac{x}{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{3\left(3 x^{3}+22 x^{2}+40 x+24\right)}{3(2+x)^{3}} \ln \left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \\
& +6 \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(-\frac{x}{2}\right)-3 \operatorname{Li}_{2}(-x)-3 \operatorname{Li}_{2}(-1-x) \\
& -3 \ln (1+x) \ln (2+x)-\frac{3\left(3 x^{2}+20 x+20\right)}{4(2+x)^{3}}  \tag{62}\\
& +\frac{6 x(1+x) \ln (1+x)}{(2+x)^{2}} \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to find the gluonic contributions to the LCSRs one has to compute the quark-box diagrams shown in Fig. 2. For the transitions $B \rightarrow \eta^{(\prime)}$ they were calculated in Ref. [25] (see also, 47]). We adapt to our problem the relevant expressions obtained in Ref. [25] and use them in our calculations.

To derive the double spectral density, we start from the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{(g)}\left(p^{2},(p+q)^{2}\right)=\frac{\alpha_{s} C_{F}}{4 \pi} f_{M}^{(1)} m_{b} \int_{m_{b}^{2}}^{\infty} \frac{d \alpha g\left(\alpha, p^{2}\right)}{\alpha-(p+q)^{2}} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& g\left(\alpha, p^{2}\right)=\frac{25}{6 \sqrt{3}} a_{2, M}^{(g)}\left\{\frac { m _ { b } ^ { 2 } - \alpha } { ( \alpha - p ^ { 2 } ) ^ { 5 } } \left[59 m_{b}^{6}\right.\right. \\
& +21 p^{6}-63 p^{4} \alpha-19 p^{2} \alpha^{2}+2 \alpha^{3}+m_{b}^{2} \alpha\left(164 p^{2}+13 \alpha\right) \\
& \left.-m_{b}^{4}\left(82 p^{2}+95 \alpha\right)\right]+6 \frac{\left(m_{b}^{2}-p^{2}\right)\left(\alpha-m_{b}^{2}\right)}{\left(\alpha-p^{2}\right)^{5}} \\
& \times\left[5 m_{b}^{4}+p^{4}+3 p^{2} \alpha+\alpha^{2}-5 m_{b}^{2}\left(p^{2}+\alpha\right)\right] \\
& \left.\times\left[2 \ln \frac{\alpha-m_{b}^{2}}{m_{b}^{2}}-\ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{m_{b}^{2}}\right]\right\} \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

We employ a method described in detailed form in Ref. [43]. In other words, first we perform the double Borel transformations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{t_{1}}\left(p^{2}\right) B_{t_{2}}\left((p+q)^{2}\right) F^{(g)}\left(p^{2},(p+q)^{2}\right) \equiv \hat{F}^{(g)}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{t_{1} t_{2}} \int d s_{1} d s_{2} \rho\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) e^{-s_{1} / t_{1}-s_{1} / t_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

then apply the Borel transformations in $\tau_{1}=1 / t_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}=1 / t_{2}$ in order to extract $\rho\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$

$$
B_{1 / s_{1}}\left(\tau_{1}\right) B_{1 / s_{2}}\left(\tau_{2}\right) \frac{1}{\tau_{1} \tau_{2}} \hat{F}^{(g)}\left(1 / \tau_{1}, 1 / \tau_{2}\right)=s_{1} s_{2} \rho\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)
$$

Having subtracted contribution of the resonances and continuum states we get the gluonic correction as the double dispersion integral:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{M}\left(p^{2},(p+q)^{2}\right)=\frac{\alpha_{s} C_{F}}{4 \pi} f_{M}^{(1)} m_{b} \\
& \times \int_{m_{b}^{2}}^{s_{0}^{B_{s}}} \int_{m_{b}^{2}}^{s_{0}^{B_{s}}} \frac{d s_{1} d s_{2} \rho\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)}{\left(s_{1}-p^{2}\right)\left(s_{2}-(p+q)^{2}\right)}, \tag{66}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\rho\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)=\frac{25}{6 \sqrt{3}} a_{2, M}^{(g)}\left[\rho_{1}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)+6 \rho_{2}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)\right]
$$

Here

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{1}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)=21 \Delta^{(1)}\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{82}{6} \Delta^{(3)}\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)-\frac{59}{24} \Delta^{(4)}\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right) \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{2}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)=L\left(s_{1}, \mu\right)\left[\Delta^{(2)}\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{3} \Delta^{(3)}\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{24} \Delta^{(4)}\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)\right] \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

In Eqs. (67) and (68)

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta^{(n)}\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right) & =\left(s_{1}-m_{b}\right)^{n} \delta^{(n)}\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right) \\
L(s, \mu) & =2 \ln \frac{s-m_{b}^{2}}{m_{b}^{2}}-\ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{m_{b}^{2}} \tag{69}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\delta^{(n)}\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)$ being defined as

$$
\delta^{(n)}\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)=\frac{\partial^{n}}{\partial s_{1}^{n}} \delta\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right)
$$

The Borel transformations in the variables $p^{2}$ and $(p+q)^{2}$ of the integral in Eq. (66) gives us the desired gluonic contribution to the sum rules

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{M_{1}^{2}} \mathcal{B}_{M_{2}^{2}} F_{M}\left((p+q)^{2}, p^{2}\right)=\frac{\alpha_{s} C_{F}}{4 \pi} f_{M}^{(1)} m_{b} \\
& \times \int_{m_{b}^{2}}^{s_{0}^{B_{s}}} d s_{1} \int_{m_{b}^{2}}^{s_{0}^{B_{s}}} d s_{2} \rho\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) e^{-s_{1} / M_{1}^{2}} e^{-s_{2} / M_{2}^{2}} \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

In the case $M_{1}^{2}=M_{2}^{2}=2 M^{2}$ by applying methods from Appendix B of Ref. [38], we calculate the integrals in Eq. (70)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{m_{b}^{2}}^{s_{0}^{B_{s}}} d s_{1} \int_{m_{b}^{2}}^{s_{0}^{B_{s}}} d s_{2} \Delta^{(k)}\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right) e^{-\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right) / 2 M^{2}} \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{k}}{2^{k+1}} \int_{2 m_{b}^{2}}^{2 s_{0}^{B_{s}}} d s e^{-s / 2 M^{2}}\left(\frac{d}{d v}\right)^{k}\left(v-\frac{m_{b}^{2}}{s}\right)_{v=1 / 2}^{k}(71 \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{m_{b}^{2}}^{s_{0}^{B_{s}}} d s_{1} \int_{m_{b}^{2}}^{s_{0}^{B_{s}}} d s_{2} \ln \left(s_{1}-m_{b}^{2}\right) \Delta^{(k)}\left(s_{1}-s_{2}\right) \\
& \times e^{-\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right) / 2 M^{2}}=\frac{(-1)^{k}}{2^{k+1}} \int_{2 m_{b}^{2}}^{2 s_{0}^{B_{s}}} d s e^{-s / 2 M^{2}}\left(\frac{d}{d v}\right)^{k} \\
& \times\left[\left(v-\frac{m_{b}^{2}}{s}\right)^{k} \ln \left(s v-m_{b}^{2}\right)\right]_{v=1 / 2} \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

The integrations over $s$ can be performed explicitly that allows us to find the gluonic contribution in a rather simple form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{Q}_{\eta\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)}\left(M^{2}, s_{0}^{B_{s}}\right)=\frac{\alpha_{s} C_{F}}{4 \pi} f_{\eta\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)}^{(1)} m_{b} \\
& \times\left[r_{1}\left(M^{2}, s_{0}^{B_{s}}\right)+r_{2}\left(M^{2}, s_{0}^{B_{s}}\right)\right] \tag{73}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}\left(M^{2}, s_{0}^{B_{s}}\right)=M^{2}\left(e^{-m_{b}^{2} / M^{2}}-e^{-s_{0} / M^{2}}\right)\left(-\frac{51}{32}\right) \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{2}\left(M^{2}, s_{0}^{B_{s}}\right)=\frac{3}{16} M^{2} e^{-m_{b}^{2} / M^{2}}[22+20 \psi(7) \\
& \left.-20 \Gamma\left(0, \frac{s_{0}^{B_{s}}-m_{b}^{2}}{M^{2}}\right)+20 \ln \frac{2 M^{2}}{m_{b}^{2}}-10 \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{m_{b}^{2}}\right] \\
& +\frac{3}{16} M^{2} e^{-s_{0}^{B_{s}} / M^{2}}\left[-27-20 \ln \frac{2\left(s_{0}^{B_{s}}-m_{b}^{2}\right)}{m_{b}^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+10 \ln \frac{\mu^{2}}{m_{b}^{2}}\right] \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG. 3: The strong couplings as functions of the Borel parameter $M^{2}$. The solid (red) line describes $f_{B_{s}} f_{B_{s}^{*}} g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta^{\prime}}$, whereas the dashed (blue) curve corresponds to $f_{B_{s}} f_{B_{s}^{*}} \mid$ $g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta} \mid$. In computations the model I is used. The parameter $s_{0}^{B_{s}}$ is set equal to $36 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$.

Here $\psi(z)=(d / d z) \ln \Gamma(z)$ and $\Gamma(a, z)$ are digamma and incomplete Gamma functions, respectively.

Then the NLO corrections to LCSRs arising from the quark and gluonic components of the eta mesons are given by the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m_{b}}{m_{B_{s}}^{2} m_{B_{s}^{*}}} e^{\frac{m_{B_{s}}^{2}+m_{B_{s}^{*}}^{2}}{2 M^{2}}}\left(Q_{\eta\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)}^{(s)}+\widetilde{Q}_{\eta\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)}\right), \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

which should be added to Eq. (60).
It is interesting to note that strong couplings given by Eqs. (60) and (76) may be presented in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta} \simeq-\sin \varphi G_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta}^{(s)}, \\
& g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta^{\prime}}^{\simeq \cos \varphi G_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta^{\prime}}^{(s)}} . \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

In fact, excluding some terms, the couplings with the high accuracy follow the mixing pattern discussed above that can be demonstrated explicitly.

## IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

The LCSR expressions for $g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta}$ and $g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta^{\prime}}$ in Eqs. (60) and (76) contain numerous parameters that should be fixed in accordance with the usual procedures. But apart from that in numerical calculations there is a necessity to utilize also equalities to connect $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ mesons' DAs and decay constants obtained using different bases. Indeed, as we have emphasized above, in order to solve renormalization group equations it is convenient to use the singlet-octet basis. This basis was used in Ref. 31] to describe evolution of the flavor-octet and flavor-singlet DAs with NLO accuracy. One should note that the gluon DA in Eq. (12) is normalized in terms of the decay constant $f_{M}^{(1)}$. From another side, the QF basis is more suitable to analyze the $\eta-\eta^{\prime}$ mixing phenomena and


FIG. 4: Contributions to the coupling $f_{B_{s}} f_{B_{s}^{*}} g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta^{\prime}}$ originating from the leading, the higher-twist and NLO terms. The upper solid (red) line is contribution of LO twist-2 term, the upper dashed line (blue) shows contribution of the highertwist terms, the lower solid (red) curve is the NLO effect coming from the meson's quark component, and the lower dashed (blue) line is the gluonic contribution to the coupling. The parameters are the same as in Fig. 3.
solve equations of motions, which determine parameters in twist-4 DAs. The values of the decay constants in Eq. (20) were deduced within the QF mixing scheme, as well. The general expression for such transformations can be found in Eq. (19). Here we provide the formula for eta mesons' decay constants in the SO basis

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f_{\eta}^{(8)} & f_{\eta}^{(1)} \\
f_{\eta^{\prime}}^{(8)} & f_{\eta^{\prime}}^{(1)}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta_{8} & -\sin \theta_{1} \\
\sin \theta_{8} & \cos \theta_{1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f_{8} & 0 \\
0 & f_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with the numerical values of the parameters

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=(1.17 \pm 0.03) f_{\pi}, f_{8}=(1.26 \pm 0.04) f_{\pi} \\
& \theta_{1}=-\left(9.2^{\circ} \pm 1.7^{\circ}\right), \theta_{8}=-\left(21.2^{\circ} \pm 1.6^{\circ}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The $B_{s}$ and $B_{s}^{*}$ mesons' decay constants and masses enter to Eqs. (60) and (76) as input parameters. Their values are collected below (in MeV )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{\eta}=547.86 \pm 0.02, \quad m_{\eta^{\prime}}=957.78 \pm 0.06 \\
& m_{B_{s}}=5366.77 \pm 0.4, \quad m_{B_{s}^{*}}=5415.4 \pm 1.5
\end{aligned}
$$

The decay constants $f_{B_{s}}$ and $f_{B_{s}^{*}}$ were calculated from the two-point QCD sum rules in Ref. [45] (in MeV)

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{B_{s}}=231 \pm 16, f_{B_{s}^{*}}=213 \pm 18 \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

We employ masses of the quarks in the $\overline{M S}$ scheme (in GeV )

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{b}\left(m_{b}\right)=4.18 \pm 0.03, m_{c}\left(m_{c}\right)=1.275 \pm 0.025 \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Their scale dependencies are taken into account in accordance with the renormalization group evolution

$$
m_{q}(\mu)=m_{q}\left(\mu_{0}\right)\left[\frac{\alpha_{s}(\mu)}{\alpha_{s}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}\right]^{\gamma_{q}}
$$



FIG. 5: The coupling $f_{B_{s}} f_{B_{s}^{*}} g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta^{\prime}}$ computed using the different model DAs. Correspondence between the curves and models is: the solid (red) line - model I and the dashed (blue) line - model III.


FIG. 6: The couplings as functions of the Borel parameter $M^{2}$. The solid (red) line corresponds to $f_{D_{s}} f_{D_{s}^{*}} g_{D_{s}^{*} D_{s} \eta^{\prime}}$, the dashed (blue) curve is the coupling $f_{D_{s}} f_{D_{s}^{*}}\left|g_{D_{s}^{*} D_{s} \eta}\right|$. In computations the model I is used. The parameter $s_{0}^{D_{s}}$ is set equal to $7 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$.
with $\gamma_{b}=12 / 23$ and $\gamma_{c}=12 / 25$. The strange quark mass is $m_{s}=0.137 \mathrm{GeV}$. The renormalization scale is set equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{b}=\sqrt{m_{B_{s}}^{2}-m_{b}^{2}} \simeq 3.4 \mathrm{GeV} \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parameters and quantities are evolved to this scale employing the two-loop QCD running coupling $\alpha_{s}(\mu)$ with $\Lambda^{(4)}=326 \mathrm{MeV}$. The same QCD two-loop coupling is used throughout this work, for example, to compute NLO corrections. The evolution of the leading twist DAs is calculated with the NLO accuracy by taking into account quark-gluon mixing [31]. Calculations require to fix the threshold parameter $s_{0}$ and a region within of which it may be varied. For $s_{0}$ we employ

$$
s_{0}^{B_{s}} \equiv s_{0}^{B_{s}^{*}} \simeq 36 \pm 2.5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}
$$

Additionally, the eta mesons' DAs contain the Gegenbauer moments $a_{n}^{(1,8)}\left(\mu_{0}\right)$ and $a_{n}^{(g)}\left(\mu_{0}\right)$. In Ref. 31] they were extracted from the analysis of the eta mesons' electromagnetic transition form factors. In the present work for $a_{n}^{(1,8)}$ and $a_{2}^{(g)}$ we utilize values that are compatible with ones from this work and accept the following models for DAs

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { I. } a_{2}^{(1,8)}=a_{4}^{(1,8)}=0.1, a_{2}^{(g)}=-0.2 \\
& \text { II. } a_{2}^{(1,8)}=a_{4}^{(1,8)}=0.2, a_{2}^{(g)}=-0.2 \\
& \text { III. } a_{2}^{(1,8)}=0.2, a_{4}^{(1,8)}=0, a_{2}^{(g)}=-0.2 \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

Results of the computations of the "scaled" couplings $f_{B_{s}} f_{B_{s}^{*}} g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta^{\prime}}$ and $f_{B_{s}} f_{B_{s}^{*}}\left|g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta}\right|$ are depicted in Fig. 3. Calculations have been carried out employing the model I. From analysis we find the range of values of the Borel parameter $8 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}<M^{2}<12 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, where the effects of the higher resonances and continuum states is less than $30 \%$ of the leading order twist- 2 contribution, and terms $\sim M^{-2}$ form only $\sim 5 \%$ of the sum rule. Additionally, in this interval the dependence of the couplings on $M^{2}$ is stable, and one may expect that the sum rule gives the reliable predictions.

The sum rules receive contributions from the different terms that are shown in Fig. 4. The main component is the leading order twist-2 term: it forms approximately $60 \%$ of the strong couplings. The effect of the NLO quark correction is also essential: in the explored range of the Borel parameter it equals to $\simeq 12.5 \%$ of the coupling $f_{B_{s}} f_{B_{s}^{*}} g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta^{\prime}}$. The same estimation is valid for $f_{B_{s}} f_{B_{s}^{*}} g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta}$, as well. Correction originating from the gluon content of the meson is very small. In fact, it equals only to $\simeq-0.5 \%$ of $f_{B_{s}} f_{B_{s}^{*}} g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta^{\prime}}$.

The higher-twist terms play an essential role in forming of the couplings. Indeed, $\sim 28 \%$ of their values within considering range of $M^{2}$ are due to HT corrections. The main part of the HT corrections are determined by the two-particle twist-3 DAs $\phi_{3 \eta^{\prime}}^{(s) p}(u)$ and $\phi_{3 \eta^{\prime}}^{(s) \sigma}(u)$ : they give $\sim 33 \%$, whereas corrections of remaining HT terms are small $-5 \%$.

The extracted couplings, in general, depend on the distribution amplitudes utilized in calculations. We have computed the couplings using the different model DAs, and drown the results in Fig. 5 Some of the DAs (models I and II) lead to almost identical predictions such that corresponding lines become undistinguishable. Therefore, in Fig. 5 we show only the line corresponding to the model I. At the same time, the results for couplings due to another pair of DAs (models I and III) differ from each other considerably .

The predictions in the present work are made employing the model I. By varying the parameters within the allowed ranges we estimate uncertainties of computations. The important sources of uncertainties are $M^{2}$ and $s_{0}^{B_{s}}$, as well as the decay constants $f_{B_{s}}$ and $f_{B_{s}^{*}}$ calculated within the two-point QCD sum rules. Having changed $M^{2}$ and $s_{0}^{B_{s}}$ within $8<M^{2}<12 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, and
$33.5<s_{0}^{B_{s}}<38.5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ respectively, and taken into account uncertainties arising from the meson decay constants we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{B_{s}} f_{B_{s}^{*}}\left|g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta}\right|=0.837 \pm 0.08 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \\
& f_{B_{s}} f_{B_{s}^{*}} g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta^{\prime}}=0.994 \pm 0.12 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

Dividing the product of the couplings by the decay constants gives for the couplings the following predictions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta}\right|=17.08 \pm 1.63, g_{B_{s} B_{s}^{*} \eta^{\prime}}=20.2 \pm 2.44 \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

We proceed in our studies and extract the strong couplings $g_{D_{s}^{*} D_{s} \eta}$ and $g_{D_{s}^{*} D_{s} \eta^{\prime}}$ (see, Fig. 6). To this end, in all expressions we have to replace $b \rightarrow c$. The masses and decay constants in units of MeV are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{D_{s}}=1969 \pm 1.4, \quad m_{D_{s}^{*}}=2112.1 \pm 0.4 \\
& f_{D_{s}}=240 \pm 10, \quad f_{D_{s}^{*}}=308 \pm 21 \tag{84}
\end{align*}
$$

All parameters should be adjusted to the new problem. This leads to the replacements

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{c}=\sqrt{m_{D_{s}}^{2}-m_{c}^{2}} \simeq 1.68 \mathrm{GeV} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $s_{0}^{D_{s}}=7 \pm 1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. It has been found that the range of the Borel parameter $3 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}<M^{2}<5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ is suitable for evaluating the sum rules. From the relevant sum rules for the product of the decay constants and coupling we extract the following values

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{D_{s}} f_{D_{s}^{*}}\left|g_{D_{s}^{*} D_{s} \eta}\right|=0.411 \pm 0.04 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \\
& f_{D_{s}} f_{D_{s}^{*}} g_{D_{s}^{*} D_{s} \eta^{\prime}}=0.473 \pm 0.042 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

Then for the couplings we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{D_{s}^{*} D_{s} \eta}\right|=4.51 \pm 0.44, g_{D_{s}^{*} D_{s} \eta^{\prime}}=5.19 \pm 0.46 \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our results have been obtained within the quarkhadron duality ansatz of [38], where $g_{D^{*} D \pi}$ and $g_{B^{*} B \pi}$ were evaluated. But there is a discrepancy between the predictions for $g_{D^{*} D \pi}$ and data of CLEO Collaboration [48]. One of the main input parameters in these calculations is a value of the leading twist DA at $u_{0}=1 / 2$. In Ref. 38] it was chosen as $\phi_{\pi}(1 / 2) \simeq 1.2$, whereas recent analysis of the pion electromagnetic transition form factor performed in Refs. [49, 50] predicts LT pion DAs enhanced at the middle point: these model DAs at $u_{0}=1 / 2$ are very close to the asymptotic DA with $\phi_{\text {asy }}(1 / 2)=1.5$. The usage of updated twist-3 DAs may also lead to sizeable corrections, because twist- 3 terms contribute to $g_{D * D \pi}$ at the level of $(50-60) \%$, and are as important as the twist-2 term. All these questions necessitate new, updated investigation of the couplings $g_{D^{*} D \pi}$ and $g_{B^{*} B \pi}$ in the context of LCSRs method. The real accuracy of this method is not completely clear at present. On the one hand, it leads to results with $30-50 \%$ deviation from experimental data as in $g_{D^{*} D \pi}$ case, on the other hand, gives rather precise predictions for radiative decays
of mesons. Indeed, LCSR prediction for $g_{D * D \gamma}$ [51, 52] correctly describe experimental data: the value of the quark condensate' magnetic susceptibility that enters to this sum rule as a nonperturbative parameter is known from both QCD sum rules and lattice computations [53] and agree with each other. As QCD lattice simulations of $g_{D * D \pi}$ (see, Ref. [54]) agree with the CLEO data, it will be instructive to compare our predictions for the strong couplings $g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta^{(\prime)}}$ and $g_{D_{s}^{*} D_{s} \eta^{(\prime)}}$ with relevant lattice results, when they will be available.

The couplings $g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta^{(\prime)}}$ were calculated in Ref. 12] by applying the three-point sum rule method, as well. Differences in adopted definitions for the couplings, chosen structures and explored kinematical regimes to extract their values make direct comparison of relevant findings rather problematic: we note only a sizeable numerical discrepancy between our predictions and results of Ref. [12]. We emphasize also the advantage of the LCSR method compared to the three-point sum rules approach in calculations of the strong couplings or/and form factors. Indeed, in the three-point sum rules the higher orders in the operator product expansion (OPE) are enhanced by powers of the heavy quark mass and for sufficiently large masses the OPE breaks down. The LCSR method does not suffer from such problems: It is consistent with heavy-quark limit, and provides more elaborated tools for investigations, than alternative approaches.

In the present work we have investigated the strong $D_{s}^{*} D_{s} \eta^{(\prime)}$ and $B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta^{(\prime)}$ vertices and calculated the relevant couplings using the method of QCD sum rules on the light-cone. We have included into our analysis effects of the eta mesons' gluon components. The derived expressions has been explored and numerical values of the strong couplings $g_{D_{s}^{*} D_{s} \eta^{(\prime)}}$ and $g_{B_{s}^{*} B_{s} \eta^{(\prime)}}$ have been evaluated. Studies have demonstrated that the direct contribution to the strong couplings arising from the two-gluon components of the $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ is small. But owing to mixing the gluon components affect the quark DAs, which can not be ignored.
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## Appendix: A

This appendix is devoted to calculation of $f_{3 s}$ and $\delta_{M}^{2(s)}$, which enter as parameters into higher twist DAs of the $\eta$
and $\eta^{\prime}$ mesons. To this end, in the two-point sum rules written down below, we consider $f_{s}$ and $h_{s}$, as well as mixing angle $\varphi$ as input parameters; then only $f_{3 s}$ and $\delta_{M}^{2(s)}$ remain unknown.

The $f_{3 s}$ and $\delta_{M}^{2(s)}$ can be defined in terms of matrix elements of some local operators. Indeed, the parameter $f_{3 s}$ can be defined through the matrix element of the following twist-3 operator

$$
\langle 0| \bar{s} \sigma_{z \nu} \gamma_{5} g G_{z \nu} s|M(p)\rangle=2 i f_{3 M}^{(s)}(p z)^{2}
$$

In order to extract its value we use the correlation function of non-local light-ray operators, which enter the definition of the three-particle distribution amplitude, with corresponding local operator. Such so-called "nondiagonal" correlation function is given by the following expression [36]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Pi_{N D}^{s}=i \int d^{4} y e^{-i p y}\langle 0| \mathcal{T}\left\{\left[\bar{s}(z) \sigma_{\mu z} \gamma_{5} g G_{\mu z}(v z) s(0)\right]\right. \\
& \left.\times\left[\bar{s}(y) \gamma_{5} s(y)\right]\right\}|0\rangle \\
& \equiv(p z)^{2} \int D \underline{\alpha} e^{-i p z\left(\alpha_{2}+v \alpha_{3}\right)} \pi_{N D}^{s}(\underline{\alpha}) . \tag{A.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The sum rule for the coupling $f_{3 s}$ is derived by expanding the correlation function in powers of $p z$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{N D}^{s}= & (p z)^{4}\left\{\Pi_{N D}^{(0) s}+i(p z)\left[\Pi_{N D}^{(1 A) s}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+(2 v-1) \Pi_{N D}^{(1 B) s}\right]+\ldots\right\} \tag{A.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The hadronic content of the function $\Pi$ has been modeled employing " $\eta+\eta^{\prime}+$ continuum" approximation. Then we get the following sum rule:

$$
f_{3 \eta}^{(s)} \frac{h_{\eta}^{(s)}}{m_{s}} e^{-\frac{m_{\eta}^{2}}{M^{2}}}+f_{3 \eta^{\prime}}^{(s)} \frac{h_{\eta^{\prime}}^{(s)}}{m_{s}} e^{-\frac{m_{\eta^{\prime}}^{2}}{M^{2}}}=\mathcal{B}_{M^{2}}\left[\Pi_{N D}^{(0) s}\right]
$$

The left-hand side of this expression can be modified using information on mixing of the decay constants:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{f_{3 s} h_{s}}{m_{s}}\left(\sin ^{2} \varphi e^{-\frac{m_{\eta}^{2}}{M^{2}}}+\cos ^{2} \varphi e^{-\frac{m_{\eta^{\prime}}^{2}}{M^{2}}}\right) \\
& =\mathcal{B}_{M^{2}}\left[\Pi_{N D}^{(0) s}\right] \tag{A.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Now having applied the explicit expression for $\mathcal{B}_{M^{2}}\left[\Pi_{N D}^{(0) s}\right]$ we determine $f_{3 s}$ using the sum rule:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{f_{3 s} h_{s}}{m_{s}}\left(\sin ^{2} \varphi e^{-\frac{m_{\eta}^{2}}{M^{2}}}+\cos ^{2} \varphi e^{-\frac{m^{2}}{M^{\prime}}}\right) \\
& =\frac{\alpha_{s}}{73 \pi^{3}} \int_{0}^{s_{0}} d s s e^{-\frac{s}{M^{2}}}+\frac{1}{12}\left\langle\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} G^{2}\right\rangle \\
& -\frac{4 \alpha_{s}}{9 \pi} m_{s}\langle\bar{s} s\rangle\left[\frac{19}{6}+\gamma_{E}-\ln \frac{M^{2}}{\mu^{2}}+\int_{s_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{s} e^{-\frac{s}{M^{2}}}\right] \\
& +\frac{80}{27} \frac{\alpha_{s} \pi}{M^{2}}\langle\bar{s} s\rangle^{2}+\frac{1}{3 M^{2}} m_{s}\langle\bar{s} \sigma g G s\rangle . \tag{A.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Numerical calculations have been performed at the scale $\mu_{0}=1 \mathrm{GeV}$. To evaluate a continuum contribution we set $s_{0}=1.5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, and varied it within limits $1.3<s_{0}<$ $1.7 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ to estimate errors. The Borel parameter $M^{2}$ is changed in the interval $0.8<M^{2}<1.8 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. The parameters have been extracted at $M^{2}=1.3 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. For $f_{3 s}\left(\mu_{0}\right)$ we have found:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{3 s} \simeq 0.0041 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The varying of $s_{0}$ in the allowed limits results in errors $\pm 0.00005$, which may be neglected.

We introduce the parameter $\delta_{M}^{2(s)}$ through the local matrix element

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle 0| \bar{s} \gamma^{\rho} i g \widetilde{G}_{\rho \mu} s|M(p)\rangle=p_{\mu} f_{M}^{(s)} \delta_{M}^{2(s)} \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

considering it as the universal one, i.e. we suggest that it does not depend on the particles $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$. In the local matrix element information on the mixing is contained in the decay constants $f_{M}^{(s)}$. Then we can write

$$
f_{s}^{2} \delta_{M}^{4(s)}\left[\sin ^{2} \varphi e^{-\frac{m_{\eta}^{2}}{M^{2}}}+\cos ^{2} \varphi e^{-\frac{m_{\eta^{\prime}}^{2}}{M^{2}}}\right]=\mathcal{B}_{M^{2}}\left[\Pi_{0}^{A(s)}\right]
$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{M^{2}}\left[\Pi_{0}^{A(s)}\right]$ is given by the expression 36]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{B}_{M^{2}}\left[\Pi_{0}^{A(s)}\right]=\frac{\alpha_{s}}{160 \pi^{3}} \int_{0}^{s_{0}} d s s^{2} e^{-\frac{s}{M^{2}}}+\frac{1}{72}\left\langle\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} G^{2}\right\rangle \\
& \times \int_{0}^{s_{0}} d s e^{-\frac{s}{M^{2}}}-\frac{\alpha_{s}}{9 \pi} m_{s}\langle\bar{s} s\rangle \int_{0}^{s_{0}} d s e^{-\frac{s}{M^{2}}}+\frac{8 \pi \alpha_{s}}{9}\langle\bar{s} s\rangle^{2} \\
& -\frac{13 \alpha_{s}}{54 \pi} m_{s}\langle\bar{s} \sigma g G s\rangle+\frac{59 \pi \alpha_{s}}{81} \frac{m_{0}^{2}}{M^{2}}\langle\bar{s} s\rangle^{2} \\
& +\frac{\pi}{9 M^{2}}\left\langle\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} G^{2}\right\rangle m_{s}\langle\bar{s} s\rangle-\frac{2 \alpha_{s}}{\pi} m_{s}\langle\bar{s} \sigma g G s\rangle \\
& \times\left\{\gamma_{E}-\ln \frac{M^{2}}{\mu^{2}}+\int_{s_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{s} e^{-\frac{s}{M^{2}}}\right\} \tag{A.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Computations of $\delta_{M}^{2(s)}$ with the same input parameters as in previous case, lead to the following prediction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{M}^{2(s)}\left(\mu_{0}\right) \simeq 0.1896 \pm 0.001 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As is seen $f_{3 s}$ and $\delta_{M}^{2(s)}$ numerically are very close to the pion's parameters $f_{3 \pi}$ and $\delta_{\pi}^{2}$, respectively.

The values of the quark and quark-gluon condensates at $\mu_{0}$ utilized in numerical calculations are listed below:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle\bar{q} q\rangle=(-0.24 \pm 0.01)^{3} \mathrm{GeV}^{3}, \quad\langle\bar{q} \sigma g G q\rangle=m_{0}^{2}\langle\bar{q} q\rangle \\
& m_{0}^{2}=(0.8 \pm 0.1) \mathrm{GeV}^{2},\langle\bar{s} s\rangle=[1-(0.2 \pm 0.2]\langle\bar{q} q\rangle \\
& \left\langle\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} G^{2}\right\rangle=(0.012 \pm 0.006) \mathrm{GeV}^{4} \\
& \langle\bar{s} \sigma g G s\rangle=[1-(0.2 \pm 0.2)]\langle\bar{q} \sigma g G q\rangle \tag{A.9}
\end{align*}
$$
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